

# **Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition**



Date: 23 May 2016, At: 01:46

ISSN: 1040-8398 (Print) 1549-7852 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bfsn20

# Aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment of horticultural produce: Effects on microbial safety and produce quality - A review

Ulrike Praeger, Werner B. Herppich & Karin Hassenberg

**To cite this article:** Ulrike Praeger, Werner B. Herppich & Karin Hassenberg (2016): Aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment of horticultural produce: Effects on microbial safety and produce quality - A review, Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2016.1169157

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1169157">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1169157</a>

|           | Accepted author version posted online: 19<br>May 2016.<br>Published online: 19 May 2016. |
|-----------|--|
|           | Submit your article to this journal 🗷  |
| hil       | Article views: 12  |
| a a       | View related articles 🗷  |
| CrossMark | View Crossmark data 🗗  |

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=bfsn20

Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition

Aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment of horticultural produce: Effects on microbial safety and produce quality -- A review

Ulrike Praeger<sup>1,\*</sup>, Werner B. Herppich<sup>2</sup>, Karin Hassenberg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Agrartechnik Potsdam-Bornim e.V., Max-Eyth-Allee 100, D-14469

Potsdam, Tel.: ++49 (0)331 5699-917, Fax.: ++49 (0)331 5699-849,

<sup>2</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Agrartechnik Potsdam-Bornim e.V., Max-Eyth-Allee 100, D-14469

Potsdam, Tel.: ++49 (0)331 5699-620, Fax.: ++49 (0)331 5699-849, e-mail: wherppich@atb-

potsdam.de

<sup>3</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Agrartechnik Potsdam-Bornim e.V., Max-Eyth-Allee 100, D-14469, otsdam,

Tel.: ++49 (0)331 5699-911, Fax.: ++49 (0)331 5699-849, e-mail: khassenberg@atb-potsdam.de

\*E-mail: upraeger@atb-potsdam.de

#### **Abstract**

Microbial load on fresh fruit and vegetables causes decay and losses after harvest and may lead to foodborne illness in case of contamination with human pathogens on raw consumed produces. Washing with tap water only marginally reduces microorganisms attached to produce surfaces. Chlorine is widely used for decontamination on fresh horticultural produces. However, due to harmful by-products and the questionable efficacy it has become increasingly challenged. During the last 20 years, the interest to study ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments as an alternative sanitation agent for industrially prepared fresh produce has largely increased. For

a wide range of commodities, the application of gaseous ClO<sub>2</sub> has meanwhile been investigated. In addition, since several years, the interest in aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments has further risen because of the better manageability in postharvest processing lines compared to gaseous application. This article critically evaluated the effects of postharvest application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>, either alone or in combination with other treatments, on microbial loads for various horticultural produces. In laboratory investigations, application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> at concentrations between 3 and 100 ppm effectively reduced counts of natural or inoculated microorganisms (bacteria, yeasts and mold) in the range of 1 and 5 log. However, various effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments on produce quality have been described. These mainly comprise implication on sensory and visual attributes. In this context, there is increasing focus on the potential impacts of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> on relevant nutritional components of produces such as organic acids or phenolic substances.

#### **Keywords**

chlorine dioxide, aqueous treatment, sanitizer, human pathogen, microbial load, fresh produce

#### INTRODUCTION

Most horticultural produces are highly perishable after harvest due to physiological changes, resulting in loss of water and nutrients. Additionally microbial spoilage causes decay and produce losses along the postharvest supply chain. Human pathogenic microorganisms on fresh fruit and vegetables (F&V) consumed uncooked may lead to serious outbreaks of foodborne illnesses and public health scares. Between 1996 and 2006, 72 outbreaks of foodborne diseases were associated with the consumption of fresh F&V, only in the USA. Furthermore, 25% of these outbreaks were related to the consumption of fresh-cut salads (CFSAN, 2008). In Europe, the frequency of produce-associated outbreaks seems to be similar to that in the USA. For example, F&V consumption caused 4.3% of the total number of outbreaks of food-borne disease between 1992 and 1999. Epidemiologically, outbreaks of illness due to bacterial, viral and parasitic contaminations have been linked to the consumption of a wide range of vegetables, while fruits seem to be responsible to a lesser extent (SCF, 2002). Nevertheless, the rates of foodborne outbreaks are relatively low (SCF, 2002) if compared to the total consumption of F&V in the EU (110 million tons in 2007) (OECD 2010). However, when they occur, their severity can be high, as illustrated by an Escherichia coli outbreak in Germany in 2011. In this case, sprouted seeds were identified as outbreak vehicles (Goodburn and Wallace, 2013). Most of the reported outbreaks have been associated with bacterial contamination, particularly members of the Enterobacteriaceae (SCF, 2002). Bacterial pathogens isolated from raw vegetables or fruits include Aeromonas, Bacillus cereus, Campylobacter, Clostridium ssp., E. coli O157:H7, Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella ssp., Shigella ssp., Staphylococcus aureus, Vibrio ssp., Yersinia enterocolitica and others (Beuchat, 1998).

Fruit and vegetables as well as seeds destined for sprouting are grown usually in a natural environment. During production and distribution, contamination of these produces might occur from various sources such as soil, irrigation water, animals and personnel. They may also spread from equipment for harvest and postharvest processes, during sorting or along the washing lines and in transport containers (Suslow et al., 2003; Goodburn and Wallace, 2013). Probability of cross-contamination of fresh produce is higher during washing, when re-circulated water systems are used due to the accumulation of organic matter (Allende et al., 2008; Lopez-Velasco et al., 2012). For fresh-cut escarole contaminated with *E. coli* at a high inoculum density, Allende et al. (2008) showed that cross-contaminations were more frequent when applying recirculated water compared to potable water or diluted recirculated water.

The ready-to-eat vegetable industry grows by about 10% per year due to an increasing demand for fresh and convenience produce (Rico et al., 2007). The strict control of hygiene and an accurate cool chain management are important especially for ready-to-eat products as they are consumed raw. Fresh-cut F&V with wounded tissue surfaces are more prone to support survival and growth of pathogenic bacteria than intact produce (Thomas-Callejas et al., 2011). In case of pathogen presence, cleaning effect of wash water without disinfectant might be insufficient. Several authors reported that washing without sanitizer only marginally affects microbial population counts on F&V surfaces independent of water quality, potable tap water or reuse water (Reina et al., 1995; Gonzalez et al., 2004; Wu and Kim, 2007; Lee and Baek, 2008).

Globally, chlorine (Cl<sub>2</sub>) is commonly used for disinfection of fresh F&V and it is either applied as calcium hypochlorite (Ca(OCl)<sub>2</sub>) or sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl). However, the application of Cl<sub>2</sub> as disinfectant for fresh produce is increasingly challenged. Most of all, Cl<sub>2</sub>

# <sup>4</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

may react with natural organic matter resulting in the formation of carcinogenic halogenated by-products such as trihalomethanes or haloacetic acids (HAAs) (Hua and Reckhow, 2007; Cardador and Gallego, 2012; Nikolaou and Lekkas, 2001). In addition, the safety of workers may be affected by release of Cl<sub>2</sub> vapours (Ölmez and Kretschmar, 2009; Ramos et al., 2013).

Furthermore, the efficacy of Cl<sub>2</sub> as a decontaminant for produce has also been questioned in general. Especially in the presence of organic matter, its effectiveness has been shown to be lower and the antimicrobial efficacy is strongly pH dependent (Ölmez and Kretschmer, 2009; Tomas-Callejas et al., 2012; Parish et al., 2003). Consequently, alternative sanitation agents and technologies for application on fresh F&V are urgently needed. This concomitantly results in an increasing need for research investigating potential sanitation agents' effectiveness against pathogens and their practical applicability (Ramos et al., 2013; Joshi et al., 2013; Goodburn and Wallace, 2013; Ölmez and Kretschmar, 2009).

The major requirements for a potent disinfection method are its effectiveness against pathogens, the absence of human toxic by-products and residues, and no environmental impact. On the other hand, shelf-life and nutritional quality of the produce must not be affected (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2009; Joshi et al., 2013). One of the alternatives for chlorine that has gained attention as potentially non-hazardous sanitation agent for fresh produce within the last decade is chlorine dioxide (ClO<sub>2</sub>). Chlorine dioxide was used primarily for the treatment of water supplies to control taste and odors (Benarde et al., 1965). Today it is used for various applications such as drinking water disinfection, sanitation of industrial wastewaters, medical treatment and sanitation, or as bleaching agent in paper manufacturing plants (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2009; Pillai et al., 2009). Due to the high oxidative capacity of ClO<sub>2</sub> (2.5-fold that of chlorine in HOCl)

(Benarde et al., 1965; Beuchat et al., 2005), the required amount of ClO<sub>2</sub> is lower and the required contact time is shorter to obtain the same bactericidal effect as chlorine (Huang et al., 1997). ClO<sub>2</sub> has a strong bactericidal and virucidal effect even at concentrations as low as 0.1 ppm (EPA, 1999; Artes et al., 2009) in a wide pH range (pH 3-8 against bacteria) (Huang et al., 1997; Aieta and Berg, 1986).

Additionally, ClO<sub>2</sub> does not react with organic matter to form carcinogenic by-products such as trihalomethanes (Werdehoff and Singer 1987; Artes et al., 2009) nor does it form chloramines in the presence of ammonia (Beuchat, 1998). In contrast to chlorine and bromine, it does not ionize to generate weak acids (Artes et al., 2009). Interestingly, the carcinogenicity of ClO<sub>2</sub> for human cannot be adequately identified due to a limited data base of relevant investigations on humans or animals. Those available, however, do not indicate a particular cancer concern (EPA, 2000; ATSDR, 2004).

The limitations of ClO<sub>2</sub> for practical applications include its pronounced explosiveness at higher concentration, at partial pressures above 0.1 bar. ClO<sub>2</sub> can be toxic to humans at concentrations greater than 1000 ppm (Pillai et al., 2009). Furthermore, ClO<sub>2</sub> has to be generated on-site, because it cannot be compressed and stored or transported under pressure (EPA, 1999; Gomez-Lopez et al., 2009). Another relevant aspect is that ClO<sub>2</sub> is very unstable and readily decomposes when exposed to sunlight (Tomas-Callejas, 2012).

Numerous studies have reported on the application of ClO<sub>2</sub> gas for fresh F&V decontamination (Han et al., 2001; Sy et al., 2005; Gomez-Lopez et al., 2009; Ölmez and Kretzschmar, 2009). Gaseous application of ClO<sub>2</sub> has been described as more effective against pathogens than aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment because of the higher penetrability of gas into

# <sup>6</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

microorganism protecting sites at the produce surface (Han et al., 2001). However, the application of gaseous ClO<sub>2</sub> is laborious because of the technical requirements and numerous steps for preparation as well as for the treatment of fresh produce with precise gas concentrations (Wu and Kim, 2007). Aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> offers several advantages for fresh F&V sanitation. ClO<sub>2</sub> is strongly water soluble (8 g L<sup>-1</sup> at 20°C) especially in cold water. It is also approximately 10 times more soluble than Cl<sub>2</sub> (above its boiling point of 11°C) and remains in solution as a dissolved gas without being hydrolyzed (EPA, 1999; Aieta and Berg, 1986). These advantages are further augment by the fact that spraying, washing or immersion in water are common practices in postharvest processing of fresh F&V for removal of soil and cooling. Hence, treatments with ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions can be easily adapted in existing washing lines without the need for extensive modifications (Wu and Kim, 2007). In this context, aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments are also generally safer for operators as no gastight chamber is required. Nevertheless, efficient ventilation of the washing line will be necessary because ClO<sub>2</sub> is volatile and it degases from the washing water. This was indicated by Reina et al. (1995) who found excessive odor after treatment of hydro cooled pickling cucumbers at 2.8 ppm and 5.1 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> in the water. The odour problem and the explosiveness of ClO<sub>2</sub> at high concentrations may demand some enclosure of the washing line and an effective ClO<sub>2</sub> gas control system to prevent any hazardous situation. In addition, the benefit of the application with ClO<sub>2</sub> in an industrial washing line has been questioned because successful sanitation of fresh produce requires long treatment times (≥ 10 min) and temperatures of approx. 22°C (Goodburn and Wallace, 2013).

The legal framework for the use of sanitation agents of fresh produce is non-uniform in different countries. In the USA aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of F&V is permitted using maximum

concentration of 3 ppm residual ClO<sub>2</sub>. After the treatment produce have to be rinsed with potable water (FDA, title 21, part 173.300). In the European Union the Regulation EC 852/2004 (EU, 2004) describes that potable water or clean water may be used whenever necessary to prevent contamination of primary food products. The application of ClO<sub>2</sub> for surface decontamination is not regulated explicitly.

Despite all this, application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> for disinfection of F&V has been widely investigated in an ever increasing number of studies during the last decade. In these studies, the sanitation effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> have been evaluated for a variety of F&V, on intact and fresh-cut products as well as sprouts and seeds. In a large number of reports, the effects of the treatments on various microorganisms have been analysed; main focus, however, was laid on *E. coli*, *L. monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enterica*.

The present paper aims to outline the antimicrobial effectivity of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment against pathogens attached to the produce surface and in the wash water. In addition, studies of the hygienic effectiveness of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment when used in combination with other sanitation techniques or agents are evaluated. Special emphasis is also laid on the potential influence of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments on produce quality, and on the targeted use of ClO<sub>2</sub> to improve quality maintenance, e.g. as anti-browning agent. Investigations on ClO<sub>2</sub> residues in the produce and on effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> against residues of pesticides are also included.

#### MODE OF ACTION OF CLO<sub>2</sub> AGAINST MICROORGANISMS

ClO<sub>2</sub> is known to be effective as bactericide, virucide and fungicide. The antimicrobial activity of ClO<sub>2</sub> is primarily due to its destabilizing effects on cell membranes. In addition, ClO<sub>2</sub>

oxidizes cell-surface proteins (EPA, 1999; Vandekinderen et al., 2009). Berg et al. (1986) observed an enhanced potassium efflux due to a disturbed control of membrane permeability and, consequently, a deterioration of the trans-membrane ion gradients in *E. coli*. In addition, ClO<sub>2</sub> may also enhance membrane permeability by altering structure and function of proteins and lipids of the outer membrane (Olivieri et al., 1985; Ghanbari et al., 1983). Young and Setlow (2003) found that an intact spore coat of *Bacillus subtilis* is important for resistance against ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment did not inhibit initial steps in spore germination of *B. subtilis* but further development of the germinated spores was blocked probably due to membrane damage. Lee et al. (2004) reported of reduced viability of spores of the bacterium *Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris* after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment in an aqueous solution.

Another mode of action for pathogen inactivation is the impact of ClO<sub>2</sub> on amino acids in the cells and the suppression of protein synthesis (Artes et al., 2009). However, only a few amino acids have been reported to be responsive to ClO<sub>2</sub> following in the order of reactivity this include cysteine > tryptophan > histidine > proline (Sharma and Sohn, 2012). The inhibition of enzymatic browning of plant tissues by ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments might, thus, result from structural changes of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) due to ClO<sub>2</sub> effects on functional amino acid residues necessary for PPO activity (Chen et al., 2010). In this context, viruses might be inhibited by an impact of ClO<sub>2</sub> on the viral capsid proteins (EPA, 1999), while ClO<sub>2</sub> does not affect viral ribonucleic acid (RNA).

Unfortunately, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment may not necessarily irreversibly damage microbes. Lindsay et al. (2002) observed that cells of *B. cereus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* increased in length after

ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Cell elongation has been reported as indicator for reversible cell injury in several studies on the action of other oxidizing sanitizers (Lindsay et al., 2002).

#### SENSITIVITY OF MICROORGANISMS TO CLO2 AND OTHER SANITATION AGENTS

Sensitivity of microorganisms to sanitation treatments may be influenced by various factors such as the respective produce type and the degree of overall microbial load as well as the intrinsic properties of microorganisms and their possible internalization. In particular, protective sites for the microbes such as injuries of the produce, biofilms at the surface and suspended solids or aggregates of organic matter in the washing water may largely affect sanitation efficacy (Francis and O'Beirne, 2002; Goodburn and Wallace, 2013; Mahajan et al., 2014).

In general, the antimicrobial effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> can pronouncedly vary with the sensitivity of the respective microorganisms. Vandekinderen et al. (2009) found that ClO<sub>2</sub> was more effective against gram-negative bacteria compared to gram-positive bacteria, except for *P. fluorescens*. Yeasts showed an intermediate resistance to ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment, while the spores of both mold and *B. cereus* were rather robust. For instance, the resistance of the gram-positive *S. aureus* against aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> is higher than that of gram-negative *E. coli*. This was attributed to the ability of *S. aureus* to form 3-dimensional network structures with high mechanical strength, while *E. coli* is limited to single layered scattered structures (Huang et al., 1997). The age of the bacteria also plays a role for their resistance against disinfectants. The formation of a polysaccharide shell (glycocalyx) surrounding the cell envelope seems to be more effective to protect against disinfectants in older bacteria (Huang et al., 1997; Sutherland, 2001; Ayyildiz et al., 2009). Noszticzius et al. (2013) found that ClO<sub>2</sub> is a size-selective antimicrobial agent. The authors

measured ClO<sub>2</sub> penetration depths and estimated bacterial killing time. The study showed that the time needed to destroy the microbes after ClO<sub>2</sub> application was proportional to the diameter of the microorganism.

The antimicrobial effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> as well as that of other sanitation agents is much reduced if microorganisms are attached to a surface and not floating in the washing water (Costilow et al.. 1984; Reina et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2004). Shredded lettuce and other leafy vegetables easily provide diverse protective sites for microorganisms. This has been convincingly shown by scanning electron microscopy for cut edges, stomata or epidermal folds and cracks (Singh et al., 2002b; Huang et al., 2006; Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010b). ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of lightly processed cut produce such as apple or lettuce slices is less effective against E. coli and L. monocytogenes than when use with whole apples or lettuce leaves (Rodgers et al., 2004). Injuries on surfaces of whole produce may also protect bacteria against sanitation treatments. As reported by Han et al. (2001), the log reductions of L. monocytogenes by aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment were significantly higher on uninjured than on surface-injured green pepper fruit. Due to the physicochemical properties of cuticle and waxy layers, pockets in leaf epidermis are hydrophobic and, thus, may serve as protective pockets for microbes. Here, the aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> cannot penetrate and the bacteria could stay undisturbed (Adams et al., 1989). Costilow et al. (1984) assumed that many microorganisms, closely associated with the cucumber fruit, are completely protected from labile compounds such as ClO<sub>2</sub>. In contrast fruit and leafy vegetables with smooth surface like apples or baby carrots enhances the contact of ClO<sub>2</sub> with bacteria thus amplifying the antimicrobial effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> (Huang et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2002b). ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of oranges against E. coli

was more effective on the smooth non-stem-scar surface than on the rough stem-scar area, where microorganisms may be shielded by entrapped air or debris (Pao and Davis, 1999).

Besides the protective sites on plant surfaces also the formation of biofilms reduces the effectiveness of sanitation treatments against microorganisms (Nguyen-the and Carlin, 1994; Keskinen et al., 2009; Poulsen, 1999). In biofilms, accumulations of microorganisms are embedded in a matrix of exopolymers and adherent to plant or to container surfaces during food processing (Annous et al., 2006). Therefore, the presence of various species may enhance the resistance of bacteria to aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. The presence of *B. cereus* DL5 in binary biofilms promotes the survival of *P. fluorescens* M2 cells after exposure to ClO<sub>2</sub>. The formation of micro-colonies and their associated extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) might be one reason for the reduced efficiency of sanitizer treatments against *P. fluorescens* (Lindsay et al., 2002). The existence of biofilms is probably also the reason for the less effective reduction of populations of microorganisms pre-existing on vegetables, fruits and sprouts by aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments if compared to artificially inoculated produce samples (Chun et al., 2013a; Jin and Lee, 2007).

Resistance of microorganisms against sanitation agents is also increased by suspended solids and organic matter in the treatment solution. Narkis et al. (1995) found that some microorganisms entrapped in suspended solids or adsorbed to their surface can survive disinfection with ClO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the removal of any dirt particles and pollution by coagulation, sedimentation and filtration is necessary for successful wastewater disinfection.

EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS CLO<sub>2</sub> ON THE MICROBIAL LOAD ON FRESH PRODUCE

Varying effectiveness of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments for various F&V have been reported. The success of sanitation depends on numerous factors; in particular, the effective concentration of ClO<sub>2</sub>, the duration of and the temperature during treatment and the presence of organic matter in the water. In addition, the effectiveness of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments differs for each fresh produce and target microorganisms. Some of these aspects will be subject in the following part of this review.

#### Produce and pathogens

Table 1 presents a summary on the application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> for fresh produce, which are washed after harvest. Several studies focussed on fresh-cut salads due to their increasing importance as ready-to-eat produces and high sensitivity for microbial spoilage (Zhang and Farber, 1996; Singh et al., 2002b; Kim et al., 2007; Keskinen et al., 2009; Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010a). Also, aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments of seeds and sprouts aroused particular interest because the consumption of raw sprouted vegetable seeds (e.g. radish, alfalfa and broccoli) has largely increased during recent years. The microbial contamination of sprouts usually resulted from propagation and cross-contaminations of microorganisms on seeds (Kim et al., 2013; Jin and Lee, 2007). This increases the demand for effective but gentle sanitation techniques such as aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>.

In most of the studies, produce had been artificially inoculated with various bacteria after harvest for testing the effectiveness of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Yet another important aspect of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments is the application for extending the shelf-life of F&V by inhibition of decay from native microbial growth such as bacteria, yeasts and molds (Table 1). Aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>

treatments were reported to be effective against *E. coli* O157:H7, *L. monocytogenes*, *S. enterica*, *S. typhimurium* on lettuce, apples, strawberries, cantaloupe, green pepper (Han et al., 2001; Rodgers et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2008). Wu and Kim (2007) found that ClO<sub>2</sub> (15 ppm, 2 h treatment time) was more effective in reducing *L. monocytogenes* (4.88 log) compared to other inoculated pathogens *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus* and *Y. enterocolitica* with reductions of 2.16, 3.32, 4.56 and 3.49 log respectively. For these latter pathogens, the authors reported highly varying combinations of disinfectant concentrations (5 to 15 ppm) and exposure times (5 to 120 min) to yield maximum reduction. Microbial population naturally occupying F&V decrease even slower and to lower extent in response to an aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment than those inoculated on produce samples (Chun et al., 2013a).

Different sanitation effects of  $ClO_2$  treatment have been reported for different produce probably due to varying surface structure (see above). After treatment with  $ClO_2$ concentrations between 20 and 200 ppm higher log-reductions of *E. coli* were found on the surface of cut iceberg lettuce (1.06-1.45 log) than on romaine lettuce (0.38-0.44 log) (Keskinen et al., 2009). Aqueous  $ClO_2$  treatment (20 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) did not reduce aerobic plate count of minimally processed lettuce and cabbage but yielded > 1 log reduction (20 mg  $L^{-1}$  for 1 min or 5 mg  $L^{-1}$  for 5 min) in carrots (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2008).

#### ClO<sub>2</sub> concentration

Many investigations have indicated that washing of fresh produce with pure water without any additional sanitizing treatment reduces both pre-existing as well as inoculated microorganisms on F&V surfaces by less than 1 log. These minor effects were observed for a

large variety of produce such as pickling cucumbers (Reina et al., 1995), apples, strawberries and cantaloupe (Rodgers et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2010) and blueberries (Wu and Kim, 2007, Chun et al., 2013a), carrots and cabbage (Singh et al., 2002a; Gomez-Lopez et al., 2008; Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010b), spinach (Lee and Baek, 2008) or iceberg and romaine lettuce, and alfalfa (Kim et al., 2009b) and buckwheat sprouts (Chun and Song, 2013). With relatively longer washing times of 10 min or more, higher reductions up to 1.4 log were achieved for *E. coli* on lettuce or baby carrots (Singh et al., 2002a) and *L. monocytogenes* on green pepper surface (Han et al., 2001).

Similar to water washing without sanitizer, aqueous  $CIO_2$  treatments often show insufficient disinfection effects (maximum reduction < 1 log) against surface-attached microorganisms for fresh produce, if applied at concentrations of 5 ppm and less (Costilow et al., 1984; Zhang and Farber, 1996; Kim et al., 2007; Lopez-Galvez, 2010a; Lopez-Galvez, 2010b; Tomas-Callejas et al., 2011; Tomas-Callejas et al., 2012). In contrast, Rodgers et al. (2004) found 5 log reductions of *E. coli* and *L. monocytogenes* after 3 and 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>  $CIO_2$  treatments (5 min) of apples, shredded lettuce, strawberries and cantaloupe. In addition, Han et al. (2001) reported about 3.7 log reduction of *L. monocytogenes* on green pepper after 10 min aqueous  $CIO_2$  treatment (3 mg L<sup>-1</sup>).

After 1 min washing of tomato in 10 and 20 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions, however, a 4-5 log reduction of inoculated *S. enterica* and *E. carotovora* was achieved (Pao et al., 2007). Aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of cut iceberg lettuce with 50 ppm during 10 min increased the log reduction of *E. coli, S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes* by 0.92, 1.77, 1.03 log compared to 5 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (Kim et al. 2008). Sanitation effects of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> (log reduction of 1-2) was significant against natural and inoculated microorganisms in most cases when treatment

concentrations were 50 ppm or higher. This observation was reported for iceberg and romaine lettuce (Kim et al., 2007; Keskinen, 2009), spinach (Lee and Baek, 2008) and blueberries (Chun et al., 2013a). ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions of 100 ppm may achieve reduction of microbial contaminations of more than 3 log. This was, for example, shown for natural microbial load on fresh-cut asparagus (Chen et al., 2010) and for populations of *Cronobacter* spp. on radish seeds (Kim et al., 2013). *E. coli* O157:H7 on alfalfa seeds was significantly reduced after treatment with 100 ppm and 500 ppm acidified ClO<sub>2</sub> (Taormina and Beuchat, 1999). ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (100 ppm) of mungbean sprouts resulted in a reduction of inoculated *S. enterica* and *L. monocytogenes* of 1-3 log, while total mesophilic microorganism were reduced only by 0.7 log (Jin and Lee, 2007). For the development of industrial sanitation procedures it has to be considered that high ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations tested in these studies exceed largely the legalized range in some countries and maybe hazardous for consumers or personal during industrial application.

#### Test procedure and treatment time

A stepwise procedure is necessary for testing the effectiveness of a sanitizer in aqueous solution for decontamination of fresh produce which are inoculated with pathogenic microorganisms: preparation of an inoculum suspension, inoculation of the produce, drying, preparation of the sanitizer and produce (whole or cut), storage before treatment, sanitizer exposure. The method is not standardized and different procedures influence the test results. The decontamination effect decreases with increasing time interval between inoculation of the pathogen and washing with a sanitation agent (Sapers, 2001). In addition, the hydration state of the inoculum may pronouncedly affect the disinfection efficacy of the ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. This was

investigated for fresh wet and dried inocula of *S. enterica* and *E. carotovora*, respectively, on the surface of tomatoes at 24°C for 24 h (Pao et al., 2007). For the dried inoculum, almost no sanitation effect was found at ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of up to 20 ppm. In this context, the observation of Singh et al. (2002b) is also relevant that also inoculation method, i.e. whether dip, drop or sprinkle inoculation is used, may affect the efficacy of the treatment. The authors found that washing of romaine lettuce with ClO<sub>2</sub> (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 10 min) was most effective for the inactivation of *E. coli* after 6 and 24 h of incubation at 5 +/- 1°C after drop inoculation maybe due to less adherence of the bacteria than after the dip or sprinkle method. Similarly, Lang et al. (2004) found that a larger number of pathogen cells adhered to tomato surface after dip inoculation than after spot or spray inoculation The choice of inoculation method depends also on the produce and weather it is cut or not as indicated in Table 2.

Another important factor for sanitation effectiveness is the treatment time. For ClO<sub>2</sub> disinfection of water, major bacterial reductions occur within the first minute of contact as stated by Benarde et al. (1965). The authors concluded that residuals of ClO<sub>2</sub> in the water have little disinfectant value. Similarly, Pao et al. (2007) described a rapid reduction of *S. enterica* and *E. carotovora* in water of 7 log to the minimum detection level (10 cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) after 10, 6, 4 s treatment with 5, 10 and 20 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. However, with microorganism attached to the produce surface, maximum reduction was not achieved with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> within some seconds.

Wu and Kim (2007), studied the effect of various contact times (10 s; 1, 5, 10, 20, 30 min; 1, 2 h) on the efficacy of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment at different concentrations (1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 ppm) and against different pathogens on blueberries. Although the greatest reduction of *L*.

monocytogenes (4.88 log) was achieved at the longest treatment time (2 h) and with the highest ClO<sub>2</sub> concentration (15 ppm), microbial reduction was not significantly different when the duration of the ClO<sub>2</sub> application was shortened (60 and 30 min) and the disinfectant concentrations reduced (10 or 5 ppm). The authors concluded that application of high ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at short treatment durations is more effective to reduce *L. monocytogenes* than long treatment times at low ClO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

In this context, increasing the duration of washing with 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> from 1 to 15 min showed no significant reduction of the load of *E. coli* on romaine lettuce but on baby carrots. It has been assumed that the low effect on lettuce was due to the penetration of microorganisms through cut edges to sites in leaves virtually inaccessible for the disinfectant (Singh et al., 2002a). Further, increasing the duration of treatment with ClO<sub>2</sub> (25 or 50 ppm) from 5 to 10 min had no effect on the reduction of *E. coli* on alfalfa seeds (Singh et al., 2003). Similarly, Kim et al. (2009b) found no significant difference between 5 and 10 min ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) against *E. coli* or *L. monocytogenes*, although the sanitation effect was clearly better at these longer durations than after 1 min of treatment.

#### Washing systems

Besides disinfectant concentration and duration of treatment, the type of washing system may also influence the efficacy of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Tomas-Callejas et al. (2012) explicitly investigated the potential effect of washing types on fresh-cut red chard leaves, inoculated with *E. coli* or *S. enterica*. The authors found that the log reduction of *E. coli* was greater after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment in an aerated agitation bath than after immersion. In contrast, log reduction of *S.* 

enterica was higher after the immersion treatment. The reason for this effect was not explained. Furthermore, the effect of repeated application of ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions against pathogen contaminations on the overall success of the treatments has been studied by Singh et al. (2002b). A second washing with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) during 5 min significantly reduced *E. coli* contamination on shredded romaine lettuce. A third washing, however, did not further enhance the effect on the population of the pathogen probably due to its penetration into inaccessible sites of the leaves.

#### Effects of packaging and storage

Lightly processed convenient products such as fresh-cut fruit or leafy vegetables are highly perishable. To generally facilitate handling and, most of all, to increase their shelf-life, they are commonly distributed packed in film-covered plastic trays. Most of these packaging allow the formation of a modified atmosphere (MAP), with a high CO<sub>2</sub> level at a concomitantly low O<sub>2</sub> content. MAP is known to reduce overall metabolic activity of the produce but also of potentially adherent pathogens. Hence, it is also important to know whether ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment during processing may persistently affect the development of pathogenic microorganism during storage in MAP.

On tap water washed fresh-cut produce, the amount of microbes naturally adherent on the produce surface frequently increases even if stored under MAP at cold temperatures (Kim et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010; Chun et al., 2013b). After sanitation with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>, however, most investigations indicated a sustained effect of the treatment on both natural and inoculated

microbial contaminants during MAP storage (Kim et al., 2007, Jin et al., 2007, Chen et al., 2010).

In cut iceberg lettuce, the inhibitory effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (50 ppm) on total aerobic bacteria, yeasts and molds, and coliforms counts sustained during storage at 4 C for 7 d (Kim et al., 2007). After the initial direct reduction of *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes* counts by ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (5 and 50 ppm), *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* load further decreased during 4 d cold storage. In contrast, the populations of *L. monocytogenes* increased again (Kim et al., 2008). Also on strawberries, the reducing effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> washing (50 ppm), compared to tap water-washed fruit, on total aerobic bacteria, yeasts and molds was maintained during 1 week storage (Jin et al., 2007). Similar results have been reported for red chicory and pak choi (Kim et al., 2011) and cut yam (Chun et al., 2013b). Washing asparagus lettuce with ClO<sub>2</sub> (100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) for 20 min prolonged its shelf-life at 4°C by 10 d if compared to that of controls (4 d). With this treatment, the initial log reductions of the main microbial groups (ranging between 1.2 to 3.3 log) significantly delayed their increase during storage (Chen et al., 2010).

Lopez-Galvez et al. (2010a) found that the natural microbiota of fresh-cut lettuce in active MAP (addition of N<sub>2</sub>) was almost equally affected by washing in plain water or in ClO<sub>2</sub> solution (3 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), both directly after washing and after storage (3 d at 4°C following 7d at 7°C). Growth of yeasts, however, was pronouncedly high in ClO<sub>2</sub>-washed samples after 10 d of storage. Even at high temperature of 20°C, Chun et al. (2013) found only a slight increase of total aerobic bacteria (< 1 log) on blueberries during 12 d storage, independent of the treatment (water washing or 100 pm ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment). While, at 4°C, the bacterial population as well as

yeast and molds remained almost stable during storage after both treatments. This was assumed to be due to the fact that the produce were not cut.

The composition of the atmosphere in MAP has an influence on microorganism growth after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. On mungbean sprouts, populations of *S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes*, which were reduced after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (100 ppm), increased again during storage in MAP (air) but the reduced pathogen levels were maintained or their growth was delayed when samples were packed under vacuum, 100% N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> gas (Jin and Lee, 2007). Kim et al. (2013) investigated the inactivation of *Cronobacter ssp.* on radish seeds, treated with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> (50, 100 μl mL<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min), dried at 25°C for 2h and stored under MA afterwards. After washing the seeds with sterile water and 4 d storage in ambient air, the bacterial population was lower than after MA storage. After ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment, the bacterial population decreased similarly when the seeds were stored in air or under MA conditions during the 4 d storage period. The viability of the seeds was not affected even at ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of up to 100 μl mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Beside the effects of ClO<sub>2</sub>-treatment on storage behaviour of fresh vegetables, fruit or seeds in MAP, Other studies have investigated storage life of produce, which are commonly not stored under modified atmosphere. For instance, the application of 100 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> in the washing water did not delay the growth of mold during storage of intact cucumber fruit in glass jars (Costilow et al., 1984). Similarly, Reina et al. (1995) did not find differences in storage life of cucumbers during 6 d after hydrocooling without and with 5 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> in the water. In lettuce (whole and shredded) and apple (whole and slices), strawberry and cantaloupe fruit, treated with 5 mg ClO<sub>2</sub>, population of *E. coli* and *L. monocytogenes* remained almost unchanged at less than 1.3 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup>, whereas loads of mesophilic bacteria, yeasts and molds increased during 9 d of storage,

# <sup>21</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

though at lower rates than in water washed samples (Rodgers et al., 2004). Hence, in this study, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment could not fully prevent the decrease of produce shelf-life. Aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (10 ppm, 3 min) tended to reduce the load of chestnuts with shell mold after storage at 4°C for 60 d, but no significant differences were observed (Donis-Gonzales, 2008).

#### Effect against pathogens in the washing water / Inhibition of cross-contamination

Each washing process may certainly help to reduce the microbial loads of processed and unprocessed fresh produce. However, it also bears some risks for cross-contaminations, i.e. produce might be contaminated by microorganisms flushed off infected surfaces during the washing process. This clearly emphasizes the high need for controlling the hygienic status of the washing water. In this context, various studies have reported on the efficacy of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> to reduce the microbial loads in wash waters (Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010b; Lopez-Velasco et al., 2012; Pao et al., 2007; Pao et al., 2009; Hassenberg et al., 2014).

Allende et al. (2008) demonstrated the occurrence of cross-contamination with *E. coli* on washed fresh-cut escarole. At a high contamination level, the water quality directly influenced the degree of cross-contamination. For example, the possibility of cross-contamination was increased in recirculated water with high chemical oxygen demand compared to potable water. Lopez-Galvez et al. (2010b) found that ClO<sub>2</sub> (3 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) inactivated most *E. coli* cells that were washed off inoculated fresh-cut lettuce samples. Therefore, treatment of washing water with ClO<sub>2</sub> might help to prevent cross-contamination between clean and contaminated product during this processing step. To avoid microbial cross-contamination in tomato washing lines, both the immersion of fruit in ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions (Pao et al., 2007) and the spray washing of tomatoes (Pao et

al., 2009) were tested. Pao et al. (2007) indicated that immersion of tomatoes in 5 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> solution completely prevented cross-contamination by *S. enterica* and *E. carotovora*. The transfer of *Salmonella* from contaminated brushes to fruit surfaces was reduced by 4.7 log cycles after spray washing with 5 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> at flow rates of 5 ml s<sup>-1</sup> for 10 s. In tomato processing water, a 7 log reduction of *S. enterica* was achieved with 3 or 5 mg ClO<sub>2</sub> in a temperature range of 25-40°C and 0-40 NTU turbidity of the water within 30 s (Lopez-Velasco et al., 2012). Tomas-Callejas et al. (2012) found that a treatment with 3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> prevented *E. coli* cross-contamination on fresh-cut red chard but not the release of *S. enterica* from inoculated leaves to the processing water.

ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment was also tested for the inactivation of microorganisms in washing water of a cucumber handling line (Costilow et al., 1984). A 1-log reduction of total microbial counts was found after treatment with 2.5 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> in the wash water and 5 log in water with 25 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub>. Reina et al. (1995) studied the sanitation effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> in water for hydrocooling of pickling cucumbers. This water is usually recycled and may, thus, be easily contaminated with spoilage microorganisms. In the hydrocooling water, a ClO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 1.3 ppm was found to optimally control the numbers of bacteria, reducing total aerobic microflora by 2-6 log.

# APPLICATION OF CLO<sub>2</sub> IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER AGENTS -- EFFECTS ON MICROBIAL POPULATIONS

For water sanitation treatments, enhancements of the efficiency of sanitation techniques by sequential treatments or by combination with oxidants such as chlorine, resulting in synergistic effects have been reported (Driedger et al., 2000; Son et al., 2005). Consequently, application of

such additive and synergistic antimicrobial effects of combined preservation techniques following the so-called hurdle technology are also described for food disinfection (Leistner, 2000; Lee et al., 2014). For sanitation of fresh horticultural produce, aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> has been combined with other sanitation agents or techniques, in particular with UV-C irradiation, ultrasonication or treatment with acids (Huang et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2009a,b; Kim et al., 2011). Furthermore, some investigations analyzed the effects of combining aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> with two additional sanitation treatments (UV-C irradiation and fumaric acid) on buckwheat sprouts (Chun and Song, 2013).

These investigations showed that the additive application of various sanitizing techniques increased the disinfection effectiveness of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment. On romaine lettuce and baby carrots or alfalfa seeds, higher log-reductions of *E. coli* were achieved by a combined treatment of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>, ozonated water and thyme oil than when applying each technique individually (Singh, 2002a; Singh et al., 2003). Singh et al. (2002a) assumed that thyme oil may increase the permeability of cell membrane, thereby enhancing the efficacy of ClO<sub>2</sub> or other sanitizers.

For apples, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment showed a better effect against *Salmonella* and *E. coli* when applied simultaneously with ultrasonic treatment (Huang et al., 2006). The fact that the success of such combination was only marginally for lettuce leaves maybe due to the pronounced structural differences between apple and lettuce surfaces. Furthermore, the simultaneous application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> and ultrasonication resulted in a higher log-reduction of the initial loads of bacteria, yeasts and molds than the separate two-step treatment with these techniques (Chen and Zhu, 2011).

# <sup>24</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Similar additive effects have been reported for  $ClO_2$  and fumaric acid (Kim et al., 2009a). The combined treatment of broccoli sprouts with  $ClO_2$  and fumaric acid resulted in a significantly better effect against *S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes* that the separate application of either  $ClO_2$  or fumaric acid. However, for other microorganisms such as total aerobic bacteria, yeasts and molds, coliforms and *E. coli*, the efficacy of the combined application of these techniques was not significantly better than using only fumaric acid. On alfalfa sprouts, on the other hand, the combined treatment of  $ClO_2$  (50 g L<sup>-1</sup>, 10 min.) and fumaric acid (5 g L<sup>-1</sup>) was more effective against loads of total aerobic bacteria, *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium* and *L. monocytogenes* than each agent singly (Kim et al., 2009b).

Also, the combination of ClO<sub>2</sub> and UV-C irradiation more effectively decreased the initial populations of total aerobic bacteria on strawberries than the treatment with either ClO<sub>2</sub> or UV-C (Kim et al., 2010). Similar positive effects of combining aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> and UV-C irradiation on the reduction of total aerobic bacteria, yeasts and molds, adherent to produce surface, were observed for strawberries (Shin et al., 2012), red chicory and pak choi (Kim et al., 2011), and yam tubers (Chun et al., 2013b). It was assumed that the lower effectiveness in reducing microbial counts of UV-C treatment alone in comparison to the combination with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> and UV-C may be due to photoreactivation, a DNA repair mechanism, after exposition to visible light (Chun et al., 2013b).

EFFECT OF CLO<sub>2</sub> TREATMENT ON PRODUCE QUALITY

Sensory quality

Various studies have shown that some effective sanitation techniques could render fresh produce useless, because they negatively affect produce quality (Vandekinderen et al., 2008; Martínez-Sánchez et al., 2006; Hassenberg et al., 2011). In case of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub>, however, the influence of treatments on the sensory quality of fresh produce has been considered in fewer studies than the effects on microbial populations.

Investigations by Kim et al. (2007; 2011) indicated that the sensory quality of shredded iceberg lettuce, pak choi and red chicory was not affected after 10 min treatment with ClO<sub>2</sub> at concentrations up to 50 ppm and during storage for 1 week. Similarly, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment with 3 or 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (1 or 5 min) had no influence on the sensory quality of fresh-cut iceberg or green leaf lettuce, subsequently stored in MAP (Rodgers et al., 2004; Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010a). In contrast, washings with 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> affected sensorial quality of iceberg lettuce, while cabbage and carrots were unaffected (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2008). In these latter experiments, treatment time (5 min) was even shorter than in the study of Kim et al. (2007).

On the other hand, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment may also enhance sensory quality of fruit during storage. This was proven for strawberries, blueberries and mulberries, which had better sensory scores after ClO<sub>2</sub> washing than untreated controls (Jin et al., 2007; Wu and Kim, 2007; Chun et al., 2013a; Chen et al., 2011). Also, sensory quality of strawberries and shredded yams was preserved by the combined treatment with ClO<sub>2</sub> and UV-C (Kim et al., 2010; Chun et al., 2013b), and by the combination of ClO<sub>2</sub> and UV-C and film packaging made from rice bran protein (Shin et al., 2012). For plums, Chen and Zhu (2011) reported that after combined treatment of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> and ultrasonic, sensory quality of fruit was better maintained during storage than in untreated controls. One reason for better preservation of sensory quality by ClO<sub>2</sub>

# <sup>26</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

treatment might be the retarded growth of microorganisms (Chen and Zhu, 2011, Chen et al., 2011).

#### Visual quality

Different observations have been made regarding the effect of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment on the visual quality, in particular the color of horticultural produce. During storage, changes in the Hunter color L, a, and b values of iceberg lettuce was negligible after 10 min treatment with ClO<sub>2</sub> at concentrations up to 50 ppm (Kim et al., 2007; Hassenberg et al., 2014). Also, the color of red chicory and pak choi (Kim et al., 2011) or strawberries (Shin et al., 2012) was not affected by treatments with 50 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> (5 min for strawberries) combined with UV-C irradiation (10 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> and 5 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> respectively). Similarly, the color (Hunter L, a, b) of stored buckwheat sprouts was not affected after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (5 min) in combination with 0.3% fumaric acid followed by UV-C irradiation (12 W m<sup>-2</sup>) (Chun and Song, 2013). Aqueous treatment with 15 ppm ClO<sub>2</sub> for up to 2 h did not negatively influence the visual quality of blueberries (Wu and Kim, 2007).

Treatments with high ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, however, may negatively affect the visual quality of various horticultural produce. Treatments of both romaine and iceberg lettuce with high concentration of ClO<sub>2</sub> such as 200 ppm chlorite ion (Tri Nova) for 2 min resulted in noticeable discoloration of leaf samples (Keskinen et al., 2009). The reasons for the color changes are not clear. In addition, white blushing of mulberries after treating fruit with 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> for 15 min was more pronounced than after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment at 60 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 15 min (Chen et al., 2011).

The authors assumed that ClO<sub>2</sub> may enhance white blushing by oxidation of different oligosaccharides such as cellulose and hemicellulose.

Treatment of strawberries with  $CIO_2$  at 6 mg  $L^{-1}$  (5 min) alone or combined with ultrasonication (20 kHz, 30 W) caused better maintenance of  $L^*$  and  $a^*$  values during 4 week storage indicating an increased anthocyanin stability (Aday et al., 2013; Aday and Caner, 2014). A combined treatment of 5 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV-C and 50 ppm aqueous  $CIO_2$  (10 min) as well as UV-C treatment alone prevented the loss of lightness (L-value) and delayed the reddish-brown discoloration of shredded yams during storage for 10 d (Chun et al., 2013b). This effect is assumed to be due to the inhibition of microbial growth and of the enzymes responsible for browning. After treatment with 100 ppm  $CIO_2$  (5 min), the visual quality of mungbean sprouts, stored in different atmospheres, was better than after washing in water (Jin and Lee 2007).

ClO<sub>2</sub> was also shown to inhibit enzymatic browning of fresh-cut products due to reduced activity of PPO. Browning and PPO activities were strongly inhibited in fresh-cut lotus root by treating them with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> for 10 min (Du et al., 2009). The authors supposed that amino acids and/or disulfide bonds that are involved in the active site in the PPO are oxidized by ClO<sub>2</sub>. Decreased PPO activities and, thus, reduced browning was also found after aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments of minimally processed lettuce (Youm et al., 2004), fresh-cut asparagus lettuce (Chen et al., 2010), fresh-cut few-flower wildrice (Liu et al., 2010) and apples (Fu et al., 2007).

#### Mass loss and firmness

Application of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> may also influence (either positively or otherwise) the preservation of other important parameters of fresh food quality such as mass loss and firmness.

In strawberries, mass losses during 7 d of storage were delayed after 50 ppm  $ClO_2$  treatment (Jin et al., 2007). On the other hand, Chun et al. (2013b) did not find any effects of 50 ppm  $ClO_2$  treatment, alone or in combination with 5 kJ m<sup>2</sup> UV-C on the mass of shredded yam during 10 d storage.

Similar to mass, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment also retarded the loss of firmness of fresh strawberries throughout MAP storage, regardless of the difference in the tested concentrations of 3, 6 or 9 ppm. Again, the combined treatment of ClO<sub>2</sub> (6 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min) and ultrasound (20 kHz, 30 W) was even more beneficial. ClO<sub>2</sub> may inhibit the pectin-degrading enzymes (Aday et al., 2013; Aday and Caner, 2014). Retardation of fruit softening was also found after aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of plums (Chen and Zhu, 2011). In contrast, aqueous treatment with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> inhibited the increases of toughness and the contents of lignin and cellulose during storage of fresh-cut few-flower wildrice (Liu et al., 2010). Delayed lignification of few-flower wildrice was attributed to changed enzyme activities, mainly the inhibition of phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL). Still unknown, however, is the mode of action of sanitizers such as ClO<sub>2</sub> on the activities of relevant enzyme.

#### Respiration rate

Treating strawberries with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> in a concentration range of 3 to 9 ppm for 5 min reduced the respiration rates of the fruit during MAP storage (Aday et al., 2013). The effect was further enhanced, when the treatment with 6 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> (5 min) and ultrasound (20 kHz, 30 W) were combined (Aday and Caner, 2014). Also in fruit of plum cultivars, which were characterized by climacteric respiration patterns, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (20 or 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 and 10 min)

reduced respiratory activity during storage (Chen and Zhu, 2011). In contrast, treatment of freshcut iceberg lettuce with aqueous  $ClO_2$  of 3 mg  $L^{-1}$  (1 min) did not alter respiration rates in MAP storage as reflected by similar gas composition inside the packages compared to water-washed lettuce (Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010a).

#### Nutritional compounds

ClO<sub>2</sub> is an effective oxidant; hence, nutritional compounds such as ascorbic acid and phenolics e.g. flavonoids may readily be oxidized by ClO<sub>2</sub> (Gomez-Lopez et al., 2009). Nevertheless, several authors report about higher stability of compounds such as acids after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment than after washing in tap water. An aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment of 3 to 9 ppm (5 min) slowed down the decrease of titratable acidity of strawberries stored in MA packages (Aday et al., 2013). Similar finding were reported for mulberries washed with 60 or 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> solution up to 15 min (Chen et al., 2011) and for plums after aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment at 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 10 min (Chen and Zhu 2011). In contrast, Shin et al. (2012) and Jin et al. (2007) did not observe a retarded decrease of titratable acidity in strawberries after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment at 50 ppm (up to 5 min).

The vitamin C content of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce decreased after washing. However, this occurred irrespective washing the samples in tap water or in sanitation solution with ClO<sub>2</sub> at 3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 1 min (Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010a). Directly after treatment with 60 or 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> (5, 10,15 min) and during following 6 d, the ascorbic acid content of mulberries was lower than in tap water-washed fruit. At the same time, the ClO<sub>2</sub> treated fruit better retained ascorbic acid content during 14 d of storage (Chen et al., 2011). In fresh-cut romaine samples, the vitamin

C content was not at all affected by  $ClO_2$  treatments (up to 30 mg  $L^{-1}$ , 2 min) (Hassenberg et al., 2014).

In strawberries, decrease in total soluble solids (TSS) was small during 4 week storage in MAP; TSS was, however, even better maintained when fruits were treated with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> (3 to 9 ppm) for 5 min (Aday et al., 2013) and after combined treatment with ClO<sub>2</sub> (6 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min) and ultrasound (20 kHz, 30 W) (Aday and Caner, 2014). These authors attributed this effect to the retardation of respiration activity frequently observed after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments (see above). Changes in TSS closely reflect variations in content of free sugars. Washing of produce with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> should, consequently, retard the metabolic consumption of reducing sugars. This was, indeed, reported for plums treated with ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions at a concentration of 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 10 min (Chen and Zhu, 2011). Again, this effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> washing was more pronounced when combined with ultrasonication. Similarly, retention of reducing sugars was found in stored mulberry after treatment with 60 or 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> solutions (5-15 min), relative to untreated controls (Chen et al., 2011).

Additionally, phenolic compounds are generally seen as important nutritional and potentially health promoting components in F&V (Schreiner and Huyskens-Keil, 2006; Eichholz et al., 2012). Phenolic substances are often involved in stress responses of plants (Dixon and Paiva, 1995). Hence, it is reasonable to assume that ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments may have some effects on these compounds. However, Lopez-Galvez et al. (2010a), investigating the influence of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment at 3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 1 min on fresh-cut iceberg lettuce, found no significant changes in the contents of total phenolics, phenolic acids, flavonoles and flavones after washing or during MAP storage for up to 10 d. A lack of effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> washing (10 min), even at high concentrations

(100 ppm), on the anthocyanin content was also reported for blueberries, stored at 20°C after treatments (Chun et al., 2013a). Nevertheless, anthocyanins content of treated blueberries was better maintained when stored at low temperatures (4°C). For strawberries, Aday et al. (2013) attributed delayed changes of a\* color values during storage after ClO<sub>2</sub> washing (3 to 9 ppm) to a treatment-related increase in anthocyanin stability. Furthermore, the decrease of total flavonoids during storage of plums was retarded after aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (40 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub>, 10 min). This positive effect was further amplified in the combination of ClO<sub>2</sub> and ultrasonic treatment (100 W, 10 min) (Chen and Zhu 2011). Also the decrease of flavonoids in mulberry fruit treated with ClO<sub>2</sub> (60 or 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 10- 15 min) during 14 d storage was significantly slowed down compared to fruit washed in tap water (Chen et al. 2011).

As a result of the application of a mixture of 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> and 0.3 % fumaric acid for 5 min combined with UV-C irradiation (12 W m<sup>-2</sup>), the rutin content of buckwheat sprouts increased (Chun and Song, 2013). However, there was no significant variation in the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity between samples of the different treatments. The mechanisms behind the treatment effects are still not fully clear. However, it is probable that the increase in rutin content might be related to a stress response mostly to UV-C irradiation (Chun and Song, 2013).

Summarizing the available results it seems highly probable that potential negative effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> on nutrients may be limited to fresh-cut products rather than to whole intact produce. In lightly processed produce, the resistance against penetration of ClO<sub>2</sub> molecules into plant tissues might be much lower as a result of the more cutting-induced wounding, this in turn largely facilitates the reactions of ClO<sub>2</sub> with the various nutritional substances (Chen and Zhu, 2011).

#### Other quality criteria

A general stabilizing effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment on the overall integrity of plant tissue and, concomitant, on plant metabolism has been indicated by the investigations of Aday and Caner (2014) on strawberries. The authors reported a much less pronounce increase in electrical conductivity during storage in the tissue of fruit treated with ClO<sub>2</sub> (6 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min) alone or in combination with ultrasound (20 kHz, 30 W) than in untreated controls. According to Aday and Caner (2014), this might be due to a treatment related stabilization of cell membrane integrity and the prevention of structural changes of cellular components because of reduced respiration and metabolism activity compared to the untreated control. In this context, Fourier transform near-infrared (FT-NIR) spectroscopy non-destructively provided comprehensive information about water and sugar contents of the strawberries (Aday et al., 2013). In similar experiments with the same material, Aday and Caner (2014) found a good correlation between FT-NIR spectra and textural properties of stored strawberries. Spectra of fruits treated with ClO<sub>2</sub> (6 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min) and ultrasound (20 kHz, 30 W) were more similar to spectra of fresh fruit than to untreated stored fruit. This effect was attributed to reduced carbohydrate metabolism or inactivation of enzymes responsible for depolymerization of cell walls after the treatment.

Aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment has also been tested for the removal of pesticides from the surface of fresh produce and in aqueous solution. Added in tap water, ClO<sub>2</sub> (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 5-20 min) washing significantly enhanced the removal of phorate and diazinon from lettuce leaves if compared to use of only tap water (Chen et al., 2014). In addition, ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment (10 ppm, 15 min) of whole and sliced apples strongly decreased there content on mancozeb and ethylenethiourea residues (Hwang et al., 2002).

Although only few reports are available, it seems reasonable to assume that aqueous  $CIO_2$  treatment does not result in lasting chemical residues in fresh produce. Scientific evidence for this hypothesis was provided by Chen and Zhu (2011). In their study, these authors found no residues of  $CIO_2$ ,  $CIO_2^-$ , and  $CIO_3^-$  in plums after combined treatment with  $CIO_2$  (40 mg  $L^{-1}$   $CIO_2$ , 10 min) and ultrasound (100 W, 10 min). In addition, in fresh-cut iceberg lettuce, the trihalomethane concentration was well below detection limits of 5  $\mu$ g  $L^{-1}$  after treatment for 30 min with  $CIO_2$  (3.7 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) solved in process water with a chemical oxygen demand of 700 mg  $L^{-1}$  (Lopez-Galvez et al., 2010a). Also in samples of mulberries, no  $CIO_2$ ,  $CIO_2^-$ , or  $CIO_3^-$  residues could be detected after treatment of fruit with 60 mg  $L^{-1}$   $CIO_2$  for 15 min (Chen et al. 2011).

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

During the last 20 years, application of aqueous  $ClO_2$  in a wide range of concentrations has been studied as alternative sanitation agent for chlorine in various commodities, based on the fact that  $ClO_2$  is effective as bactericide, virucide and fungicide. Under laboratory conditions, log reductions up to 3 to 4 of native or inoculated microorganisms on produce surfaces have been achieved. However, this effect could often only be obtained with high ( $\geq$  50 ppm)  $ClO_2$  concentrations. Higher reductions were found by increasing treatment time. Though, contact times up to one or two hours seems not to be of practical relevance. Also, for practical application the respective regulations for the use of sanitation agents must be taken into consideration.

The reason for some inconsistency in the results published about the true ClO<sub>2</sub> efficacy in different publications might be due to numerous factors potentially influencing sanitizing effectiveness, as the surface texture and the processing status (whole or cut) of produce. In addition to ClO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and time of treatment, these factors may also include the specific resistance of the respective microorganisms, the method of inoculation used, and the residence time of the inoculum on the produce. Other important influencing parameters are treatment temperature and organic matter in the wash water. High organic matter content resulted in an increased demand of ClO<sub>2</sub>, since ClO<sub>2</sub> reacts first with organic matter and afterwards with microorganisms. Therefore, the assessment of the increased ClO<sub>2</sub> demand is very important for a successful decontamination in practice. However, most studies evaluate the sanitation effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> under laboratory conditions and based on tap water systems. Hence, more investigations are desirable, which analyze the effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments under practical conditions with common cooling temperature and practically relevant contents of organic matter in the water.

The combination of aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment with other sanitation techniques frequently increased the disinfection efficacy due to additive effects. Nevertheless, a consecutive application of additional agents may also enhance the effect of the ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment.

Investigations with focus on the impact of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment on produce quality evaluated primary visual and sensory quality by standard color measurements and sensory test panels, respectively. Most authors did not find negative effects on produce like pak choi, red chicory, cabbage and carrots after ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment and during storage. However, results of iceberg lettuce treatment were inconsistent. Produce quality may be influenced by ClO<sub>2</sub> treatments in very different, direct and also indirect manners. An obvious indirect effect is that the reduction of

microbial loads by ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment decreases the probability of decay and increase shelf life of the produce. On the other hand, ClO<sub>2</sub> may directly influence produce quality through oxidation of relevant compounds such as organic acids or by its impact on activity of various enzymes. This include the inhibition of PPO resulting in the inhibition of enzymatic browning of fresh-cut products. Though, much more research is needed to evaluate the mode of ClO<sub>2</sub> action(s) at the cellular level.

In summary, aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> application is a suitable method for horticultural produce treatment to maintain product quality and increase shelf life. However, the effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> on microorganisms on the produce surface is limited, but ClO<sub>2</sub> application is very effective in solution and prevents cross-contamination in washing processes. The optimal ClO<sub>2</sub> concentration and treatment time depend primary on the type of produce, the processing status (whole or cut) and the load of organic matter in washing water. For a successful application of ClO<sub>2</sub> in washing processes it is essential to determine the optimal process parameters, so that the best disinfection will result without negative effects on produce quality.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the AiF (German Federation of Industrial Research Associations, research project CLEAN, reference number: KF2050820MD2) for financial support of this work.

#### REFERENCES

Adams, M.R., Hartley, A.D., and Cox, L.J. (1989). Factors affecting the efficacy of washing procedures used in the production of prepared salads. *Food Microbiol.* **6**(2):69-78.

Aday, M.S., Buyukcan, M.B., and Caner, C. (2013). Maintaining the quality of strawberries by combined effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide with modified atmosphere packaging. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **37**(5):568-581.

Aday, M.S., and Caner, C. (2014). Individual and combined effects of ultrasound, ozone and chlorine dioxide on strawberry storage life. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **57**(1):344-351.

Aieta, E.M., and Berg, J.D. (1986). A review of chlorine dioxide in drinking-water treatment. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **78**(6):62-72.

Allende, A., Selma, M.V., Lopez-Galvez, F., Villaescusa, R., and Gil, M.I. (2008). Impact of wash water quality on sensory and microbial quality, including *Escherichia coli* crosscontamination, of fresh-cut escarole. *J. Food Protect.* **71**(12):2514-2518.

Annous, B.A., Solomon, E.B., and Niemira, B.A. (2006). Biofilms on fresh produce and difficulties in decontamination. *Food Qual. Saf.* **13**:80-84.

Artes, F., Gomez, P., Aguayo, E., Escalona, V., and Artes-Hernandez, F. (2009). Sustainable sanitation techniques for keeping quality and safety of fresh-cut plant commodities. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **51**(3):287-296.

ATSDR (Agency for toxic substances and disease registry) (2004): Toxicological profile for chlorine dioxide and chlorite. U.S. Department of health and human services. Available at: http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp160.pdf

Ayyildiz, O., Ileri, B., and Sanik, S. (2009). Impacts of water organic load on chlorine dioxide disinfection efficacy. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **168**(2-3):1092-1097.

Benarde, M. A., Israel, B. M., Olivieri, V. P., and Granstro. M.l. (1965). Efficiency of chlorine dioxide as bactericide. *Appl. Microbiol.* **13**(5):776-780.

Berg, J.D., Roberts, P.V., and Matin, A. (1986). Effect of chlorine dioxide on selected membrane functions of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Appl. Bacteriol*. **60**(3):213-220.

Beuchat, L.R. (1998). Surface decontamination of fruits and vegetables eaten raw: a review. Food Safety Unit, WHO, Report WHO/FSF/ FOS/98.2, pp. 1–49. Available at: http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/food-decontamination/en/

Beuchat, L.R., Pettigrew, C.A., Tremblay, M.E., Roselle, B.J., and Scouten, A.J. (2005). Lethality of chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and a commercial fruit and vegetable sanitizer to vegetative cells and spores of *Bacillus cereus* and spores of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **32**(7):301-308.

Cardador, M.J., and Gallego, M. (2012). Effect of the chlorinated washing of minimally processed vegetables on the generation of haloacetic acids. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **60**(29):7326-7332.

CFSAN 2008. Guide to minimize microbial food safety hazards of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. (CFSAN). Available at:

http://www.fda.gov/food/guidanceregulation/guidancedocumentsregulatoryinformation/ucm0644 58.htm

Chen, Z., Zhu, C.H., Zhang, Y., Niu, D., and Du, J. (2010). Effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on enzymatic browning and shelf-life of fresh-cut asparagus lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **58**(3):232-238.

Chen, Z., and Zhu, C.H. (2011). Combined effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide and ultrasonic treatments on postharvest storage quality of plum fruit (*Prunus salicina L.*). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **61**(2-3):117-123

Chen, Z., Zhu, C., and Han, Z. (2011). Effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on nutritional components and shelf-life of mulberry fruit (*Morus alba L.*). *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **111**(6):675–681.

Chen, Q., Wang, Y., Chen, F., Zhang, Y., and Liao, X. (2014). Chlorine dioxide treatment for the removal of pesticide residues on fresh lettuce and in aqueous solution. *Food Control.* **40**: 106-112.

Chun, H.H., and Song, K.B. (2013). The combined effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide, fumaric acid, and ultraviolet-C with modified atmosphere packaging enriched in CO<sub>2</sub> for inactivating

preexisting microorganisms and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* inoculated on buckwheat sprouts. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **86**:118-124.

Chun, H.H., Kang, J.H., and Song, K.B. (2013a). Effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment and cold storage on microbial growth and quality of blueberries. *J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem.* **56**(3):309-315.

Chun, H.H., Yu, D.J., and Song, K.B. (2013b). Effects of combined nonthermal treatment on microbial growth and the quality of minimally processed yam (*Dioscorea japonica* Thunb.) during storage. *Intern. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **48**(2):334-340.

Costilow, R.N., Uebersax, M.A., and Ward, P.J. (1984). Use of chlorine dioxide for controlling microorganisms during the handling and storage of fresh cucumbers. *J. Food Sci.* **49**(2):396-401.

Dixon, R.A., and Paiva, N.L. (1995). Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. *The Plant Cell* **7**(7):1085–1097.

Donis-Gonzales, I.R. (2008). Management of microbial decay of fresh and peeled chestnuts in Michigan, Thesis, Michigan State University.

Driedger, A.M., Rennecker, J.L., and Marinas, B.J., (2000). Sequential inactivation of Cryptosporidium pavum oocysts with ozone and free chlorine. *Water Res.* **34**(14): 3591–3597.

Du, J.H., Fu, Y.C., and Wang, N.Y. (2009). Effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on browning of fresh-cut lotus root. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **42**(2):654-659.

Eichholz, I., Rohn, S., Gamm, A., Beesk, N., Herppich, W.B., Kroh, L.W., Ulrichs, Ch., and Huyskens-Keil, S. (2012). UV-B-mediated flavonoid synthesis in white asparagus (*Asparagus officinalis* L.): effect of spear development and irradiation dose on the activity of associated enzymes. *Food Res. Int.* **48**:196–201.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (1999). Alternative disinfectants and oxidants, guidance manual. Available at: www.epa.gov/ogwdw/mdbp/alternative\_disinfectants\_guidance.pdf

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (2000): Toxicological review of chlorine dioxide and chlorite, EPA/635/R-00/007. **In:** Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Available at: www.epa.gov/iris/toxreviews/0496tr.pdf

EU (European Union) (2004): Regulation (EC) No. 852/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs (including HACCP principles).

Fu, Y.C., Zhang, K.L., Wang, N.Y., and Du, J.H. (2007). Effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on polyphenol oxidases from Golden Delicious apple. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **40**(8):1362-1368.

Ghanbari, H.A., Wheeler, W. B., and Kirk, J. R. (1983). Reactions of Chlorine and Chlorine Dioxide with Free Fatty Acids, Fatty Acid Esters, and Triglycerides. **In** Water Chlorination: Environmental Impact and Health Effects, Vol. 4, Part 1: Chemistry and Water treatment. Jolley, R.L., et al., Eds., Proc. 4<sup>th</sup>. Conf., Pacific Grove, Calif. USA, Oct. 18-23, 1981, Lewis, Chelsea, MI.

#### <sup>41</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Gomez-Lopez, V.M., Devlieghere, F., Ragaert, P., Chen, L., Ryckeboer, J., and Debevere, J. (2008). Reduction of microbial load and sensory evaluation of minimally processed vegetables treated with chlorine dioxide and electrolyzed water. *Ital. J. Food Sci.* **20**(3):321-331.

Gomez-Lopez, V.M., Rajkovic, A., Ragaert, P., Smigic, N., and Devlieghere, F. (2009). Chlorine dioxide for minimally processed produce preservation: a review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **20**(1):17-26.

Gonzalez, R.J., Y. G. Luo, Ruiz-Cruz, S., and McEvoy, J.L. (2004). Efficacy of sanitizers to inactivate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on fresh-cut carrot shreds under simulated process water conditions. *J. Food Protect.* **67**(11):2375-2380.

Goodburn, C., and Wallace, C.A. (2013). The microbiological efficacy of decontamination methodologies for fresh produce: A review. *Food Control* **32**(2):418-427.

Han, Y., Linton, R.H., Nielsen, S.S., and Nelson, P.E. (2001). Reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes* on green peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.) by gaseous and aqueous chlorine dioxide and water washing and its growth at 7 degrees C. *J. Food Protect.* **64**(11):1730-1738.

Hassenberg, K., Geyer, M., Ammon, C., and Herppich, W.B. (2011). Physico-chemical and sensory evaluation of strawberries after acetic acid vapour treatment. *Europ. J. Hort. Sci.* **76**(4):125–131.

Hassenberg, K, Herppich, W.B., and Praeger, U. (2014). Chlorine dioxide for the reduction of human pathogens in lettuce washing process. *Landtechnik* **69**(4):185-189.

Hua, G., and Reckhow, D.A. (2007). Comparison of disinfection byproduct formation from chlorine and alternative disinfectants. *Water Res.* **41**(8):1667–1678.

Huang, J. L., Wang, L., Ren, N. Q., Ma, F. and Juli. (1997). Disinfection effect of chlorine dioxide on bacteria in water. *Water Res.* **31**(3): 607-613.

Huang, T.S., Xu, C.L., Walker, K., West, P., Zhang, S.Q., and Weese, J. (2006). Decontamination efficacy of combined chlorine dioxide with ultrasonication on apples and lettuce. *J. Food Sci.* **71**(4):M134-M139.

Hwang, E.S. Cash, J.N., and Zabik, M.J. (2002). Degradation of mancozeb and ethylenethiourea in apples due to postharvest treatments and processing. *J. Food Sci.* **67**(9):3295-3300.

Jin, H.-H., and Lee, S.-Y. (2007). Combined effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide and modified atmosphere packaging on inhibiting *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in mungbean sprouts. *J. Food Sci.* **72**(9):M441-M445.

Jin, Y.-Y., Kim, Y. J., Chung, K. S., Won, M., and Song, K.B. (2007). Effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on the microbial growth and qualities of strawberries during storage. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* **16**(6):1018-1022.

Joshi, K., Mahendran, R., Alagusundaram, K., Norton, T., and Tiwari, B. K. (2013). Novel disinfectants for fresh produce. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **34**(1):54-61.

Keskinen, L.A., Burke, A., and Annous, B.A. (2009). Efficacy of chlorine, acidic electrolyzed water and aqueous chlorine dioxide solutions to decontaminate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from lettuce leaves. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **132**(2-3):134-140.

### <sup>43</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Kim, E.-G., Ryu, J.-H., Kim, H. (2013). Effect of chlorine dioxide treatment and storage in a modified atmosphere on the inactivation of *Cronobacter* spp. on radish seeds. *J. Food Saf.* **33**(2):172-178.

Kim, H.J., Song, H.J., and Song, K.B. (2011). Effect of combined treatment of aqueous chlorine dioxide with ultraviolet-C on the quality of red chicory and pak choi during storage. *J. Korean Soc. Food Sci. Nutr.* **40**:245–252.

Kim, Y.-J., Lee, S.-H., and Song, K.B. (2007). Effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on the microbial growth and qualities of iceberg lettuce during storage. *J. Appl. Biol. Chem.* **50**(4):239-243.

Kim, Y.-J., Lee, S.-H., Park, J., Park, J., Chung, M., Kwon, K., Chung, K., Won, M., and Song, K.B. (2008). Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on stored iceberg lettuce by aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment. *J. Food Sci.* 73(9):M418-M422.

Kim, Y.-J., Kim, M.H., and Song, K.B. (2009a). Efficacy of aqueous chlorine dioxide and fumaric acid for inactivating pre-existing microorganisms and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on broccoli sprouts. *Food Control* **20**(11):1002-1005.

Kim, Y., Kim, M., and Song, K.B. (2009b). Combined treatment of fumaric acid with aqueous chlorine dioxide or UV-C irradiation to inactivate *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* 

enterica serovar Typhimurium, and *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculated on alfalfa and clover sprouts. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **42**(10):1654–1658.

Kim, J.Y., Kim, H.J., Lim, G.O., Jang, S.A., and Song, K.B. (2010). The effects of aqueous chlorine dioxide or fumaric acid treatment combined with UV-C on postharvest quality of 'Maehyang' strawberries. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **56**(3):254-256.

Lang, M.M., Harris, L.J., Beuchat, L.R. (2004): Evaluation of inoculation method and inoculum drying time for their effects on survival and efficiency of recovery of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella, and Listeria monocytogenes inoculated on the surface of tomatoes. *J. Food Prot* .67(4): 732-741.

Lee, S.Y., Gray, P.M., Dougherty, R.H., and Kang, D.H. (2004). The use of chlorine dioxide to control *Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris* spores in aqueous suspension and on apples. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **92**(2):121-127.

Lee, S.Y., and Baek, S.Y. (2008). Effect of chemical sanitizer combined with modified atmosphere packaging on inhibiting *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in commercial spinach. *Food Microbiol.* **25**(4):582-587.

Lee, N. Y., S. W. Kim, Ha, S. D. (2014). Synergistic Effects of Ultrasound and Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl) on Reducing Listeria monocytogenes ATCC19118 in Broth, Stainless Steel, and Iceberg Lettuce. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* **11**(7): 581-587.

Leistner, I. (2000). Basic aspects of food preservation by hurdle technology. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **55**:181–186.

Lindsay, D., Brözel, V.S., Mostert, J.F., and von Holy, A. (2002). Differential efficacy of a chlorine dioxide-containing sanitizer against single species and binary biofilms of a dairy-associated *Bacillus cereus* and a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **92**(2):352-361.

Liu, M.Y., Qian, B. J., Zhang, H., Deng, Y. Shen, Y.P., Ping, J.A., and Cao, L.K. (2010). Sanitizer treatments alleviate lignification of sliced few-flower wildrice (*Zizania latifolia* Turcz). *Food Res. Int.* **43**(10):2363-2368.

Lopez-Galvez, F., Allende, A., Truchado, P., Martinez-Sanchez, A., Tudela, J.A., Selma, M.V., and Gil, M.I. (2010a). Suitability of aqueous chlorine dioxide versus sodium hypochlorite as an effective sanitizer for preserving quality of fresh-cut lettuce while avoiding by-product formation. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **55**(1):53-60.

Lopez-Galvez, F., Gil, M.I., Truchado, P., Selma, M.V., and Allende, A. (2010b). Cross-contamination of fresh-cut lettuce after a short-term exposure during pre-washing cannot be controlled after subsequent washing with chlorine dioxide or sodium hypochlorite. *Food Microbiol.* 27(2):199-204.

Lopez-Velasco, G., Tomas-Callejas, A., Sbodio, A., Artes-Hernandez, F., and Suslow, T.V. (2012). Chlorine dioxide dose, water quality and temperature affect the oxidative status of tomato processing water and its ability to inactivate *Salmonella*. *Food Control* **26**(1):28-35.

Mahajan, P.V., Caleb, O.J., Singh, Z., Watkins, C.B., and Geyer, M. (2014). Postharvest treatments of fresh produce. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **372**(2017).

Martínez-Sánchez A., Allende A., Bennett R.N., Ferreres F. and Gil M.I. (2006). Microbial, nutritional and sensory quality of rocket leaves as affected by different sanitizers. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **42**(1): 86-97.

Narkis, N., Armon, R., Offer, R., Orshansky, F., and Friedland, E. (1995). Effect of suspended-solids on waste-water disinfection efficiency by chlorine dioxide. *Water Res.* **29**(1):227-236.

Nguyen-the, C., and Carlin, F. (1994). The microbiology of minimally processed fresh fruits and vegetables. *Critical Rev. Food Sci. Nutri.* **34**:371–401.

Nikolaou, A.D., and Lekkas, T.D. (2001). The role of natural organic matter during formation of chlorination by-products: A review. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* **29**(2-3):63-77.

Noszticzius, Z., Wittmann, M., Kaly-Kullai, K., Beregvari, Z., Kiss, I., Rosivall, L., and Szegedi, J. (2013). Chlorine dioxide is a size-selective antimicrobial agent. *Plos One* **8**(11):e79157.

OECD/European Union (2010). Supply of Fruit and Vegetables for Consumption, in Health at a Glance: Europe 2010, OECD Publishing.

Olivieri, V.P., Hauchman, F.S., Noss, C.I., and Vasl, R. (1985). Mode of action of chlorine dioxide on selected viruses. In *Water Chlorination: Environmental Impact and Health Effects*, pp. 651-666. Jolley, R.L., Ed., Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, USA.

Ölmez, H., and Kretzschmar, U. (2009). Potential alternative disinfection methods for organic fresh-cut industry for minimizing water consumption and environmental impact. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **42**(3):686-693.

### <sup>47</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Pao, S., and Davis, C.L. (1999). Enhancing microbiological safety of fresh orange juice by fruit immersion in hot water and chemical sanitizers. *J. Food Protect.* **62**(7):756-760.

Pao, S., Kelsey, D.F., Khalid, M F., and Ettinger, M.R. (2007). Using aqueous chlorine dioxide to prevent contamination of tomatoes with *Salmonella enterica* and *Erwinia carotovora* during fruit washing. *J. Food Protect.* **70**(3):629-634.

Pao, S., Kelsey, D.F., and Long, W. (2009). Spray washing of tomatoes with chlorine dioxide to minimize *Salmonella* on inoculated fruit surfaces and cross-contamination from revolving brushes. *J. Food Protect.* **72**(12):2448-2452.

Parish, M.E., Beuchat, L.R., Suslow, T.V., Harris, L.J., Garrett, E.H., Farber, J.N., and Busta, F.F. (2003). Methods to reduce/eliminate pathogens from fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Comp. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2**:161-173.

Pillai, K.C., Kwon, T.O., Park, B.B., and Moon, I.S. (2009). Studies on process parameters for chlorine dioxide production using IrO<sub>2</sub> anode in an un-divided electrochemical cell. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **164**(2-3):812-819.

Ramos, B., Miller, F.A., Brandão, T.R.S., Teixeira, P., and Silva, C.L.M. (2013). Fresh fruits and vegetables—An overview on applied methodologies to improve its quality and safety. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **20**:1-15.

Reina, L.D., Fleming, H.P., and Humphries, E.G. (1995). Microbiological control of cucumber hydrocooling water with chlorine dioxide. *J. Food Protect.* **58**(5):541-546.

Rico, D., Martin-Diana, A.B., Barat, J., Barry-Ryan, C. (2007). Extending and measuring the quality of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables: a review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **18**(7):373-386

Rodgers, S.L., Cash, J.N., Siddiq, M., and Ryser, E.T. (2004). A comparison of different chemical sanitizers for inactivating *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* in solution and on apples, lettuce, strawberries, and cantaloupe. *J. Food Protect.* **67**(4):721-731.

Sapers, G.M. (2001). Efficacy of washing and sanitizing methods for disinfection of fresh fruit and vegetable products. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **39** (4): 305-311.

SCF (2002). Risk Profile on the Microbiological Contamination of Fruits and Vegetables Eaten Raw. European Commission Health & Consumer Protection Directorate-General, Report of the Scientific Committee on Food, SCF/CS/FMH/SURF/Final, 29 April 2002. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out125\_en.pdf

Schreiner, M., and Huyskens-Keil, S. (2006). Phytochemicals in fruit and vegetables: Health promotion and postharvest elicitors. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **25**(3):267–278.

Sharma, V.K., and Sohn, M. (2012). Reactivity of chlorine dioxide with amino acids, peptides, and proteins. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **10**(3):255-264.

Shin, Y.J., Song, H.Y., and Song, K.B. (2012). Effect of a combined treatment of rice bran protein film packaging with aqueous chlorine dioxide washing and ultraviolet-C irradiation on the postharvest quality of 'Goha' strawberries. *J. Food Eng.* **113**(3):374-379.

### <sup>49</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Singh, N., R.K. Singh, Bhunia, A.K., and Stroshine, R.L. (2002a). Efficacy of chlorine dioxide, ozone, and thyme essential oil or a sequential washing in killing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on lettuce and baby carrots. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **35**(8):720-729.

Singh, N., Singh, R.K., Bhunia, A.K., and Stroshine, R.L. (2002b). Effect of inoculation and washing methods on the efficacy of different sanitizers against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on lettuce. *Food Microbiol.* **19**(2-3):183-193.

Singh, N., Singh, R.K., and Bhunia, A.K. (2003). Sequential disinfection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 inoculated alfalfa seeds before and during sprouting using aqueous chlorine dioxide, ozonated water, and thyme essential oil. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **36**(2):235-243.

Son, H., Cho, M., Kim, J., Oh, B., Chung, H., and Yoon, J. (2005). Enhanced disinfection efficiency of mechanically mixed oxidants with free chlorine. *Water Res.* **39**(4):721-727.

Suslow, T.V., Oria, M.P., Beuchat, L.R., Garret, E.H., Parish, M.E., Harris, L.J., Farber, J.N., and Busta, F.F. (2003). Production practices as risk factors in microbial food safety of fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2**:38–77.

Sutherland, I.W. (2001). Biofilm exopolysaccharides: a strong and sticky framework. *Microbiol*. **147**(1):3–9.

Sy, K.V., Murray, M.B., Harrison, M.D., and Beuchat, L.R. (2005). Evaluation of gaseous chlorine dioxide as a sanitizer for killing *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and yeasts and molds on fresh and fresh-cut produce. *J. Food Prot.* **68**(6):1176-1187.

## <sup>50</sup> ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Taormina, P.J., and Beuchat, L.R. (1999). Comparison of chemical treatments to eliminate enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on alfalfa seeds. *J. Food Prot.* **62**(4):318–324.

Tomas-Callejas, A., Lopez-Velasco, G., Camacho, A.B., Artes, F., Artes-Hernandez, F., and Suslow, T.V. (2011). Survival and distribution of *Escherichia coli* on diverse fresh-cut baby leafy greens under preharvest through postharvest conditions. *Intern. J. Food Microbiol.* **151**(2):216-222.

Tomas-Callejas, A., Lopez-Galvez, F., Sbodio, A., Artes, F., Artes-Hernandez, F., and Suslow, T.V. (2012). Chlorine dioxide and chlorine effectiveness to prevent *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* cross-contamination on fresh-cut red chard. *Food Control* **23**(2):325-332.

Vandekinderen I., Devlieghere F., De Meulenaer B., Veramme K., Ragaert P. and Van Camp J. (2008). Impact of decontamination agents and a packaging delayx on the respiration rate of fresh-cut produce. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **49**(2): 277-282.

Vandekinderen, I., Devlieghere, F., Van Camp, J., Kerkaert, B., Cucu, T., Ragaert, P., DeBruyne, J., and De Meulenaer, B. (2009). Effects of food composition on the inactivation of foodborne microorganisms by chlorine dioxide. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **131**(2-3):138–144.

Werdehoff, K.S, and Singer, P.C. (1987). Chlorine dioxide effects on THMFP, TOXFP and the formation of inorganic by-products. *J. AWWA*. **79**(9):107.

Wu, V.C.H., and Kim, B. (2007). Effect of a simple chlorine dioxide method for controlling five foodborne pathogens, yeasts and molds on blueberries. *Food Microbiol.* **24**(7-8):794-800.

Young, S. B., and Setlow, P. (2003). Mechanisms of killing of *Bacillus subtilis* spores by hypochlorite and chlorine dioxide. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **95**(1):54-67.

Youm, H., Lee, Y., Yang, J., Kim, M.R., and Song, K.B. (2004). Effect of aqueous chlorine dioxide treatment on the microbial growth and quality of minimally processed lettuce. *IFT Annual meeting*, 12-16 July, 2004, Las Vegas, NV.

Zhang, S., and Farber, J.M (1996). The effects of various disinfectants against *Listeria* monocytogenes on fresh-cut vegetables. *Food Microbiol.* **13**(4):311-321.

**Table1** Summary of reports on the short- and long-term effectiveness of sanitation of various horticultural products with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> at different concentrations

| Produce   | Microorga     | Inocul  | Dry | ClO <sub>2</sub> | Tre | atmen | Stor | log-           | Refere  |
|-----------|---------------|---------|-----|------------------|-----|-------|------|----------------|---------|
|           | nism          | ation   | ing |                  | t   |       | age  | Reduction      | nces    |
|           |               | Metho   | Tim | Concent          | Ti  | Tem   | Tim  | (highest vs.   |         |
|           |               | d       | e,  | ration           | me  | p.    | e,   | initial conc.) |         |
|           |               |         | Tem |                  |     |       | Tem  |                |         |
|           |               |         | p.  |                  |     |       | p.   |                |         |
| fresh-cut | L.            | sprinkl | -   | 1, 2, 3, 5       | 10  | 4°C2  | -    | 1.10.8         | Zhang   |
| lettuce   | monocytoge    | e       |     | ppm              | mi  | 2°C   |      | (compared to   | and     |
|           | nes           |         |     |                  | n   |       |      | tap water)     | Farber, |
|           |               |         |     |                  |     |       |      |                | 1996    |
| fresh-cut | L.            | sprinkl | -   | 1, 2, 3, 5       | 10  | 4°C2  | -    | 0.40.8         |         |
| cabbage   | monocytoge    | e       |     | ppm              | mi  | 2°C   |      | (compared to   |         |
|           | nes           |         |     |                  | n   |       |      | tap water)     |         |
| fresh-cut | total         |         |     | 0, 5, 10,        | 10  |       | 8d,  | 1.77           | Kim et  |
| iceberg   | aerobic       |         |     | 50 ppm           | mi  |       | 4°C  | 1.34           | al.,    |
| lettuce   | bact., yeasts |         |     |                  | n   |       |      | 1.10           | 2007    |
|           | and molds,    |         |     |                  |     |       |      |                |         |
|           | coliforms     |         |     |                  |     |       |      |                |         |
| fresh-cut | E. coli       | -       | 30  | 0, 5, 10,        | 10  |       | 4d,  | 2.9            | Kim et  |

| iceberg   | O157:H7     |        | min | 50 ppm   | mi |      | 4°C  | 2.3  | al.,    |
|-----------|-------------|--------|-----|----------|----|------|------|------|---------|
| lettuce   | L.          |        |     |          | n  |      |      | 3.4  | 2008    |
|           | monocytoge  |        |     |          |    |      |      |      |         |
|           | nes         |        |     |          |    |      |      |      |         |
|           | S.          |        |     |          |    |      |      |      |         |
|           | typhimuriu  |        |     |          |    |      |      |      |         |
|           | m           |        |     |          |    |      |      |      |         |
| fresh-cut | E. coli     | dip, 5 | 2h, | 20, 100, | 2  | 22°C | -    | 1.06 | Keskin  |
| iceberg   | О157:Н7     | min    | 22° | 200      | mi |      |      | 1.11 | en et   |
| lettuce   |             |        | С   | ppm      | n  |      |      | 1.45 | al.,    |
|           |             |        |     |          |    |      |      |      | 2009    |
| fresh cut |             |        |     | 20, 100, | 2  | 22°C | -    | 0.44 |         |
| romaine   |             |        |     | 200      | mi |      |      | 0.55 |         |
| lettuce   |             |        |     | ppm      | n  |      |      | 0.38 |         |
| fresh-cut | E. coli     | drop   | 1h, | 10 mg    | 10 | 22°C | -    | 3.9  | Singh   |
| romaine   | O157:H7     |        | 22° | $L^{-1}$ | mi |      |      |      | et al., |
| lettuce   |             |        | С   |          | n  |      |      |      | 2002b   |
| fresh-cut | mesophiles, |        |     | 3 mg     | 1  | 4°C  | 3 d, | 1-2  | Lopez-  |
| iceberg   | psychrophil |        |     | $L^{-1}$ | mi |      | 4°C  |      | Galvez  |
| lettuce   | es,         |        |     |          | n  |      | +    |      | et al., |
|           | Pseudomon   |        |     |          |    |      | 7d,  |      | 2010a   |
|           | as spp.,    |        |     |          |    |      | 7°C  |      |         |

|           | Enterobacte |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|-----------|-------------|--------|------|--------------------|-----|------|------|--------------|---------|
|           | riaceae,    |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | lactic acid |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | bacteria,   |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | yeasts and  |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | molds       |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
| fresh-cut | aerobic     |        |      | 10, 40,            | 5,  | -    | 14d, | 3.3          | Chen    |
| asparagu  | mesophilic, |        |      | and 100            | 10, |      | 4°C  | 3.3          | et al., |
| s lettuce | aerobic     |        |      | mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 20  |      |      | 1.2          | 2010    |
|           | psychro-    |        |      |                    | mi  |      |      | 1.6          |         |
|           | troph.,     |        |      |                    | n   |      |      |              |         |
|           | lactic acid |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | bacteria,   |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | yeasts and  |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
|           | molds       |        |      |                    |     |      |      |              |         |
| fresh-cut | E. coli     | immers | 12h, | 3 mg               | 1   | 4°C  | 3d,  | 0.5          | Lopez-  |
| iceberg   |             | ed, 15 | 4°C  | $L^{-1}$           | mi  |      | 4°C  |              | Galvez  |
| lettuce   |             | min    |      |                    | n   |      | +    |              | et al., |
|           |             |        |      |                    |     |      | 4d,  |              | 2010b   |
|           |             |        |      |                    |     |      | 7°C  |              |         |
| carrotice | total       |        |      | 5, 10, 20          | 1,  | 26°C |      | >1 (carrots) | Gomez   |
| berg      | aerobic     |        |      | mg L <sup>-1</sup> | 5,  |      |      | no reduction | -Lopez  |

| lettuceca | plate count  |       |     |                    | 10, |      |     | (iceberg      | et al., |
|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|--------------------|-----|------|-----|---------------|---------|
| bbage     |              |       |     |                    | 20  |      |     | lettuce/      | 2008    |
|           |              |       |     |                    | mi  |      |     | cabbage)      |         |
|           |              |       |     |                    | n   |      |     |               |         |
| Cucumb    | lactic acid  |       |     | 25, 105            | 15  | 5°C  | 4d, | marginal      | Costilo |
| er (for   | bacteria,yea |       |     | ppm                | mi  |      | 10° | effect on the | w et    |
| brining)  | st and       |       |     |                    | n   |      | С   | numbers of    | al.,    |
|           | molds,total  |       |     |                    |     |      | 4d, | microorganis  | 1984    |
|           | microb.      |       |     |                    |     |      | 22° | msabout 1.5   |         |
|           | counts       |       |     |                    |     |      | С   |               |         |
| pickling  | total        |       |     | 0.95, 5.1          | 17  | 6°C  | 6d, | about 1.5     | Reina   |
| cucumbe   | aerobic      |       |     | ppm                | mi  |      | 10- |               | et al., |
| r         | bact.,total  |       |     |                    | n   |      | 12° |               | 1995    |
|           | Enterobacte  |       |     |                    |     |      | С   |               |         |
|           | riaceae      |       |     |                    |     |      |     |               |         |
| green     | L.           | drop  | 2h  | 0.3, 3             | 10  | 20°C |     | 0.44 (injur.  | Han et  |
| pepper    | monocytoge   |       | 22° | mg L <sup>-1</sup> | mi  |      |     | pepper)3.67   | al.,    |
|           | nes          |       | С   |                    | n   |      |     | (uninjured    | 2001    |
|           |              |       |     |                    |     |      |     | pepper)       |         |
| Mizuna,   | E. coli      | spray |     | 3 mg               | 90  | -    | 7d, | < 1           | Tomas   |
| Tatsoi    | 0157:H7      |       |     | $L^{-1}$           | S   |      | 5°C |               | -       |
| and red   |              |       |     |                    |     |      |     |               | Calleja |

| chard     |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         | s et al., |
|-----------|---------------------|---------|------|----------|----|-------|-----|---------|-----------|
| baby      |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         | 2011      |
| leaves    |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         |           |
| fresh-cut | E. coli             | submer  | 12h  | 3 mg     | 1  | 20°C  |     | < 1 1.5 | Tomas     |
| red       | 0157:H7, <i>S</i> . | ged, 1  | 15°  | $L^{-1}$ | mi |       |     |         | -         |
| chard     | enterica            | min     | С    |          | n  |       |     |         | Calleja   |
|           |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         | s et al., |
|           |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         | 2012      |
| spinach   | E. coli             |         |      | 100      | 5  | 22°C  | 7d, | 2.6     | Lee       |
|           | О157:Н7             |         |      | ppm      | mi |       | 7°C |         | and       |
|           |                     |         |      |          | n  |       |     |         | Baek,     |
|           |                     |         |      |          |    |       |     |         | 2008      |
| tomatoes  | S. enterica,        | spot    | 0h   | 5,10, 20 | 1  | ambi  |     | 1-2     | Pao et    |
|           | E.                  |         | or   | ppm,     | mi | ent   |     | 3-5     | al.,      |
|           | carotovora          |         | 2h,  |          | n  | temp. |     |         | 2007      |
|           |                     |         | 25°  |          |    |       |     | 5       |           |
|           |                     |         | С    |          |    |       |     |         |           |
|           |                     |         | and  |          |    |       |     |         |           |
|           |                     |         | 22h, |          |    |       |     |         |           |
|           |                     |         | 23°  |          |    |       |     |         |           |
|           |                     |         | С    |          |    |       |     |         |           |
| apples,   | E. coli             | dip, 20 | 18-  | 3, 5     | 5  | 21-   | 9d, | 5-6     | Rodge     |

| lettuce,  | О157:Н7,     | min  | 24h, | ppm       | mi  | 23°C | 4°C |             | rs et  |
|-----------|--------------|------|------|-----------|-----|------|-----|-------------|--------|
| strawber  | L.           |      | 24°  |           | n   |      |     |             | al.,   |
| ries,     | monocytoge   |      | С    |           |     |      |     |             | 2004   |
| cantalou  | nes          |      |      |           |     |      |     |             |        |
| pe        |              |      |      |           |     |      |     |             |        |
| strawber  | total        |      |      | 5, 10, 50 | 2   | -    | 7d, | 1.35        | Jin et |
| ries      | aerobic      |      |      | ppm       | mi  |      | 4°C | 1.45        | al.,   |
|           | bact.,       |      |      |           | n   |      |     |             | 2007   |
|           | yeasts,      |      |      |           |     |      |     |             |        |
|           | molds        |      |      |           |     |      |     |             |        |
| blueberri | L.           | spot | 2h   | 1, 3, 5,  | 10  | 21°C | -   | 4.88(15 ppm | Wu     |
| es        | monocytoge   |      |      | 10, 15    | s;  |      |     | 2h)         | and    |
|           | nes, P.      |      |      | ppm       | 1   |      |     | 2.16(15 ppm | Kim,   |
|           | aeruginosa,  |      |      |           | mi  |      |     | 15 min)     | 2007   |
|           |              |      |      |           | n - |      |     | 3.32(15 ppm |        |
|           | typhimuriu   |      |      |           | 2h  |      |     | 20 min)     |        |
|           | m,           |      |      |           |     |      |     | 4.56(15 ppm |        |
|           | S. aureus,   |      |      |           |     |      |     | 30 min)     |        |
|           | <i>Y</i> .   |      |      |           |     |      |     | 3.49(5 ppm  |        |
|           | enterocoliti |      |      |           |     |      |     | 2h)         |        |
|           | ca, yeasts   |      |      |           |     |      |     | 2.82(15 ppm |        |
|           | and molds    |      |      |           |     |      |     | 1h)         |        |

| blueberri | total         |         |     | 100      |     | 10  | -    | 12    | 1.4-1.59    | Chun    |
|-----------|---------------|---------|-----|----------|-----|-----|------|-------|-------------|---------|
| es        | aerobic       |         |     | ppm      |     | mi  |      | d4°   | 0.8-0.9     | et al., |
|           | bact.,        |         |     |          |     | n   |      | C,    |             | 2013a   |
|           | yeasts,       |         |     |          |     |     |      | 20°   |             |         |
|           | molds         |         |     |          |     |     |      | C     |             |         |
| oranges   | E. coli       | immers  | 2h, | 100      |     | 8   | 30°C |       | 3 (non stem | Pao     |
|           |               | ion, 15 | 20° | ppm      |     | mi  |      |       | scar area)1 | and     |
|           |               | min     | С   |          |     | n   |      |       | (stem scar  | Davis,  |
|           |               |         |     |          |     |     |      |       | area)       | 1999    |
| mulberry  | aerobic       |         |     | 20, 6    | 50, | 5,  | 22°C | 14 d, | 2.4- 2.8    | Chen    |
| fruit     | mesophilic,   |         |     | 80 n     | ng  | 10, |      | -1°C  | 2.4 - 2.5   | et al., |
|           | aerobic       |         |     | $L^{-1}$ |     | 15  |      |       | 1.4-1.5     | 2011    |
|           | psychro-      |         |     |          |     | mi  |      |       | 1.0-1.1     |         |
|           | trophic,lacti |         |     |          |     | n   |      |       |             |         |
|           | c acid        |         |     |          |     |     |      |       |             |         |
|           | bacteria      |         |     |          |     |     |      |       |             |         |
|           | yeasts and    |         |     |          |     |     |      |       |             |         |
|           | molds         |         |     |          |     |     |      |       |             |         |
| chestnut  | mold,         |         |     | 10 ppn   | n   | 3   | -    | 60 d, | visual mold | Donis-  |
|           | decay         |         |     |          |     | mi  |      | 4°C   | evaluation  | Gonzal  |
|           |               |         |     |          |     | n   |      |       |             | es,     |
|           |               |         |     |          |     |     |      |       |             | 2008    |

| mungbea   | total      | immers  | 1h   | 100       | 5  | 22°C | 7d,   | 0.7 | Jin and |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|-----------|----|------|-------|-----|---------|
| n sprouts | mesophilic | ion, 5  |      | ppm       | mi |      | 5°C   | 3   | Lee,    |
|           | microorgan | min     |      |           | n  |      |       | 1.5 | 2007    |
|           | isms,S.    |         |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
|           | typhimuriu |         |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
|           | m, $L.$    |         |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
|           | monocytoge |         |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
|           | nes        |         |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
| alfalfa   | E. coli    | seeds   | 48h, | 20, 50,   | 3, | 23°C | 1     | 2.4 | Taorm   |
| seeds     | О157:Н7    | placed  | 23°  | 100,      | 10 |      | wee   |     | ina     |
|           |            | in      | С    | 200, 500  | mi |      | k, 5, |     | and     |
|           |            | suspen  |      | ppm       | n  |      | 25,3  |     | Beuch   |
|           |            | sion, 1 |      |           |    |      | 7°C   |     | at,     |
|           |            | min     |      |           |    |      | 38    |     | 1999    |
|           |            |         |      |           |    |      | wee   |     |         |
|           |            |         |      |           |    |      | ks,   |     |         |
|           |            |         |      |           |    |      | 5°C   |     |         |
| radish    | Cronobacte | seeds   | 2h   | 50, 100   | 5  | 20°C | 4d,   | 3.6 | Kim et  |
| seeds     | r spp.     | placed  |      | μL        | mi |      | 25°   |     | al.,    |
|           |            | in      |      | $mL^{-1}$ | n  |      | C     |     | 2013    |
|           |            | suspen  |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |
|           |            | sion, 5 |      |           |    |      |       |     |         |

|  | min |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
|  |     |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 Combined postharvest treatments with aqueous ClO<sub>2</sub> of horticultural products

| Produce  | Microorganis  | Inoculatio                         | dryin              | ClO <sub>2</sub>                | Additional   | log                                     | Reference           |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| 1130000  | m   | n method                           | g                  | conc.,Treatme<br>nt time        | treatment  | reductio<br>n<br>combine<br>d<br>method | s                   |
| fresh-cut<br>romaine<br>lettuce<br>baby<br>carrots | E. coli   | sprinkle,<br>3min                  | 1h,<br>22°C        | 10 mg 10 min                    | ozonated<br>water, thyme<br>oil  | 3-4                                     | Singh et al., 2002a |
| apple  | Salmonella,<br>E. coli  | spreading<br>the<br>suspensio<br>n | 40<br>min,<br>20°C | 5, - 40 ppm 3,<br>6, 10 min     | ultrasonicatio<br>n  | 3.12 to<br>4.25<br>2.24 to<br>3.87      | Huang et al., 2006  |
| lettuce  |   | dip, 2min                          | 1h,<br>20°C        |                                 |  | 2.26 to<br>2.97<br>1.36 to<br>2.26      |                     |
| red<br>chicory                                     | total aerobic<br>bacteria<br>yeast, fungi   |                                    |                    | 50 ppm                          | UV-C   | 2.64<br>2.41                            | Kim et al., 2011    |
| pak choi   |   |                                    |                    |                                 |  | 2.55<br>2.00                            |                     |
| plum   | aerobic<br>mesoph. bact.<br>aerobic<br>psychrotrophi<br>c bacteria<br>yeasts and<br>molds |                                    |                    | 40 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 10<br>min | ultrasonic<br>waves  | 2.4-3.1<br>2.3-3<br>1.7-2.3             | Chen and Zhu, 2011  |
| strawberr<br>y                                     | total aerobic<br>bacteria,<br>yeast, molds  |                                    |                    | 50 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 5 min     | UV-C<br>irradiation  | 2.15<br>1.85                            | Kim et al., 2010    |
| strawberr<br>y                                     | total aerobic<br>bacteria yeast<br>and molds  |                                    |                    | 50 ppm 5 min                    | UV-C,<br>packed with<br>rice bran<br>protein<br>(RBP) film<br>with GSE | 1.51<br>1.89                            | Shin et al., 2012   |
| broccoli<br>sprouts                                | total aerobic bact. yeasts and molds, coliforms, <i>E</i> .                               | dip, 5 min                         | 30<br>min          | 50 ppm 5 min                    | fumaric acid   | 2.70<br>2.46<br>1.71<br>2.39            | Kim et al., 2009a   |

|                       | coli, S. typhimurium, L. monocytogen es                                    |                      |           |                              |                                 | 2.74<br>2.65                    |                           |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| alfalfa<br>sprouts    | total aerobic bact. E. coli, S. typhimurium, L. monocytogen es             | immersio<br>n, 5 min | 30<br>min | 50 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 10 min | fumaric acid                    | 3.18<br>4.06<br>3.57<br>3.69    | Kim et al., 2009b         |
| buckwhe<br>at sprouts | E. coli, S. typhimurium, aerobic mesoph. bact., yeasts and molds coliforms |                      |           | 100 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 5 min | UV-C,<br>fumaric acid           | 3.0<br>2.3<br>1.8<br>1.9<br>1.4 | Chun and<br>Song,<br>2013 |
| alfalfa<br>seeds      | E. coli  |                      |           | 25 mg L <sup>-1</sup> 5 min  | ozonated<br>water, thyme<br>oil | 3.4-4.2                         | Singh et<br>al., 2003     |
| yam                   | total aerobic<br>bacteria,<br>yeast and<br>mold<br>coliform<br>bacteria    |                      |           | 50 ppm 10<br>min             | UV-C                            | 3.2 3.4<br>3.8                  | Chun et al., 2013b        |