

Specification for Assignment 1 of 4

Please ensure that you include your full name and student number on your submission.

Your submission **must be saved as a "pdf"** document and **have the filename** "**lastname_studentid_a1.pdf"** (using your last name and student number)

Your submission must have been created using Microsoft Word, Google Docs, or LaTeX.

Do NOT compress your submissions into a "zip" archive.

Late assignments will not be accepted and will receive a mark of 0.

Submissions written by hand, compressed into an archive or submitted in the wrong format (i.e., not "pdf") will also be penalized and may receive a mark of 0.

The due date for this assignment is Saturday, January 25, 2020, by 11:00pm.

- 1. Let p be the proposition "I learned to play a musical instrument", q be the proposition "I can read music", and r be the proposition "I own a guitar". Translate the following expressions into English. (1.5 marks)
 - a. $p \land \neg r$
 - b. $p \leftrightarrow q$
 - c. $(q \land r) \rightarrow p$
- 2. Translate the following English expressions into logical statements. You must explicitly state what the atomic propositions are (e.g., "Let p be proposition ...") and then show their logical relation. (1.5 marks)
 - a. If there are maple trees and it is spring we can make maple syrup.
 - b. You are never bored if you have a cellphone or a good book.
 - c. I have airmiles but I have not flown in an airplane.
- 3. Determine which of the following are True and demonstrate why or why not by performing a reduction. (1.5 marks)
 - a. 4 > 3 and 0 < 1 and 6 < 8.
 - b. If 2 < 3 or 2 > 7 then 3 < 4.
 - c. 2 + 2 = 4 if and only if 6 = 3 or 5 < 2.



Specification for Assignment 1 of 4

- 4. Using only the \neg and the \land operators, find a logical expression that is equivalent to $(p \lor \neg r) \leftrightarrow \neg q$. For this question, you do not need to specify "how" you found the equivalent expression because you will show both techniques in questions 5 and 6 below. (0.5 marks)
- 5. Prove that the expression you found for question 4 above is equivalent to the expression $(p \lor \neg r) \leftrightarrow \neg q$ by using only truth tables. Show all your work and do not skip any steps (i.e., ensure you that you include a new column for every single operation). (4.0 marks)
- 6. Prove that the expression you found for question 4 above is equivalent to the expression $(p \lor \neg r) \leftrightarrow \neg q$ by using only the logical equivalences. Show all your work and do not skip any steps. (4.0 marks)
- 7. Determine if the following expressions are tautologies, contradictions, or contingencies by using truth tables. Show all your work. (10.0 marks)

a.
$$\neg (p \lor q) \lor \neg (p \leftrightarrow q)$$

b.
$$(p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow \neg (p \land \neg q)$$

c.
$$((q \rightarrow \neg p) \lor (q \land p)) \rightarrow (p \land \neg p)$$

8. Determine if the following expression is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency by using the logical equivalences. You may not use a truth table. Show all your work, labelling each rule used, and do not skip any steps. (4 marks)

$$a. (a \rightarrow \neg (b \lor c)) \land ((\neg b \rightarrow c) \land a)$$