# Task 4: Research and present a comparison of different garbage collection algorithms (Serial, Parallel, CMS, G1, ZGC) in Java

Garbage collection (GC) in Java is a critical component for memory management, ensuring that objects no longer in use are properly reclaimed. Over the years, Java has evolved with multiple garbage collection algorithms, each with distinct characteristics suited for different application needs. Here's a detailed comparison of some major garbage collection algorithms: Serial GC, Parallel GC, Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS), Garbage-First (G1), and Z Garbage Collector (ZGC).

#### 1. Serial GC

#### **Characteristics:**

- **Single-threaded:** Uses a single thread for all GC activities.
- **Stop-the-world pauses:** Stops all application threads during both minor and major GC events.
- Suitable for: Applications with small datasets and single-threaded environments.
- Low overhead: Minimal memory and computational overhead.

#### Pros:

- Simple and easy to implement.
- Low overhead in terms of CPU resources.

#### Cons:

- Not suitable for multi-threaded applications.
- Long GC pauses can affect application performance.

# 2. Parallel GC (Throughput Collector)

#### **Characteristics:**

- **Multi-threaded:** Uses multiple threads for both minor and major GC events.
- Stop-the-world pauses: Still causes pauses, but shorter due to parallel processing.
- **Focus on throughput:** Designed to maximize application throughput by reducing GC pause times.
- **Suitable for:** Applications running on multi-core processors with high throughput requirements.

#### Pros:

- Better performance in multi-threaded environments compared to Serial GC.
- Reduced GC pause times due to parallelism.

#### Cons:

- Still causes stop-the-world pauses, which might not be suitable for latency-sensitive applications.
- More complex than Serial GC.

## 3. Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS) GC

#### **Characteristics:**

- **Concurrent processing:** Performs most of its work concurrently with the application threads to minimize pauses.
- **Generational:** Divides heap into young and old generations, with different collection strategies.
- Low pause times: Aims to reduce pause times by performing GC concurrently.
- **Suitable for:** Applications requiring low latency.

#### Pros:

- Lower pause times compared to Serial and Parallel GC.
- Better suited for interactive applications requiring responsiveness.

#### Cons:

- Higher CPU and memory overhead due to concurrent processing.
- Potential fragmentation issues in the old generation, leading to Full GC.

## 4. Garbage-First (G1) GC

#### **Characteristics:**

- **Region-based:** Divides the heap into regions and collects them in parallel.
- **Concurrent and incremental:** Performs collection in smaller, incremental steps to avoid long pauses.
- **Predictable pauses:** Designed to provide predictable pause times.
- Suitable for: Large heaps and applications requiring predictable latency.

### **Pros:**

- Predictable and configurable pause times.
- Better memory utilization and handling of large heaps.
- Mixes concurrent and stop-the-world phases for efficiency.

#### Cons:

• More complex tuning compared to simpler GCs.

• Can still have stop-the-world pauses, although they are shorter.

## **5.** Z Garbage Collector (ZGC)

#### **Characteristics:**

- **Low-latency:** Aims to keep GC pause times below 10ms.
- **Concurrent:** Performs most GC work concurrently without stopping the application threads.
- **Scalable:** Designed to handle very large heaps (multi-terabyte scale).
- Suitable for: Latency-sensitive and large-scale applications.

#### **Pros:**

- Extremely low pause times, ideal for latency-critical applications.
- Handles large heaps efficiently.
- Minimal impact on application throughput.

#### Cons:

- Higher memory and computational overhead due to concurrent processing.
- More complex implementation and configuration.

## **Summary Comparison**

Algorithm	<b>Threads</b>	<b>Pause Times</b>	Suitable For	Overhead	Complexity
Serial GC	Single-threaded	Long	Small datasets, single- threaded apps	Low	Low
Parallel GC	Multi-threaded	Moderate	Multi-threaded apps, high throughput needs	Moderate	Moderate
CMS GC	Concurrent	Low	Low-latency apps	High	High
G1 GC	Concurrent/Parallel		Large heaps, predictable latency needs	Moderate	High
ZGC	Concurrent	Very low (<10ms)	Latency-sensitive, large-scale apps	High	High

Each GC algorithm has its strengths and is tailored for specific scenarios. The choice of garbage collector should align with the application's performance requirements, the typical workload, and the environment in which it runs. For most modern applications with large heaps and a need for predictable low-latency performance, G1 and ZGC are often the preferred choices. However, simpler applications with smaller heaps might still benefit from the lower overhead of Serial or Parallel GC.