

Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition



ISSN: 1040-8398 (Print) 1549-7852 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bfsn20

Mycotoxins co-contamination: Methodological aspects and biological relevance of combined toxicity studies

Imourana Alassane-Kpembi, Gerd Schatzmayr, Ionelia Taranu, Daniela Marin, Olivier Puel & Isabelle Paule Oswald

To cite this article: Imourana Alassane-Kpembi, Gerd Schatzmayr, Ionelia Taranu, Daniela Marin, Olivier Puel & Isabelle Paule Oswald (2016): Mycotoxins co-contamination: Methodological aspects and biological relevance of combined toxicity studies, Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2016.1140632

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1140632

	Accepted author version posted online: 26 Feb 2016.
	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$
ılıl	Article views: 8
a ^L	View related articles 🗗
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗗

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=bfsn20

Mycotoxins co-contamination: Methodological aspects and biological relevance of combined toxicity studies.

Imourana Alassane-Kpembi^{1,2,3}, Gerd Schatzmayr⁴, Ionelia Taranu⁵, Daniela Marin⁵, Olivier Puel^{1,2} and Isabelle Paule Oswald^{1,2}

¹INRA, UMR1331, Toxalim, Research Centre in Food Toxicology, 180 chemin de

Tournefeuille, F- 31027 Toulouse cedex 3, France;

²Université de Toulouse, INP, UMR1331, Toxalim, F- 31000 Toulouse, France

³Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Camp Guézo, 01BP517, Cotonou, Bénin

⁴BIOMIN Research Center, Tulln 3430, Austria;

⁵National Institute for Research and Development in Animal Biology and Nutrition (IBNA),

Calea Bucuresti, 077015 Balotesti, Romania;

Corresponding author: Isabelle P. OSWALD, INRA, UMR 1331 Toxalim, Research Center in Food Toxicology,

180 chemin de Tournefeuille, BP93173, 31027 Toulouse Cedex 03, FRANCE.

Isabelle.Oswald@toulouse.inra.fr

Keywords

Additivity Antagonism Multi-contamination Mycotoxins Synergy Toxicological interactions

Abstract

Mycotoxins are secondary fungal metabolites produced mainly by *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium*. As evidenced by large-scale surveys, humans and animals are simultaneously exposed to several mycotoxins. Simultaneous exposure could result in synergistic, additive or

antagonistic effects. However, most toxicity studies addressed the effects of mycotoxins separately.

We present the experimental designs and we discuss the conclusions drawn from *in vitro* experiments exploring toxicological interactions of mycotoxins.

We report more than 80 publications related to mycotoxin interactions. The studies explored combinations involving the regulated groups of mycotoxins, especially aflatoxins, ochratoxins, fumonisins, zearalenone and trichothecenes, but also the "emerging" mycotoxins beauvericin and enniatins. Over 50 publications are based on the arithmetic model of additivity. Few studies used the factorial designs or the theoretical biology-based models of additivity. The latter approaches are gaining increased attention. These analyses allow determination of the type of interaction and, optionally, its magnitude. The type of interaction reported for mycotoxin combinations depended on several factors, in particular cell models and the tested dose ranges. However, synergy among *Fusarium* toxins was highlighted in several studies. This review indicates that well-addressed *in vitro* studies remain valuable tools for the screening of interactive potential in mycotoxin mixtures.

Introduction

Mycotoxins are secondary fungal metabolites produced under specific environmental conditions by a variety of molds, mainly *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium spp*. As secondary metabolites, they are not essential for life, but may provide the fungus with an ecological advantage in certain environments. Some 300 compounds have been recognized as mycotoxins of which around thirty are considered as threat to human or animal health. Mycotoxin exposure via food and feed may result in many different adverse health effects such as carcinogenicity, immunotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, *etc*. (Bennett and Klich, 2003). Global surveys indicate that more than 70% of the samples of feed and feed raw materials are positive for at least one mycotoxin (Streit *et al.*, 2013a).

Human and animals are simultaneously exposed to several mycotoxins (Schothorst and van Egmond, 2004; Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012; Streit *et al.*, 2013b); thus, there is a need for an update of the traditional single mycotoxin risk assessment approach (SCF, 2002). Indeed, in the field of toxicological evaluation of chemical mixtures, the consensus is that the customary chemical-by-chemical approach to risk assessment is in danger of underestimating the risk of chemicals to health (Kortenkamp *et al.*, 2009). Simultaneous exposure to different toxins could result in antagonistic, additive or synergistic effects. Although the demonstration of synergism would heighten concerns about health risks, the implications of additive combination effects have not received adequate attention. Sometimes the threshold dose for toxic effects may be exceeded in case of exposure to a mixture although the exposure to each single compound is unlikely to pose risk (Silva *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, an increasing number of mycotoxin studies

are devoted to their combined toxicity, especially to the exploration of the type of toxicological interactions.

The toxicity of a mixture is complex. The general principles for such analyses have been thoroughly reviewed elsewhere (ATSDR, 2004; Binderup, 2008). Testing for a possible interaction in mixture toxicity requires a comparison of the actual experimentally determined effects of the mixture with the theoretically expected no interaction effects. This prediction of no interaction, the null hypothesis, is done based on the toxicity of the individual compounds. Stronger-than-expected effects indicate synergism whereas lower-than-expected effects indicate antagonism. Several methods have been proposed but a generally agreed definition of zero interaction does not yet exist (Groten *et al.*, 2001). In this review we present the experimental designs and statistical aspects as well as the main conclusions drawn from experiments exploring interactions in combined toxicity of mycotoxins.

1. The reality of mycotoxins co-contamination

The reality of mycotoxins co-contamination is confirmed on the one hand by the co-occurrence of these toxins in food and feed stuff and on the other hand by co-exposure monitoring survey.

The co-occurrence of mycotoxins in food and feed is explained by three different reasons: (i)

most fungi are able to simultaneously produce several mycotoxins, (ii) commodities can be

contaminated by several fungi simultaneously or in quick succession, and (iii) the complete diet comprised different commodities. The recent application of LC-MS-MS based multi-mycotoxin

methods revealed that food- and feed commodities almost always contain a cocktail of different

mycotoxins. In practice, the co-occurrence of mycotoxins represents the rule and not the exception.

In a three-year monitoring (2009 - 2011) on the worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in feedstuffs and feed, Rodrigues and Naehrer (2012) showed that 48% of 7049 analyzed samples sourced in the Americas, Europe and Asia were contaminated with two or more of the tested mycotoxins (aflatoxins, zearalenone, deoxynivalenol, fumonisins and ochratoxin A). A literature review of European multi-mycotoxin contamination studies indicated that 75% to 100% of animal feed samples to contain more than one mycotoxin (Streit et al., 2012), while the cooccurrence of more than two mycotoxins was reported in 95% of Spanish barley samples (Ibanez-Vea et al., 2012). Analyzing 83 samples of maize, wheat, barley and silage from Europe, America and Australia by a multi-mycotoxin HPLC-MS/MS approach Streit et al. (2013) have shown that all the samples were co-contaminated by 7 to 69 mycotoxins or other potentially toxic secondary metabolites, mainly produced by the Fusarium genus. Moreover, combination of Aspergillus and Fusarium mycotoxins can be found in the same matrix. The co-occurrence aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), ochratoxin A (OTA) and deoxynivalenol (DON) accounted for 55% of the multi-contaminated Spanish barley samples (Ibanez-Vea et al., 2012). The fact that unlike other foodborne toxins or microorganisms, most mycotoxins are resistant to milling, processing and heat treatments, increases the risk of their persistence in the food and feed chains and may participate to the co-contamination (Milicevic et al., 2010, Streit et al., 2012).

These trends depicted by food and feed monitoring for mycotoxins co-contamination are corroborated by exposure data collected in several human bio-monitoring studies. Simultaneous measurement of multiple mycotoxins using advanced LC-MS/MS technique for human exposure

assessment surveys in Germany, southern Italy and central Africa showed that 52% to 100% of urine samples contained biomarkers for two or more mycotoxins, and up to five mycotoxins were detected in a severe case of co-exposure (Abia *et al.*, 2013; Gerding *et al.*, 2014; Solfrizzo *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, as shown in Table 1, the exposure data highlight clearly that any kind of combinations involving mycotoxins irrespective of their producing fungi and their known geographical distribution could threaten consumer's health.

2. Experimental designs and statistical aspects to assess mycotoxin toxicological interactions

Interactions are inferred when a mixture of chemicals produces a biological response greater or lower than expected. Thus, the key question remains what is to be expected from a combination of contaminants. The application of Loewe's additivity equation or of Bliss' independence criterion, based on the dose–response curves of single compounds, enables the simulation of a theoretical response that represents the expected behavior of the mixture when interaction is excluded. Some reviews have been written about the subject and several aspects of the problem are still debated, with a particular regard to the biological plausibility of these two different theoretical approaches (Greco *et al.*, 1995; Chou, 2006; Goldoni and Johansson, 2007).

Classically, a two-step approach is recommended when analyzing the pharmacological or toxicological interactions between the different compounds of a mixture (Suhnel, 1996). First, the expected effects of the combination for the case of no interaction have to be predicted. This means a clear statement of what effect size can be expected if the compounds in the mixture do not interact. Then, the data on the effects of the experimental combination have to be compared

to the expected ones in order to classify the combination as additive (no interaction *i.e.* as expected), synergistic (*i.e.* interaction resulting in greater effect than expected) or antagonistic (*i.e.* interaction leading to lesser effect than expected). Several experimental designs that denote authors' point of view on this null case have been used in *in vitro* assessment of the combined effects of mycotoxins.

2.1. The arithmetic definition of additivity

In a number of studies, the expected mixture effect size was defined as equal to the arithmetic sum of the sizes of the effects for individual compounds when tested separately (Weber *et al.*, 2005; Kouadio *et al.*, 2007; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2010; Ficheux *et al.*, 2012; Klaric *et al.*, 2012). As an example for the null case, the expected size for the cytotoxic effect of a mixture could be defined as the sum of the cytotoxic effects induced by each mycotoxin alone in mono-exposure experiments, so:

Cytotoxic effect ($mycotoxin\ 1 + mycotoxin\ 2$) = Cytotoxic effect ($mycotoxin\ 1$) + Cytotoxic effect ($mycotoxin\ 2$)

When the measured cytotoxicty values are not significantly different or above or below the expected values the results are interpreted as additive, synergistic or antagonistic respectively. Although intuitively plausible and very easy to handle, most researchers in the biomedical area seem to agree that combined effects do not simply equal the sum of single effects (Boedeker and Backhaus, 2010). The fallacy of this approach is better perceived when applying it to the combined effect of several doses of the same mycotoxin which by definition cannot behave

synergistically, nor antagonistically. As an illustration, dose-response experiments on the cytotoxic effects of DON and fumonisin B_1 (FB₁) were conducted in a study on the *in vitro* myelotoxicity induced by mixtures of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on human hematopoietic progenitors (Ficheux *et al.*, 2012). The authors concluded to an antagonistic effect of both *Fusarium* mycotoxins as the measured values were significantly lower than expected values. If we consider that the 2μ M FB₁ dose can be seen as a $1+1\mu$ M FB₁ application, the predicted value for 2μ M FB₁using the arithmetic approach would be $60\pm8\%$ cell viability, lower than the measured value ($42\pm5\%$). Therefore the arithmetic sum model to which so many mycotoxin interaction studies referred to, does not provide a reasonable reference point.

2.2. Factorial design experiments

Factorial design experiments have been employed to assess interaction between mycotoxins (Tajima *et al.*, 2002; Heussner *et al.*, 2006; Lei *et al.*, 2013; Wan *et al.*, 2013a; Wan *et al.*, 2013b). When testing the effects of mixtures of varying combinations and the effects of each individual compound, the effect of any compound could be predicted by subtracting the mean of the groups not containing the compound from the mean of the other groups containing the compound (Groten *et al.*, 1996). In a full factorial design, each chemical in the mixture is studied at all dose levels of the other chemicals. This may require a large number of tested groups and can be very costly. Mycotoxin mixture studies favored the fractional factorials that enable more economy of experimentation because only part of the full factorial is run experimentally. A three-step study was proposed to detect interactions between five *Fusarium* mycotoxins inhibiting DNA synthesis *in vitro*. In stage 1 the combined action (additivity, or departure from

additivity) was assessed for the entire mixture, but not for specific pairs of mycotoxins. Stage 2 was specifically meant to economically screen for significant departure from additivity of specific (pairs of) mycotoxins using central composite designs, which allowed to finally apply full factorial design only to two-factor (two mycotoxins) interactions of particular interest (Groten *et al.*, 1998).

Despite the fact that interaction is definitely revealed by such statistical methods, the nature of interaction with regard to additivity, synergism or antagonism is not clearly explored and has to be inferred indirectly (Bhat and Ahangar, 2007). Wan et al. (2013a) applied a factorial design approach to elucidate the interactions in the combined cytotoxic effects of DON, nivalenol (NIV), zearalenone (ZEA) and FB₁ in swine jejunal epithelial cells. They first conducted doseresponse experiments for each mycotoxin individually to select the range limits for subsequent interaction analysis. Then, a central composite design including a fractional factorial part was applied with four factors, i.e. DON, NIV, ZEA and FB1, in order to minimize the number of possible toxin combinations (44 possible combinations of every concentration of each toxin). Nonetheless, 16 more data-points (in addition to the individual dose-response experiments) were required for interaction analysis. Univariate analysis of variance conducted on such data revealed non-additive interactions in all mixtures except DON-ZEA-FB₁, though the type of non-additive interactions (synergy or antagonism) still remained to be established. The factorial design approach could also just point out "a potential for interactive (synergistic) effects of citrinin and ochratoxin A and possibly other mycotoxins in cells of renal origin" (Heussner et al., 2006).

2.3. The theoretical biology models-based definitions of additivity

The most commonly used theoretical biology models-based definitions of zero interaction are Bliss' independent criterion also known as response addition, Loewe's additivity model also named concentration or dose addition (Goldoni and Johansson, 2007) and the median effect principle of the mass action law (Chou, 2006).

Bliss' independence criterion and Loewe's additivity model

The main assumption for Bliss' independent criterion is that the chemical agents act independently from one another. In other words, the mode and possibly the site of action of the compounds in the mixture differ. When no interaction occurs for a combination, the Bliss independent criterion for two toxic agents can be expressed by the following equation:

$$E(x, y) = E(x) + E(y) - E(x)*E(y)$$

where E is the fractional effect (between 0 and 1), and x and y are the doses of two compounds in a combination experiment.

Loewe's additivity model relies on the assumption that the toxic agents in the mixture of concern act on the same biological sites, by the same mechanisms of action and differ only in their potency. Relatively simple Loewe's additivity model extensions are the isobolographic method and its algebraic variant, the interaction index, particularly useful when assessing two toxic substances *in vitro*. The interaction index can be expressed as (Berenbaum, 1981):

$$I = c_1 / EC_{x,1} + c_2 / EC_{x,2}$$

with c_i denoting the applied concentrations (of agent 1 and 2, respectively) and EC_x their individual concentrations that provoke a certain effect x., *e.g.* the effect concentration 50% (EC₅₀). I<1, I>1 and I=1 mean the agents interact synergistically, antagonistically, or are additive. This index has been applied to mycotoxin pairs association (McKean *et al.*, 2006a; McKean *et al.*, 2006b).

Some papers simultaneously tested both Loewe's additivity and Bliss' independence criterion models of zero interaction for mycotoxins combined effects because there is no final agreement on the biological plausibility of these concepts (Tammer et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2013). Both Bliss' independence criterion and Loewe's additivity models were used to analyze the inhibition of interferon gamma (IFNγ) production induced by co-exposure to mycotoxins, patulin, gliotoxin, citrinin and ochratoxin A on the human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Tammer et al., 2007). Dose-response data for the individual inhibition of IFNy production by each mycotoxin and the mixture inhibition were generated. The dose-response relationships of the individual substances and the mixture were biometrically modelled by fitting the Hill-model to the experimental data set using the best-fit approach. The dose-response functions of individual mycotoxins allowed predictions of the additive responses based either on Bliss' independence criterion or Loewe's additivity models. For the combined effect of the doses of mycotoxin that individually induced a 20% inhibition of IFNy production the predicted values for additivity were 59% for Bliss independence criterion model and 79% for Loewe's additivity model. Compared to the 69% inhibition of IFN-y production that was actually induced for the coexposure, the conclusion is that the combined effect for the four mycotoxins appeared synergistic based on Bliss' independence criterion model and antagonistic based on Loewe's additivity

model. There are still ongoing debates on which is the "better" or even the "correct" concept (Boedeker and Backhaus, 2010); however, Loewe's additivity model is slightly preferred because of an overall higher biological plausibility (Goldoni and Johansson, 2007; Kortenkamp *et al.*, 2009). It is likely that most toxic substances exert actions that are not completely different and independent from those of other toxicants due to converging signaling pathways and interlinked subsystems.

The isobolograms

The isobolographic method can be considered the graphical variant of the interaction index method (Tallarida, 2011). In a Cartesian coordinate system, doses of each agent that give a specified effect e.g. EC₅₀ are represented on the x- and y-axes. The straight line connecting the equally effective doses of the agents is assumed to represent the set of dose pairs that give the specified effect in a situation of no interaction (additivity). Actual dose pairs that give the specified effect are then experimentally determined and reported on the graph. Experimental dose pairs lie below the additivity line in synergistic associations, and above in antagonistic associations.

Isobolograms were drawn to analyze *in vitro* the interactions for *Penicillium* mycotoxins and *Fusarium* mycotoxins as well (Bernhoft *et al.*, 2004; Luongo *et al.*, 2006; Luongo *et al.*, 2008). However, the isobolographic method fails to take into account the variability of the data, and there is a need for further development of statistical methods to characterize accurately the interaction of combination of agents (Gennings *et al.*, 1990). In a study investigating the type of interaction for the combined effects of four mycotoxins (fumonisin B_1 , α -zearalenol, nivalenol and deoxynivalenol) on porcine whole-blood cellular proliferation, dose-response data were

generated for a range of six different doses of each mycotoxin individually and for six doses of their binary mixtures at fixed ratios (Luongo *et al.*, 2008). For the analysis of each binary combination, the IC₅₀ value with its confidence interval for each mycotoxin alone was determined and represented on the x- or y-axis of an isobologram. The dose of mixture that corresponded to a 50% inhibition of cell proliferation was also estimated and subsequently, the corresponding doses for each mycotoxin and their confidence intervals were reported on the isobologram. An additivity line was drawn to connect the x- and y-axis at the levels of the individual IC₅₀s. However the study could not indicate the confidence band that was associated to the additivity line though the uncertainties for the doses of each mycotoxin in the mixture were represented. Hence, strong conclusions could not be drawn concerning the position of the mixture point regarding the additivity line, especially to exclude additivity.

The Median Effect Principle of the Mass action law

Another concept that is independent of the mode of action and just considers both the potency (EC₅₀) and the shape of the dose-effect curve for each chemical agent and their mixture has been proposed (Chou, 2011). In the so-called Chou-Talalay method, the mass-action law allows a computer simulation of the individual dose-effect curves and the "no interaction" response that could be expected from the combined effect of several agents (Chou, 2006). Individual agents and their mixtures dose-effect relationship are biometrically modelled using the median-effect equation of the mass action law that is:

$$f_a / f_u = (D / D_m)^m$$

Where D is the dose of the agent (e.g. a cytotoxic mycotoxin), f_a is the fraction affected by D (e.g. percentage of viability inhibition/100), and f_u is the fraction unaffected (i.e. $f_u = 1 - f_a$). D_m is the median-effect dose (e.g. IC₅₀), and m is the coefficient signifying the shape of the dose-effect relationship (m = 1, m > 1, and m < 1 indicate hyperbolic, sigmoidal, and flat sigmoidal dose-effect curves, respectively).

Then interactions can be analyzed by a combination index- isobologram method derived from the median-effect equation. The combination index (CI) is calculated according to (Chou, 2011):

$${}^{n}(CI)_{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(D)_{j}}{(D_{x})_{j}}$$

Where $^n(CI)_x$ is the combination index for n agents at x% inhibition, $(D)_j$ are the doses of n agents that exerts x% inhibition in combination, $(D_x)_j$ are the doses of each of n agents alone that exerts x% inhibition. CI = 0.9-1, CI < 0.9, and CI > 1.1 indicate an additive effect, a synergism, and an antagonism, respectively, regardless of the mechanisms or the units of the agents. Besides indicating the type of interaction (additivity, synergy or antagonism), this index allows a quantitative assessment of the magnitude of the interaction.

The Combination index-Isobologram method also known as the Chou-Talalay method that was tentatively introduced several years ago in the field of mycotoxin mixture assessment, is gaining the interest of an increasing number of researchers (Koshinsky and Khachatourians, 1992; Jones *et al.*, 1995; Ruiz *et al.*, 2011b; Lu *et al.*, 2013; Tatay *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2014). We used this approach to analyze the interactions for the combined toxicity of *Fusarium* mycotoxins DON, NIV and their acetylated derivatives 3- and 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3- and 15-ADON) and fusarenon-X (FX) in human intestinal epithelial cells (Alassane-Kpembi *et al.*, 2013). Dose-

response data for individual mycotoxins and their mixtures were generated and dose-response relationships were biometrically modeled using the Median-Effect Equation of the Mass-Action Law. The combination index values were then calculated over the range of the cytotoxicity observed. Binary or ternary mixtures of type B trichothecenes (DON, NIV, and their acetylated derivatives) demonstrated mainly synergistic cytotoxicity at low mycotoxin concentrations (cytotoxic effect between 10 and 30-40 %). At higher concentrations (cytotoxic effect around 50 %), the combinations had an additive or nearly additive effect. The magnitude of the synergistic interaction for 10% cytotoxicity was evaluated to range from 2 to 9.

3. Combined toxicity of mycotoxins

Using either of the methodological approaches described above, several teams have thoroughly examined the combined toxicity of mycotoxins *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The *in vivo* experiments have been reviewed elsewhere (Grenier and Oswald, 2011) and will not be discussed in this review. *In vitro* bioassays have obvious limitations; nonetheless they are less restrictive in the number of test groups which makes the assessment of complex mycotoxin mixtures easier. In particular, *in vitro* experiments allow for dose-response analysis of the individual contaminants and the mycotoxin mixtures. We will now review the *in vitro* experiments investigating the combined toxicity of mycotoxins. In most combined toxicity studies, the mycotoxins tested were grouped based on (i) a shared community in chemical structures (i.e aflatoxins or type B trichothecenes); (ii) toxicological modes of action (i.e mutagenic mycotoxins or carcinogenic mycotoxins), or (iii) their simultaneous production by a given fungi (i.e *Fusarium* mycotoxins or *Aspergillus* mycotoxins).

3.1. Aflatoxins and other mycotoxins

The aflatoxins are a group of closely related highly substituted coumarins containing a fused dihydrofurofuran moiety. Four aflatoxins may occur naturally: the two blue fluorescent toxins (B_1, B_2) that are characterized by fusion of a cyclopentenone ring to the lactone ring of the coumarin moiety, and the two greenish yellow fluorescent toxins $(G_1, \text{ and } G_2)$ that contain a fused lactone ring. AFB₂ and AFG₂ are considered relatively nontoxic unless they are first metabolically oxidized to AFB₁ and AFG₁*in vivo*. The metabolism of aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ in the mammalian body may result in two metabolites M₁ and M₂ as hydroxylated derivatives of the parent compound. Aflatoxins are hepatocarcinogenic agents in numerous animal species and have been implicated in the etiology of human hepatocellular carcinoma (Wild and Montesano, 2009).

In association with other mycotoxins, the mutagenic and cell viability effects of aflatoxins have been frequently questioned. Nearly all the papers addressing mutagenic activity of these mycotoxin combinations referred to the well-known Ames test using *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains TA 100 and TA 98 (Sedmikova *et al.*, 2001; Kuilman-Wahls *et al.*, 2002; Vilar *et al.*, 2003). However, a bioluminescence test using the marine bacterium *Photobacterium phosphoreum* strain NCMB 844 was also proposed (Yates *et al.*, 1987). Mutagenicity was analyzed with a dark mutant of this organism whose reversion to the bioluminescent condition is stimulated by compounds attacking guanine sites in desoxyribonucleic acids. Aflatoxins combinations have been assessed for their cytotoxic and genotoxic effects mainly in human and animal primary hepatocytes or transformed cell lines (Friedman *et al.*, 1997; He *et al.*, 2010; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2010; Corcuera *et al.*, 2011). Aflatoxin combinations are also considered potentially immunotoxic, thus they have been evaluated for their combined effects on the

viability and functionality of immune system cells (Theumer *et al.*, 2003; Herzog-Soares and Freire, 2004; Russo *et al.*, 2010; Theumer *et al.*, 2010; Russo *et al.*, 2011).

Publications related to mycotoxin mixtures involving aflatoxins have been grouped in studies presenting the combined effects of (i) the different aflatoxins, (ii) aflatoxin B_1 and other possibly carcinogenic mycotoxins, (iii) aflatoxin B_1 and other mycotoxins from *Aspergillus* and (iv) aflatoxin B_1 and mycotoxins from *Fusarium*.

Combined toxicity of the different aflatoxins

Arithmetic definition of additivity was used in a large part of the combined toxicity studies for the different aflatoxins that are presented in Table 2. Cell viability as an endpoint showed synergy for the combined toxicity of AFB₁ and AFB₂ in human umbilical vein endothelial cells while additivity was observed for the same endpoint in human lung fibroblast and in human ovarian cancer cell line A 2780 (Braicu *et al.*, 2010). No interaction was reported between AFB₁ and AFB₂ for RNA synthesis and membrane integrity in rat hepatocytes primary culture, while an undetermined interaction was revealed between AFB₁ and AFG₁ (Friedman *et al.*, 1997). The immunotoxic interactions between aflatoxin metabolites AFM₁ and AFM₂ excreted in milk and between these metabolites and their parent-compounds AFB₁ and AFB₂ have been investigated (Russo *et al.*, 2010; Russo *et al.*, 2011; Bianco *et al.*, 2012b). No interaction could be detected when macrophages were co-exposed to AFM₁ and AFM₂, while their combinations with the parent-compounds AFB₁ and AFB₂ resulted in stronger toxicity compared to individual toxins, suggesting a synergism. Naturally-occurring mixtures of aflatoxins, i.e. aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ have been rated as carcinogenic to humans (group 1) and the metabolite AFM₁ possibly

carcinogenic to humans (group 2B) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). However we reported no publication analyzing *in vitro* the combined genotoxicity of the aflatoxins.

Combined toxicity of aflatoxins and other possibly carcinogenic mycotoxins

Besides aflatoxins, OTA and FB₁ are the only other mycotoxins that have been demonstrated to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals and rated as possible human carcinogens (group 2B). Co-exposure to these carcinogenic mycotoxins is not uncommon. Dietary exposure assessment in several villages in Tanzania showed that up to 82% of children tested were positive for blood AFB₁-albumin adducts and urinary FB₁ (Shirima *et al.*, 2013). Co-occurrence of AFB₁, OTA and FB₁ was also detected in 20% of randomly collected cereal and feed samples from households of an endemic nephropathy area in Croatia (Klaric *et al.*, 2009). This explains the interest to investigate the interaction between aflatoxins and these mycotoxins especially in term of carcinogenic effect (Table 3).

Arithmetic definition of additivity was mainly used in these combined toxicity studies. Antagonistic cytotoxicity in human hepatoma cells HepG2 has been strongly demonstrated for AFB₁ and FB₁ by the calculation of their interaction index (McKean *et al.*, 2006b). Conflicting conclusions for the clastogenic effect of AFB₁-OTA association exist. A quantitative analysis of DNA fragmentation in monkey kidney Vero cells exposed to both mycotoxins simultaneously, suggested an additive effect (El Golli-Bennour *et al.*, 2010). This conclusion was made based on the calculation of a ratio of expected to observed IC₅₀ values for the mycotoxin mixture. However, the authors did not specify how the expected IC₅₀ value was obtained. On the contrary,

OTA was shown to reduce the DNA damage caused by AFB₁ alone in HepG2 cell line, while an increase of the mutagenic effect of AFB₁ in presence of OTA was reported using the *S*. Typhimurium mutagenicity test (Sedmikova *et al.*, 2001; Corcuera *et al.*, 2011). The authors speculated that AFB₁ and OTA could compete for the same CYP enzymes that represent a bioactivation route for AFB₁, and a higher affinity of OTA for the CYPs involved could result in less AFB₁ bio-activated molecules (AFB₁-epoxide) to attack and damage DNA.

No enhancement of the clastogenic effect has been noted when combining FB₁ and AFB₁ in rat primary hepatocyte and spleen mononuclear cell culture, whereas biomarkers of oxidative stress were lowered by the mixture compared to the individual AFB₁ effect (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2010; Theumer *et al.*, 2010).

With respect to immunotoxic effects, the AFB₁- FB₁ mixture was more effective in reducing the mitogenic response and cytokine production of mononuclear cells on the one hand and H_2O_2 release of adherent peritoneal cells on the other, compared to the individual mycotoxins (Theumer *et al.*, 2003).

Combined toxicity of aflatoxins and other mycotoxins from Aspergillus species

Citrinin (CIT) and cyclopiazonic acid (CPA) are mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus* and/or *Penicillium* strains that have been frequently associated to AFB₁ for mixture toxicity studies (Table 4). A number of *Aspergillus* strains that produce B- and G-type aflatoxins may also produce CPA (Lee and Hagler, 1991; Pildain *et al.*, 2008). As a consequence CPA and aflatoxins often co-contaminate crops (Urano *et al.*, 1992; Chang *et al.*, 2009). Likewise, aflatoxins and

citrinin have been simultaneously detected in various food and feed commodities (Kpodo *et al.*, 1996; Garon *et al.*, 2006; Nguyen *et al.*, 2007; Richard *et al.*, 2009).

All the studies reported for the combined toxicity of aflatoxins and CPA or CIT defined their reference point using the arithmetic definition of additivity. Following metabolic activation by either human S-9 mix or rat S-9 mix, the mutagenic activity of AFB₁ and CPA combination assessed by reverse mutation of *S*. Typhimurium TA 98 and TA 100 strains constantly resulted in a reduction compared to AFB₁ individual effect (Kuilman-Wahls *et al.*, 2002; Vilar *et al.*, 2003). This reduction of the AFB₁ mutagenicity by CPA was attributed to the inhibitory effect of CPA on cytochrome P450 (CYP450) 3A4 activity. On the opposite, the marine bacterium *P. phosphoreum* reverse mutation test revealed an enhanced genotoxic effect for AFB₁ in mixture with CPA (Yates *et al.*, 1987).

Combined toxicity of aflatoxin B_1 and mycotoxins from Fusarium species

The simultaneous spoilage of food commodities by *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* strains is not uncommon and may be associated to natural co-occurrence of aflatoxins and various *Fusarium* mycotoxins, including DON, NIV and ZEA (Ali *et al.*, 1998; Almeida *et al.*, 2012). Data on the combined toxicity of aflatoxins and these fusariotoxins are presented in Table 5.

Interactive cytotoxicity between AFB₁ and Fusarium toxins ZEA and DON has been demonstrated at low doses and high doses in porcine kidney cells using the factorial design approach (Lei et al., 2013). Synergy for cytotoxicity has been previously shown between AFB₁ and T-2 toxin by calculation of their interaction index (McKean et al., 2006a). It is noteworthy that the type of toxic interaction in cell viability between AFB₁ and Fusarium toxins, especially

trichothecenes, may depend on the cell model as additivity was reported in fish primary hepatocytes and human hepatoma cells (HepG2) while synergy was observed in human bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B) (McKean *et al.*, 2006a; He *et al.*, 2010).

Surprisingly, the mutagenic activity of AFB₁ was significantly enhanced by the trichothecene mycotoxins DON and T-2 toxin which demonstrated no individual effect by their own in the *Salmonella* prokaryote mutagenicity test (Smerak *et al.*, 2001). However, the authors reported a significant clastogenic effect for the trichothecene mycotoxins that may explain the enhanced mutagenic outcomes of the activity of AFB₁ in presence of either or both trichothecenes.

3.2. Ochratoxins and other mycotoxins

Ochratoxins are produced by several species belonging to both *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera. Ochratoxin A (OTA) is toxic to several organs, especially the kidney, whereas its dechloro-analogue ochratoxin B only displays limited toxicity (Roth *et al.*, 1989; Heussner *et al.*, 2006). Studies addressing the toxicity of ochratoxins in association with other mycotoxins mainly concern OTA.

As already mentioned, OTA is a nephrotoxic compound, and as a consequence, most of the studies involved renal cell lines or renal primary cells cultures. Cytotoxicity is the main endpoint explored for the mycotoxin combinations. Mycotoxins associations including ochratoxins have also been screened for genotoxicity via DNA damages, clastogenic effects and mutagenic activity (Knasmuller *et al.*, 2004). As far as the immune system is concerned, mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation and cytokine production were the main endpoints for papers addressing the combined toxicity of ochratoxin (Table 6 and Table 7).

Combined toxicity of ochratoxins and other mycotoxins from Aspergillus or Penicillium

Ochratoxins may co-occur with other mycotoxins produced by species from Aspergillus and Penicillium genera. Among these mycotoxins, citrinin (CIT) is the most frequently associated with OTA, as illustrated by several studies undertaken in Bulgaria, Croatia and Serbia that showed that populations in endemic nephropathy regions were more frequently exposed to OTA and CIT due to microclimatic conditions (Klaric et al., 2013). The combined toxicity of ochratoxins with patulin (PAT), cyclopiazonic acid (CPA), gliotoxin (GLIO), roquefortin (ROQ), penicillic acid (PA) and sterigmatocystin (STER) was also studied (Bernhoft et al., 2004; Heussner et al., 2006; Tammer et al., 2007; Anninou et al., 2014). The publications related to ochratoxins and other mycotoxins from Aspergillus and Penicillium are presented in Table 6. OTA and CIT have mostly been reported to act in a synergistic manner for their cytotoxic (Roth et al., 1989; Bouslimi et al., 2008a; Bouslimi et al., 2008b; Klaric et al., 2012) and their genotoxic effects (Knasmuller et al., 2004). The co-exposure of human kidney cells (HK-2) with both mycotoxins increased DNA adduction and CYP 450 and peroxydase enzymes expression (Manderville and Pfohl-Leszkowicz, 2008; Pfohl-Leszkowicz et al., 2008). However, both mycotoxins failed to induce reverse mutation in the Ames test, and showed antagonism in porcine kidney PK15 epithelial cells (Wurgler et al., 1991; Klaric et al., 2012). Considering immunotoxicity endpoints a synergy between OTA and CIT was observed for mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation, while an additive effect was observed for the inhibition of IFN-γ production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Bernhoft et al., 2004; Tammer et al., 2007). Except CPA that showed an antagonistic immunotoxicity, the combinations of OTA with other

Aspergillus and Penicillium mycotoxins were reported as additive. However, most of these studies rely on an arithmetic definition of additivity.

Combined toxicity of ochratoxins and mycotoxins from Fusarium species

Publications related to the combined toxicity of ochratoxins and mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* species mainly concern OTA and fumonisin B₁ (FB₁), and in a lesser extent OTA and the emerging mycotoxin beauvericin (BEA) (Table 7). All of these studies considered the arithmetic definition of additivity as the reference point. Conflicting conclusions have been reported for the interaction between OTA and FB₁ for cytotoxicity including synergism (Creppy *et al.*, 2004; Carratu *et al.*, 2005; Mwanza *et al.*, 2009) and addition (Klaric *et al.*, 2007; Klaric *et al.*, 2008b). Genotoxic potential for binary combinations of OTA, FB₁ and BEA were mainly depicted as additive (Klaric *et al.*, 2007; Klaric *et al.*, 2008a).

3.3. Fusarium mycotoxins

Fusarium species can produce a wide variety of mycotoxins. The most common Fusarium mycotoxins that occur at biologically significant concentrations in food chain are fumonisins, zearalenone and trichothecenes (Placinta et al., 1999). The mycotoxin association patterns involved the "major" mycotoxins from Fusarium, the trichothecenes, FB₁ and ZEA, although increasing attention is being paid to combinations including the emerging mycotoxins beauvericin (BEA) and enniatins (ENN) (Ruiz et al., 2011a; Ruiz et al., 2011b; Ficheux et al., 2012; Kolf-Clauw et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2013). Combined toxicity studies mainly investigated

the cytotoxicity or immunotoxicity of *Fusarium* mycotoxins. Due to its estrogenic activity, mixtures including ZEA have also been tested on the reproductive system cells (Malekinejad *et al.*, 2007; Ranzenigo *et al.*, 2008). Among publications concerning the combined effect of *Fusarium* mycotoxins, we have separately considered (i) those concerning the combined effects of trichothecene mycotoxins, (ii) those concerning the major mycotoxins from *Fusarium*, and (iii) other studies on combined toxicity of mycotoxins from *Fusarium*.

Combined toxicity of trichothecenes

Publications analyzing the combined toxicity of trichothecenes are presented in Table 8. Not all the trichothecenes involved in association studies are *Fusarium* mycotoxins. The non-macrocyclic trichothecenes produced by *Fusarium* species have been combined to the macrocyclic trichothecenes roridin A and verrucarin A produced by *Myrothecium* species (Koshinsky and Khachatourians, 1992; Jones *et al.*, 1995).

Combination of the type B trichothecenes DON or NIV to the type A T-2 toxin or DAS resulted in additive or antagonistic response either for the cytotoxic or the immunotoxic endpoints (Thompson and Wannemacher, 1986; Thuvander *et al.*, 1999; Ruiz *et al.*, 2011a; Ruiz *et al.*, 2011b). However, the striking fact of the combined toxicity of this group of mycotoxins is that the type and intensity of interactions vary accordingly with the tested doses and the combination ratios. Using human and porcine intestinal cells as well, we observed a synergistic cytotoxicity when combining DON, NIV and their acetyl derivatives at low doses while the interaction was additive to antagonistic for higher mycotoxin doses (Alassane-Kpembi *et al.*, 2013; Alassane-Kpembi *et al.*, 2015). Likewise, the interactive immunotoxicity of DON and NIV mixture is

thought to be limited to low doses (Severino *et al.*, 2006). Earlier, it has also been shown that the interaction between the type A trichothecenes T-2 toxin and HT-2 toxin and the type D trichothecene roridin A changes from antagonistic to synergistic for graded toxicity levels towards the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* (Koshinsky and Khachatourians, 1992).

Except factorial designs, all kinds of methodological approaches have been used for the elucidation of the type of interaction for mixtures involving trichothecenes. However, unlike early discussed mycotoxin groups, a number of studies in this group can be considered reliable enough for their conclusions since they are not built on mistaken interaction analysis approaches.

Combined toxicity of the "major" mycotoxins from Fusarium

Most joint toxicity studies are related to simultaneous contamination by type B trichothecenes (DON and or NIV), FB₁ and ZEA or its alcohol metabolite α -zearalenol (Table 9). Using the factorial designs the combination between the main *Fusarium* mycotoxins was shown to act additively on the porcine Ipec J2 cell viability reduction while interaction occurred for proinflammatory cytokines mRNA expression and the modulation of the expression of β -defensins 1 and 2 (Wan *et al.*, 2013a; Wan *et al.*, 2013b; Wan *et al.*, 2013c). Their toxicity was also found additive for the inhibition of DNA synthesis in mouse fibroblast by the same methodological approach (Groten *et al.*, 1998; Tajima *et al.*, 2002). In binary association, synergy was reported between ZEA or its alcohol metabolite α -zearalenol and FB₁ for various endpoints and cell systems (Groten *et al.*, 1998; Tajima *et al.*, 2002; Luongo *et al.*, 2006; Kouadio *et al.*, 2007; Luongo *et al.*, 2008). The synergy may also exist for the combined anti-proliferative effect of

ZEA and DON on porcine granulosa cell but it was not confirmed for other endpoints in pig reproductive toxicology (Malekinejad *et al.*, 2007; Ranzenigo *et al.*, 2008).

Other studies on combined toxicity of mycotoxins from Fusarium

The emerging *Fusarium* toxins beauvericin (BEA) and enniatins (ENN) have been involved in mycotoxins combined effects studies for their cytotoxic and genotoxic potential (Table 10). Binary and ternary mixtures of ENN A, A₁, B and B₁ clearly exerted synergistic cytotoxicity on ovarian cells and intestinal cells (Lu *et al.*, 2013; Prosperini *et al.*, 2014). On the contrary, the toxicity of T-2 toxin was down-modulated by ENN B₁ in pig intestinal epithelial cells and explants culture (Kolf-Clauw *et al.*, 2013). Assuming the arithmetic definition of additivity, no interaction could be detected in combined myelotoxicity for ENN B and BEA, while synergy was shown in BEA and DON mixture (Ficheux *et al.*, 2012). In other cell lines and by means of the Chou-Talalay method antagonism was observed for the latter association (Ruiz *et al.*, 2011a; Ruiz *et al.*, 2011b). This cell line–related discrepancy was also noted for the combined toxicity of BEA and the type A trichothecene T-2 toxin (Ruiz *et al.*, 2011a; Ruiz *et al.*, 2011b). The combination of BEA to FB₁ led to an additive induction of apoptosis in mononuclear cells (Dombrink-Kurtzman, 2003).

Conclusion

For the main mycotoxin groups, reference doses for regulatory purpose already exist. Exposure below these levels is usually considered safe. Whether the consumer is also protected against combined exposure to mycotoxins if each component is present below its individual threshold

dose is gaining increasing interest. The present review analyzed the methodological aspects and main conclusions for the publications related to the toxicological interactions of mycotoxins.

More than eighty publications have been dedicated to the combined toxicity of mycotoxins, especially *Fusarium* toxins. Besides the regulated mycotoxins, an increasing number of studies are paying attention to mixtures involving the "emerging" ones. Considering the increasing attention given to modified mycotoxins; we can anticipate that their combined toxicity will be studied (Alassane-Kpembi *et al.*, 2015; Pierron *et al.*, 2015). Many methodological approaches have been used to explore the interactions in combined toxicity of mycotoxins. The main approaches are (i) the arithmetic definition of additivity, (ii) the factorial designs and (iii) the theoretical biology-based Combination index-isobologram method. A crucial issue for toxicodynamic interaction analysis is the statement of the non-interaction response. Factorial designs allow a reliable detection of departure from the additive response, while the Combination index-isobologram method makes it possible to determine the type of the interaction and to optionally quantify its magnitude. Only a few papers used these approaches for mycotoxin interaction analysis and most of them concern the combined toxicity of *Fusarium* toxins. Out of 35 publications only 13 used the isobologram approach and 4 used factorial designs.

Many biological models with different metabolic abilities along with various mycotoxin association patterns have been used. The biological models include human or animal primary cells or non-transformed or immortalized cell lines as well as prokaryote models. This review gathered the mycotoxins according to their producing fungi and indicates that *Fusarium* mycotoxins were the most studied. However, other mycotoxin combination strategies could be considered, as the mycotoxin co-occurrence patterns in commodities and the co-exposure

patterns reported in bio-monitoring studies indicate that humans and animals are exposed to a wide variety of mycotoxin combinations in real life.

The main conclusion from all these studies is that very few studies used a robust methodological approach for the analysis of the combined effect of mycotoxins, and the type of interaction in terms of additivity, synergy or antagonism varies accordingly with the mycotoxin combinations, and even with the concentrations tested. More studies employing the isobologram approach are needed to feed a reliable database for the interactions between mycotoxins. Several publications reported synergy, especially for *Fusarium* toxins, using the Combination indexisobologram method. These *in vitro* synergistic interactions should be confirmed *in vivo*.

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to Pr F. A. Abiola, Institut des Sciences Biomédicales Appliquées, Cotonou (Republic of Benin) for its continuous interest in this work. The authors thank Messrs. R. Hines and M. Roman for language editing.

Declaration of interest: This work was conducted within the scope of the FOODSEG project, funded by the European Union's 7th Framework Program. I.A.K. was supported by a doctoral fellowship from the Government of the Republic of Benin. Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abia, W.A., Warth, B., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., Tchana, A., Njobeh, P.B., Turner, P.C., Kouanfack, C., Eyongetah, M., Dutton, M., Moundipa, P.F., 2013. Bio-monitoring of mycotoxin exposure in Cameroon using a urinary multi-biomarker approach. *Food Chem Toxicol* 62, 927-934.
- Alassane-Kpembi, I., Kolf-Clauw, M., Gauthier, T., Abrami, R., Abiola, F.A., Oswald, I.P., Puel, O., 2013. New insights into mycotoxin mixtures: the toxicity of low doses of Type B trichothecenes on intestinal epithelial cells is synergistic. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 272, 191-198.
- Alassane-Kpembi, I., Puel, O., Oswald, I.P., 2015. Toxicological interactions between the mycotoxins deoxynivalenol, nivalenol and their acetylated derivatives in intestinal epithelial cells. *Arch Toxicol* **89**, 1337-1346.
- Ali, N., Sardjono, Yamashita, A., Yoshizawa, T., 1998. Natural co-occurrence of aflatoxins and Fusarium mycotoxins (fumonisins, deoxynivalenol, nivalenol and zearalenone) in corn from Indonesia. Food Addit Contam 15, 377-384.
- Almeida, M.I., Almeida, N.G., Carvalho, K.L., Goncalves, G.A., Silva, C.N., Santos, E.A., Garcia, J.C., Vargas, E.A., 2012. Co-occurrence of aflatoxins B(1), B(2), G(1) and G(2), ochratoxin A, zearalenone, deoxynivalenol, and citreoviridin in rice in Brazil. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess* **29**, 694-703.

- Anninou, N., Chatzaki, E., Papachristou, F., Pitiakoudis, M., Simopoulos, C., 2014. Mycotoxins' activity at toxic and sub-toxic concentrations: differential cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of single and combined administration of sterigmatocystin, ochratoxin A and citrinin on the hepatocellular cancer cell line Hep3B. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 11, 1855-1872.
- ATSDR, 2004. Guidance Manual for the Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of Chemical Mixtures. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, GA, pp. 63.
- Bennett, J.W., Klich, M., 2003. Mycotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev 16, 497-516.
- Bensassi, F., Gallerne, C., el Dein, O.S., Hajlaoui, M.R., Lemaire, C., Bacha, H., 2014. *In vitro* investigation of toxicological interactions between the fusariotoxins deoxynivalenol and zearalenone. *Toxicon* **84**, 1-6.
- Berenbaum, M.C., 1981. Criteria for Analyzing Interactions between Biologically-Active Agents. *Adv Cancer Res* **35**, 269-335.
- Bernhoft, A., Keblys, M., Morrison, E., Larsen, H.J.S., Flaoyen, A., 2004. Combined effects of selected *Penicillium* mycotoxins on in vitro proliferation of porcine lymphocytes. *Mycopathologia* **158**, 441-450.
- Bhat, A.S., Ahangar, A.A., 2007. Methods for detecting chemical-chemical interaction in toxicology. *Toxicol Mech Method* **17**, 441-450.

- Bianco, G., Fontanella, B., Severino, L., Quaroni, A., Autore, G., Marzocco, S., 2012a.
 Nivalenol and Deoxynivalenol Affect Rat Intestinal Epithelial Cells: A Concentration
 Related Study. *Plos One*7.
- Bianco, G., Russo, R., Marzocco, S., Velotto, S., Autore, G., Severino, L., 2012b. Modulation of macrophage activity by aflatoxins B₁ and B₂ and their metabolites aflatoxins M₁ and M₂.

 *Toxicon 59, 644-650.
- Binderup, M.-L., 2008. Combined toxic effects of multiple chemical exposures. Norwegian Scientific Committee for Food Safety, Uden navn.

 http://www.vkm.no/dav/15ec300082.pdf. Accessed on 19.09.2015
- Boedeker, W., Backhaus, T., 2010. The scientific assessment of combined effects of risk factors: different approaches in experimental biosciences and epidemiology. *Eur J Epidemiol* **25**, 539-546.
- Boeira, L.S., Bryce, J.H., Stewart, G.G., Flannigan, B., 2000. The effect of combinations of *Fusarium* mycotoxins (deoxynivalenol, zearalenone and fumonisin B-1) on growth of brewing yeasts. *J Appl Microbiol* **88**, 388-403.
- Bouaziz, C., Bouslimi, A., Kadri, R., Zaied, C., Bacha, H., Abid-Essefi, S., 2013. The *in vitro* effects of zearalenone and T-2 toxins on Vero cells. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* **65**, 497-501.
- Bouslimi, A., Bouaziz, C., Ayed-Boussema, I., Hassen, W., Bacha, H., 2008a. Individual and combined effects of ochratoxin A and citrinin on viability and DNA fragmentation in

- cultured Vero cells and on chromosome aberrations in mice bone marrow cells. *Toxicology* **251**, 1-7.
- Bouslimi, A., Ouannes, Z., El Golli, E., Bouaziz, C., Hassen, W., Bacha, H., 2008b. Cytotoxicity and oxidative damage in kidney cells exposed to the mycotoxins ochratoxin A and citrinin: Individual and combined effects. *Toxicol Mech Method* **18**, 341-349.
- Braicu, C., Berindan-Neagoe, I., Chedea, V.S., Balacescu, L., Brie, I., Soritau, O., Socaciu, C., Irimie, A., 2010. Individual and Combined Cytotoxic Effects of the Major Four Aflatoxins in Different *in Vitro* Stabilized Systems. *J Food Biochem* **34**, 1079-1090.
- Braunberg, R.C., Barton, C.N., Gantt, O.O., Friedman, L., 1994. Interaction of citrinin and ochratoxin A. *Nat Toxins* **2**, 124-131.
- Bruneau, J.C., Stack, E., O'Kennedy, R., Loscher, C.E., 2012. Aflatoxins B(1), B(2) and G(1) modulate cytokine secretion and cell surface marker expression in J774A.1 murine macrophages. *Toxicol In Vitro* **26**, 686-693.
- Carratu, M.R., Coluccia, A., Borracci, P., Mobio, T., Kouadio, J., Mouka, S., Creppy, E.E., 2005.

 Mycotoxin contamination of feedstuffs: *In vitro* synergistic effects of OTA and FBI on protein synthesis in Caco-2 cell line. *Toxicology Letters* **158**, S111-S111.
- Chang, P.K., Ehrlich, K.C., Fujii, I., 2009. Cyclopiazonic Acid Biosynthesis of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Toxins* **1**, 74-99.

- Chou, T.C., 2006. Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computerized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies. *Pharmacol Rev* **58**, 621-681.
- Chou, T.C., 2011. The mass-action law based algorithms for quantitative econo-green bioresearch. *Integr Biol-Uk* **3**, 548-559.
- Clarke, R., Connolly, L., Frizzell, C., Elliott, C.T., 2014. Cytotoxic assessment of the regulated, co-existing mycotoxins aflatoxin B1, fumonisin B1 and ochratoxin, in single, binary and tertiary mixtures. *Toxicon* **90**, 70-81.
- Clarke, R., Connolly, L., Frizzell, C., Elliott, C.T., 2015. High content analysis: a sensitive tool to detect and quantify the cytotoxic, synergistic and antagonistic effects of chemical contaminants in foods. *Toxicol Lett* **233**, 278-286.
- Corcuera, L.A., Arbillaga, L., Vettorazzi, A., Azqueta, A., de Cerain, A.L., 2011. Ochratoxin A reduces aflatoxin B1 induced DNA damage detected by the comet assay in Hep G2 cells. Food and Chemical *Toxicology* **49**, 2883-2889.
- Cortinovis, C., Caloni, F., Schreiber, N.B., Spicer, L.J., 2014. Effects of fumonisin B-1 alone and combined with deoxynivalenol or zearalenone on porcine granulosa cell proliferation and steroid production. *Theriogenology* **81**, 1042-1049.
- Creppy, E.E., Chiarappa, P., Baudrimont, P., Moukha, S., Carratu, M.R., 2004. Synergistic effects of fumonisin B-1 and ochratoxin A: are in vitro cytotoxicity data predictive of in vivo acute toxicity? *Toxicology* **201**, 115-123.

- Dombrink-Kurtzman, M.A., 2003. Fumonisin and beauvericin induce apoptosis in turkey peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Mycopathologia* **156**, 357-364.
- El Golli-Bennour, E., Kouidhi, B., Bouslimi, A., Abid-Essefi, S., Hassen, W., Bacha, H., 2010.

 Cytotoxicity and Genotoxicity Induced by Aflatoxin B1, Ochratoxin A and Their

 Combination in Cultured Vero Cells. J Biochem Mol Toxic **24**, 42-50.
- Ficheux, A.S., Sibiril, Y., Parent-Massin, D., 2012. Co-exposure of *Fusarium* mycotoxins: *In vitro* myelotoxicity assessment on human hematopoietic progenitors. *Toxicon* **60**, 1171-1179.
- Font, G., Franzova, P., Macakova, P., Juan-Garcia, A., Ruiz, M.J., 2009. Comparison of toxic effects on CHO-KL and VERO cells after individual and combined treatment with *Fusarium* mycotoxins, beauvericin, deoxynivalenol and the T-2 toxin. *Toxicol Lett* **189**, S74-S74.
- Fredlund, E., Gidlund, A., Sulyok, M., Borjesson, T., Krska, R., Olsen, M., Lindblad, M., 2013.

 Deoxynivalenol and other selected *Fusarium* toxins in Swedish oats--occurrence and correlation to specific *Fusarium* species. *Int J Food Microbiol* **167**, 276-283.
- Friedman, L., Gaines, D.W., Chi, R.K., Smith, M.C., Braunberg, R.C., Thorpe, C.W., 1997.

 Interaction of aflatoxins as measured by their biochemical action on rat liver slices and hepatocytes. *Tox Subst Mech* **16**, 15-41.

- Garon, D., Richard, E., Sage, L., Bouchart, V., Pottier, D., Lebailly, P., 2006. Mycoflora and multimycotoxin detection in corn silage: Experimental study. *J Agr Food Chem* 54, 3479-3484.
- Gayathri, L., Dhivya, R., Dhanasekaran, D., Periasamy, V.S., Alshatwi, A.A., Akbarsha, M.A., 2015. Hepatotoxic effect of ochratoxin A and citrinin, alone and in combination, and protective effect of vitamin E: *In vitro* study in HepG2 cell. *Food Chem Toxicol* 83, 151-163.
- Gennings, C., Carter, W.H., Campbell, E.D., Staniswalis, J.G., Martin, T.J., Martin, B.R., White, K.L., 1990. Isobolographic Characterization of Drug-Interactions Incorporating Biological Variability. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **252**, 208-217.
- Gerding, J., Ali, N., Schwartzbord, J., Cramer, B., Brown, D.L., Degen, G.H., Humpf, H.U., 2015. A comparative study of the human urinary mycotoxin excretion patterns in Bangladesh, Germany, and Haiti using a rapid and sensitive LC-MS/MS approach. *Mycotoxin Res* 31, 127-136.
- Gerding, J., Cramer, B., Humpf, H.U., 2014. Determination of mycotoxin exposure in Germany using an LC-MS/MS multibiomarker approach. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **58**, 2358-2368.
- Goldoni, M., Johansson, C., 2007. A mathematical approach to study combined effects of toxicants *in vitro*: Evaluation of the Bliss independence criterion and the Loewe additivity model. *Toxicol in Vitro* **21**, 759-769.

- Greco, W.R., Bravo, G., Parsons, J.C., 1995. The Search for Synergy a Critical-Review from a Response-Surface Perspective. *Pharmacol Rev* **47**, 331-385.
- Grenier, B., Oswald, I.P., 2011. Mycotoxin co-contamination of food and feed: meta-analysis of publications describing toxicological interactions. *World Mycotoxin J* **4**, 285-313.
- Groten, J.P., Feron, V.J., Suhnel, J., 2001. Toxicology of simple and complex mixtures. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **22**, 316-322.
- Groten, J.P., Schoen, E.D., Feron, V.J., 1996. Use of factorial designs in combination toxicity studies. *Food chem toxicol* **34**, 1083-1089.
- Groten, J.P., Tajima, O., Feron, V.J., Schoen, E.D., 1998. Statistically designed experiments to screen chemical mixtures for possible interactions. *Environ Health Persp* **106**, 1361-1365.
- He, C.H., Fan, Y.H., Wang, Y., Huang, C.Y., Wang, X.C., Zhang, H.B., 2010. The Individual and Combined Effects of Deoxynivalenol and Aflatoxin B-1 on Primary Hepatocytes of *Cyprinus carpio. Int J Mol Sci* **11**, 3760-3768.
- Herzog-Soares, J.D., Freire, R.B., 2004. Effect of citrinin and in association with aflatoxin B(1) on the infectivity and proliferation of *Toxoplasma gondii in vitro*. *Braz J Infect Dis* **8**, 101-108.

- Heussner, A.H., Dietrich, D.R., O'Brien, E., 2006. *In vitro* investigation of individual and combined cytotoxic effects of ochratoxin A and other selected mycotoxins on renal cells. *Toxicol In Vitro* **20**, 332-341.
- Ibanez-Vea, M., Gonzalez-Penas, E., Lizarraga, E., de Cerain, A. L., 2012. Co-occurrence of mycotoxins in Spanish barley: A statistical overview. *Food Control* **28**, 295-298.
- Jones, T.J., Koshinsky, H.A., Khachatourians, G.G., 1995. Effect of T-2 toxin and verrucarin A in combination on *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Nat Toxins* **3**, 104-108.
- Klaric, M.S., Cvetnic, Z., Pepeljnjak, S., Kosalec, I., 2009. Co-occurrence of aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, fumonisins, and zearalenone in cereals and feed, determined by competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and thin-layer chromatography. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* **60**, 427-434.
- Klaric, M.S., Darabos, D., Rozgaj, R., Kasuba, V., Pepeljnjak, S., 2010. Beauvericin and ochratoxin A genotoxicity evaluated using the alkaline comet assay: single and combined genotoxic action. *Arch Toxicol* **84**, 641-650.
- Klaric, M.S., Pepeljnjak, S., Domijan, A.M., Petrik, J., 2007. Lipid peroxidation and glutathione levels in porcine kidney PK15 cells after individual and combined treatment with fumonisin B-1, beauvericin and ochratoxin A. *Basic Clin Pharmacol* **100**, 157-164.
- Klaric, M.S., Pepeljnjak, S., Rozgaj, R., 2008a. Genotoxicity of fumonisin B(1), beauvericin and ochratoxin A in porcine kidney PK15 cells: Effects of individual and combined treatment. *Croat Chem Acta* **81**, 139-146.

- Klaric, M.S., Rasic, D., Peraica, M., 2013. Deleterious Effects of Mycotoxin Combinations Involving Ochratoxin A. *Toxins* **5**, 1965-1987.
- Klaric, M.S., Rumora, L., Ljubanovic, D., Pepeljnjak, S., 2008b. Cytotoxicity and apoptosis induced by fumonisin B(1), beauvericin and ochratoxin A in porcine kidney PK15 cells: effects of individual and combined treatment. *Arch Toxicol* **82**, 247-255.
- Klaric, M.S., Zeljezic, D., Rumora, L., Peraica, M., Pepeljnjak, S., Domijan, A.M., 2012. A potential role of calcium in apoptosis and aberrant chromatin forms in porcine kidney PK15 cells induced by individual and combined ochratoxin A and citrinin. *Arch Toxicol* **86**, 97-107.
- Knasmuller, S., Cavin, C., Chakraborty, A., Darroudi, F., Majer, B.J., Huber, W.W., Ehrlich, V.A., 2004. Structurally related mycotoxins ochratoxin A, ochratoxin B, and citrinin differ in their genotoxic activities and in their mode of action in human-derived liver (HepG2) cells: Implications for risk assessment. *Nutr Cancer* 50, 190-197.
- Knecht, A., Schwerdt, G., Gekle, M., Humpf, H.U., 2005. Combinatory effects of citrinin and ochratoxin A in immortalized human proximal tubule cells. *Mycotoxin Res* **21**, 176-181.
- Kolf-Clauw, M., Sassahara, M., Lucioli, J., Rubira-Gerez, J., Alassane-Kpembi, I., Lyazhri, F., Borin, C., Oswald, I.P., 2013. The emerging mycotoxin, enniatin B1, down-modulates the gastrointestinal toxicity of T-2 toxin in vitro on intestinal epithelial cells and ex vivo on intestinal explants. *Arch Toxicol* 87, 2233-2241.

- Kortenkamp, A., Backhaus, T., Faust, M., 2009. State of the Art on Mixture Toxicity. Final report. pp. 31.

 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/effects/pdf/report_mixture_toxicity.pdf.
 - Accessed on 27.05.2015
- Koshinsky, H.A., Khachatourians, G.G., 1992. Trichothecene synergism, additivity, and antagonism: the significance of the maximally quiescent ratio. *Nat Toxins* **1**, 38-47.
- Kouadio, J.H., Dano, S.D., Moukha, S., Mobio, T.A., Creppy, E.E., 2007. Effects of combinations of Fusarium mycotoxins on the inhibition of macromolecular synthesis, malondialdehyde levels, DNA methylation and fragmentation, and viability in Caco-2 cells. *Toxicon* 49, 306-317.
- Kpodo, K., Sorensen, A.K., Jakobsen, M., 1996. The occurrence of mycotoxins in fermented maize products. *Food Chem* **56**, 147-153.
- Kuilman-Wahls, M.E., Vilar, M.S., de Nijs-Tjon, L., Maas, R.F., Fink-Gremmels, J., 2002.Cyclopiazonic acid inhibits mutagenic action of aflatoxin B(1). *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 11, 207-212.
- Lee, Y.J., Hagler, W.M., 1991. Aflatoxin and Cyclopiazonic Acid Production by *Aspergillus flavus* Isolated from Contaminated Maize. *J Food Sci* **56**, 871-873.
- Lei, M.Y., Zhang, N.Y., Qi, D.S., 2013. *In vitro* investigation of individual and combined cytotoxic effects of aflatoxin B₁ and other selected mycotoxins on the cell line Porcine Kidney 15. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* **65**, 1149-1157.

- Li, Y., Zhang, B., He, X., Cheng, W.H., Xu, W., Luo, Y., Liang, R., Luo, H., Huang, K., 2014.

 Analysis of individual and combined effects of ochratoxin A and zearalenone on HepG2 and KK-1 cells with mathematical models. *Toxins (Basel)* **6**, 1177-1192.
- Lu, H., Fernandez-Franzon, M., Font, G., Ruiz, M.J., 2013. Toxicity evaluation of individual and mixed enniatins using an in vitro method with CHO-K1 cells. *Toxicol in Vitro* **27**, 672-680.
- Luongo, D., De Luna, R., Russo, R., Severino, L., 2008. Effects of four Fusarium toxins (fumonisin B(1), alpha-zearalenol, nivalenol and deoxynivalenol) on porcine whole-blood cellular proliferation. *Toxicon* **52**, 156-162.
- Luongo, D., Severino, L., Bergamo, P., De Luna, R., Lucisano, A., Rossi, M., 2006. Interactive effects of fumonisin B₁ and alpha-zearalenol on proliferation and cytokine expression in Jurkat T cells. *Toxicol in Vitro* **20**, 1403-1410.
- Malekinejad, H., Schoevers, E.J., Daemen, I.J.J.M., Zijistra, C., Colenbrander, B., Fink-Gremmels, J., Roelen, B.A.J., 2007. Exposure of oocytes to the Fusarium toxins zearalenone and deoxynivalenol causes aneuploidy and abnormal embryo development in pigs. *Biol Reprod* 77, 840-847.
- Manderville, R.A., Pfohl-Leszkowicz, A., 2008. Bioactivation and DNA adduction as a rationale for ochratoxin A carcinogenesis. *World Mycotoxin J* **1**, 357-367.

- Marzocco, S., Russo, R., Bianco, G., Autore, G., Severino, L., 2009. Pro-apoptotic effects of nivalenol and deoxynivalenol trichothecenes in J774A.1 murine macrophages. *Toxicol Letters* 189, 21-26.
- McKean, C., Tang, L., Billam, M., Tang, M., Theodorakis, C.W., Kendall, R.J., Wang, J.S., 2006a. Comparative acute and combinative toxicity of aflatoxin B₁ and T-2 toxin in animals and immortalized human cell lines. *J Appl Toxicol* **26**, 139-147.
- McKean, C., Tang, L., Tang, M., Billam, M., Wang, Z., Theodorakis, C.W., Kendall, R.J., Wang, J.S., 2006b. Comparative acute and combinative toxicity of aflatoxin B₁ and fumonisin B₁ in animals and human cells. *Food Chem Toxicol* **44**, 868-876.
- Milicevic, D. R., Skrinjar, M., Baltic, T., 2010. Real and perceived risks for mycotoxin contamination in foods and feeds: challenges for food safety control. *Toxins (Basel)* **2**, 572-592.
- Mueller, A., Schlink, U., Wichmann, G., Bauer, M., Graebsch, C., Schuurmann, G., Herbarth,O., 2013. Individual and combined effects of mycotoxins from typical indoor moulds.*Toxicol in Vitro* 27, 1970-1978.
- Mwanza, M., Kametler, L., Bonai, A., Rajli, V., Kovacs, M., Dutton, M.F., 2009. The cytotoxic effect of fumonisin B₁ and ochratoxin A on human and pig lymphocytes using the Methyl Thiazol Tetrazolium (MTT) assay. *Mycotoxin Res* **25**, 233-238.

- Nguyen, M.T., Tozlovanu, M., Tran, T.L., Pfohl-Leszkowicz, A., 2007. Occurrence of aflatoxin B₁, citrinin and ochratoxin A in rice in five provinces of the central region of Vietnam. *Food Chem* **105**, 42-47.
- Njobeh, P.B., Dutton, M.F., Aberg, A.T., Haggblom, P., 2012. Estimation of multi-mycotoxin contamination in South African compound feeds. *Toxins (Basel)* **4**, 836-848.
- Pfohl-Leszkowicz, A., Molinie, A., Tozlovanu, M., Manderville, R.A., 2008. Combined Toxic Effects of Ochratoxin A and Citrinin, *In Vivo* and *In Vitro*. In: Food Contaminants: Mycotoxins and Food Allergens, pp. 56-79.
- Pierron, A., Mimoun, S., Murate, L. S., Loiseau, N., Lippi, Y., Bracarense, A. F., Liaubet, L., Schatzmayr, G., Berthiller, F., Moll, W. D., Oswald, I. P., 2015. Intestinal toxicity of the masked mycotoxin deoxynivalenol-3-beta-D-glucoside. *Arch Toxicol.* in press. doi:10.1007/s00204-015-1592-8
- Pildain, M.B., Frisvad, J.C., Vaamonde, G., Cabral, D., Varga, J., Samson, R.A., 2008. Two novel aflatoxin-producing *Aspergillus* species from Argentinean peanuts. *Int J Syst Evol Micr* 58, 725-735.
- Placinta, C.M., D'Mello, J.P.F., Macdonald, A.M.C., 1999. A review of worldwide contamination of cereal grains and animal feed with *Fusarium* mycotoxins. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* **78**, 21-37.

- Prosperini, A., Font, G., Ruiz, M.J., 2014. Interaction effects of Fusarium enniatins (A, A-1, B and B-1) combinations *on in vitro* cytotoxicity of Caco-2 cells. *Toxicol in Vitro* **28**, 88-94.
- Ranzenigo, G., Caloni, F., Cremonesi, F., Aad, P.Y., Spicer, L.J., 2008. Effects of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on steroid production by porcine granulosa cells. *Anim Reprod Sci* **107**, 115-130.
- Ribeiro, D.H.B., Ferreira, F.L., da Silva, V.N., Aquino, S., Correa, B., 2010. Effects of Aflatoxin B-1 and Fumonisin B-1 on the Viability and Induction of Apoptosis in Rat Primary Hepatocytes. *Int J Mol Sci* **11**, 1944-1955.
- Richard, E., Heutte, N., Bouchart, V., Garon, D., 2009. Evaluation of fungal contamination and mycotoxin production in maize silage. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* **148**, 309-320.
- Rimando, A.M., Porter, J.K., 1999. Effects of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on levels of serotonin, melatonin, and 5-hydroxytryptophan in pineal cell cultures. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **467**, 425-431.
- Rodrigues, I., Naehrer, K., 2012. A Three-Year Survey on the Worldwide Occurrence of Mycotoxins in Feedstuffs and Feed. *Toxins* **4**, 663-675.
- Roth, A., Creppy, E.E., Kane, A., Bacha, H., Steyn, P.S., Roschenthaler, R., Dirheimer, G., 1989.

 Influence of ochratoxin B on the ochratoxin A inhibition of phenylalanyl-tRNA formation *in vitro* and protein synthesis in hepatoma tissue culture cells. *Toxicol Lett* **45**, 307-313.

- Ruiz, M.J., Franzova, P., Juan-Garcia, A., Font, G., 2011a. Toxicological interactions between the mycotoxins beauvericin, deoxynivalenol and T-2 toxin in CHO-K1 cells *in vitro*. *Toxicon* **58**, 315-326.
- Ruiz, M.J., Macakova, P., Juan-Garcia, A., Font, G., 2011b. Cytotoxic effects of mycotoxin combinations in mammalian kidney cells. *Food Chem Toxicol* **49**, 2718-2724.
- Russo, R., Bianco, G., Marzocco, S., De Simone, A., Autore, G., Severino, L., 2010. Effects of aflatoxin M₁ and aflatoxin M₂ on J774A.1 murine macrophage cell line. *Toxicol Lett* **196**, S201-S201.
- Russo, R., Marzocco, S., Bianco, G., Velotto, S., Autore, G., Severino, L., 2011. Aflatoxins interfere in J774A.1 murine macrophages activation. *Toxicol Lett* **205**, S150-S150.
- SCF, 2002. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on Fusarium toxins. Part 6: Group evaluation of T-2 toxin, HT-2 toxin, nivalenol and deoxynivalenol. In DIRECTORATE-GENERAL, E.C.H.C.P., (Ed.). EUROPEAN COMMISSION, Brussel Belgium, pp. 12pp.
- Schothorst, R.C., van Egmond, H.P., 2004. Report from SCOOP task 3.2.10 "collection of occurrence data of *Fusarium* toxins in food and assessment of dietary intake by the population of EU member states" Subtask: trichothecenes. *Toxicol Lett* **153**, 133-143.
- Sedmikova, M., Reisnerova, H., Dufkova, Z., Barta, I., Jilek, F., 2001. Potential hazard of simultaneous occurrence of aflatoxin B-1 and ochratoxin A. *Vet Med-Czech* **46**, 169-174.

- Severino, L., Luongo, D., Bergamo, P., Lucisano, A., Rossi, M., 2006. Mycotoxins nivalenol and deoxynivalenol differentially modulate cytokine mRNA expression in Jurkat T cells. *Cytokine* **36**, 75-82.
- Severino, L., Russo, R., Luongo, D., De Luna, R., Ciarcia, R., Rossi, M., 2008. Immune effects of four *Fusarium* toxins (FB1, ZEA, NIV, DON) on the proliferation of Jurkat cells and porcine lymphocytes: *in vitro* study. *Vet Res Commun* **32**, S311-S313.
- Shirima, C.P., Kimanya, M.E., Kinabo, J.L., Routledge, M.N., Srey, C., Wild, C.P., Gong, Y.Y., 2013. Dietary exposure to aflatoxin and fumonisin among Tanzanian children as determined using biomarkers of exposure. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 57, 1874-1881.
- Silva, E., Rajapakse, N., Kortenkamp, A., 2002. Something from "nothing" Eight weak estrogenic chemicals combined at concentrations below NOECs produce significant mixture effects. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**, 1751-1756.
- Smerak, P., Barta, I., Polivkova, Z., Bartova, J., Sedmikova, M., 2001. Mutagenic effects of selected tichothecene mycotoxins and their combinantions with aflatoxin B₁. *Czech J Food Sci* **19**, 90-96.
- Solfrizzo, M., Gambacorta, L., Visconti, A., 2014. Assessment of multi-mycotoxin exposure in southern Italy by urinary multi-biomarker determination. *Toxins (Basel)* **6**, 523-538.
- Srey, C., Kimanya, M.E., Routledge, M.N., Shirima, C.P., Gong, Y.Y., 2014. Deoxynivalenol exposure assessment in young children in Tanzania. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **58**, 1574-1580.

- Stoev, S., Denev, S., Dutton, M., Nkosi, B., 2009. Cytotoxic Effect of Some Mycotoxins and their Combinations on Human Periperal Blood Cells as Measured by the MTT assay with aflatoxin B₁. *Open Toxinology* J **2**, 1-8.
- Streit, E., Naehrer, K., Rodrigues, I., Schatzmayr, G., 2013a. Mycotoxin occurrence in feed and feed raw materials worldwide: long-term analysis with special focus on Europe and Asia. *J Sci Food Agric* 93, 2892-2899.
- Streit, E., Schatzmayr, G., Tassis, P., Tzika, E., Marin, D., Taranu, I., Tabuc, C., Nicolau, A., Aprodu, I., Puel, O., Oswald, I.P., 2012. Current situation of mycotoxin contamination and co-occurrence in animal feed--focus on Europe. *Toxins (Basel)* **4**, 788-809.
- Streit, E., Schwab, C., Sulyok, M., Naehrer, K., Krska, R., Schatzmayr, G., 2013b. Multimycotoxin screening reveals the occurrence of 139 different secondary metabolites in feed and feed ingredients. *Toxins (Basel)* **5**, 504-523.
- Suhnel, J., 1996. Zero interaction response surfaces for combined-action assessment. *Food Chem Toxicol* **34**, 1151-1153.
- Sun, L.H., Lei, M.Y., Zhang, N.Y., Gao, X., Li, C., Krumm, C.S., Qi, D.S., 2015. Individual and combined cytotoxic effects of aflatoxin B₁, zearalenone, deoxynivalenol and fumonisin B₁ on BRL 3A rat liver cells. *Toxicon* 95, 6-12.
- Tajima, O., Schoen, E.D., Feron, V.J., Groten, J.P., 2002. Statistically designed experiments in a tiered approach to screen mixtures of Fusarium mycotoxins for possible interactions. *Food Chem Toxicol* **40**, 685-695.

- Tallarida, R.J., 2011. Quantitative methods for assessing drug synergism. *Genes Cancer* **2**, 1003-1008.
- Tammer, B., Lehmann, I., Nieber, K., Altenburger, R., 2007. Combined effects of mycotoxin mixtures on human T cell function. *Toxicol Lett* **170**, 124-133.
- Tatay, E., Meca, G., Font, G., Ruiz, M.J., 2014. Interactive effects of zearalenone and its metabolites on cytotoxicity and metabolization in ovarian CHO-K1 cells. *Toxicol In Vitro* 28, 95-103.
- Theumer, M.G., Canepa, M.C., Lopez, A.G., Mary, V.S., Dambolena, J.S., Rubinstein, H.R., 2010. Subchronic mycotoxicoses in Wistar rats: assessment of the *in vivo* and *in vitro* genotoxicity induced by fumonisins and aflatoxin B(1), and oxidative stress biomarkers status. *Toxicology* **268**, 104-110.
- Theumer, M.G., Lopez, A.G., Masih, D.T., Chulze, S.N., Rubinstein, H.R., 2003. Immunobiological effects of AFB₁ and AFB₁-FB₁ mixture in experimental subchronic mycotoxicoses in rats. *Toxicology* **186**, 159-170.
- Thompson, W.L., Wannemacher, R.W., Jr., 1986. Structure-function relationships of 12,13-epoxytrichothecene mycotoxins in cell culture: comparison to whole animal lethality.

 *Toxicon 24, 985-994.
- Thuvander, A., Wikman, C., Gadhasson, I., 1999. *In vitro* exposure of human lymphocytes to trichothecenes: individual variation in sensitivity and effects of combined exposure on lymphocyte function. *Food Chem Toxicol* **37**, 639-648.

- Urano, T., Trucksess, M.W., Beaver, R.W., Wilson, D.M., Dorner, J.W., Dowell, F.E., 1992.

 Cooccurrence of Cyclopiazonic Acid and Aflatoxins in Corn and Peanuts. *J Aoac Int* **75**, 838-841.
- Vilar, M.S., Kuilman-Wahls, M.E.M., Fink-Gremmels, J., 2003. Inhibition of aflatoxin B-1 mutagenicity by cyclopiazonic acid in the presence of human liver preparations. *Toxicol Lett* 143, 291-299.
- Wan, L.Y.M., Turner, P.C., El-Nezami, H., 2013a. Individual and combined cytotoxic effects of Fusarium toxins (deoxynivalenol, nivalenol, zearalenone and fumonisins B₁) on swine jejunal epithelial cells. Food Chem Toxicol 57, 276-283.
- Wan, L.Y.M., Woo, C.S.J., Turner, P.C., Wan, J.M.F., El-Nezami, H., 2013b. Individual and combined effects of *Fusarium* toxins on the mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in swine jejunal epithelial cells. *Toxicol Lett* **220**, 238-246.
- Wan, M.L.Y., Woo, C.S.J., Allen, K.J., Turner, P.C., El-Nezami, H., 2013c. Modulation of Porcine beta-Defensins 1 and 2 upon Individual and Combined *Fusarium* Toxin Exposure in a Swine Jejunal Epithelial Cell Line. *Appl Environ Microb* **79**, 2225-2232.
- Wang, H.W., Wang, J.Q., Zheng, B.Q., Li, S.L., Zhang, Y.D., Li, F.D., Zheng, N., 2014.
 Cytotoxicity induced by ochratoxin A, zearalenone, and alpha-zearalenol: effects of individual and combined treatment. *Food Chem Toxicol* 71, 217-224.
- Warth, B., Parich, A., Atehnkeng, J., Bandyopadhyay, R., Schuhmacher, R., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., 2012. Quantitation of mycotoxins in food and feed from Burkina Faso and

- Mozambique using a modern LC-MS/MS multitoxin method. *J Agric Food Chem* **60**, 9352-9363.
- Weber, F., Freudinger, R., Schwerdt, G., Gekle, M., 2005. A rapid screening method to test apoptotic synergisms of ochratoxin A with other nephrotoxic substances. *Toxicol in Vitro* **19**, 135-143.
- Wild, C.P., Montesano, R., 2009. A model of interaction: Aflatoxins and hepatitis viruses in liver cancer aetiology and prevention. *Cancer Lett* **286**, 22-28.
- Wurgler, F.E., Friederich, U., Schlatter, J., 1991. Lack of Mutagenicity of Ochratoxin-A and Ochratoxin-B, Citrinin, Patulin and Cnestine in *Salmonella*-Typhimurium TA102. *Mutat Res* **261**, 209-216.
- Yates, I.E., Cole, R.J., Giles, J.L., Dorner, J.W., 1987. Interaction of aflatoxin B₁ and cyclopiazonic acid toxicities. *Mol Toxicol* **1**, 95-106.

Table 1: Selected mycotoxins' co-exposure/co-occurrence patterns reported worldwide

Co-exposure/ Co- contamination	Sampling	Methodological approach	References
patterns		J.P. C.	
DON-ENNB-	Urine samples from	LC-MS/MS urinary	(Gerding et al.,
ZEA;	101 German	multi-biomarker	2014)
DON-CIT-T2;	adult volunteers	approach	
DON-CIT;			
DON-ZEA;			
DON-ENNB			
DON-CIT-OTA-	Urine samples from		
FB ₁ ;	adult volunteers:		
DON-CIT-OTA;	voidileers.		
DON-OTA-ENNB			
CIT-OTA-ENNB;			
CIT-OTA-FB ₁ ;			
AFM ₁ -CIT-OTA;			
AFM ₁ -CIT-DON;			
ENNB-OTA;			
DON-OTA;			
CIT-OTA;			
CIT-FB ₁ ;			
CIT-ENNB			
AFM ₁ -CIT			

-95 Bangladeshis LC-MS/MS urinary (Gerding et al., multi-biomarker 2015) approach -50 Germans -142 Haitians DON-ZEA-FB₁-Urine samples from LC-MS/MS urinary (Solfrizzo et al., OTA-AFB₁ 52 Italian adult multi-biomarker 2014) volunteers approach AFB₁-FB₁-DON Blood and urine Albumin ELISA, (Shirima et al., HPLC and samples from 2013; Srey et 148 Tanzanian HPLC/MS al., 2014) children aged 12-22 months AFM₁-FB₁-OTA-Urine samples from LC-MS/MS urinary (Abia et al., 2013) DON-NIV; 175 multi-biomarker Cameroonian approach FB₁-FB₂-OTA-HIV-positive and HIV-NIV; negative adult volunteers FB₁-DON-NIV; DON-ZEA-NIV; **OTA-NIV** LC-MS/MS multi-122 maize, millet, (Warth et al., 2012) AFB₁-AFB₂-AFG₁-AFG₂-FB₁-FB₂infant food and toxin method ...-BEA-DONfeed samples **NIV-ZEA**from Burkina CIT-FA-Faso and ENNB₁; Mozambique $AFB_1-AFB_2-... FB_1$ -...-OTA-**BEA-STER-ZEA** FBs-DON-ZEA-92 commercial LC-MS/MS multi-(Njobeh et al., **AFs-OTA** compound feeds toxin method 2012) from South Africa

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

AFs-ZEA; 37 randomly ELISA and Thin-(Klaric et al., 2009) collected cereal layer and feed chromatography AFs-OTA; samples from households in **OTA-ZEA**; endemic nephropathy FBs-ZEA areas (Croatia) **DON-NIV-BEA-**93 oat samples HPLC/ESI-MS/MS (Fredlund et al., collected in 2013) **ENNs** 2010 and 2011 from field trials and grain delivery stations in central and southern Sweden

^{*}Abbreviations used: AFs= aflatoxins,BEA= beauvericin, CIT= citrinin, DON= deoxynivalenol, ENN= enniatin, FA= fusaric acid, FBs= fumonisins, NIV= nivalenol, OTA= ochratoxin A, STER= sterigmatocystin, ZEA= zearalenone

Table 2: Interactions between aflatoxins

Mycoto	Interact	Cell	Study	Endpoint	Combine	Referen
xin	ion	mode	desi		d	ce
asso	mo	1	gn		effect	
ciati	del					
on*						
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	J774A.1	Compari	Cytokine	Alleged	(Brune
AF	etic	muri	son	secre	syner	au
B ₂ -	def	ne	of	tion	gy	et
AF	init	macr	com		AFB_1	al.,
$\mathbf{G_1}$	ion	opha	binat		-	201
	of	ges	ion		AFB_2	2)
	add		treat		at the	
	itiv		ment		lower	
	ity		with		dose	
			0.01		for	
			ng/		incre	
			mL		ase in	
			AFB		IL-6	
			1 +		secret	
			0.01		ion	
			ng/			
			mL			
			AFB			
			₂ to			
			treat			
			ment			
			with			
			AFB			
			1 or			
			AFB			
			2			
			alon			
A IFD	Arithm	Human	e	Cell	Allogad	(Project
AFB ₁ - AF	Arithin	Human umbi	Com	viabi	Alleged	(Braicu <i>et</i>
B ₂ -	def	lical	paris	lity	syner	al.,
AF	init	vein	on	пту	$\begin{array}{c} \text{gy} \\ \text{AFB}_1 \end{array}$	201
G ₁ -	ion	endot	of		Al D ₁	0)
AF	of	helial	IC_{50}		AFB_2	0)
G_2	add	cells	valu		in	
	itiv	(HU	es		HUV	
	ity	VEC	for		EC	
	пу),	mixt		cells,	
		huma	ures		additi	
		n	and		vity	
		lung	indi		(AFB	
		iung	mul		(ALD	

		fibro	vidu		1 - AFB $_2$	
		blast	al		AFB_2	
		S	toxi		- 	
		(HFL	ns		AFG_1	
),			-	
		and			AFG_2	
		A278) in	
		0			HFL	
					and	
					A278	
					0	
AFB ₁ -	Two-	Rat	Compari	RNA	No	(Fried
AF	wa	hepat	son	synt	intera	ma
B ₂ -	У	ocyte	of	hesis	ction	n <i>et</i>
AF	AN	s, rat	the	,	AFB_1	al.,
G_1	OV	liver	effec	mem	-	199
	A	slices	ts of	bran	AFB_2	7)
			seria	e	,	
			1	integ	undet	
			dilut	rity	ermin	
			ions		ed	
			of		intera	
			AFB		ction	
			₁ (0-		AFB_1	
			480		-	
			ng/		AFG_1	
			mL)			
			in			
			pres			
			ence			
			or			
			abse			
			nce			
			$\mathop{\rm of}_{\mathop{\sf AFB}_2}$			
			at 120			
			ng/			
			mL, and			
			com			
			paris			
			on			
			of			
			the			
			effec			
			ts of			
			seria			
			1			
			dilut			

	-					
			ions			
			of			
			AFB			
			₁ (0-			
			480			
			ng/			
			mL)			
			in			
			pres			
			ence			
			or			
			abse			
			nce			
			of			
			AFG ₁			
			at 120 ng/ mL			
			or 240			
A ED	Arithm	J7741.A	ng/mL	Cell	Ctmom oor	(D
AFB ₁ -			Compari	viabi	Stronger effect	(Russo
AF	etic def	muri	son of		s of	et al
$egin{array}{c} {f B_2} - \ {f AF} \end{array}$	init	ne	the	lity, activ	mixtu	<i>al.</i> , 201
		macr	effec			
M ₁ -	ion	opha		ation of	res	1)
AF M	of	ges	ts of		comp	
\mathbf{M}_2	add		seria	macr	ared	
	itiv		1	opha	to :dissi	
	ity		dilut	gic	indivi	
			ions of	funct	dual	
				ions	toxin	
			indi	(Nitr	S	
			vidu al	ic	sugge	
				oxid	sting	
			toxi	e prod	intera	
			ns and	prod uctio	ctions	
			their	n)		
				11)		
			com binat			
			ion			
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	J774A.1	Compari	Cell	Stronger	(Bianco
AFD ₁ - AF	etic	muri	son	viabi	effect	(Blaffed et
Аг В ₂ -	def	ne	of	lity,	s of	al.,
\mathbf{AF}	init	macr	IC_{30}	apop	s oi mixtu	201
Аг М ₁ -	ion	opha	valu	tosis,	res	201 2b)
AF	of	_		inhib		20)
	add	ges	es for	ition	comp	
\mathbf{M}_2	itiv		viabi	of	ared	
					to indivi	
	ity		lity	nitric	indivi	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			redu	oxid	dual	
			ction	e	toxin	
			for	prod	S	
			indi	uctio	sugge	
			vidu	n	sting	
			al		intera	
			toxi		ctions	
			ns			
			and			
			mixt			
			ures,			
			com			
			paris			
			on			
			of			
			nitri			
			c			
			oxid			
			e			
			prod			
			uctio			
			n inhi			
			bitio			
			n by			
			grad			
			ed			
			level			
			s of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al .			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			mixt			
			ures			
AFM ₁ -	Arithm	J7741.A	Compari	Cell	No	(Russo
AF	etic	muri	son	viabi	intera	et
$\mathbf{M_2}$	def	ne	of	lity,	ction	al.,
	init	macr	the	activ		201
	ion	opha	effec	ation		0)
	of	ges	ts of	of		
	add		seria	macr		
	itiv		1	opha		
	ity		dilut	gic		
	-		ions	funct		
			of	ions		

indi (Nitr vidu ic al oxid toxi e prod nsand uctio their n) com binat

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ion

^{*}Abbreviations used: AFB_1 = aflatoxin B_1 , AFB_2 = aflatoxin B_2 , AFG_1 = aflatoxin G_1 , AFG_2 =aflatoxin G_2 , AFM_1 =aflatoxin M_1 , AFM_2 =aflatoxin M_2

Table 3: Interactions between Aflatoxin B_1 and carcinogenic or possibly carcinogenic mycotoxins

Mycoto	Intera	Cell	Study	Endpoint*	Combine	Referen
xin	cti	mod	desig		d	ce
ass	on	el	n		effec	
oci	m				t	
atio	od					
n*	el					
AFB ₁ -	Intera	Human	Dose-	Cell	Demonst	(McKe
FB	cti	hepa	respo	viabilit	rated	an
1	on	toma	nse	y	addit	et
	in	cells	curve		ivity	al.,
	de	(Hep	s and		BEA	200
	X	G2),	deter		S-	6b)
	m	Hum	mina		2B,	
	etr	an	tion		anta	
	ic	bron	of		goni	
		chial	IC_{50}		sm	
		epith	value		Нер	
		elial	s for		G2	
		cells	indiv			
		(BE	idual			
		AS-	toxin			
		2B)	s and			
		,	their			
			mixt			
			ure			
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Rat		Cell	No	(Ribeir
FB	m	prim	Com	viabilit	toxic	o et
1	eti	ary	paris	y, DNA	ity	al.,
	c	hepa	on of	fragme	enha	201
	de	tocyt	the	ntation	nce	0)
	fin	es	toxic	and	ment	,
	iti	cultu	effec	apoptos		
	on	re	ts of	is		
	of		indiv			
	ad		idual			
	dit		myco			
	ivi		toxin			
	ty		and			
			mixt			
			ure			
			doses			
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Rat		Mitogenic	Differen	(Theu
FB	m	Wist	Com	respons	ces	me
1	eti	ar	paris	e and	in	r et
1	Cti	41	Paris	c and	***	100

		1	C	. 1.	.1	7
	c	sple	on of	cytokin	the	al.,
	de	en	the	es (IL-	effec	200
	fin	mon	effec	2, IL-4,	ts	3)
	iti	onuc	ts of	IL-10)	prod	
	on	lear	20	product	uced	
	of	cells	μmol	ion of	by a	
	ad	(SM	/L	SMC	mixt	
	dit	C)	AFB	and	ure	
	ivi	and	1 and	H_2O_2	of	
	ty	adhe	10	release	myc	
		rent	μmol	of APC	otoxi	
		perit	/L		ns in	
		onea	FB_1 ,		com	
		1	to		paris	
		cells	the		on to	
		(AP	effec		the	
		(C)	ts of		indiv	
		٥)	a		idual	
			mixt		actio	
			ure		n of	
			20μ		the	
			mol/		same	
			L		toxin	
			AFB			
					S	
			1 + 10			
			μmol			
			/L			
A 7570	A 1.1	ъ.	FB ₁	Q	NT.	(TD)
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Rat		Genotoxicit	No	(Theu
FB	m	Wist	Com	у	diffe	me
1	eti	ar	paris	(alkalin	renc	r <i>et</i>
	c	sple	on of	e comet	e in	al.,
	de	en	the	assay	DN	201
	fin	mon	indiv	and	A	0)
	iti	onuc	idual	micron	injur	
	on	lear	effec	uclei	y, no	
	of	cells	ts of	assay)	diffe	
	ad	(SM	20	and	renc	
	dit	C)	μg/m	oxidati	e in	
	ivi		L	ve	MD	
	ty		FB_1	stress	A	
	_		and	(malon	level	
			10	dialdeh	s,	
			μg/m	yde	high	
			L	(MDA)	er	
			AFB	levels,	CAT	
			₁ to	catalase	and	
			1 10	Catalase	und	

T						
			the	(CAT)	SOD	
			effec	and	activ	
			ts of	superox	ities	
			a	ide	in	
			mixt	dismuta	AFB	
			ure	se	1	
			of 20	(SOD)	indiv	
			μg/m	activitie	idual	
			L	s)	treat	
			FB_1		ment	
			+ 10		com	
			μg/m		pare	
			L		d to	
			AFB		FB_1 ,	
			1		and	
					the	
					mixt	
					ure	
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Human		Cytotoxicit	Alleged	(Corcu
OT	m	hepa	Com	y and	addit	era
A	eti	toma	paris	genotox	ive	et
	c	Нер	on of	icity	effec	al.,
	de	G2	IC_{50}		t for	201
	fin	cells	value		cytot	1)
	iti		s for		oxict	
	on		mixt		у,	
	of		ures		anta	
	ad		and		goni	
	dit		indiv		sm	
	ivi		idual		for	
	ty		toxin		geno	
			S		toxic	
	_				ity	
AFB ₁ -	Brown	Monkey	Calculati	Cytotoxicit	Alleged	(El
OT	Int	kidn	on of	y and	addit	Gol
A	er	ey	a	genotox	ivity	li-
	ac	Vero	ratio	icity		Be
	tio	cells	of			nno
	n		expe			ur
	in		cted			et
	de		to			al.,
	X		obser			201
			ved			0)
			IC_{50}			
			for			
			the			
			mixt			
			ure			

AFB ₁ -	Arith	Salmone	Compari	Mutagenic	Significa	(Sedmi
\mathbf{OT}	m	lla	son	activity	nt	kov
A	eti	Тур	of	activity	incre	a et
2.8.	c	him	the		ase	al.,
	de	uriu	muta		of	200
	fin	m	genic		the	1)
	iti	strai	activi		muta	1)
	on	ns	ty for		geni	
	of	TA	serial		c	
	ad	100	diluti		activ	
	dit	and	ons		ity	
	ivi	TA	of		of	
		98	indiv		AFB	
	ty	96	idual			
			toxin		1	
			s and			
			their			
			mixt			
A 5750	A *.1	3.6.1	ure.	C 11	A 11 1	(61. 1
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Madin-	Compari	Cell	Alleged	(Clarke
OT	m	Darb	son	viabilit	addit	et
A-	eti	у .	of	y MTT,	ivity	al.,
FB	c	Bovi	the	NR	for	201
1	de	ne	toxic		AFB	4)
	fin	Kidn	effec		1-	
	iti	ey	ts of		FB_1a	
	on	(MD	mixt		nd	
	of	BK)	ures		AFB	
	ad	cells	to		1-	
	dit		the		OTA	
	ivi		sums			
	ty		of		Alle	
			the		ged	
			toxic		syne	
			effec		rgy	
			ts of		for	
			indiv		AFB	
			idual		1-	
			comp		FB ₁ -	
			ound		OTA	
			s at		terna	
			their		ry	
			conc		mixt	
			entra		ure	
			tions			
			in			
			the			
			mixt			

ures Madin-Cell Alleged (Clarke AFB₁-Arith viabilit OT m Darb Com addit et **A**eti paris y: high ivity al., y FB 201 Bovi on of content for canalysis **AFB** 5) de ne the 1 fin Kidn toxic , MTT, 1iti ey effec NR FB_1a (MD ts of nd on **AFB** of BK) mixt ad cells ures 1-**OTA** dit to ivi the Alle sums ty of ged the syne toxic rgy effec for ts of **AFB** indiv 1idual FB₁-**OTA** comp ound terna s at ry their mixt conc ure entra tions in

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

the mixt ures

^{*}Abbreviations used: AFB_1 = aflatoxin B_1 , FB_1 = fumonisin B_1 , OTA= ochratoxin A, MTT= (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) tetrazolium, NR= Neutral Red

Table 4: Interactions between Aflatoxin B₁ and other mycotoxins from Aspergillus species

Mycoto	Interac	Cell model	Study	Endpoint	Combin	Refere
xin	tio		desi		ed	nce
asso	n		gn		effe	
ciati	mo				ct	
on*	del					
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	Mice		Infectivit	Increase	(Herzo
CIT	eti	macrop	Com	У	d	g-
	c	hage	paris	and	infe	So
	def		on	proli	ctivi	are
	init		of	ferati	ty	S
	ion		infe	on of	and	an
	of		ctivi	Toxo	proli	d
	ad		ty	plas	ferat	Fre
	diti		and	ma	ion of <i>T</i> .	ire,
	vit		proli ferat	gond ii		200 4)
	у		ion	ıı	gon dii	4)
			in		for	
			cont		the	
			rol,		com	
			indi		bine	
			vidu		d	
			al		expo	
			and		sure	
			com		com	
			bine		pare	
			d		d to	
			toxi		cont	
			n		rol	
			grou			
			ps			
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	Salmonella		Mutagen	Reducti	(Kuilm
CP	eti	Typhi	Com	ic	on	an-
A	c	muriu	paris	activ	of	Wa
	def	m	on	ity	AFB	hls
	init	strains	of	follo	1	et
	ion	TA	the	wing	mut	al.,
	of	100	mut	meta	agen	200
	ad	and TA	agen	bolic	ic	2)
	diti	98	ic	activ	activ	
	vit		activ	ation	ity	
	у		ity for	by rat		
			seria	rat S-9		
			1	mix		
			dilut	ШХ		
			unut			

			ions			
			of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure			
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	Salmonella		Mutagen	Reducti	(Vilar
CP	eti	Typhi	Com	ic	on	et
A	С	muriu	paris	activ	of	al.,
	def	m	on	ity	the	200
	init	strains	of	follo	mut	3)
	ion	TA	the	wing	agen	Ĺ
	of	100	mut	meta	ic	
	ad	and TA	agen	bolic	activ	
	diti	98	ic	activ	ity	
	vit		activ	ation	of	
	у		ity	by	AFB	
	, and the second		for	hum	1.	
			seria	an S-		
			1	9		
			dilut	mix		
			ions			
			of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure			
AFB ₁ -	Arithm	Marine		Genotoxi	Enhance	(Yates
CP	eti	bacteri	Com	city	d	et
A	c	um	paris	and	geno	al.,
	def	Photob	on	cytot	toxi	198
	init	acteriu	of	oxici	c	7)
	ion	m	the	ty	effe	
	of	phosph	effe		ct of	
	ad	oreum	cts		AFB	
	diti	strain	for		₁ by	
	vit	NCMB	indi		CPA	
	У	844	vidu			

and strain toxi NRRL n B 1177 dose s and dose pairs in mixt ure

^{*}Abbreviations used: AFB₁= aflatoxin B₁, CIT= citrinin, CPA= cyclopiazonic acid

Table 5: Interaction between aflatoxin B₁ and mycotoxins from Fusarium species

Mycoto	Interac	Cell	Study	Endpoint*	Combine	Refere
xin	tio	mode	desig		d	nc
ass	n	1	n		effec	e
ocia	m				t	
tion	od					
*	el					
AFB ₁ -	One-	Cyprinus	Compari	Cell	Alleged	(He et
DO	wa	carpi	son	viabilit	addit	al.
N	у	o	of	у	ivity	,
	Å	prima	the	(MTT	·	20
	N	ry	effec	test),		10
	О	hepat	ts of	enzyme)
	V	ocyte	the	(Aspart		·
	A	S	mixt	ate		
			ure	aminotr		
			and	ansfera		
			the	se		
			effec	AST,		
			ts of	Alanin		
			indiv	e		
			idual	transfer		
			toxin	ase		
			S	ALT,		
				Lactate		
				dehydr		
				ogenas		
				e LDH)		
				activity		
				in cell		
				superna		
				tant		
AFB ₁ -	Interac	Human	Dose-	Cell	Demonst	(McK
T-2	tio	hepat	respo	viabilit	rated	ea
toxi	n	oma	nse	у	syner	n
n	in	Нер	curv		gy in	et
	de	G2ce	es		BEA	al.
	X	lls,	and		S-	,
	me	Hum	deter		2B,	20
	tri	an	mina		addit	06
	c	BEA	tion		ivity	a)
		S-2B	of		in	
		bronc	IC_{50}		Нер	
		hial	value		G2	
		epith	s for			
		elial	indiv			
		cells	idual			

					•	
			toxin			
			s and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure			
AFB ₁ -	Arith	Prokaryot	Compari	Mutagenic	Significa	(Smer
DO	me	e	son	activity	nt	a
N-	tic	mode	of		enha	k
T-2	de	1	the		ncem	et
toxi	fin	(Salm	effec		ent	al.
n	iti	onell	ts of		of	,
	on	а	indiv		the	20
	of	Typh	idual		muta	01
	ad	imuri	toxin		genic)
	dit	um,	s and		effec	,
	ivi	strain	their		t of	
	ty	S	mixt		AFB	
	ty	TA98	ures		1 (no	
		and	ures		activi	
		TA10			ty for	
		0)			T-2	
		0)				
					and	
					DON	
					alone	
					, but	
					great	
					er	
					activi	
					ty for	
					the	
					comb	
					inati	
					ons	
					with	
					AFB	
					1)	
AFB ₁ -	Factori	Immortal	Central	Cell	Demonst	(Sun
DO	al	ized	com	viabilit	rated	et
N-	de	BRL	posit	y	inter	al.
ZE	sig	3A	e	(MTT)	activ	,
Α	n	rat	desig		e	20
		liver	n for		cytot	15
		cells	binar		oxict)
			y		y.	<i>'</i>
			and		Alleg	
			terna		ed	
			ry		syner	
			mixt		gy	
			1111/10		5)	

			ures		for	
			expe		AFB	
			rime		1-	
			nts,		ZEA	
			with		and	
			the		AFB	
			IC_{30}		1-	
			of		DON	
			cell		•	
			viabi			
			lity			
			of			
			each			
			myc			
			otoxi			
			n			
			chos			
			en as			
			the			
			cente			
			r			
			point			
AFB ₁ -	Factori	Porcine	Central	Cell	Demonst	(Lei
DO	al	Kidn	com	viabilit	rated	et
N-	de	ey	posit	y	inter	al.
ZE	sig	PK15	e	(MTT),	activ	
A	n	cells	desig	membr	e	20
			n for	ane	cytot	13
			binar	damage	oxici)
			y	(LDH),	ty.	,
			and	apoptos	Alleg	
			terna	is and	ed	
			ry	oxidati	syner	
			mixt	ve	gism	
			ures	stress	for	
			expe		AFB	
			expe rime		AFB	
			expe rime nts,		AFB 1- ZEA,	
			expe rime nts, with		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB	
			expe rime nts, with the		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB	
			expe rime nts, with the IC_{30}		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB 1- DON	
			expe rime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB 1- DON , low	
			expe rime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of cell		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB 1- DON , low dose	
			expe rime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of cell viabi		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB 1- DON , low dose antag	
			experime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of cell viability		AFB TEA, AFB TOON , low dose antag onis	
			expe rime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of cell viabi lity of		AFB 1- ZEA, AFB 1- DON , low dose antag onis m	
			experime nts, with the IC ₃₀ of cell viability		AFB TEA, AFB TOON , low dose antag onis	

	otoxi	dose	
	n	syner	
	chos	gism	
	en as	ZEA	
	the	-	
	cente	AFB	
	r	1,	
	point	and	
		alleg	
		ed	
		syner	
		gism	
		DON	
		-	
		AFB	
		1 for	
		oxida	
		tive	
		dama	
		ge	

^{*}Abbreviations used: AFB₁= aflatoxin B₁, DON= deoxynivalenol, ZEA= zearalenone, MTT= (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) tetrazolium, LDH= lactate deshydrogenase

Table 6: Interactions between ochratoxins and other mycotoxins from *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*

3.5	·	~ 11	~ 1		~	D 0
Mycot	Interact	Cell	Study	Endpoint	Combin	Referen
oxi	ion	mod	desi		ed	ce
n	mo	el	gn*		effe	
ass	del				ct	
oci						
ati						
on						
*						
OTA-	Arithm	Human	Compari	Cell viability	Alleged	(Covet
CI	etic	Нер	son	Cen viability		(Gayat hri
T	defi	G2	of		syn	et
1	niti		the		ergy	
		hep				al.,
	on	ato	toxi			201
	of	ma	c			5)
	add	cell	effe			
	itivi	S	ct of			
	ty		the			
			mixt			
			ure			
			at a			
			dose			
			of			
			20%			
			of			
			the			
			IC_{50}			
			of			
			each			
			toxi			
			n to			
			that			
			prod			
			uced			
			by			
			eith			
			er of			
			the			
			toxi			
			ns at			
			its			
			IC_{50} .			
OTA-	Arithm	Porcine	Compari	Cell	Alleged	(Klaric
CI	etic	PK1	son	viability,	addi	et
T	defi	5kid	of	apoptosi	tive	al.,
				1 1		,

	niti	nov	tho	c c	offo	201
		ney	the	S,	effe	
	on	epit	toxi	necrosis,	ct	2)
	of	heli	C	genotoxi	for	
	add	al	effe	city	cell	
	itivi	cell	ct of		viab	
	ty	S	the		ility	
			mixt		,	
			ure		syn	
			to		ergy	
			the		for	
			sum		apo	
			of		ptos	
			the		is	
			toxi		and	
			c		necr	
			effe		osis	
			cts			
			of		, anta	
			indi		gon	
			vidu		ism	
			al		for	
			com		gen	
			pou		oto	
			nds		xici	
			at		ty	
			their			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			n in			
			the			
			mixt			
			ure			
OTA-	Arithm	Monkey	Cytotoxi	Cell	Alleged	(Bousli
CI	etic	kidn		prolifera		(Boush mi
T	defi		city	tion,	syn	et
1		ey	com		ergy	
	niti	Ver	pari	DNA		al.,
	on	0	son	damage		200
	of	cell	of			8a)
	add	S	IC_{50}			
	itivi		valu			
	ty		es			
			for			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			toxi			
			ns			

						-
			and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure,			
			DN			
			A			
			dam			
			age			
			com			
			pari			
			son			
			of			
			the			
			effe			
			cts			
			for			
			seve			
			ral			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			ns			
			of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure			
OTA-	Arithm	Monkey	Cytotoxi	Cell	Alleged	(Bousli
CI	etic	kidn	city	prolifera	syn	mi
T	defi		com	tion,		et
•	niti	ey Ver	pari	oxidativ	ergy	al.,
	on	0	son	e cell		200
	of	cell	of	damage		8b)
	add	S	IC_{50}	damage		00)
	itivi		valu			
	ty		es			
	ty		for			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			toxi			
			ns and			
			and			

	•		1			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure,			
			DN			
			A			
			dam			
			age			
			com			
			pari			
			son			
			of			
			the			
			effe			
			cts			
			for			
			seve			
			ral			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			ns			
			of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al .			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			their			
			mixt			
			ure			
OTA-	Arithm	Human	Compari	DNA	Two-	(Mande
CI	etic	HK	son	adductio	fold	rvil
T	defi	2	of	n,	incr	le
	niti	kidn	the	expressi	ease	and
	on	ey	toxi	on of	of	Pfo
	of	cell	c	CYP3A	the	hl-
	add	S	effe	4, COX	OT	Les
	itivi		ct of	and	A-	zko
	ty		the	LOX	relat	wic
			mixt		ed	z,
			ure		DN	200
			to		A	8)
			the		add	
			toxi		ucti	
			c		on	
			effe		and	
			cts		sign	
					J	

			of		ifica	
			indi		nt	
			vidu		incr	
			al		ease	
			com		of	
			pou		the	
			nds		expr	
					essi	
					on	
					of	
					CO	
					X	
					and	
					LO	
					X	
OTA-	Arithm	Human	Compari	Cytotoxicity,	Alleged	(Knech
CI	etic		son		anta	t et
T	defi	pro xim	of	apoptosi		al.,
1	niti	al	the	S	gon	200
		tubu			ism	200
	on of	le-	toxi		for	5)
	add		c effe		apo	
		deri			ptos	
	itivi	ved	ct of		is at	
	ty	cell	the		con	
		S	mixt		cent	
		(IH	ure		rati	
		KE	to		on	
		cell	the		of	
		s)	sum		2.5-	
			of		5	
			the		μM	
			toxi		CIT	
			c		, addi	
			effe		addı	
			cts		tivit	
			of		y at	
			indi		con	
			vidu		cent	
			al		rati	
			com		on	
			pou		7.5-	
			nds		15	
			at		μM	
			their			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			n in			

			the			
			mixt			
			ure			
Mycot	Interact	Cell	Study	Endpoint	Combin	Referen
oxi	ion	mod	desi	•	ed	ce
n	mo	el*	gn*		effe	
ass	del				ct	
oci						
ati						
on						
*						
OTA-	Theoret	Pig	Logistic	Protein	Demons	(Braun
CI	ical	rena	func	synthesi	trate	ber
T	biol	1	tion	s,	d	g et
	ogy	cort	anal	organic	syn	al.,
	mo	ical	ysis	ions	ergy	199
	del-	cub	of	tetraethy	and	4)
	bas	es	the	lammoni	addi	
	ed		dose	um	tivit	
	defi		-	(TEA)	У	
	niti		resp	and	for	
	on		onse	paramin	TE	
	of		curv	ohippura	A	
	add		e for	te (PAH)	and	
	itivi		the	transport	PA	
	ty		indi		Н	
			vidu		ions	
			al		tran	
			com		spor	
			pou		t .	
			nds		and	
			and		prot	
			their		ein	
			mixt		synt	
			ure		hesi	
OTA-	Arithm	Hepato	Compari	Protein	S Alleged	(Roth
014-	etic	ma	Compari son	synthesi	slig	(Kotii et
T	defi	tiss	of	Synthesi	ht	al.,
B-	niti	ue	the	3	syn	198
CI	on	cult	toxi		ergy	9)
T	of	ure	c		Gigy	<i>э)</i>
1	add	cell	effe			
	itivi	S	cts			
	ty	8	of			
	ty		mixt			
			ures			
			uics		1	

	-			Т	Г	1
			to			
			the			
			sum			
			s of			
			the			
			toxi			
			c			
			effe			
			cts			
			of			
			indi			
			vidu			
			al			
			com			
			pou nds			
			at			
			their			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			ns in			
			the			
			mixt			
			ures			
OTA-	Factoria	Porcine	Step-	Cell viability	Demons	(Heuss
O	1	LL	wise	,	trate	ner
T	desi	C-	appr		d	et
В-	gn	PK1	oach		pote	al.,
CI		rena	:Full		ntia	200
T-		1	fact		1	6)
P		cell	orial		syn	
A		line	desi		ergy	
T			gn,		OT	
			then		A	
			insc		CIT	
			ribe			
			d			
			cent			
			ral			
			com			
			posi			
			te			
			desi			
OT A	Τ	Danie 1	gn	C-11	D	(TF = -:
OTA-	Loewe	Peripher	C.	Cell	Demons	(Tamm
CI	add itivi	al bloo	Co	viability, cytokine	trate d	er
Т-			mpa	- سندام عديم		et

		_			1	
P	ty	d	riso	producti	addi	al.,
A	and	mon	n of	on	tivit	200
Т-	Blis	onu	actu		У	7)
G	S	clea	al			
LI	ind	r	mixt			
О	epe	cell	ure			
	nde	s	toxi			
	nce	-	city			
	crit		data			
	erio		to			
	n		pred			
	mo		icte			
	dels		d			
	dels					
			ones			
			base			
			d on			
			conc			
			entr			
			atio			
			n			
			addi			
			tion			
			and			
			resp			
			onse			
			addi			
			tion			
			conc			
			epts			
OTA-	Isobolo	Piglet	Dose-	Mitogen-	Demons	(Bernh
CI		Ly	resp	induced	trate	oft
T-	gra m	mph	onse	lymphoc	d	et
P	met					
		ocyt	curv	yte	syn	al.,
A T-	hod	es	es	prolifera	ergy	200
			and	tion	ОТ	4)
C			isob		A-	
P			olog		CIT	
A-			ram		, addi	
R			dra		addi	
О			win		tivit	
Q-			g at		y OT	
Pe			IC_{20}		OT	
n					A-	
Ac					CP	
					A,	
					Pen	
					Ac-	
					RQ,	
			<u> </u>			

					PA T- RQ, PA T- Pen Ac, anta gon ism CIT - CP	
OTA- CI T- ST E R	Arithm etic defi niti on of add itivi ty	Human Hep 3B hep atoc ellul ar cell line	Co mpa riso n of the expe cted and the obse rved effe cts for myc otox in mixt ures and calc ulati on of the Coe ffici ents of Dru g Inter acti	Cytotoxicity, cytostati city and genotoxi city	A Alleged addi tive to anta gon istic cyto toxi c and gen oto xic effe cts	(Annin ou et al., 201 4)

on ____

*Abbreviations used: CIT= citrinin, COX= cyclooxygenase, CPA= cyclopiazonic acid, CYP3A4= cytochrome P450 3A4, GLIO= gliotoxin, IC₂₀-IC₅₀= inhibitory concentration 20-50%,LOX= lipoxygenase, OTA= ochratoxin A, OTB= ochratoxin B, PAT= patulin, Pen Ac= penicillic acid, ROQ= roquefortin, STER= sterigmatocystin

Table 7: Interactions between ochratoxins and *Fusarium* mycotoxins

Mycotoxin association *	Interaction model	Cell model	Study design	Endpoint*	Combined effect	Referenc e
OTA-FB ₁	Arithmetic definition of additivity	Madin- Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK) cells	Comparison of the toxic effects of mixtures to the sums of the toxic effects of individual compounds at their concentration s in the mixtures	Cell viability: high content analysis, MTT, NR	Alleged synergy	(Clarke et al., 2015)
OTA-FB ₁	Arithmetic definition of additivity	Madin- Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK) cells	Comparison of the toxic effects of mixtures to the sums of the toxic effects of individual compounds at their concentration s in the mixtures	Cell viability: MTT, NR	Alleged additivity	(Clarke et al., 2014)
OTA-FB ₁	Arithmetic definition of additivity	Pig lymphocytes, human lymphocytes	Comparison of the toxic effect of the mixture to the sum of the toxic effects of individual compounds at their concentration in the mixture	Cell viability	Alleged synergy	(Mwanza et al., 2009)
OTA-FB ₁	Arithmetic definition of additivity	Porcine PK15 kidney epithelial cells	Combination of equal concentration s of two or all three mycotoxins	Clastogenic effect	Alleged additivity for presence of micro nuclei and for presence of	(Klaric <i>et al.</i> , 2008a)

nucleoplasmi c bridges OTA-FB₁ Alleged (Carratu Arithmetic Human Comparison Protein definition of intestinal of the toxic synthesis synergy et al., Caco-2 cells additivity effects of inhibition 2005) mixtures to the sums of the toxic effects of individual compounds at their concentration s in the mixtures OTA-FB₁ Arithmetic Cell Alleged Monkey Comparison (Creppy definition of kidney Vero of the toxic viability et al., synergy 2004) additivity cells, human effects of intestinal mixtures to caco-2 cells, the sums of rat C6 the toxic glioma cells effects of individual compounds at their concentration s in the mixtures OTA-FB₁-Arithmetic Human Comparison Mitogen-Stronger (Stoev et **CIT** definition of peripheral of the toxic induced cell effect of the al., 2009) additivity blood effect of the proliferation mixture mononuclear mixture to , cell compared to cells each of the viability any individual toxic effects **MTT** compound of individual compounds at their concentration in the mixture OTA-FB₁-Arithmetic Porcine Comparison Cell Alleged (Klaric et **BEA** definition of PK15kidney of the toxic viability, additivity for al., epithelial effects of cell viability, 2008b) additivity apoptosis cells additivity and individual mycotoxin synergy for and binary apoptosis and ternary mixture of equal

concentration s of the toxins OTA-FB₁-Combination Cell Arithmetic Porcine Alleged (Klaric et definition of **BEA** PK15kidney of equal viability, additivity, *al.*, 2007) additivity epithelial concentration lipid possibly cells s of two or all peroxidation synergy and three (TBARS) antagonism mycotoxins and GSH depletion OTA-BEA Arithmetic Porcine Combination Genotoxic Alleged (Klaric et definition of PK15 kidney of two potential additivity and al., 2010) additivity epithelial concentration synergy in cells, Human PK15, \mathbf{S} leukocytes additivity in (HL) HL**OTA-ZEA** Loewe Human Comparison Cell Demonstrated (Li et al., 2014) additivity HepG2 of actual viability and additivity for intracellular and Bliss hepatoma mixture cell viability, independenc cells and toxicity data **ROS** departure to predicted e criterion **Immortalize** production from models d murine ones based on additivity for ovarian concentration **ROS** granular KKaddition and production 1 cells response addition concepts **OTA-ZEA-**CI-Human Comparison Cell Demonstrated (Wang et α-ZOL isobologram HepG2 viability al., 2014) of actual antagonism hepatoma **MTT** method mixture for OTAtoxicity data ZEA, OTA-αcells ZOL and to predicted ones based on OTA-ZEA-α-ZOL mixtures the Mass action law concept

Table 8: Interaction between trichothecenes

Mycotoxin	Intera	Cell	Study	Endpoint	Combin	Referenc
associat	cti	mo	de	*	ed	e
ion*	on	del	sig		effe	
1011	M	aci	n		ct	
	od		11		Ct	
	el					
DON-NIV	Arith	Rat	Incuba	Cell	No	(Bianco
	m	IEC	tio	viabi	addi	et al.,
	eti	-6	n	lity,	tive	2012
	c	inte	wit	apop	or	a)
	de	stin	h	tosis,	syne	,
	fi	al	gra	cell	rgist	
	ni	epit	de	migr	ic	
	ti	heli	d	ation	effe	
	on	al	lev		cts	
	of	cell	els			
	ad	s	of			
	di		D			
	ti		O			
	vi		N			
	ty		or			
			NI			
			V			
			alo			
			ne			
			or			
			in			
			co			
			mb			
			ina			
			tio			
			n			
DON-NIV	Arith	Murine	Compa	Cell	No	(Marzoc
	m	J77	ris	viabi	syne	co et
	eti	41.	on	lity,	rgy	al.,
	c	A	of	lity, Pro-		2009)
	de	mac	the	apop		
	fi	rop	IC	totic		
	ni	hag	50	activ		
	ti	es	val	ity		
	on		ue			
	of		S			
	ad		of			
	di		the			
	ti		tox			
	vi		ins			

	ty		an			
			d			
			the			
			ir			
			mi			
			xtu			
			re			
DON-NIV	Arith	Human	Incuba	Lympho	Alleged	(Severin
	m	Jurk	tio	cyte	inter	o et
	eti	at T	n	proli	activ	al.,
	c	cell	wit	ferati	e	2006)
	de	S	h	on	effe	
	fi	J	gra	and	ct	
	ni		de	cyto	for	
	ti		d	kines	lym	
	on		lev	expr	phoc	
	of		els	essio	yte	
	ad		of	n	proli	
	di		D	11	ferat	
	ti		0			
	vi		N N		ion, and	
					inter	
	ty		or NI			
			V		activ	
			alo		e effe	
					cts	
			ne			
			or in		at lowe	
			co mb		r	
			ina		conc	
			tio		entr atio	
			n		ns (0.0	
			rat		(0.0	
			io		6- 4	
			1:1		4μ Μ)	
			an d		M)	
			10:		for	
			10:		IFN	
			for		gam	
			D		ma and	
			D О		IL-2	
			N:		mR NA	
			NI V		NA trong	
			V		trans	
					cript	
					ion	

DON-NIV-	CI-	Porcine	Compa	Cytotoxi	Demons	(Alassan
3-	Is	IPE	ris	city	trate	e-
DON-	ob	C-1	on	MTT	d	Kpe
15-	ol	inte	of	IVI I I		mbi
					syne	
ADON	og	stin	act		rgy	et al.,
-FX	ra	al	ual		for	2015)
	m	epit	mi		all	
	m	heli	xtu		bina	
	et	al	re		ry	
	ho	cell	tox		com	
	d	S	icit		bina	
			У		tions	
			dat		,	
			a		exce	
			to		pted	
			pre		for	
			dic		NIV	
			ted		-FX	
			on		(add	
			es		itivit	
			ba		y),	
			se		and	
			d		for	
			on		DO	
			the		N-	
			M		FX	
			ass		(ant	
			act		agon	
			ion		ism)	
			la		15111)	
			W			
			co			
			nc			
DON-NIV-	CI-	Human	Compa	Cytotovi	Demons	(Alassan
3-	Is	inte	Compa ris	Cytotoxi city		
DON-		stin		NR	trate d	e- Kne
15-	ob	al	on of	MTT		Kpe mbi
	ol			IVI I I	low-	mbi
ADON	og	Cac	act		dose	et al.,
-FX	ra	0-2	ual		syne	2013)
	m	cell	mi		rgies	
	m	S	xtu		,	
	et		re		anta	
	ho		tox		goni	
	d		icit		sm	
			У		for	
			dat		tern	
			a		ary	

			to		mixt	
			pre		ure	
			dic		DO	
			ted		N-	
			on		NIV	
			es		-FX	
			ba			
			se			
			d			
			on			
			the			
			M			
			ass			
			act			
			ion			
			la			
			W			
			co			
			nc			
			ept			
DON-NIV-	Arith	Human	Compa	Mitogen-	Alleged	(Thuvan
T-2	m	lym	ris	indu	addi	der
toxin-	eti	pho	on	ced	tivit	et al.,
DAS	c	cyte	of	lymp	y (NI	1999)
	de	S	the	hocy	(NI	
	fi		mi	te	V -	
	ni		xtu	proli	T2,	
	ti		re	ferati	NIV	
	on		tox	on	-	
	of		icit	Cell	DA	
	ad		У	viabi	S,	
	di		an	lity,	NIV	
	ti		d	Imm	-	
	vi		the	unog	DO	
	ty		ind	lobul	N),	
			ivi	in	and	
			du	prod	sligh	
			al	uctio	t	
			tox	n	anta	
			icit		goni	
			y of		sm (DO	
			01 2×		(DO N -	
			2× the			
			co		T2, DO	
			nc		N -	
			ent		DA	
					S)	
			rat		S)	

			ion		for	
			of		lym	
			tox		phoc	
			in		ytes	
			us		proli	
			ed		ferat	
			at		ion	
			the			
			со			
			mb			
			ine			
			d			
			ex			
			po			
			sur			
			e			
Mycotoxin	Intera	Cell		Endpoint	Combin	Referenc
associat	cti	mo	Study de	Enapoint	ed	Referenc e
ion*	on	del			effe	
1011		uei	sig		ct*	
	m od		n		Ct.	
	el					
T 2 tomin		Vacat	Campa	Casarth	The Avers	(Jamas at
T-2 toxin-	CI-	Yeast	Compa	Growth	The type	(Jones et
Verruc	Is	Klu	ris	inhib	and	al.,
arin A	ob	yver	on	ition	inte	1995)
	ol	omy	of		nsity	
	og	ces	act		of	
	ra	mar	ual		inter	
	m	xian	tox		actio	
		us	icit		ns .	
			У		vari	
			dat		ed	
			a		with	
			for		the	
			bin		com	
			ary		bina	
			mi		tion	
			xtu		ratio	
			re		s,	
			at		gro	
			var		wth	
			iou		perc	
			S		ent	
			rat		inhi	
			ios		bitio	
			to		n	
			pre		and	
			dic		the	

					1	
			ted		gro	
			on		wth	
			es		med	
			ba		ium:	
			se		In a	
			d		rich	
			on		med	
			the		ium	
			M		syne	
			ass		rgy	
			act		over	
			ion		a 2-	
			la		3	
			W		log	
			co		valu	
			nc		e	
			ept		conc	
			Срі		entr	
					atio	
					n	
					rang e for	
					a 1.0	
					μg/ m1	
					ml T. 2	
					T-2	
					toxi	
					n:0.	
					75µ	
					g/ml	
					verr	
					ucar	
					in A	
	~~				ratio	
T-2 toxin-	CI-	Yeast	Compa	Growth	The type	(Koshins
HT-2	Is	Klu	ris	inhib	of	ky
toxin-	ob	yver	on	ition	inter	and
Roridin	ol	omy	of		actio	Khac
A	og	ces	act		n	hato
	ra	mar	ual		vari	urian
	m	xian	tox		ed	s,
		us	icit		acco	1992)
			у		rdin	
			dat		gly	
			a		to	
			for		the	
			bin		mixt	
			ary		ure	

						Т
			mi		ratio	
			xtu		S	
			re		and	
			at		the	
			var		perc	
			iou		ent	
			S		of	
			rat		inhi	
			ios		bitio	
			to		n of	
			pre		gro	
			dic		wth:	
			ted		from	
			on		anta	
			es		goni	
			ba		sm	
			se		to	
			d		syne	
			on		rgy	
			the		for	
			M		incr	
			ass		easi	
			act		ng	
			ion		perc	
			la		ent	
			W		inhi	
			co		bitio	
			nc		n of	
			ept		yeas	
					t	
					gro	
					wth	
12-13	Arith	Monkey	Compa	Protein	Alleged	(Thomps
epoxytr	m	Ver	ris	synt	addi	on
ichothe	eti	0	on	hesis	tivit	and
cenes	c	cell	of	inhib	У	Wan
	de	s,	IC	ition	•	nema
	fi	rat	50			cher,
	ni	sple	val			1986)
	ti	en	ue			·
	on	lym	S			
	of	pho	of			
	ad	cyte	dif			
	di	S	fer			
	ti	٥	ent			
	vi		rat			
	ty		ios			
	.,		bin			
			OIII			

ary		
mi		
xtu		
res		
to		
the		
IC		
50		
val		
ue		
S		
of		
the		
ind		
ivi		
du		
al		
tox		
inc		

*Abbreviations used: DAS= diacetoxyscirpenol, DON= deoxynivalenol, FX= fusarenon-X, IC₅₀=inhibitory concentration 50%, IFN= interferon, IL-2= interleukin 2, MTT= (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) tetrazolium, NIV= nivalenol, NR= neutral red, 3-ADON= 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol, 15-ADON= 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol

Table 9: Interactions between the "major" mycotoxins from Fusarium

Mycot	Interac	Cell model	Study	Endpoint*	Combin	Referen
oxi	tio		desi		ed	ce
n	n		gn		effe	
ass	m				ct	
oci	od					
ati	el					
on						
*						
DON-	Arith	Human HCT	Compar	Cell	Alleged	(Bensa
Z	m	116	ison	viabili	sub	ssi
E	eti	colon	of	ty, cell	-	et
A	С	carcino	the	cycle,	add	al.,
	de	ma cells	effe	trans-	itiv	201
	fin		cts	memb	e	4)
	iti		of	rane	res	
	on		100 M	potenti	pon	
	of		μM DO	al and	se	
	ad			perme		
	dit ivi		N, 40	ability transiti		
			μM	on		
	ty		μM ZE			
			A A	pore openin		
			and	g		
			100	5		
			+40			
			μM			
			DO			
			N+			
			ZE			
			A			
DON	Arith	Porcine	Compar	Granulosa	Alleged	(Ranze
Z	m	granulos	ison	cell	pos	nig
\mathbf{E}	eti	a cells	of	prolife	sibl	o et
A	c		the	ration,	У	al.,
	de		effe	steroid	syn	200
	fin		cts	ogenes	erg	8)
	iti		of	is,	У	
	on		30	gene	for	
	of		ng/	expres	alte	
	ad		mL	sion	rati	
	dit		of		on	
	ivi		DO		of	
	ty		N,		GC	
			30		prol	
			ng/		ifer	

			mL		atio	
			of		n	
			ZE			
			A			
			and			
			bot			
			h			
DON-	Arith	Pig oocytes	Compar	Abnormali	Alleged	(Malek
${f Z}$	m		ison	ties in	no	inej
${f E}$	eti		of	format	syn	ad
\mathbf{A}	c		the	ion of	erg	et
	de		effe	the	у	al.,
	fin		cts	meioti	,	200
	iti		of	c		7)
	on		vari	spindl		,
	of		ous	e,		
	ad		rati	Inhibit		
	dit		os	ion of		
	ivi		lead	oocyte		
	ty		ing	matura		
	ι,		to	tion,		
			3.1	develo		
			2	pment		
			μM	al		
			mix	compe		
			ture	tence		
			DO	of		
			N-	mature		
			ZE	d		
			A	oocyte		
			to	s after		
			the	in		
			effe	vitro		
			cts	fertiliz		
			of	ation		
			3.1	ation		
			2			
			μM			
			of			
			eac			
			h			
			toxi			
			n			
			alo			
			ne			
			of			
			DO			
			N N			
			IN			

1					1	
			ZE			
			A			
			mix			
			ture			
DON-	Arith	Human	Compar	Malonedia	Alleged	(Kouad
Z	m	intestina	ison	ldehyd	ant	io
E	eti	1 cell	of	e	ago	et
A-	c	line	the	(MDA	nis	al.,
FB	de	Caco-2	effe)	m	200
1	fin		cts	produc	FB_1	7)
	iti		of	tion,	-	
	on		Bin	DNA	ZE	
	of		ary	and	A	
	ad		and	protei	for	
	dit		terti	n	cell	
	ivi		ary	synthe	via	
	ty		mix	sis	bilit	
	_		ture	inhibit	y,	
			s to	ion,	syn	
			the	DNA	erg	
			sum	methyl	у	
			of	ation,	ZE	
			the	DNA	A-	
			effe	fragm	FB_1	
			cts	entatio	and	
			of	n, cell	ZE	
			eac	viabili	A-	
			h	ty,	DO	
			toxi	lipid	N	
			n	peroxi	for	
			alo	dation	lipi	
			ne		d	
					per	
					oxi	
					dati	
					on,	
					far	
					less	
					tha	
					n	
					add	
					itivi	
					ty	
					in	
					DN	
					A	
 					syn	
					thes	

		_			is	
					inhi	
					biti	
					on	
					for	
					bin	
					ary	
					mix	
					ture	
					s of	
					DO	
					N,	
					FB_1	
					and	
					ZE	
					A	
DON-	Arith	Brewing	Compar	Yeast	Alleged	(Boeira
\mathbf{Z}	m	yeast	ison	growt	inte	et
${f E}$	eti	strains	of	h	ract	al.,
A-	c	(Sacchar	the		ion	200
FB	de	omyces	gro		at	0)
1	fin	cerevisia	wth		hig	
	iti	e,	inhi		h	
	on	Kluyver	biti		con	
	of	omyces	on		cen	
	ad	marxian	for		trati	
	dit	us,	co		on:	
	ivi	Pichia	mbi		syn	
	ty	membra	nati		ergi	
		naefacie	ons		sm	
		ns,	and		or	
		Hansenu	the		ant	
		la	sum		ago	
		anomala	of		nis	
		, and	the		m	
		Schizosa	inhi		dep	
		ccharom	biti		end	
		yces	on		ing	
		pombe)	for		on	
			toxi		toxi	
			ns		n	
			alo		co	
			ne		mbi	
					nati	
					on	
					rati	
					os	
Mycot	Interac	Cell model	Study	Endpoint*	Combin	Referen

oxi tio desi ed ce effe n n gn ct ass m od oci el ati on DON-Inscribe Cell (Wan Factor Porcine Demon ZE intestina viabili ial d stra A-1 Ipec Jde cent ty ted al., FΒ sig 2 cells ral non 201 3a) n co 1-NI mp add V itiv osit e e desi inte gn ract wit ion h S four exc toxi ept DO ns Nand two FB_1 con ZE cent rati A ons for eac h toxi DON-Porcine Inscribe Pro-(Wan Factor Demon ZE intestina inflam ial d stra et 1 Ipec J-Ade mator al., cent ted FΒ sig 2 cells ral non 201 y cytoki **3b**) n co NI mp nes add V IL-1α, itiv osit IL-1β, e e desi IL-6, inte IL-8, gn ract $TNF\text{-}\alpha$ ion wit and h S MCPfour toxi 1 mRN ns

			and	A		
			two	expres		
			con	sion		
			cent			
			rati			
			ons			
			for			
			eac			
			h			
			toxi			
			n			
DON-	Factor	Porcine	Inscribe	Modulatio	Alleged	(Wan
ZE	ial	intestina	d	n of	non	et
A-	de	l Ipec J-	cent	the	-	al.,
FB	sig	2 cells	ral	expres	add	201
1-	n		co	sion of	itiv	3c)
NI			mp	beta-	e	
V			osit	defens	inte	
			e	in 1 &	ract	
			desi	2	ion	
			gn		S	
			wit			
			h			
			four			
			toxi			
			ns			
			and			
			two			
			con			
			cent			
			rati			
			ons			
			for			
			eac h			
			toxi			
DON-	Arith	Human	n Increasi	Mitogen-	Alleged	(Severi
ZE	Aritii m	Jurkat	ng	activat	inte	no
A-	eti	cells and	con	ed	ract	et
FB	c	porcine	cent	lymph	ion	al.,
1-	de	lymphoc	rati	ocyte	1011	200
NI	fin	ytes	ons	prolife		8)
V	iti	<i>J</i> 100	of	ration		0)
·	on		FB_1	1411011		
	of		wit			
	ad		h			
	dit		con			
	GIL		COII			

	ivi		stan			
	ty		t			
			con			
			cent			
			rati			
			ons			
			of			
			ZE			
			A			
			or			
			co-			
			inc			
			uba			
			tion			
			wit			
			h			
			DO			
			N			
			and			
			NI			
			V			
DON-	Factor	L929 mouse	Central	DNA	Demon	(Tajim
ZE	ial	fibroblas	co	synthe	stra	a <i>et</i>
A-	de	ts	mp	sis	ted	al.,
FB	sig		osit	inhibit	mai	200
1-	n		e	ion	nly	2)
NI			desi		add	
V-			gn		itiv	
T-			wit		e	
2			h		со	
tox			five		mbi	
in			toxi		nati	
			ns		ons	
			and		and	
			five		syn	
			con		erg	
			cent		y	
			rati		for	
			ons		ZE	
			for		A-	
			eac		FB_1	
			h torri		, NI	
			toxi		N1	
			n,		V- T2	
			the		12	
			n full			
			full			
			fact			

			oria			
			1			
			desi			
			gn			
			for			
			two			
			-			
			fact			
			or			
			inte			
			ract			
			ions			
			of			
			part			
			icul			
			ar			
			inte			
			rest			
DON-	Factor	L929 mouse	Central	DNA	Demon	(Grote
ZE	ial	fibroblas	co	synthe	stra	n et
A-	de	ts	mp	sis	ted	al.,
FB	sig	ts.	osit	inhibit	mai	199
	n sig		e	ion	nly	8)
1- NI	11		desi	1011	add	0)
V-			gn		itiv	
T-			wit		e	
2			h		co	
tox			five		mbi	
in			toxi		nati	
111			ns		ons	
			and		and	
			five		syn	
			con		erg	
			cent		у	
			rati		for	
			ons		ZE	
			for		A-	
			eac		FB_1	
			h			
			toxi		, NI	
			n,		V-	
			the		T2	
			n		12	
			full			
			fact			
			oria			
			1			
			desi			
			uesi			

			gn			
			for			
			two			
			-			
			fact			
			or			
			inte			
			ract			
			ions			
			of			
			part			
			icul			
			ar			
			inte			
			rest			
Mycot	Interac	Cell model	Study	Endpoint	Combi	Refere
oxi	tio		desi	*	ned	nce
n	n		gn		effe	
ass	m		8		ct	
oci	od					
ati	el					
on						
*						
DON-	Arith	Porcine	Compar	Proliferati	Alleged	(Cortin
α-	m	granulos	ison	on of	sig	ovi
Z	eti	a cells	of	granul	nifi	s et
O	С		the	osa	can	al.,
L-	de		effe	cells,	t	201
FB	fin		cts	and	inte	4)
1	iti		of	their	ract	·
	on		3.4	steroid	ion	
	of		μΜ	(proge	for	
	ad		DO	steron	pro	
	dit		N,	e and	gest	
	ivi		9.4	estradi	ero	
	ty		μΜ	ol)	ne	
			α-	produc	pro	
			ZO	tion	duc	
			L,		tion	
			and		, no	
			10μ		sig	
			M		nifi	
			FB_1		can	
			to		t	
			3.4		inte	
			+10		ract	
- I			\ /		:	
			μM DO		ion for	

-	-					1
			N+		cell	
			FB_1		prol	
			and		ifer	
			9.4		atio	
			+10		n	
			μM		and	
			α-		estr	
			ZO		adi	
			L		ol	
			+F		pro	
			\mathbf{B}_1		duc	
			•		tion	
DON-	Isobol	Swine	Analysi	Cell	Demon	(Luong
α-	og	whole-	s of	prolife	stra	o et
$\ddot{\mathbf{z}}$	ra	blood	the	ration	ted	al.,
o	m	culture	effe	1441011	syn	200
L-	m	culture	cts		erg	8)
FB	et		for		у	0)
	ho		seri		(FB	
1 - NI	d		al		(1 B 1 α-	
V	u		dilu		ZO	
•			tion		L),	
			s of		no	
			the		inte	
			my		ract	
			cot		ion	
			oxi		(D	
			ns		ON	
			113		NI NI	
					V)	
ZEA-	Arith	Monley	Combin	Cytotowioi		(Dayari
		Monkey	atio	Cytotoxici	Increas ed	(Bouazi
T-	m eti	kidney		ty MTT		z et
2	eti	Vero	n of	MTT	toxi	al.,
to	C	cells	toxi	ROS	city	201
xi	de		ns	produc	со	3)
n	fin		at	tion,	mp	
	iti		equ	and	are	
	on		imo	expres	d to	
	of		lar	sion of	eac	
	ad		con	Heat	h .	
	dit		cent	shock	toxi	
	ivi		rati	protei	n	
	ty		on	n	alo	
				HSP7	ne	
				0		
ZEA-	CI-	Human	Compar	Cell	Demon	(Wang
α-	iso	HepG2	ison	viabili	stra	et

7	ho	hanatam	of	4**	tod	al
Z O	bo lo	hepatom a cells		ty MTT	ted	<i>al.</i> , 201
L		a cells	actu al	IVIII	ant	4)
L	gr a		mix		ago nis	4)
	m		ture		m	
			toxi		for	
	m et		city		low	
	ho		data		dos	
	d		to		es,	
	u		pre		add	
			dict		itivi	
			ed		ty	
			one		for	
			s		me	
			bas		diu	
			ed		m	
			on		dos	
			the		es	
			Ma		and	
			SS		syn	
			acti		erg	
			on		y at	
			law		hig	
			con		h	
			cept		dos	
			-		es	
ZEA-	CI-	Hamster	Compar	Cytotoxici	Demon	(Tatay
α-	Is	ovarian	ison	ty	stra	et
\mathbf{Z}	ob	cells	of	MTT	ted	al.,
O	ol	СНО-	actu		add	201
L-	og	K1	al		itiv	4)
β-	ra		mix		e	
Z	m		ture		effe	
О	m		toxi		cts	
L	et		city		for	
	ho		data		ZE	
	d		to		A-	
			pre		α-	
			dict		ZO	
			ed		L.	
			one		and	
			S		ZE	
			bas		A-	
			ed		β-	
			on		ZO	
			the		L,	
			Ma		ant	
			SS		ago	

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
law at hig con cept h con cen trati on for α- ZO L- β- ZO L L	
$\begin{array}{c c} con \\ cept \\ \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & $	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & trati \\ & & on \\ & for \\ & \alpha \text{-} \\ & ZO \\ & L \text{-} \\ & \beta \text{-} \\ & ZO \\ & L \end{array}$	
on for α- ZO L- β- ZO L	
on for α- ZO L- β- ZO L	
for α- ZO L- β- ZO L L	
α- ZO L- β- ZO L	
ZO L- β- ZO L	
L- β- ZO L	
β- ZO L	
1 1 4110 1	
syn	
erg	
y at	
low	
con	
cen	
trati	
on	
for	
ZE ZE	
A-	
α-	
L- L-	
β-	
ZO L	
	et
	<i>l.</i> ,
	00
	5)
et for ne y	
ho seri (IL-2 for	
d al and lym	
dilu INF- pho	
tion gamm cyt	
s of a) e	
the expres prol	
my sion ifer	
cot atio	

oxi	n,	
ns	inte	
	ract	
	ive	
	effe	
	ct	
	cyt	
	oki	
	ne	
	exp	
	ress	
	ion	

^{*}Abbreviations used: DON= deoxynivalenol, FB_1 = fumonisin B_1 , IL= interleukin 2, IFN= interferon, NIV= nivalenol, MCP-1= monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, MTT= (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) tetrazolium, NIV= nivalenol, ROS= reactive oxygen species, TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor- α , ZEA= zearalenone, α -ZOL= α -zearalenol, β -ZOL= β -zearalenol

Table 10: Interactions involving other mycotoxins from *Fusarium*

Mycotoxin association *	Interaction Model	Cell model	Study design	Endpoint*	Combined effect	Reference
BEA- DON-T-2 toxin	CI- Isobologra m method	Chinese hamster ovarian CHO- K1cells	Comparison of actual mixture toxicity data to predicted ones based on the Mass action law concept	Cell viability MTT and NR	Demonstrate d antagonism DON-BEA, DON-T2 antagonism, BEA-T2 synergism, DON-BEA- T2 synergism and low dose antagonism	(Ruiz et al., 2011a)
BEA- DON-T-2 toxin	CI- Isobologra m method	Monkey kidney Vero cells	Comparison of actual mixture toxicity data to predicted ones based on the Mass action law concept	Cell viability NR	Demonstrate d antagonism DON-BEA, T2-BEA antagonism, DON-T-2 antagonism, DON-BEA- T-2 antagonism	(<u>Ruiz et al.</u> , <u>2011b</u>)
BEA- DON-T-2 toxin	Arithmetic definition of additivity	Chinese hamster ovary CHO- K1 cells, monkey kidney Vero cells	Comparison of the tested and predicted toxicities for mycotoxin mixtures (simple additive)	Cell viability NR	Potential of interactive effects	(Font et al., 2009)
BEA- DON-T-2 toxin-ZEA-	Arithmetic definition of	Human Colony Forming Unit-	Comparison of the toxic effect of mixtures to	Myelotoxicity	Alleged synergy DON-BEA, antagonism	(<u>Ficheux et</u> al., 2012)

ENN DON-FB₁, additivity Granulocyte the sum of and the toxic synergy or Macrophag effects of additivity e (CFU-DON-T-2, individual GM) compounds additivity at their DON-ZEA, concentratio T2-ZEA, n in the **BEA-ENN** mixture В, BEA-FB₁ Arithmetic Turkey (Dombrink Comparison **Apoptosis** Alleged definition peripheral of 8 µM assessed by slightly Kurtzman, of blood FB_1 , 8 μ M nuclear DNA additive additivity mononuclea BEA, 8+8 fragmentation effect 2003) r cells μM FB₁& **BEA** ENN A-CI-Caco-2 cells Comparison Cell viability Demonstrate (Prosperini A_1 -B- B_1 of actual MTT et al., 2014) Isobologra d synergy for ENN B -m method mixture toxicity data ENN A₁, to predicted ENN B₁ --ones based ENN A_1 , ENN A -on the Mass action law ENN A₁ --ENN B; concept antagonism for ENN B --ENN B₁; additivity for all other combinations ENN A-CI-Cell viability Hamster Comparison Demonstrate (Lu et al., A_1-B-B_1 ovarian of actual **MTT** d synergistic **2013**) Isobologra effect of cells CHOm method mixture **K**1 combined toxicity data to predicted ENs $A+A_1$, ones based $A+B, A_1+B_1,$ on the Mass $A+A_1+B$, $A+A_1+B_1$, action law A+B+B₁ and concept

A_1+B+B_1 ENN B₁-T-CI-Cytotoxicity Porcine Comparison Demonstrate (Kolf-2 toxin Isobologra intestinal of actual and d less than Clauw et m method IPEC 1 mixture morphological additivity *al.*, 2013) cells and toxicity data and with porcine to predicted histopathologic decreasing intestinal ones based al scoring concentration s of toxins tissue on the Mass explants action law concept **FA-DON-**Pineal cell Alleged (Rimando Arithmetic Comparison Levels of pineal FB_1 definition cultures 5-HT and 5of 1 µM FA, possibly **and** HTP of 1μM DON, synergy or Porter, additivity $1 \mu M FB_1$, antagonism **1999**) $1+1 \mu M$ DON+FA, and $1+1 \mu M$ FA+FB₁

^{*}Abbreviations used: BEA= beauvericin, DON= deoxynivalenol, ENN= enniatin, FA= fusaric acid, FB₁= fumonisin B₁, MTT= (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) tetrazolium, NR= neutral red, 5HT= 5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HTP= 5-hydroxy-l-tryptophan, ZEA= zearalenone