

Supplementary Material

We will prove that every amount of postage greater than or equal to 12¢ can be formed using 4¢ and 5¢ stamps. Another way to express this is to say for all $n \geq 12$, $n\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢}$ for $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Thus we will let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $n\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a \geq 0 \wedge b \geq 0$.

Base Case: ($P(12)$):

$$12\text{¢} = 3 \cdot 4\text{¢} + 0 \cdot 5\text{¢}$$

Thus the base case is true.

Inductive Hypothesis ($P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$), where $P(k)$ is the assumption that

$$k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a \geq 0 \wedge b \geq 0.$$

We assume that $P(k)$ is true, but we will break $P(k)$ down into 2 possibilities: either we use at least one 4¢ stamp to make $k\text{¢}$ of postage, or we do not use any 4¢ stamps to make $k\text{¢}$ of postage. We prove each case separately. First we formally define the cases:

a. $P(k)$		Assumption
b. $P(k) \wedge T$	a	Identity
c. $P(k) \wedge (\text{uses } 4\text{¢ stamps} \vee \text{uses no } 4\text{¢ stamps})$	b	Negation
d. $(P(k) \wedge \text{uses } 4\text{¢ stamps}) \vee (P(k) \wedge \text{uses no } 4\text{¢ stamps})$	c	Distribution
e. $(P(k) \wedge a \geq 1) \vee (P(k) \wedge a = 0)$	d	(Alternate expression using definition of $P(k)$)

Thus we have two cases to consider. For case 1, we will explain in English, then show the proof.

Supplementary Material

Case 1: The assumption is that we can make $k\text{¢}$ postage using some combination of 4¢ and 5¢ stamps, and we have used at least one 4¢ stamp. We take away one 4¢ stamp, which gives us $(k-3)\text{¢}$ in postage, then substitute a 5¢ stamp which brings us to $(k+1)\text{¢}$. Thus make correct $(k+1)\text{¢}$ postage using only 4¢ and 5¢ stamps.

Formal proof:

a. $P(k) \wedge \text{uses } 4\text{¢ stamps}$		Assumption
b. $P(k) \wedge (a \geq 1)$		(Equivalent expression)
c. $P(k)$	b	Simplification
d. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a \geq 0 \wedge b \geq 0$	c	Definition of $P(k)$
e. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢}$	d	Simplification
f. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} + 1\text{¢}$	e	Math (add 1¢ to both sides)
g. $(k+1)\text{¢} = (a-1) \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} + 4\text{¢} + 1\text{¢}$	f	Math
h. $(k+1)\text{¢} = (a-1) \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} + 5\text{¢}$	g	Math
i. $(k+1)\text{¢} = (a-1) \cdot 4\text{¢} + (b+1) \cdot 5\text{¢}$	h	Math
Let $a' = a - 1$ and $b' = (b + 1)$		
j. $a \geq 1$	b	Simplification
k. $a' \geq 0$	j	Math
l. $b \geq 0$	d	Simplification
m. $b' \geq 0$	l	Math
n. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a' \cdot 4\text{¢} + b' \cdot 5\text{¢}$	i	Substitution / Math
o. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a' \cdot 4\text{¢} + b' \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a' \geq 0 \wedge b' \geq 0$	k,m,n	Conjunction
p. $P(k+1)$	o	Definition of $P(k+1)$

Case 2, we will explain in English, then show the proof. The assumption is that we can make $k\text{¢}$ postage using some combination of 4¢ and 5¢ stamps, but we do not use any 4¢ stamps. Since our claim is for postages of $\geq 12\text{¢}$, there must be at least $3 \times 5\text{¢}$ stamps to reach a value $\geq 12\text{¢}$, which implies that $k \geq 3 \times 5 = 15$. We remove $3 \times 5\text{¢}$ stamps, which gives us $(k-15)\text{¢}$ in postage, then substitute a $4 \times 4\text{¢}$ stamps which adds 16¢ in postage and brings us to $(k+1)\text{¢}$. Thus we can make correct $(k+1)\text{¢}$ postage using only 4¢ and 5¢ stamps.

Supplementary Material

To prove this case we use the following lemma:

Lemma 1: if there are no 4¢ stamps, then there are at least three 5¢ stamps.

Alternately, using the definition of $P(k)$, this can be expressed as:

$$(P(k) \wedge a=0) \rightarrow (b \geq 3).$$

Lemma 1:

a. $P(k) \wedge a=0$		Assumption
b. $a = 0$	a	Simplification
c. $P(k)$	a	Simplification
d. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a \geq 0 \wedge b \geq 0$	c	Definition of $P(k)$
e. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢}$	d	Simplification
f. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a = 0$	b,e	Conjunction
g. $k \geq 12$		Definition of $P(k)$
h. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a = 0 \wedge k \geq 12$	f,g	Conjunction
i. $k\text{¢} = 0 \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge k \geq 12$	h	Math
j. $k\text{¢} = 0 \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \geq 12\text{¢}$	i	Math
k. $b \cdot 5\text{¢} \geq 12\text{¢}$	j	Math
l. $b \geq \frac{12\text{¢}}{5\text{¢}}$	k	Math
m. $b \geq 3$	l	Math (since b is an integer)

Supplementary Material

We can now prove Case 2:

n. $P(k) \wedge a=0$		Assumption
o. $P(k)$	n	Simplification
p. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a \geq 0 \wedge b \geq 0$	o	Definition of P(k)
q. $k\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢}$	p	Simplification
r. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + b \cdot 5\text{¢} + 1\text{¢}$	q	Math (add 1¢ to both sides)
s. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + (b-3) \cdot 5\text{¢} + 15\text{¢} + 1\text{¢}$	r	Math
t. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a \cdot 4\text{¢} + (b-3) \cdot 5\text{¢} + 16\text{¢}$	s	Math
u. $(k+1)\text{¢} = (a+4) \cdot 4\text{¢} + (b-3) \cdot 5\text{¢}$	t	Math
Let $a' = a + 4$ and $b' = (b - 3)$		
v. $a = 0$	n	Simplification
w. $b \geq 3$	v	Lemma 1
x. $b' \geq 0$	w	Math
y. $a' \geq 0$	v	Math
z. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a' \cdot 4\text{¢} + b' \cdot 5\text{¢}$	u	Substitution / Math
aa. $(k+1)\text{¢} = a' \cdot 4\text{¢} + b' \cdot 5\text{¢} \wedge a' \geq 0 \wedge b' \geq 0$	x,y,z	Conjunction
bb. $P(k+1)$	aa	Definition of P(k+1)

Thus we have shown that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ in both cases.