

Course: 87681_EVAL_CIS201.001_gurajas_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - COMPUTER SCIENCE I-LEC - Gurajala -

Spring 2022

1 - Reason for taking the course (complete all that apply):											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses							
Major-required	(6)	10	90.91%								
Major-elective	(5)	0	0.00%	1							
Minor-required	(4)	1	9.09%								
Minor-elective	(3)	0	0.00%	I							
General Education Requirement	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Free Elective	(1)	0	0.00%]							
Response Rate	11/15 (73.33%)										

2 - Class Year:												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
Freshman	(6)	7	63.64%					5.36				
Sophomore	(5)	2	18.18%									
Junior	(4)	1	9.09%									
Senior	(3)	1	9.09%									
Graduate	(2)	0	0.00%									
Other	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
	•			0	25	50	100	Questio	n			
Response Rate						Mean		STD			Median	
1	1/15 (73.33%)			5.36				1.03			6.00	






Course: 87681_EVAL_CIS201.001_gurajas_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - COMPUTER SCIENCE I-LEC - Gurajala -

Spring 2022

5 - How many hours outside of class did you devote to this course on average per week?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	nt Respon	ises	ns				
9+	(5)	1	9.09%								
6-8	(4)	2	18.18%				3.18				
4-5	(3)	6	54.55%								
2-3	(2)	2	18.18%								
0-1	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0 25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate					Mean		STD		Median		
	11/15 (73.33%)			3.18 0.87 3.00							

6 - Rate the level of your participation in this course.													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			ses	Means					
Very active	(5)	3	27.27%										
Active	(4)	1	9.09%					3.09					
Moderate	(3)	1	9.09%										
Minimal	(2)	6	54.55%										
Not active	(1)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	Question					
Response Rate					N	/lean			STD	Median			
11/15 (73.33%)					;	3.09			1.38	2.00			


7 - What overall rating would you give yourself as a student in this course?										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Excellent	(5)	2	18.18%							
Good	(4)	4	36.36%		3.64					
Adequate	(3)	4	36.36%							
Poor	(2)	1	9.09%							
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	ı						
				0 25 50 100	Question					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median				
11/15 (73.33%)				3.64 0.92 4.00						





Course: 87681_EVAL_CIS201.001_gurajas_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - COMPUTER SCIENCE I-LEC - Gurajala -

Spring 2022

9 - Supraja Gurajala's teaching is effective													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respoi	nses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	27.27%										
Agree	(4)	3	27.27%					3.45					
Neutral	(3)	2	18.18%										
Disagree	(2)	2	18.18%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	9.09%										
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%	1									
					25	50	100	Question					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	Median		
11/15 (73.3	3%)			3.45					1.37	4	4.00		











Course: 87681_EVAL_CIS201.001_gurajas_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - COMPUTER SCIENCE I-LEC - Gurajala -

Spring 2022









Course: 87681_EVAL_CIS201.001_gurajas_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - COMPUTER SCIENCE I-LEC - Gurajala -

Spring 2022

Instructor: Supraja Gurajala *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

17 - THE COURSE: Please comment on course content, requirement or any other aspect of the course.

Response Rate

4/15 (26.67%)

- Engaging and informative. Not much else to say.
- As a student who has never learned anything about computer science or programming, I feel the course was effective and I learned a lot in a very short amount of time. The assignments were challenging, but I appreciated that they were direct applications of what we had learned in class each week.
- big difficulty spike between assignments
- The course is hard, there's no way to understate that. A lot of the students have talked about how they have had problems throughout the whole course trying to get their codes to work. Dr. G often talks about how the more you code the more natural you will think about how to solve the problem correctly, while this is true, it's hard to figure out the structure and when to do what.

18 - THE INSTRUCTOR: Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of Supraja Gurajala. Would you take another course from this instructor? -

Response Rate

6/15 (40%)

- She's very knowledable in this course but her grading is so spractic and random
- · A great instructor who's very adept at explaining how code works, line by line, statement by statement, method by method.
- Please respond to emails please do not rush when teaching the material take your time
- I would take another course from Dr. Gurajala because she gives plenty of opportunities for students to make sure they fully understand the course content, whether it is in class or during her office hours. I appreciate her patience when it comes to helping students and taking however much time to get them where they need to be. I really enjoyed the course and this professor, and have not seen any weaknesses to comment on.
- Very knowledgeable and fair grader. I would take another class
- Dr. G is really good at coding, you can clearly see that through the lectures and when she helps you with your labs. She will go over a topic in class and ask if someone doesn't understand it and then repeat it if they don't.