How should we judge edible oils and fats? An umbrella review of the health effects of nutrient and bioactive components found in edible oils and fats

Scott B. Teasdale, Skye Marshall, Kylie Abbott, Tim Cassettari, Emily Duve & Flavia Fayet-Moore

View supplementary material

Published online: 11 Mar 2021.

Submit your article to this journal

Article views: 177

View related articles

View Crossmark data

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

REVIEW

How should we judge edible oils and fats? An umbrella review of the health effects of nutrient and bioactive components found in edible oils and fats

Scott B. Teasdale[a,b] [ID], Skye Marshall[a,c] [ID], Kylie Abbott[a] [ID], Tim Cassettari[a,d] [ID], Emily Duve[a,d] [ID], and Flavia Fayet-Moore[a] [ID]

[a]Department of Science, Nutrition Research Australia, Sydney, Australia; [b]School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; [c]Bond University Nutrition & Dietetics Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia; [d]Department of Translational Science, Nutrition Research Australia, Sydney, Australia

ABSTRACT

Dietary guidelines for many Western countries base their edible oil and fat recommendations solely on saturated fatty acid content. This study aims to demonstrate which nutritional and bioactive components make up commonly consumed edible oils and fats; and explore the health effects and strength of evidence for key nutritional and bioactive components of edible oils. An umbrella review was conducted in several stages. Food composition databases of Australia and the United States of America, and studies were examined to profile nutrient and bioactive content of edible oils and fats. PUBMED and Cochrane databases were searched for umbrella reviews, systematic literature reviews of randomized controlled trials or cohort studies, individual randomized controlled trials, and individual cohort studies to examine the effect of the nutrient or bioactive on high-burden chronic diseases (cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity, cancer, mental illness, cognitive impairment). Substantial systematic literature review evidence was identified for fatty acid categories, tocopherols, biophenols, and phytosterols. Insufficient evidence was identified for squalene. The evidence supports high mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acid compositions, total biophenol content, phytosterols, and possibly high α-tocopherol content as having beneficial effects on high-burden health comes. Future dietary guidelines should use a more sophisticated approach to judge edible oils beyond saturated fatty acid content.

Introduction

Although edible fats and oils have complex properties, dietary guidelines in Western countries such as the United States of America (US), United Kingdom (UK), and Australia recommend edible oil or fat to be consumed as part of a healthy diet based solely on its saturated fatty acid content (SFA) (NHMRC 2013; HHS 2015; England 2018). Those low in SFA (predominantly of plant origin) are recommended by dietary guidelines and those high in SFA (predominantly of animal origin with the addition of palm and coconut oil) are discouraged. The basis for this recommendation has been that SFA increases total and non-high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, a risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD); whereas replacing SFA with monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) is cardioprotective (Committee 2020). Further, the recommendation for oil has been described as an allowance rather than as part of the core food groups, suggesting that there are no health benefits associated with regular consumption of edible oils and fats as part of a healthy diet (NHMRC 2013; Agriculture 2015; PHN 2018).

The first print of the US Scientific Report of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee was released in July 2020 (Committee 2020). In line with current US dietary guidelines (Agriculture 2015), the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee report recommends replacing fats which are solid at normal room temperature with liquid oils, citing the SFA–CVD relationship (Committee 2020). More specifically, the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee report specifies that SFA should be replaced with PUFA (INRA 2015). In July 2020, the Australian Government announced funding for the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council to review and update the Australian dietary guidelines (Government 2020).

It is an opportune time for a more sophisticated approach to dietary recommendations for edible oils and fats as the importance of fatty acid intake extends past CVD to a number of other high burden diseases and conditions including diabetes, cancer, dementia and mental health (Afshin et al. 2019; Kyu et al. 2018). Further, in recent decades, potential health implications of edible oils at a biochemical level have emerged with compounds such as tocopherols, biophenols, phytosterols, stigmastadienes, terpenes, and squalene (Kris-Etherton et al. 2002). To progress

to a more sophisticated approach to judging edible oils and fats in national dietary guidelines, there is a need to compare the nutrient and bioactive content between edible oils and fats to determine which components have the strongest evidence-base for preventing and improving high-burden diseases.

This study aims to: (i) demonstrate which nutritional and bioactive components make up commonly consumed edible oils and fats, and (ii) explore the potential health effects and strength of evidence for key nutritional and bioactive components of edible oils.

Materials and methods

An umbrella review was undertaken. An umbrella review allows the examination of a broad scope of issues related to a topic of interest, with the predominant included study type being existing systematic literature reviews (SLRs) (*JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis* 2020).

This umbrella review drew upon food composition databases and studies, umbrella reviews, SLRs, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and cohort studies. This study was not prospectively registered; and was reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) (de Oliveira).

Characterization of common edible oils and fats

In order to select which edible oils and fats are included in this review, two rounds of stakeholder consultation with edible oils and fats experts were undertaken. Edible oils and fats which were considered for their importance for culinary use in Western society and were grouped as "high," "medium," and "low" priority. High priority edible oils and fats were olive oil (separated by grade where possible), canola oil, palm oil, soybean oil, sunflower oil, rice bran oil, peanut oil, coconut oil (separated by grade where possible), cottonseed oil, and grapeseed oil. Medium priority was assigned to butter, avocado oil, corn oil, and tallow/lard. Low priority was assigned to sesame oil, safflower oil, mustard seed oil, almond oil, walnut oil, flaxseed oil, macadamia nut oil, hempseed oil, pumpkin seed oil, and apricot seed oil.

Target nutrients and bioactive components included in the review were identified through a brief review of the literature as well as through stakeholder engagement. The chosen target nutrients and bioactives were: fatty acid categories (MUFA, PUFA, SFA, and trans-unsaturated fatty acids [TFA]) and the bioactives: tocopherols, biophenols (also commonly referred to as polyphenols), phytosterols, squalene, stigmastadienes, and terpenes. However, the review identified that data on stigmastadienes and terpenes content in edible oils and fats were extremely limited and were subsequently removed from the review, leaving five target nutrients/bioactives.

Edible oil and fat nutrient and bioactive matrix

To demonstrate which nutritional and bioactive components make up commonly consumed edible oils and fats, food composition databases and studies were drawn upon using the following method, executed by a single reviewer (ST or KA):

1. Food Standards Australia and New Zealand (FSANZ) Food Composition Database (FSANZ 2019) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture FoodData Central (USDA 2019) for vitamin, mineral, fatty acid, tocopherol and phytosterol composition on the 6th May 2020.
2. Industry websites: Olive Wellness Institute and Australian Oilseeds Industry (1989) were reviewed for reports or links to studies on 6th May 2020.
3. Phenol explorer (version 3.0) was searched for biophenol composition data for edible oils and fats on 6th May 2020 (INRA 2015).
4. PUBMED and Google Scholar databases were searched on 7th–11th May 2020, using targeted and rapid searching techniques based on keywords.

The nutrient and bioactive composition of the high, medium, and low priority edible oils and fats were extracted by a single reviewer (ST, KA, or ED) and reported as mean (and range when available) in mg per 100 g/100 mL in a matrix. For the fatty acid composition, data were reported as a percentage of the oil, rather than in mg. Where a study reported multiple analyses of the same edible oil or fat, the mean of these analyses was included in the report.

Eligibility criteria

The eligibility criteria according to the PICOS (Participant, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, Source) format is described in Table 1. Edible oils which were considered high priority were included for their association with the health outcomes. Health outcomes selected for inclusion were those relevant to population health and including those currently used as evidence in dietary guidelines (Australian Dietary Guideline): mortality, CVD, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), obesity, cancer, mental health disorders, cognitive impairment, and inflammation and immune function.

To fully understand the impact of the nutrient or bioactive components on health; studies were included when the nutrient or bioactive was consumed from any source rather than only edible oils and fats. Fatty acids which were marine derived (i.e. EPA/DHA) were excluded due to the minimal content in the majority of edible oils and fats used for culinary purposes, and the saturation of marine derived omega-3 in the peer-reviewed published literature. As it was anticipated that the systematic review evidence on fatty acids relation to the health outcomes would be exhaustive; search results were sorted by relevance to the search strategy and a maximum of 50 of the most relevant SLRs were included. Studies were included which reported on major classes and categories of fatty acids and major classes, categories, and individual bioactives.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria according to PICOS format.

PICOS element	Inclusion Criteria
Participant	• Human • General population, "high-risk" disease groups, and groups with a unifying health condition
Intervention	Edible oils and fats which were "high priority": • Fatty acids: MUFA, PUFA, SFA, TFA categories • Tocopherols: Total and individual tocopherols (focus on α-tocopherol) • Biophenols (a.k.a. polyphenols): total and subcategories (flavonoids, phenolic acids, lignans, secoiridoids (oleuropein and ligstrodise derivatives including oleocanthal) • Phytosterols: total level • Squalene
Comparator	• Placebo (for RCTs or SLR of RCTs) • Presence of the nutritional component versus no nutritional component • Varying levels of the nutritional component (high vs low)
Outcome	• Disease/disorder risk factors (all studies): Total cholesterol, low- and high-density lipoproteins, triglycerides, blood pressure, inflammatory (CRP, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α) and oxidative (8-iso PGF2a, ORAC, TBARS, FRAP, GSH-Px, SOD) markers, blood glucose, insulin, glycated hemoglobin, body weight/composition change, mental health/wellbeing assessments, common mental illness symptomatology, measures of cognition. • Disease/disorder and event prevalence /incidence (cohort studies): Mortality, CVD, CVD-event, CVD-death, T2DM, obesity, cancer, cancer-death, mental disorders, cognitive impairment/dementia.
Source	• Umbrella reviews of SLRs of RCTs and/or cohort studies • SLRs of RCTs and/or cohort studies (with and without meta-analysis [MA]) • RCTs • Cohort studies

CRP: C-reactive protein, CVD: cardiovascular disease, FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay, GSH: Glutathione, IL: Interleukin, MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids, ORAC: Oxygen radical absorbance capacity, PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids, RCT: Randomized-controlled trial, SFA: Saturated fatty acids, SLR: Systematic literature review, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, T2DM: Type-II diabetes mellitus, TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, TFA: Trans-fatty acids, TNF: Tumor-necrosis factor

Search strategy

PUBMED and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews were searched 7th-11th June 2020 to identify umbrella reviews, SLRs, RCTs, and cohort studies that investigated the effect of the five nutritional and bioactive components present in edible oils and fats on the health outcomes. The literature search was completed in two steps. In step 1, umbrella reviews, SLRs of RCTs, and SLRs of cohort studies for each nutritional component on the health outcomes. If no umbrella review or SLR evidence was found, we progressed to step 2. In step 2, RCTs and cohort studies for each nutritional and bioactive component on the health outcomes. The full search strategy is listed in Table S1 (Supplementary material). Reference lists of relevant narrative reviews were searched for additional eligible studies.

Data extraction

One reviewer (ST or KA) screened titles and abstracts for relevant studies against the eligibility criteria. The full texts of potentially eligible studies were then screened to confirm eligibility by one reviewer (ST or KA). If insufficient umbrella or SLRs were included after full text screening, full text screening of RCTs and cohort studies followed. Data were extracted into summary tables according to study design. Data extracted were:

1. SLR: author, publication year, design, date of search, number of studies included, study sample, details of the intervention/cohort studies, control condition, health outcomes and their measurements, top line results, strengths/limitations.
2. RCTs and cohort: author, publication year, country, design, sample size, subject characteristics, bioactive details, control condition, health outcomes and measurements, top line results, strengths/limitations.

Studies that investigated health outcomes not eligible in this review were noted. One reviewer (ST) identified the key strengths and limitations for each included study, presented these in tables, and they were discussed.

Results

Edible oils and fats matrix

A summary of the nutrient and bioactive content of edible oils and fats are demonstrated as a summary in Table 2 (raw data are presented in the Supplementary Tables S2–S10, Supplementary material). Data on pumpkin seed oil and virgin avocado oil were not identified in the literature, and hence not included in the matrix. Data on different qualities of coconut oil were limited and included when available.

Health effects of nutrients and bioactives found in edible oils and fats

The number of studies identified according to the components are summarized in Table 3. Sufficient SLR literature

Table 2. Key summary of the differences in nutritional components across edible fats and oils.

Fats & oils	Vitamins and minerals[a]	Fatty acids	Phytosterols	Biophenols	Squalene[b]
EVOO	Highest in Fe for all oils. Moderate in tocopherol.	High in MUFA, Low in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	High content.	High content.
Olive Oil (refined)	N/A	N/A	Moderate content.	Moderate content.	High content.
Canola oil	High in tocopherol. High in Al, Moderate in Cr, Cl, F & Ni.	High in MUFA, Moderate in PUFA, Low in SFA.	High content.	Moderate content.	Low content.
Palm oil	Low- Moderate in tocopherol. Minimal vit/min.	Moderate in MUFA, Low in PUFA, High in SFA.	N/A.	N/A	Low content.
Soybean oil	High in tocopherol. Presence of Cl.	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	Low content.	Low content.
Sunflower oil	High in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	Low content.	Low content.
Rice bran oil	Moderate in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, Moderate in PUFA, Moderate in SFA.	High content.	N/A	Low content.
Peanut oil	Moderate in tocopherol.	High in MUFA, Moderate in PUFA, Moderate in SFA.	Moderate content.	Not detectable.	Low content.
Coconut oil	Low in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, Low in PUFA, High in SFA.	Low content.	HE- High content. CE- Moderate content	Low content.
Cottonseed oil	Moderate in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Moderate in SFA.	N/A.	N/A.	Low content.
Grapeseed oil	Low in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	Moderate content.	Low content.
Butter	Low in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, Low in PUFA, High in SFA.	N/A..	N/A.	N/A.
Avocado oil	Low- Moderate in tocopherol.	High in MUFA, Low in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	High content.	Low content.
Corn oil	Very high in kernel and wet milled germ High in bran Moderate in dry milled germ	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	High content.	N/A.	Low content.
Tallow/lard	Tocopherol NI	High in MUFA, Low in PUFA, High in SFA.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.
Sesame oil	Tocopherol NI Low in Vitamin E	Moderate in MUFA Moderate in PUFA Low in SFA	High content	Low content.	N/A.
Safflower oil	Moderate in tocopherol	High in MUFA Low in PUFA Low in SFA	N/A.	Low content.	Low content.
Mustard seed oil	Low in tocopherol	High in MUFA Moderate in PUFA Low in SFA	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.
Almond oil	Moderate in tocopherol.	High in MUFA, Moderate in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Low-moderate content.	Not detectable.	Low content.
Walnut oil	Tocopherol NI	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	Not detectable.	Low content.
Flaxseed oil	Moderate in tocopherol.	Moderate in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	N/A.	N/A.
Macadamia oil	Low- Moderate in tocopherol.	High in MUFA, Low in PUFA, Low in SFA.	Moderate content.	High content.	Low content.
Hemp seed oil	High in tocopherol.		Moderate content.		Low content.

(*continued*)

Table 2. Continued.

Fats & oils	Vitamins and minerals[a]	Fatty acids	Phytosterols	Biophenols	Squalene[b]
Apricot seed oil	Moderate -High in tocopherol.	Low in MUFA, High in PUFA, Low in SFA. High in MUFA, Moderate in PUFA, Low in SFA.	N/A	High in total phenols and very high in total flavonoids. Low content.	N/A.

N/A- not applicable symbol is used when data were not obtained from the literature search.

Olive oil is reported as a combination of multiple grades in the Food Standards Australia and New Zealand database. Data on refined olive oil were identified for phytosterols, biophenols, and squalene.

Cr- Chromium; Cl- Chloride; F- Fluoride; Ni- Nickel; Al- Aluminum; Fe- iron; MUFA- monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA- polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFA- saturated fatty acids; HE- heat extracted; CE- cold extracted.

[a]Clinical relevance of most levels is questionable.

[b]Note. Amaranth oil is reported to have significantly higher squalene that all other oils reviewed in this matrix.

was identified for fatty acids, tocopherols, biophenols, and phytosterols in step 1. The most frequent outcomes explored in fatty acid reviews were cardiovascular outcomes; however, research is building for other high-burden diseases and illnesses including mortality, cancer, and diabetes. Tocopherol outcomes were most frequently all-cause mortality, cardiovascular, and cancer. The phytosterol literature most frequently explored cardiovascular and cancer outcomes. Biophenol outcomes were broad and included all-cause mortality, cardiovascular, diabetes, cancer, neurodegenerative, and body composition. The squalene literature was limited to blood lipid outcomes.

Health effects of fatty acids

The SLR and meta-analysis (MA) evidence for fatty acid categories and health outcomes was exhaustive and as such the first 50 relevant umbrella reviews and SLRs were included. Snowball searching found three additional SLRs of high interest, leading to a total of 53 studies (Table S11, Supplementary material). Twenty-four were SLRs and MA of RCTs, 13 SLRs and MA of cohort studies, four SLRs and MA of cohort studies and case-control studies, four SLRs of RCTs, three SLRs and MA of RCTs and cohort studies, two umbrella reviews of SLRs with MA, two SLRs of RCTs and cohort studies and one SLR of RCTs, cohort studies and case-control studies.

All-cause mortality

SLRs of cohort studies and RCTs provided unclear consensus on fatty acid categories and all-cause mortality; with study duration ranging from 12 months to 8 years. In one review of cohort studies, TFAs were positively associated and SFAs had no association (de Souza et al. 2015); whereas in a second review, SFAs were positively associated (Brennan et al. 2017). A third review of cohort studies found linolenic acid and gamma linolenic acid (GLA) had no association with all-cause mortality (Farvid et al. 2014), nor did a fourth review of higher PUFA intake (Abdelhamid et al. 2018). A review of RCTs found replacing SFA with MUFA and/or PUFA did not reduce total mortality (Hooper et al. 2012), nor did the substitution of SFAs and TFAs with omega-6 PUFA (Ramsden et al. 2010).

Cardiovascular outcomes

There was a substantial amount of SLR research on fatty acid categories and cardiovascular outcomes. The most researched was the effect of SFAs via cohort studies and its manipulation with unsaturated fatty acids in RCTs. Cohort studies provided conflicting evidence, more frequently finding no association with cardiovascular outcomes (Cheng et al. 2016; Chowdhury et al. 2014; Harcombe, Baker, and Davies 2017; Muto, Momoko and Osamu 2018; Siri-Tarino et al. 2010; Te Morenga and Montez 2017; Zhu, Bo, and Liu 2019). SLRs of RCTs that manipulated the ratio of fatty acid categories show strong evidence for the replacement of SFAs with PUFA, and potentially MUFA. These findings have been synthesized in two recent umbrella reviews and are in line with current dietary recommendations (Clifton and Keogh 2017; Foster and Wilson 2013). Relevant to edible oils was a SLR and MA of RCTs that found no effect of replacing SFA with omega-6 PUFA on coronary heart disease outcomes, with the length of the RCTs ranging 1–8 years (Hamley 2017).

The SLR evidence is progressing to an individual fatty acid level, demonstrating effects of individual fatty acids which differ to that portrayed in the corresponding fatty acid category. For example, one SLR found medium-chain SFAs improved high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol without affecting other lipids (Panth et al. 2018). Another SLR found stearic acid improved the lipid profile more than TFAs but less than PUFA or MUFA (Hunter, Zhang, and Kris-Etherton 2010).

The evidence for TFA being detrimental to cardiovascular health is consistent in both SLRs of cohort studies and SLRs of RCTs (Aronis, Khan, and Mantzoros 2012; Chowdhury et al. 2014; de Souza et al. 2015; Hunter, Zhang, and Kris-Etherton 2010; Mensink et al. 2003; Zhu, Bo, and Liu 2019). In contrast, a review of RCTs on ruminant TFAs found no effect on cardiovascular risk factors (Gayet-Boyer et al. 2014).

The health benefits of non-marine PUFA compared to omega-3, or combined omega-3 and omega-6 PUFA, were less clear as the majority of studies reported PUFA as a total, and limited studies explored the health benefits of non-marine PUFA individually, which did not suggest a clear health benefit or detriment (Chowdhury et al. 2014; Farvid et al.

Table 3. Number of studies included according to each component.

Component	Umbrella reviews	Number of SLRs	Number of RCTs[a]	Number of cohort studies
Fatty acid categories				
Total	2	51	N/A	N/A
Multiple FA categories	1	19	N/A	N/A
MUFA	0	3	N/A	N/A
PUFA	0	8	N/A	N/A
SFA	1	10	N/A	N/A
SFA + TFA	0	2	N/A	N/A
TFA	0	2	N/A	N/A
Edible oils/fats[b]	0	9	N/A	N/A
Tocopherols	0	21	N/A	N/A
Biophenols[c]				
Total	0	36 (+ 27 related[d])	N/A	N/A
Flavonoids	0	16	N/A	N/A
Phenolic acids	0	2	N/A	N/A
Lignans	0	1	N/A	N/A
Secoiridoids	0	0	N/A	N/A
Phytosterols	0	32	N/A	N/A
Squalene	0	0	1	0

N/A: not applicable, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids, RCT: randomized controlled trial, SFA: saturated fatty acids, TFA: trans saturated fatty acid, SLR: systematic literature review.
[a]If sufficient SLRs were identified for a nutritional component, the number of RCTs and cohort studies were not quantified.
[b]Edible oil/fat studies identified in the fatty acid search were noted. This is not a comprehensive list; however, may provide insight into the evidence base.
[c]Some biophenol studies explored more than one subclass. These studies were tallied in each of the respective subclass categories.
[d]Studies identified which were specific to one individual phenol-containing food such as cacao, or an individual biophenol were documented to further describe the breadth of the literature.

2014; Haghighatdoost and Gh 2018; Hooper et al. 2018), critical to the interpretation for edible oils and fats.

Diabetes and glycemia

An SLR of cohort studies suggested SFAs and TFAs were not linked to T2DM incidence (de Souza et al. 2015), but alpha-linolenic acid (ALA) and fatty fish were associated with lower T2DM incidence (Muley, Muley, and Shah 2014). In SLRs of RCTs, higher MUFA intake was found to improve glucose outcomes in two SLRs (Qian et al. 2016; Schwingshackl, Strasser, and Hoffmann 2011), one showing greater effect than PUFA (Qian et al. 2016) while another did not find an effect of PUFA intake (Brown et al. 2019). A further SLR of RCTs and cohort studies found replacing SFA with MUFA or PUFA was associated with a decrease in metabolic syndrome risk (Julibert et al. 2019). Another SLR of RCTs explored modified macronutrient compositions and found replacing CHO with SFA, MUFA or PUFA improved glucose and/or insulin outcomes, with PUFA having the largest impact on insulin secretion capacity (Imamura et al. 2016). Replacing SFA or carbohydrate with plant-derived PUFA had a favorable albeit weak effect on glucose and insulin in predominantly healthy cohorts in a third review (Wanders et al. 2019); a fourth showed no effect of PUFA on glucose or insulin for people with T2DM (Telle-Hansen, Gaundal, and Myhrstad 2019); and a fifth found replacement of liquids oils with palm oil did not affect glucose or insulin levels (Zulkiply et al. 2019). TFAs did not affect glucose or insulin (Aronis, Khan, and Mantzoros 2012).

Cancer

The evidence for fatty acid categories and cancer was predominantly reliant on reviews of cohort studies. In one

review, positive associations were found for total fatty acids, SFAs, and TFAs on ovarian cancer, whereas PUFA and MUFA had no association (Qiu et al. 2016). In a second SLR, MUFA was inversely associated with endometrial cancer incidence, whereas PUFA had no association (Zhao et al. 2016). No associations were found for PUFA with lung cancer in one review (Zhang et al. 2014); or fatty acid categories with breast, colorectal, or prostate cancer in other reviews (Cao, Hou, and Wang 2016; Kim and Park 2018; Xu et al. 2015). One SLR found SFA positively associated with breast cancer mortality (Xia et al. 2015).

Inflammation

One SLR was identified for inflammation on RCTs, and found that conjugated linolenic acid increased inflammation (Haghighatdoost and Gh 2018).

Health effects of tocopherols

Twenty-one SLRs were identified that examined the health effects of tocopherols including SLRs and MAs of cohort studies ($N = 8$), SLRs and MAs of both RCTs and cohort studies ($N = 3$), and SLRs and MAs of RCTs ($N = 10$) (Table S12, Supplementary material). Tocopherol studies focused on α-tocopherol and γ-tocopherol. Cohort studies measured tocopherols through dietary intake and/or blood levels. Intervention studies generally used vitamin E (α-tocopherol) as a supplement and the dose ranged from 30 mg/day to 5500 IU/day, while the intervention duration ranged from 1 month to 10 years.

The evidence demonstrates an inverse relationship between blood α-tocopherol and all-cause mortality (Aune et al. 2018; Jayedi et al. 2018), CVD mortality (Jayedi et al.

2019), cardiovascular events (Asplund 2002), and risk of stroke (Aune et al. 2018). The results for the association between blood α-tocopherol and cancer were conflicting across different types, but tended to have relevant associations: inverse relationship for total cancer, bladder, and colorectal cancer (marginal significance), and no association with gastric cancer in a single cohort study (Nurses Health Study) (Aune et al. 2018; Li et al. 2014; Longnecker et al. 1992; Miura and Green 2015; Patterson et al. 1997). Blood γ-tocopherol was positively associated with coronary heart disease (Irawati et al. 2019) and bladder cancer (Chen et al. 2015) in two separate studies, and had no association with all-cause or cardiovascular-specific mortality, or risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, or total cancer in a third study (Aune et al. 2018).

Dietary vitamin E intake was associated with all-cause mortality and CVD in one SLR in a non-linear analysis (Aune et al. 2018), but not associated with all-cause mortality (Jayedi et al. 2018) or CVD-mortality (Jayedi et al. 2019) in other reviews. Dietary vitamin E was inversely associated with coronary heart disease in one SLR (Ye and Song 2008), but had no association in a more recent SLR (Aune et al. 2018). Dietary intake of vitamin E was inversely associated with bladder cancer but there was no association when including both dietary and supplemental vitamin E intake (Chen et al. 2015), and was marginally significant for increased risk of gastric cancer (Li et al. 2014). A review of intervention and observational studies that included a single cohort study (the Nurses Health Study) did not find an association between dietary vitamin E intake and total cancer incidence (Miura and Green 2015).

SLRs of RCTs frequently found no effect of Vitamin E supplmentation on risk of mortality, cardiovascular events or cancer (Abner et al. 2011), though was found to reduce C-reactive protein (Saboori et al. 2015), and may be effective in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (Sato et al. 2015), and Alzheimers disease but not cognitive impairment (Farina et al. 2017).

Health effects of biophenols

The search found 15 SLRs and MAs of RCTs, six SLRs of RCTs, two SLRs of RCTs and cohort studies, 12 SLRs and MAs of cohort studies, and one SLR of cohort studies that examined the health effects of biophenols (Table S13, Supplementary material). Of the 36 included SLRs, 16 specifically examined the effect of flavonoids, two examined phenols; and one examined flavonoids and lignans. None examined secoiridoids nor single biophenol chemicals. Additional SLRs with and without MAs of either RCTs or cohort studies were identified for the following individual biophenols: curcumin ($N = 3$); hesperidin ($N = 4$); anthocyanin ($N = 3$); flavan-3-ols ($N = 1$); flavonoid subclasses ($N = 1$); quercetin ($N = 2$); resveratrol ($N = 3$). Additional SLRs and MAs of RCTs or cohort studies were identified for one-specific food or beverage rich in biophenols: olive oil (high biophenols versus low biophenols) ($N = 3$),

pomegranate juice ($N = 3$), tea ($N = 1$), green tea ($N = 1$), green coffee bean ($N = 1$), grapes ($N = 1$), cocoa/chocolate ($N = 4$).

Identified studies explored potential health benefits of biophenols through typical dietary intake, circulating blood levels, and supplementation in the form of capsules/tablets, fortified beverage, or high biophenol food or beverage in adults ≥ 20 years. Cohort studies generally included $\geq 100,000$ people and $>10,000$ cases. Intervention reviews were mainly in healthy populations and less frequently in people with metabolic abnormalities such as hypercholesterolemia. Intervention duration ranged from acute effects (testing within hours of intervention consumption) to 3 years. The range of dose was broad (1.55–1500 mg/day), but most SLRs reported a minimum dose of ≥ 28 mg/day. Findings were reported according to the health outcome below.

All-cause mortality

All-cause mortality was assessed in five SLRs with and without MA of cohort studies focused on flavonoids (Grosso, Micek, et al. 2017; Kim and Je 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2014; Del Bo et al. 2019). Flavonoid intake consistently had an inverse relationship with all-cause mortality across SLRs with MAs (Grosso, Micek, et al. 2017; Kim and Je 2017; Liu et al. 2017); however, an SLR without MA reported conflicting results (Del Bo et al. 2019). One SLR also assessed lignan intake and all-cause mortality for which there was no association found (Grosso, Micek, et al. 2017).

Effect on cardiovascular outcomes

There was a significant risk reduction for incidence of CVD, stroke, and hypertension when highest flavonoid intakes were compared to the lowest; and significant inverse relationships in some dose-response SLRs and MAs (Godos et al. 2019; Kim and Je 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Tang et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2014). Contrary to the majority, one SLR only detected a significant relationship with CVD risk through sensitivity analysis (Grosso, Micek, et al. 2017) and an SLR without MA reported inconclusive results (Del Bo et al. 2019).

Evidence from SLRs of RCTs suggested olive oil specific biophenols improved cardiovascular risk factors, particularly oxidized-LDL and blood pressure, with mixed effects on other outcomes (George et al. 2019; Hohmann et al. 2015; Sahebkar et al. 2017). Additional SLRs for RCTs of high-biophenol foods such as ginger, turmeric, green tea, and pomegranate skins suggest a beneficial effect of biophenols on blood pressure, triglycerides, and flow mediated dilation. However, evidence appeared conflicting and dependent, at least in part, on the food source of the biophenols (e.g. turmeric versus ginger versus pomegranate), and possibly the dose and concentration of active components, which was often not tested nor reported (Amiot, Riva, and Vinet 2016; Ellwood et al. 2019; Hooper et al. 2008; Marx et al. 2017). SLRs using supplements found improved blood pressure and HDL cholesterol, flow mediated dilation, blood pressure,

weight, and waist circumference; however, there was some inconsistency (i.e. some studies reported a significant effect; others reported no effect) for most of these outcomes, likely related to variations in sample and intervention characteristics (Akhlaghi et al. 2018; Amiot, Riva, and Vinet 2016; Farhat, Drummond, and Al-Dujaili 2017; Kay et al. 2012; Potì et al. 2019).

Effect on diabetes and glycemia

Total biophenols and the flavonoid subclass had inverse relationships with T2DM risk across three SLRs (Liu et al. 2014; Rienks et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2018). However, a fourth SLR found inconclusive results (Del Bo et al. 2019). There was no relationship overall in the one SLR which examined gestational diabetes; except for the drupes subgroup (nectarine, plum, cherry), which was associated with a higher risk of gestational diabetes (Pham, Van Do, and Lee 2019).

For trials, one SLR found lower acute phase responses to glucose after consuming biophenol-containing foods or beverages with a source of carbohydrate, and the second found reductions in glycated hemoglobin (longer term response) through extracts, supplements, or foods (Coe and Ryan 2016; Palma-Duran et al. 2017).

Effect on risk of cancer

There was preliminary evidence suggesting a relationship between total biophenol intake or biophenol subclasses, and risk of various cancers (Grosso, Godos, et al. 2017); however, no association was found between flavonoid intake and colorectal cancer in another SLR (He and Sun 2016).

Effect on cognition and mental health

Supplementation of biophenols frequently resulted in improved cognitive performance and neuroprotection, but effect sizes differed and may be related to variations in the age of participants and intervention dose regimens (Ammar, Trabelsi, Boukhris, et al. 2020; Ammar, Trabelsi, Müller, et al. 2020; Barrera-Reyes et al., 2020; Colizzi, 2019; Hein et al. 2019; Travica et al. 2020). The single SLR of RCTs and cohort studies on mental health explored the effect of supplements and specific biophenol-containing food categories within the Mediterranean diet, and found significant reductions in depressive symptoms (Bayes, Schloss, and Sibbritt 2020). A single SLR of RCTs found curcumin reduced depressive symptoms (Ng et al. 2017)

Effect on immune function and inflammation

The effect of supplementation with or without biophenol-rich foods on immune function and inflammation was predominantly based on flavonoid-specific interventions (Peluso, Raguzzini, and Serafini 2013; Somerville, Braakhuis, and Hopkins 2016; Speer et al. 2019; Suen et al. 2016). The effects of flavonoids tended to favor reductions in at least one inflammatory and or oxidative stress marker analyzed (Peluso, Raguzzini, and Serafini 2013; Speer et al. 2019; Suen et al. 2016); however, one meta-analysis found no difference between intervention and control for immune function (Somerville, Braakhuis, and Hopkins 2016).

Individual biophenols

Regarding SLRs solely on individual biophenols: curcumin reduced depressive symptoms (Ng et al. 2017) and TNF-α concentration (Sahebkar et al. 2016), but did not affect lipid levels (Sahebkar 2014). Hesperidin improved vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 but no other inflammatory markers (Lorzadeh et al. 2019), improved endothelial function (Pla-Pagà et al. 2019), and had no effect on lipids, blood pressure (Mohammadi et al. 2019), or blood glucose (Shams-Rad et al. 2020). Anthocyanin was inversely associated with both coronary heart disease and cardiovascular disease mortality but not myocardial infarction, stroke, or total cardiovascular disease in cohort studies (Kimble et al. 2019). It improved markers of cardiovascular disease in RCTs (Wallace, Slavin, and Frankenfeld 2016), and showed promising results on cognitive function (Kent et al. 2017). Flavan-3-ols improved cardiometabolic outcomes (Raman et al. 2019). Specifically, flavanols, flavanols, flavan-3-ols and isoflavones were inversely associated with T2DM risk (H. Xu et al. 2018); and quercetin reduced blood pressure (Serban et al. 2016) but did not affect lipid levels (Sahebkar 2017). Resveratrol did not improve markers of oxidative stress apart from improving total antioxidant capacity (Koushki et al. 2020), but found improvements in cardiometabolic biomarkers as an adjunct to pharmacological management of T2DM (Hausenblas, Schoulda, and Smoliga 2015), and did not reduce weight in overweight/obese people, but provided anti-inflammatory effects (Christenson et al. 2016).

Health effects of phytosterols

24 SLRs were identified and included: two SLRs of cohort and case/control studies, and 22 SLRs of RCTs (Table S14, Supplementary material). Included studies ranged in duration from 3 weeks up to a year, and most studies included foods fortified with plant sterols, or a tablet or capsule based supplement of either plant sterols or plant stanols. Doses ranged from 0.1 to 9.0 g/day, with the majority using doses between 1.5 and 4.0 g/day. Findings are reported according to the health outcome below.

Effect on cardiovascular outcomes

Supplementation with phytosterols had a strong and consistent improvement on low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol where most SLRs reported a decrease of LDL-cholesterol of 0.31-0.38 mmol/L. Effect sizes for LDL-cholesterol were comparable when phytosterols were delivered as fortified foods or as capsules consumed with food (AbuMweis, Barake, and Jones 2008; Amir Shaghaghi, Abumweis, and Jones 2013; Chen et al. 2005; Fatahi et al. 2019; Pastor-Villaescusa et al. 2015; Ying et al. 2019; Soto-Méndez et al. 2019). The effect size in participants on statins was similar to those not on statins (Han et al. 2016; Scholle et al. 2009). There was a marginal decrease in triglycerides

in one SLR (Wu et al. 2009) but more frequently no effect was found (Baker, Baker, and Coleman 2009; Chung et al. 2020; Del Bo et al. 2019; Han et al. 2016; Huang, Frohlich, and Ignaszewski 2011; Moruisi, Oosthuizen, and Opperman 2006; Scholle et al. 2009), and no effect on HDL was noted in any of the SLRs. A further SLR and MA found a favourable effect on apolipoprotein profile (Ghaedi et al. 2020).

Two SLRs reported dose-response relationships between phytosterols and LDL-cholesterol (Demonty et al. 2009; Ras, Geleijnse, and Trautwein 2014), and one SLR compared high (>2.5 g/day) vs low (<1.5 g/day) dose in a sub-group analysis (AbuMweis, Barake, and Jones 2008). There was evidence of a dose-response reduction in LDL-cholesterol up to doses of 3 g/day, where effects appeared to plateau, and no further reductions in LDL cholesterol were observed. A minimum effective dose could not be determined from the included SLRs.

There was some evidence that plant sterols delivered in solid foods or foods which are higher in fat (e.g. fat spreads, yoghurt, salad dressings) may be more efficacious than liquid mediums at lowering LDL-cholesterol; however, this was inconsistent and subject to heterogeneity (AbuMweis, Barake, and Jones 2008; Ras, Geleijnse, and Trautwein 2014). One SLR found that phytosterols fortified in a rapeseed (canola) oil medium lowered LDL-cholesterol by an additional 0.1 mmol/L compared to a sunflower oil medium (Ferguson et al. 2016).

One SLR directly compared the effect of plant sterols versus plant stanols on LDL-cholesterol levels (Talati et al. 2010). At doses of up to 2.5 g/day, there was no evidence of a statistical or clinical difference in their efficacy of lowering LDL-cholesterol levels. There was also no evidence that plant sterol structure (e.g. ester, free sterols) influenced the effect size or function (AbuMweis, Barake, and Jones 2008; Amir Shaghaghi, Abumweis, and Jones 2013).

Only one SLR investigated the contribution of individual plant sterols in sub-group analysis, and compared high sitosterol/stanol (>80% sitosterol/sitostanol content) to low sitosterol/stanol phytosterols. Both formations lowered LDL-cholesterol, with the high sitosterol/stanol group showing a significantly greater reduction.

One SLR of cohort studies did not find a relationship between serum sterol level and CVD, however serum sterol may not be reflective of dietary intake (Genser et al. 2012).

Effect on body composition

One SLR investigated phytosterol effects on body composition and found there was no evidence of an effect of plant sterols on body weight, fat mass, or waist circumference (Ghaedi, Varkaneh, et al. 2019). There was a small decrease in BMI in the meta-analysis; however, this was largely driven by only two studies, with the majority of studies showing no effect (Ghaedi, Varkaneh, et al. 2019).

Effect on blood pressure

One SLR investigated phytosterol effects on blood pressure (Ghaedi, Foshati, et al. 2019). There were small significant reductions in both systolic (−1.55 mmHg, 95%CI: −2.67, −0.42) and diastolic (−0.84 mmHg, 95%CI: −1.6, −0.08) blood pressure observed. However, the effects were largely driven by only a few included studies, and thus should be interpreted cautiously.

Effect on inflammation

C-reactive protein (CRP) was the only inflammatory marker reported but an effect was not seen in the healthy sample (Rocha et al. 2016).

Effect on cancer risk

There was a decreased incidence of cancer in adults with the highest dietary intake of phytosterols reported in a single SLR (Jiang et al. 2019).

Health effects of squalene

No SLRs and one 20-week double-blind placebo-controlled RCT were identified (Chan et al. 1996) (Table S15, Supplementary material). The trial included four study arms: (i) Squalene standalone (860 mg/day), (ii) Pravastatin standalone (10 mg/day), (iii) Combination of Squalene and Pravastatin, (iv) placebo.

Squalene consumed in capsule form reduced total cholesterol (17% compared to baseline, p < 0.05) and LDL-cholesterol (22% compared baseline, $p < 0.05$) significantly more than placebo but less than Pravastatin as standalone treatment (Chan et al. 1996). The combination of squalene and Pravastatin had greatest effect on total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and HDL-cholesterol than standalone treatments or placebo.

Discussion

This umbrella review describes the key nutrients and bioactive components in edible oils and fats and their associations with high burden chronic diseases. The results show that edible oils and fats contain chemical properties which affect human health well beyond their SFA content; therefore, the findings demand dietary guidelines reconsider how edible oils and fats are judged. The total fatty acid composition, biophenols, and phytosterols all have well established favorable associations on chronic disease outcomes. There is further emerging evidence that dietary α-tocopherol, but not γ-tocopherol, and squalene may have beneficial effects on risk of chronic disease.

The greatest limitation within identified studies for fatty acids is conflicting results, particularly between SLRs of cohort studies and SLRs of RCTs. RCTs and SLRs are needed which compare MUFA (particularly oleic acid) against non-marine PUFA (particularly LA). In addition, a shift is required from viewing fatty acids, and their categories, as only influencing cardiovascular risk, and understanding their potential influence on other high-burden diseases such as diabetes, mental health, and dementia.

The literature around dietary intake and blood levels of tocopherols, particularly of α-tocopherol, is promising. For example, dose–response meta-analyses of dietary vitamin E cohort studies suggested that 30 IU/day (20–27 mg/day) incremental increases are associated with a 4% decreased risk of coronary heart disease (Ye and Song 2008), and 10 mg/day incremental increases are associated with a 17% reduced risk of bladder cancer (Chen et al. 2015). These values suggest edible oils could contribute to the health benefits of vitamin E/α-tocopherol as sunflower, rice bran, cottonseed, corn kernel, safflower, and extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) all have >20mg/100 ml (range 22.4 to 51.5 mg/100 ml; Table S5, Supplementary material) of α-tocopherol. Consideration is also needed for γ-tocopherol content, which is present in substantial quantities in canola, soybean and corn oils, as this was linked to an increased risk of both coronary heart disease and bladder cancer (Chen et al. 2015; Irawati et al. 2019). However, further research is needed to examine the effect of increasing dietary a-tocopherol and decreasing γ-tocopherol on blood levels and subsequent disease risk.

Total biophenols and flavonoids were consistently linked with beneficial health outcomes in SLRs across a range of high-burden health diseases. Greater exploration into the dose requirements is needed given the large range of doses reported. The SLRs of RCTs which utilized supplements may not be directly applicable to the biophenol content in edible oils; however, are supported by cohort study evidence. Despite potential accuracy limitations for biophenol intake assessment within cohort studies, strong favorable evidence was found for high biophenol olive oil compared to low biophenol olive oil across three SLRs (George et al. 2019; Hohmann et al. 2015; Lukas Schwingshackl et al. 2019). Directly applicable to judging edible oils, 25 ml–75 ml per day of high biophenol olive oil or 3.4–31 mg biophenols from EVOO had clear benefits to cardiovascular health when compared to refined olive oil (George et al. 2019; Hohmann et al. 2015).

There was strong and consistent evidence of an LDL-lowering effect of phytosterols in a dose-dependent manner for up to 3 g/day delivered in food or supplements. These findings were consistent in SLRs which included only highquality studies. As some of the SLRs included people without hypercholesterolemia, the findings on LDL-cholesterol are largely generalizable, but the doses identified (>1000 mg/day) were generally well above that obtained from consuming edible oils (≤185 mg/100 g). However, it is possible that an effect is seen at lower doses, as an SLR of phytosterols in tree nuts, which used a dose range of 4.8–279 mg/day, lowered LDL-cholesterol (Del Gobbo et al. 2015). Further, edible oils and fats are a part of the whole diet, and should be considered together with other sources of phytosterols recommended in dietary guidelines, such as fruits and vegetables.

The literature on squalene was mostly limited to theoretical models, in-vitro studies, animal studies and small non-randomised experiments (Ibrahim et al. 2020; Lou-Bonafonte et al. 2018; Strandberg et al. 1990). This pre-clinical evidence suggested a role for squalene in humans as antioxidant, anti-cancer, anti-atherosclerotic, and anti-inflammatory, but human data are lacking. While squalene may hold promise for human health, there is currently insufficient evidence to confirm health effects and dosage required; and if it has any effect when consumed as part of the diet as opposed to a pharmaceutical agent. The dosage used in the single identified RCT (Chan et al. 1996) was substantially higher than that which would be typically obtained from edible oils: 175 g of EVOO per day would be required (Nergiz and Çelikkale 2011). Further, outside edible oils, the only known dietary source is shark liver oil, and therefore intake from edible oils and fats would not be supported by intakes from other food groups in the dietary guidelines.

SLRs of RCTs which used fortification- or supplementation-based interventions frequently used doses which could not be achieved through usual dietary intake; however, dietary guidelines typically do not only include foods which provide 100% of requirements. Demonstrating positive associations of edible oil and fat components with health outcomes strengthens the argument that edible oils and fats form part of a healthy diet for populations and could be considered a core food rather than an allowance if judged on their full fatty acid profile, α-tocopherol, biophenol, and phytosterol content.

Limitations

This umbrella review was not able to draw upon all available literature, using a hierarchy of study designs, and a limited search strategy so as to focus the vast and exhaustive research available. This umbrella review used transparent and comprehensive methodology; however, due to the broad searching and reviewing required to answer the research questions, did not use systematic review methodology such as searching four or more databases or duplicate screening. Methodology within studies and food composition databases appeared to differ for the different sources, and some may be outdated as highlighted in the summary tables.

Conclusion

A shift in how we judge edible oils and fats is required from judging based on their SFA content alone, to instead considering if they may be included as part of a healthy diet based on the full nutrient and bioactive profile of each edible oil and fat; supported by potential health benefits beyond CVD including mortality, T2DM, cancer, inflammation, mental health, and cognition. This may also have flow on effects on how diet quality is judged in clinical practice, in research, and in epidemiology; and in how nutrient profiling and food rating systems are applied globally.

Acknowledgements

stakeholder consultation and for providing top suggestions on the proposed methodology.

ORCID

Scott B. Teasdale http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6769-8421
Skye Marshall http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8953-5068
Kylie Abbott http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7378-1552
Tim Cassettari http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8800-2005
Emily Duve http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2608-1916
Flavia Fayet-Moore http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2544-7154

References

AbuMweis, S. S., R. Barake, and P. J. H. Jones. 2008. Plant sterols/stanols as cholesterol lowering agents: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Food & Nutrition Research* 0 (52). doi: 10.3402/fnr.v52i0.1811.

Afshin, A.,. P. J. Sur, K. A. Fay, L. Cornaby, G. Ferrara, J. S. Salama, E. C. Mullany, K. H. Abate, C. Abbafati, Z. Abebe, et al. 2019. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 393 (10184):1958–72. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8.

Agriculture. 2015. 2015–2020 Dietary guidelines for Americans. 8th ed. December 2015. http://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/.

Akhlaghi, M., S. Ghobadi, M. M. Hosseini, Z. Gholami, and F. Mohammadian. 2018. Flavanols are potential anti-obesity agents, a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 28 (7):675–90. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2018.04.001.

Amiot, M. J., C. Riva, and A. Vinet. 2016. Effects of dietary polyphenols on metabolic syndrome features in humans: A systematic review. *Obesity Reviews: An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* 17 (7):573–86. doi: 10.1111/obr.12409.

Amir Shaghaghi, M., S. S. Abumweis, and P. J. Jones. 2013. Cholesterol-lowering efficacy of plant sterols/stanols provided in capsule and tablet formats: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 113 (11):1494–503. 24144075 doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.07.006%M.

Ammar, A., K. Trabelsi, O. Boukhris, B. Bouaziz, P. Müller, J. M Glenn, N. T. Bott, N. Müller, H. Chtourou, T. Driss, et al. 2020. Effects of polyphenol-rich interventions on cognition and brain health in healthy young and middle-aged adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 9 (5):1598. doi: 10.3390/jcm9051598.

Ammar, A.,. K. Trabelsi, P. Müller, B. Bouaziz, O. Boukhris, J. M. Glenn, N. Bott, T. Driss, H. Chtourou, N. Müller, et al. 2020. The effect of (poly) phenol-rich interventions on cognitive functions and neuroprotective measures in healthy aging adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 9 (3):835. doi: 10.3390/jcm9030835.

Aronis, K. N., S. M. Khan, and C. S. Mantzoros. 2012. Effects of trans fatty acids on glucose homeostasis: A meta-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 96 (5):1093–9. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.040576.

Asplund, K. 2002. Antioxidant vitamins in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: A systematic review. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 251 (5):372–92. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2796.2002.00973.x.

Aune, D., NNa Keum, E. Giovannucci, L. T. Fadnes, P. Boffetta, D. C. Greenwood, S. Tonstad, L. J. Vatten, E. Riboli, and T. Norat. 2018. Dietary intake and blood concentrations of antioxidants and the risk of cardiovascular disease, total cancer, and all-cause mortality: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 108 (5):1069–91. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy097.

Baker, W. L., E. L. Baker, and C. I. Coleman. 2009. The effect of plant sterols or stanols on lipid parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 84 (2):e33–e37. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2009.01.015%M 19243852

Barrera-Reyes, P. K., C.-F. De Lara, M. González-Soto, and M. E. Tejero. 2020. Effects of Cocoa-Derived Polyphenols on Cognitive Function in Humans. Systematic Review and Analysis of Methodological Aspects. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 75 (1):1–11. doi:10.1007/s11130-019-00779-x.

Bayes, J., J. Schloss, and D. Sibbritt. 2020. Effects of polyphenols in a mediterranean diet on symptoms of depression: A systematic literature review. *Advances in Nutrition* 11 (3):602–15. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmz117.

Bedell, B. A., and P. A. Shackleton. 1989. The relationship between a nutrition education program and nutrition knowledge and eating behaviors of the elderly. *Journal of Nutrition for the Elderly* 8 (3-4):35–45. http://www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/cochrane/clcentral/articles/052/CN-00062052/frame.html. doi: 10.1300/J052v08n03_03.

Brennan, S. F., J. V. Woodside, P. M. Lunny, C. R. Cardwell, and M. M. Cantwell. 2017. Dietary fat and breast cancer mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 57 (10):1999–2008. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2012.724481.

Brown, T. J., J. Brainard, F. Song, X. Wang, A. Abdelhamid, and L. Hooper. 2019. Omega-3, omega-6, and total dietary polyunsaturated fat for prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)* 366:l4697 doi:10.1136/bmj.l4697. PMC: 31434641

Cao, Y., L. Hou, and W. Wang. 2016. Dietary total fat and fatty acids intake, serum fatty acids and risk of breast cancer: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies . *International Journal of Cancer* 138 (8):1894–904. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29938.

Chan, P., B. Tomlinson, C. B. Lee, and Y. S. Lee. 1996. Effectiveness and safety of low-dose pravastatin and squalene, alone and in combination, in elderly patients with hypercholesterolemia . *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 36 (5):422–7. doi: 10.1002/j.1552-4604.1996.tb05029.x.

Chen, J. T., R. Wesley, R. D. Shamburek, F. Pucino, and G. Csako. 2005. Meta-Analysis of Natural Therapies for Hyperlipidemia: Plant Sterols and Stanols versus Policosanol. *Pharmacotherapy* 25 (2):171–83. doi:10.1592/phco.25.2.171.56942.

Chen, F., Q. Li, Y. Yu, W. Yang, F. Shi, and Y. Qu. 2015. Association of vitamin C, vitamin D, vitamin E and risk of bladder cancer: A dose-response meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports* 5:9599. doi: 10.1038/srep09599.

Cheng, P., J. Wang, W. Shao, M. Liu, and H. Zhang. 2016. Can dietary saturated fat be beneficial in prevention of stroke risk? A meta-analysis. *Neurological Sciences* 37 (7):1089–98. doi:10.1007/s10072-016-2548-3.

Chowdhury, R., S. Warnakula, S. Kunutsor, F. Crowe, H. A. Ward, L. Johnson, O. H. Franco, A. S. Butterworth, N. G. Forouhi, S. G. Thompson, et al. 2014. Association of dietary, circulating, and supplement fatty acids with coronary risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 160 (6):398–406. doi: 10.7326/M13-1788.

Christenson, J., S. J. Whitby, D. Mellor, J. Thomas, A. McKune, P. D. Roach, and N. Naumovski. 2016. The effects of resveratrol supplementation in overweight and obese humans: A systematic review of randomized trials. *Metabolic Syndrome and Related Disorders* 14 (7): 323–33. doi: 10.1089/met.2016.0035.

Chung, M., N. Zhao, D. Wang, M. Shams-White, M. Karlsen, A. Cassidy, and T. C. Wallace. 2020. Dose–response relation between tea consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Advances in Nutrition* 11 (4):790–814. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmaa010.

Clifton, P. M., and J. B. Keogh. 2017. A systematic review of the effect of dietary saturated and polyunsaturated fat on heart disease. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Cardiovascular Diseases: NMCD* 27 (12): 1060–80. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2017.10.010.

Coe, S., and L. Ryan. 2016. Impact of polyphenol-rich sources on acute postprandial glycaemia: A systematic review. *Journal of Nutritional Science* 5 :e24. doi: 10.1017/jns.2016.11.

Colizzi, C. 2019. The protective effects of polyphenols on Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions* 5 (1):184–96. doi:10.1016/j.trci.2018.09.002.

de Oliveira, B. G. R. B. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and Meta-analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist.

de Souza, R. J., A. Mente, A. Maroleanu, A. I. Cozma, V. Ha, T. Kishibe, E. Uleryk, P. Budylowski, H. Schünemann, J. Beyene, et al. 2015. Intake of saturated and trans unsaturated fatty acids and risk of all cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ (Clinical Research ed.)* 351:h3978. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h3978.

Del Bo, C., S. Bernardi, M. Marino, M. Porrini, M. Tucci, S. Guglielmetti, and P. Kroon. 2019. Systematic review on polyphenol intake and health outcomes: Is there sufficient evidence to define a health-promoting polyphenol-rich dietary pattern? *Nutrients* 11 (6): 1355. doi: 10.3390/nu11061355.

Del Gobbo, L. C., M. C. Falk, R. Feldman, K. Lewis, and D. Mozaffarian. 2015. Are phytosterols responsible for the low-density lipoprotein-lowering effects of tree nuts? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 65 (25): 2765–7. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.595%M 26112204

Demonty, I., R. T. Ras, H. C. M. van der Knaap, G. S. M. J. E. Duchateau, L. Meijer, P. L. Zock, J. M. Geleijnse, and E. A. Trautwein. 2009. Continuous dose-response relationship of the LDL-cholesterol-lowering effect of phytosterol intake. *The Journal of Nutrition* 139 (2):271–84. doi:10.3945/jn.108.095125%M 19091798

Ellwood, L., G. Torun, Z. Bahar, and R. Fernandez. 2019. Effects of flavonoid-rich fruits on hypertension in adults: A systematic review. *JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports* 17 (10):2075–105. doi: 10.11124/JBISRIR-D-19-00050.

Farhat, G., S. Drummond, and E. A. S. Al-Dujaili. 2017. Polyphenols and their role in obesity management: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Phytotherapy Research: PTR* 31 (7): 1005–18. doi: 10.1002/ptr.5830.

Farina, N., D. Llewellyn, M. G. E. K. N. Isaac, and N. Tabet. 2017. Vitamin E for Alzheimer's dementia and mild cognitive impairment.

The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 4:CD002854 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002854.pub5. PMC: 28418065

Farvid, M. S., M. Ding, A. Pan, Q. Sun, S. E. Chiuve, L. M. Steffen, W. C. Willett, and F. B. Hu. 2014. Dietary linoleic acid and risk of coronary heart disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Circulation* 130 (18):1568–78. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.010236.

Fatahi, S., H. Kord-Varkaneh, S. Talaei, F. Mardali, J. Rahmani, E. Ghaedi, S. C. Tan, and F. Shidfar. 2019. Impact of phytosterol supplementation on plasma lipoprotein(a) and free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases* 29 (11):1168–75. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2019.07.011.

Ferguson, J. J., E. Stojanovski, L. MacDonald-Wicks, and M. L. Garg. 2016. Fat type in phytosterol products influence their cholesterol-lowering potential: A systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs. *Progress in Lipid Research* 64:16–29. 27497855 doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2016.08.002%M.

Foster, R. H., and N. Wilson. 2013. Review of the evidence for the potential impact and feasibility of substituting saturated fat in the New Zealand diet. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 37 (4):329–36. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.12080.

Gayet-Boyer, C., F. Tenenhaus-Aziza, C. Prunet, C. Marmonier, C. Malpuech-Brugere, B. Lamarche, and J.-M. Chardigny. 2014. Is there a linear relationship between the dose of ruminant trans-fatty acids and cardiovascular risk markers in healthy subjects: Results from a systematic review and meta-regression of randomised clinical trials. *The British Journal of Nutrition* 112 (12):1914–22. doi: 10.1017/S0007114514002578.

Genser, B., G. Silbernagel, G. De Backer, E. Bruckert, R. Carmena, M. J. Chapman, J. Deanfield, O. S. Descamps, E. R. Rietzschel, K. C. Dias, et al. 2012. Plant sterols and cardiovascular disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis†. *European Heart Journal* 33 (4): 444–51. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr441.

George, E. S., S. Marshall, H. L. Mayr, G. L. Trakman, O. A. Tatucu-Babet, A.-C M. Lassemillante, A. Bramley, A. J. Reddy, A. Forsyth, A. C. Tierney, et al. 2019. The effect of high-polyphenol extra virgin olive oil on cardiovascular risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 59 (17):2772–95. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2018.1470491.

Ghaedi, E., H. Kord-Varkaneh, H. Mohammadi, M. Askarpour, and M. Miraghajani. 2020. Phytosterol Supplementation Could Improve Atherogenic and Anti-Atherogenic Apolipoproteins: A Systematic Review and Dose–Response Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 39 (1):82–92. doi:10.1080/07315724.2019.1605313.

Ghaedi, E., S. Foshati, R. Ziaei, S. Beigrezaei, H. Kord-Varkaneh, A. Ghavami, and M. Miraghajani. 2019. Effects of phytosterols supplementation on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Nutrition* 39 (9):2702–2710. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.12.020.

Ghaedi, E., H. K. Varkaneh, J. Rahmani, S. M. Mousavi, H. Mohammadi, S. Fatahi, A. Pantovic, M. Darooghegi Mofrad, and Y. Zhang. 2019. Possible anti-obesity effects of phytosterols and phytostanols supplementation in humans: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Phytotherapy Research : PTR* 33 (5):1246–57. doi: 10.1002/ptr.6319.

Glanz, K., and M. Scharf. 1985. A nutrition training program for social workers serving the homebound elderly. *The Gerontologist* 25 (5): 455–9. http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cin20&AN=1986049959&site=ehost-live. doi: 10.1093/geront/25.5.455.

Godos, J., M. Vitale, A. Micek, S. Ray, D. Martini, D. Del Rio, G. Riccardi, F. Galvano, and G. Grosso. 2019. Dietary polyphenol intake, blood pressure, and hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Antioxidants* 8 (6):152. doi: 10.3390/antiox8060152.

Department of Health. 2020. Review of the Australian Dietary Guidelines: Living well for longer. https://www.health.gov.au/ministers/the-hon-greg-hunt-mp/media/review-of-the-australian-dietary-guidelines-living-well-for-longer

Grosso, G., J. Godos, R. Lamuela-Raventos, S. Ray, A. Micek, A. Pajak, S. Sciacca, N. D'Orazio, D. Del Rio, and F. Galvano. 2017. A comprehensive meta-analysis on dietary flavonoid and lignan intake and cancer risk: Level of evidence and limitations. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 61 (4):1600930. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600930.

Grosso, G., A. Micek, J. Godos, A. Pajak, S. Sciacca, F. Galvano, and E. L. Giovannucci. 2017. Dietary flavonoid and lignan intake and mortality in prospective cohort studies: Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 185 (12):1304–16. doi: 10.1093/aje/kww207.

Haghighatdoost, F., and B. F. N. M. Gh. 2018. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid on blood inflammatory markers: A systematic review and meta-analysis on randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 72 (8):1071–82. doi: 10.1038/s41430-017-0048-z.

Hamley, S. 2017. The effect of replacing saturated fat with mostly n-6 polyunsaturated fat on coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Nutrition Journal* 16 (1):30 doi: 10.1186/s12937-017-0254-5.

Han, S., J. Jiao, J. Xu, D. Zimmermann, L. Actis-Goretta, L. Guan, Y. Zhao, and L. Qin. 2016. Effects of plant stanol or sterol-enriched diets on lipid profiles in patients treated with statins: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports* 6:31337. doi:10.1038/srep31337%2 PMC4990897

Harcombe, Z., J. S. Baker, and B. Davies. 2017. Evidence from prospective cohort studies does not support current dietary fat guidelines: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 51 (24):1743–9. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096550.

Hausenblas, H. A., J. A. Schoulda, and J. M. Smoliga. 2015. Resveratrol treatment as an adjunct to pharmacological management in type 2 diabetes mellitus—systematic review and meta-analysis. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 59 (1):147–59. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201400173.

He, X., and L-m Sun. 2016. Dietary intake of flavonoid subclasses and risk of colorectal cancer: Evidence from population studies. *Oncotarget* 7 (18):26617–27. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.8562.

Hein, S., A. R. Whyte, E. Wood, A. Rodriguez-Mateos, and C. M. Williams. 2019. Systematic review of the effects of blueberry on cognitive performance as we age. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 74 (7):984–95. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glz082.

Hohmann, C.-D., H. Cramer, A. Michalsen, C. Kessler, N. Steckhan, K. Choi, and G. Dobos. 2015. Effects of high phenolic olive oil on cardiovascular risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phytomedicine* 22 (6):631–40. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2015.03.019.

Hooper, L., L. Al-Khudairy, A. S. Abdelhamid, K. Rees, J. S. Brainard, T. J. Brown, S. M. Ajabnoor, A. T. O'Brien, L. E. Winstanley, D. H. Donaldson, et al. 2018. Omega-6 fats for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 11 (7):CD011094 doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011094.pub4.

Hooper, L., P. A. Kroon, E. B. Rimm, J. S. Cohn, I. Harvey, K. A. Le Cornu, J. J. Ryder, W. L. Hall, and A. Cassidy. 2008. Flavonoids, flavonoid-rich foods, and cardiovascular risk: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 88 (1):38–50. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/88.1.38.

Hooper, L., C. D. Summerbell, R. Thompson, D. Sills, F. G. Roberts, H. J. Moore, and S. G. Davey. 2012. Reduced or modified dietary fat for preventing cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (5). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002137.pub3. (Accession No. CD002137)

Huang, J., J. Frohlich, and A. P. Ignaszewski. 2011. The impact of dietary changes and dietary supplements on lipid profile. *The Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 27 (4):488–505. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2010.12.077%M 21801978

Hunter, J. E., J. Zhang, and P. M. Kris-Etherton. 2010. Cardiovascular disease risk of dietary stearic acid compared with trans, other saturated, and unsaturated fatty acids: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 91 (1):46–63. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.27661.

Ibrahim, N. I., S. Fairus, M. S. Zulfarina, and I. Naina Mohamed. 2020. The efficacy of squalene in cardiovascular disease risk-A systematic review. *Nutrients* 12 (2):414. doi: 10.3390/nu12020414.

Imamura, F., R. Micha, J. H. Y. Wu, M. C. De Oliveira Otto, F. O. Otite, A. I. Abioye, and D. Mozaffarian. 2016. Effects of Saturated Fat, Polyunsaturated Fat, Monounsaturated Fat, and Carbohydrate on Glucose-Insulin Homeostasis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomised Controlled Feeding Trials. *PLOS Medicine* 13 (7):e1002087 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002087.

INRA. 2015. Phenol-Explorer 3.6: Polyphenols. http://phenol-explorer.eu.

Irawati, S., R. Wasir, A. Floriaan Schmidt, A. Islam, T. Feenstra, E. Buskens, B. Wilffert, and E. Hak. 2019. Long-term incidence and risk factors of cardiovascular events in Asian populations: Systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 35 (2):291–9. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2018.1491149.

Jayedi, A., A. Rashidy-Pour, M. Parohan, M. S. Zargar, and S. Shab-Bidar. 2018. Dietary antioxidants, circulating antioxidant concentrations, total antioxidant capacity, and risk of all-cause mortality: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Advances in Nutrition (Bethesda, Md.)* 9 (6):701–16. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmy040.

Jayedi, A., A. Rashidy-Pour, M. Parohan, M. S. Zargar, and S. Shab-Bidar. 2019. Dietary and circulating vitamin C, vitamin E, β-carotene and risk of total cardiovascular mortality: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Public Health Nutrition* 22 (10):1872–87. doi: 10.1017/S1368980018003725.

JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. 2020. JBI.

Jiang, L., X. Zhao, J. Xu, C. Li, Y. Yu, W. Wang, and L. Zhu. 2019. The protective effect of dietary phytosterols on cancer risk: A systematic meta-analysis. *Journal of Oncology* 2019:7479518. doi:10.1155/2019/7479518%2 pmc6612402%m 31341477

Julibert, A., M. D. M. Bibiloni, and J. A. Tur. 2019. Dietary fat intake and metabolic syndrome in adults: A systematic review. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Cardiovascular Diseases : NMCD* 29 (9):887–905. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2019.05.055. PMCID:31377181

Kay, C. D., L. Hooper, P. A. Kroon, E. B. Rimm, and A. Cassidy. 2012. Relative impact of flavonoid composition, dose and structure on vascular function: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials of flavonoid-rich food products . *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 56 (11):1605–16. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201200363.

Kent, K.,. K. E. Charlton, M. Netzel, and K. Fanning. 2017. Food-based anthocyanin intake and cognitive outcomes in human intervention trials: A systematic review . *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics: The Official Journal of the British Dietetic Association* 30 (3):260–74. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12431.

Kim, M., and K. Park. 2018. Dietary fat intake and risk of colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Nutrients* 10 (12):1963. doi: 10.3390/nu10121963.

Kim, Y., and Y. Je. 2017. Flavonoid intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all causes: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN* 20:68–77. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2017.03.004.

Kimble, R., K. M. Keane, J. K. Lodge, and G. Howatson. 2019. Dietary intake of anthocyanins and risk of cardiovascular disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 59 (18):3032–43. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2018.1509835.

Koushki, M., M. Lakzaei, H. Khodabandehloo, H. Hosseini, R. Meshkani, and G. Panahi. 2020. Therapeutic effect of resveratrol supplementation on oxidative stress: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 96 (1134):197–205. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136415.

Kris-Etherton, P. M., K. D. Hecker, A. Bonanome, S. M. Coval, A. E. Binkoski, K. F. Hilpert, A. E. Griel, and T. D. Etherton. 2002. Bioactive compounds in foods: Their role in the prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer. *The American Journal of Medicine* 113 (9):71–88. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(01)00995-0.

Kyu, H. H., D. Abate, K. H. Abate, S. M. Abay, C. Abbafati, N. Abbasi, H. Abbastabar, F. Abd-Allah, J. Abdela, A. Abdelalim, et al. 2018. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 392 (10159): 1859–922. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32335-3.

Li, P., H. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Shi, J. Cai, J. Yang, and Y. Wu. 2014. Association between dietary antioxidant vitamins intake/blood level and risk of gastric cancer. *International Journal of Cancer* 135 (6): 1444–53. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28777.

Liu, X-m, Y-j Liu, Y. Huang, H-j Yu, S. Yuan, B-w Tang, P-g Wang, and Q-q He. 2017. Dietary total flavonoids intake and risk of mortality from all causes and cardiovascular disease in the general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 61 (6):1601003. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201601003.

Liu, Y.-J., J. Zhan, X.-L. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Ji, and Q.-Q. He. 2014. Dietary flavonoids intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)* 33 (1):59–63. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2013.03.011.

Longnecker, M. P., J. M. Martin-Moreno, P. Knekt, A. M. Nomura, S. E. Schober, H. B. Stähelin, N. J. Wald, K. F. Gey, and W. C. Willett. 1992. Serum alpha-tocopherol concentration in relation to subsequent colorectal cancer: pooled data from five cohorts . *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 84 (6):430–5. doi: 10.1093/jnci/84.6.430.

Lorzadeh, E., N. Ramezani-Jolfaie, M. Mohammadi, Y. Khoshbakht, and A. Salehi-Abargouei. 2019. The effect of hesperidin supplementation on inflammatory markers in human adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 307:8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2019.04.016.

Lou-Bonafonte, J. M., R. Martínez-Beamonte, T. Sanclemente, J. C. Surra, L. V. Herrera-Marcos, J. Sanchez-Marco, C. Arnal, and J. Osada. 2018. Current insights into the biological action of squalene. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 62 (15):1800136. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201800136.

Marx, W., J. Kelly, S. Marshall, S. Nakos, K. Campbell, and C. Itsiopoulos. 2017. The effect of polyphenol-rich interventions on cardiovascular risk factors in haemodialysis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 9 (12):1345. doi: 10.3390/nu9121345.

Mensink, R. P., P. L. Zock, A. D. M. Kester, and M. B. Katan. 2003. Effects of dietary fatty acids and carbohydrates on the ratio of serum total to HDL cholesterol and on serum lipids and apolipoproteins: A meta-analysis of 60 controlled trials. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 77 (5):1146–55. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/77.5.1146.

Miura, K., and A. C. Green. 2015. Dietary antioxidants and melanoma: Evidence from cohort and intervention studies. *Nutrition and Cancer* 67 (6):867–76. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2015.1053499.

Mohammadi, M., N. Ramezani-Jolfaie, E. Lorzadeh, Y. Khoshbakht, and A. Salehi-Abargouei. 2019. Hesperidin, a major flavonoid in orange juice, might not affect lipid profile and blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Phytotherapy Research* 33 (3):534–45. doi: 10.1002/ptr.6264.

Moruisi, K. G., W. Oosthuizen, and A. M. Opperman. 2006. Phytosterols/stanols lower cholesterol concentrations in familial hypercholesterolemic subjects: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*. 25 (1):41–8. 16522931 doi: 10.1080/07315724.2006.10719513%m.

Muley, A., P. Muley, and M. Shah. 2014. ALA, fatty fish or marine n-3 fatty acids for preventing DM?: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Current Diabetes Reviews* 10 (3):158–65. doi: 10.2174/1573399810666140515113137.

Muto, M, and O. Ezaki. 2018. High Dietary Saturated Fat is Associated with a Low Risk of Intracerebral Hemorrhage and Ischemic Stroke in Japanese but not in Non-Japanese: A Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis* 25 (5):375–92. doi:10.5551/jat.41632.

Nergiz, C., and D. Çelikkale. 2011. The effect of consecutive steps of refining on squalene content of vegetable oils. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* 48 (3):382–5. doi: 10.1007/s13197-010-0190-2.

Ng, Q. X., S. S. H. Koh, H. W. Chan, and C. Y. X. Ho. 2017. Clinical use of curcumin in depression: A meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 18 (6):503–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2016.12.071.

Palma-Duran, S. A., A. Vlassopoulos, M. Lean, L. Govan, and E. Combet. 2017. Nutritional intervention and impact of polyphenol on glycohemoglobin (HbA1c) in non-diabetic and type 2 diabetic subjects: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 57 (5):975–86. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2014.973932.

Panth, N., K. A. Abbott, C. B. Dias, K. Wynne, and M. L. Garg. 2018. Differential effects of medium- and long-chain saturated fatty acids on blood lipid profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis . *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 108 (4):675–87. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy167.

Pastor-Villaescusa, B., O. D. Rangel-Huerta, C. M. Aguilera, and A. Gil. 2015. A Systematic Review of the Efficacy of Bioactive Compounds in Cardiovascular Disease: Carbohydrates, Active Lipids and Nitrogen Compounds. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism* 66 (2-3):168–81. doi:10.1159/000430960.

Patterson, R. E., R. E. Patterson, E. White, E. White, A. R. Kristal, A. R. Kristal, M. L. Neuhouser, and J. D. Potter. J. D. Potter. 1997. *Cancer Causes and Control* 8 (5):786–802. doi:10.1023/A:1018443724293.

Peluso, I., A. Raguzzini, and M. Serafini. 2013. Effect of flavonoids on circulating levels of TNF-α and IL-6 in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 57 (5): 784–801. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201200721.

Pham, N. M., V. Van Do, and A. H. Lee. 2019. Polyphenol-rich foods and risk of gestational diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 73 (5):647–56. doi: 10.1038/s41430-018-0218-7.

Pla-Pagà, L., J. Companys, L. Calderón-Pérez, E. Llauradó, R. Solà, R. M. Valls, and A. Pedret. 2019. Effects of hesperidin consumption on cardiovascular risk biomarkers: A systematic review of animal studies and human randomized clinical trials. *Nutrition Reviews* 77 (12):845–64. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuz036.

Potì, F., D. Santi, G. Spaggiari, F. Zimetti, and I. Zanotti. 2019. Polyphenol health effects on cardiovascular and neurodegenerative disorders: A review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20 (2):351. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020351.

Public Health England (PHN). 2018. The Eatwell Guide. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/742750/Eatwell_Guide_booklet_2018v4.pdf

Qian, F., A. A. Korat, V. Malik, and F. B. Hu. 2016. Metabolic effects of monounsaturated fatty acid-enriched diets compared with carbohydrate or polyunsaturated fatty acid-enriched diets in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials . *Diabetes Care* 39 (8):1448–57. doi: 10.2337/dc16-0513.

Qiu, W., H. Lu, Y. Qi, and X. Wang. 2016. Dietary fat intake and ovarian cancer risk: A meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Oncotarget* 7 (24):37390–406. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.8940.

Raman, G., E. E. Avendano, S. Chen, J. Wang, J. Matson, B. Gayer, J. A. Novotny, and A. Cassidy. 2019. Dietary intakes of flavan-3-ols and cardiometabolic health: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials and prospective cohort studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 110 (5):1067–78. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqz178.

Ramsden, C. E., J. R. Hibbeln, S. F. Majchrzak, and J. M. Davis. 2010. n-6 fatty acid-specific and mixed polyunsaturate dietary interventions have different effects on CHD risk: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *The British Journal of Nutrition* 104 (11): 1586–600. doi: 10.1017/S0007114510004010.

Ras, R. T., J. M. Geleijnse, and E. A. Trautwein. 2014. LDL-cholesterol-lowering effect of plant sterols and stanols across different dose

ranges: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled studies. *The British Journal of Nutrition* 112 (2):214–9. doi:10.1017/s0007114514000750%2 PMC4071994%M 24780090

Rienks, J., J. Barbaresko, K. Oluwagbemigun, M. Schmid, and U. Nöthlings. 2018. Polyphenol exposure and risk of type 2 diabetes: Dose-response meta-analyses and systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 108 (1):49–61. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy083.

Rocha, V. Z., R. T. Ras, A. C. Gagliardi, L. C. Mangili, E. A. Trautwein, and R. D. Santos. 2016. Effects of phytosterols on markers of inflammation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 248:76–83. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2016.01.035%M 26987068

Saboori, S., S. Shab-Bidar, J. R. Speakman, E. Yousefi Rad, and K. Djafarian. 2015. Effect of vitamin E supplementation on serum C-reactive protein level: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 69 (8):867–73. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.296.

Sahebkar, A. 2014. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of curcumin on blood lipid levels. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)* 33 (3):406–14. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2013.09.012.

Sahebkar, A. 2017. Effects of quercetin supplementation on lipid profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 57 (4):666–76. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2014.948609.

Sahebkar, A., A. F. G. Cicero, L. E. Simental-Mendía, B. B. Aggarwal, and S. C. Gupta. 2016. Curcumin downregulates human tumor necrosis factor-α levels: A systematic review and meta-analysis ofrandomized controlled trials. *Pharmacological Research* 107:234–42. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2016.03.026.

Sahebkar, A., C. Ferri, P. Giorgini, S. Bo, P. Nachtigal, and D. Grassi. 2017. Effects of pomegranate juice on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacological Research* 115:149–61. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2016.11.018.

Sato, K., M. Gosho, T. Yamamoto, Y. Kobayashi, N. Ishii, T. Ohashi, Y. Nakade, K. Ito, Y. Fukuzawa, and M. Yoneda. 2015. Vitamin E has a beneficial effect on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition* 31 (7-8):923–30. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2014.11.018.

Scholle, J. M., W. L. Baker, R. Talati, and C. I. Coleman. 2009. The effect of adding plant sterols or stanols to statin therapy in hypercholesterolemic patients: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 28 (5):517–24. 20439548 doi: 10.1080/07315724.2009.10719784%m.

Schwingshackl, L., M. Krause, C. Schmucker, G. Hoffmann, G. Rücker, and J. J. Meerpohl. 2019. Impact of different types of olive oil on cardiovascular risk factors: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Cardiovascular Diseases: NMCD* 29 (10):1030–9. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2019.07.001.

Schwingshackl, L., B. Strasser, and G. Hoffmann. 2011. Effects of monounsaturated fatty acids on glycaemic control in patients with abnormal glucose metabolism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Nutrition & Metabolism* 58 (4):290–6. doi: 10.1159/000331214.

Committee, D. G. A. 2020. Scientific Report of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee: Advisory Report to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, DC.

Serban, M. C., A. Sahebkar, A. Zanchetti, D. P. Mikhailidis, G. Howard, D. Antal, and P. Muntner. 2016. Effects of quercetin on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of the American Heart Association* 5 (7):e002713.

Shams-Rad, S., M. Mohammadi, N. Ramezani-Jolfaie, S. Zarei, M. Mohsenpour, and A. Salehi-Abargouei. 2020. Hesperidin supplementation has no effect on blood glucose control: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *British

Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 86 (1):13–22. doi: 10.1111/bcp.14120.

Siri-Tarino, P. W., Q. Sun, F. B. Hu, and R. M. Krauss. 2010. Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies evaluating the association of saturated fat with cardiovascular disease. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 91 (3):535–46. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.27725.

Somerville, V. S., A. J. Braakhuis, and W. G. Hopkins. 2016. Effect of flavonoids on upper respiratory tract infections and immune function: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Advances in Nutrition (Bethesda, Md.)* 7 (3):488–97. doi: 10.3945/an.115.010538.

Soto-Méndez, M. J., O. D. Rangel-Huerta, M. D. Ruiz-López, E. Martínez de victoria, A. Anguita-Ruiz, and A. Gil. 2019. Role of Functional Fortified Dairy Products in Cardiometabolic Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-analyses of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Advances in Nutrition* 10 (suppl_2):S251–71. doi:10.1093/advances/nmz001.

Speer, H., N. M. D'Cunha, M. Botek, A. J. McKune, D. Sergi, E. Georgousopoulou, D. D. Mellor, and N. Naumovski. 2019. The effects of dietary polyphenols on circulating cardiovascular disease biomarkers and iron status: A systematic review. *Nutrition and Metabolic Insights* 12:1178638819882739. doi: 10.1177/1178638819882739.

Strandberg, T. E., R. S. Tilvis, and T. A. Miettinen. 1990. Metabolic variables of cholesterol during squalene feeding in humans: Comparison with cholestyramine treatment. *Journal of Lipid Research* 31 (9):1637–43. PMC2246614

Suen, J., J. Thomas, A. Kranz, S. Vun, and M. Miller. 2016. Effect of flavonoids on oxidative stress and inflammation in adults at risk of cardiovascular disease: A systematic review. *Healthcare* 4 (3):69. doi: 10.3390/healthcare4030069.

Talati, R., D. M. Sobieraj, S. S. Makanji, O. J. Phung, and C. I. Coleman. 2010. The comparative efficacy of plant sterols and stanols on serum lipids: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 110 (5):719–26. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2010.02.011%M 20430133

Tang, Z., M. Li, X. Zhang, and W. Hou. 2016. Dietary flavonoid intake and the risk of stroke: A dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ Open* 6 (6):e008680. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008680.

Te Morenga, L., and J. M. Montez. 2017. Health effects of saturated and trans-fatty acid intake in children and adolescents: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS One* 12 (11):e0186672. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0186672.

Telle-Hansen, V. H., L. Gaundal, and M. C. W. Myhrstad. 2019. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. *Nutrients* 11 (5):1067. doi: 10.3390/nu11051067.

Travica, N., N. M. D'Cunha, N. Naumovski, K. Kent, D. D. Mellor, J. Firth, E. N. Georgousopoulou, O. M. Dean, A. Loughman, F. Jacka, et al. 2020. The effect of blueberry interventions on cognitive performance and mood: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* 85:96–105. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2019.04.001.

USDA. 2019. Agricultural Research Service: FoodData Central. https://fdc.nal.usda.gov. https://fdc.nal.usda.gov

Wallace, T. C., M. Slavin, and C. L. Frankenfeld. 2016. Systematic review of anthocyanins and markers of cardiovascular disease. *Nutrients* 8 (1):32. doi: 10.3390/nu8010032.

Wanders, A. J., W. A. M. Blom, P. L. Zock, J. M. Geleijnse, I. A. Brouwer, and M. Alssema. 2019. Plant-derived polyunsaturated fatty acids and markers of glucose metabolism and insulin resistance: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled feeding trials. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care* 7 (1):e000585. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2018-000585.

Wang, X., Y. Y. Ouyang, J. Liu, and G. Zhao. 2014. Flavonoid intake and risk of CVD: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *The British Journal of Nutrition* 111 (1):1–11. doi: 10.1017/S000711451300278X.

Wu, T., J. Fu, Y. Yang, L. Zhang, and J. Han. 2009. The effects of phytosterols/stanols on blood lipid profiles: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 18 (2):179–86.

Xia, H., S. Ma, S. Wang, and G. Sun. 2015. Meta-analysis of saturated fatty acid intake and breast cancer risk. *Medicine* 94 (52):e2391. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000002391.

Xu, C., F.-F. Han, X.-T. Zeng, T.-Z. Liu, S. Li, and Z.-Y. Gao. 2015. Fat intake is not linked to prostate cancer: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *PloS One* 10 (7):e0131747. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131747.

Xu, H., J. Luo, J. Huang, and Q. Wen. 2018. Flavonoids intake and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Medicine* 97 (19):e0686. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000010686.

Ye, Z., and H. Song. 2008. Antioxidant vitamins intake and the risk of coronary heart disease: Meta-analysis of cohort studies. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation* 15 (1):26–34. doi: 10.1097/HJR.0b013e3282f11f95.

Ying, J., Y. Zhang, and K. Yu. 2019. Phytosterol compositions of enriched products influence their cholesterol-lowering efficacy: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 73 (12):1579–93. doi:10.1038/s41430-019-0504-z.

Zhang, Y.-F., J. Lu, F.-F. Yu, H.-F. Gao, and Y.-H. Zhou. 2014. Polyunsaturated fatty acid intake and risk of lung cancer: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *PloS One* 9 (6):e99637. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099637.

Zhao, J., C. Lyu, J. Gao, L. Du, B. Shan, H. Zhang, H.-Y. Wang, and Y. Gao. 2016. Dietary fat intake and endometrial cancer risk: A dose response meta-analysis. *Medicine* 95 (27):e4121. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000004121.

Zhu, Y., Y. Bo, and Y. Liu. 2019. Dietary total fat, fatty acids intake, and risk of cardiovascular disease: A dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Lipids in Health and Disease* 18 (1):91. doi: 10.1186/s12944-019-1035-2.

Zulkiply, S. H., V. Balasubramaniam, N. A. A. Bakar, A. A. Rashed, and S. R. Ismail. 2019. Effects of palm oil consumption on biomarkers of glucose metabolism: A systematic review. *PloS One* 14 (8):e0220877. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0220877.