

## SOLUTIONS

1. The list of free variables in the given  $\lambda$  term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{FV}((\lambda x.y(xx))(\lambda y.x(yy))(\lambda z.y)) \\
&= \text{FV}(\lambda x.y(xx)) \cup \text{FV}(\lambda y.x(yy)) \cup \text{FV}(\lambda z.y) \text{ using the rule } [\text{FV}(MN) = \text{FV}(M) \cup \text{FV}(N)] \\
&= (\text{FV}(y(xx)) \setminus \{x\}) \cup (\text{FV}(x(yy)) \setminus \{y\}) \cup (\text{FV}(y) \setminus \{z\}) \text{ using the rule } [\text{FV}(\lambda x.M) = \text{FV}(M) \setminus \{x\}] \\
&= \{y\} \cup \{x\} \cup \{y\} \text{ using the rule } [\text{FV}(x) = \{x\}] \\
&= \{x, y\}
\end{aligned}$$

2.

(a)  $(\lambda ab.ba)ab$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda ab.ba)ab &= (\lambda b.ba[a := a])b \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= (\lambda b.ba)b \text{ using substitution rule} \\
&= ba[b := b] \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= ba
\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda a.a)$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda a.a) &= xx[x := (\lambda a.a)] \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= (\lambda a.a)(\lambda a.a) \text{ using substitution rule} \\
&= a[a := (\lambda a.a)] \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= (\lambda a.a) \text{ using substitution rule}
\end{aligned}$$

(c)  $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) &= (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda a.aa) \text{ using } \alpha \text{ renaming} \\
&= xx[x := (\lambda a.aa)] \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= (\lambda a.aa)(\lambda a.aa) \text{ using substitution rule} \\
&= (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \text{ using } \alpha \text{ renaming}
\end{aligned}$$

We get the same  $\lambda$ -term after  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  reduction rules. This  $\lambda$ -term can always be reduced further and does not have a normal form.

3.  $M = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$  is one such example of a *lambda*-term that does not have a normal form - i.e. it that can always be  $\beta$  reduced further. If a  $\lambda$ -term has some normal form then there is atleast one path of a sequence of  $\beta$ -reductions which must end in a normal form. But there's only one way to reduce the provided  $\lambda$ -term, as shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) &= (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda a.aa) \text{ using } \alpha \text{ renaming} \\
&= xx[x := (\lambda a.aa)] \text{ using } \beta \text{ reduction} \\
&= (\lambda a.aa)(\lambda a.aa) \text{ using substitution rule} \\
&= (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \text{ using } \alpha \text{ renaming}
\end{aligned}$$

As we are back to  $M$ , and it itself is not in normal form, so it does not reduce to any term in normal form.

4. We can translate "or" of two Boolean Values  $p$  and  $q$  as:- return True if  $p$  is True else return False. We can

simplify this further by stating that return  $p$  if  $p$  is True, else return  $q$  (since if  $q$  is True then their "or" is True else False) We know that [if  $E$  is true then  $M$  else  $N$ ] can be encoded as

$$(\lambda xyz. xyz)$$

Hence, the following  $\lambda$ -term captures the "or" expression

$$(\lambda xy. xxy)pq$$

5. For any  $\lambda$ -term  $M$ ,  $(YM)$  will be a fixed point of  $M$  such that  $M(YM) = YM$ . Then, for  $M = (\lambda x. x)$ , without loss of generality,

$$\begin{aligned} M(YM) &= (\lambda x. x)YM \\ &= x[x := YM] \text{ [\beta Reduction]} \\ &= YM \text{ [Substitution Rule]} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\lambda$ -term  $Y$ . Therefore, set of fixed points of  $M$  is the set of all  $\lambda$ -terms.

6. Given

$$sum = \lambda n. \text{ if } n == 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + (\text{sum } (n - 1))$$

We consider the following  $\lambda$  term:

$$(\lambda g. (\lambda n. \text{ if } n == 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + (\text{sum } (n - 1)))) \quad (1)$$

It is a  $\lambda$  term that has " $g$ " in place of the recursive call to  $sum$  recursive function. The above is a lambda term that, given a "function"  $g$ , outputs the "function"

$$(\lambda n. \text{ if } n == 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + (\text{sum } (n - 1))) \quad (2)$$

Let us denote the  $\lambda$ -term in (1) as  $G$ , and the  $\lambda$ -term in (2) as  $g'$ . Thus  $G$  maps  $g$  to  $g'$ .

Now we are interested in finding a function " $h$ " such that  $Gh = h$ . We introduce  $Y$ -combinator here, such that  $YG$  is a fixed point of  $G$  and  $G(YG) = YG$ . Hence  $G(YG)$  is

$$(\lambda n. \text{ if } n == 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + (YG(n - 1))) \quad (3)$$

By the fixed-point property, this is equal to  $YG$ , and hence  $YG$  satisfies the equation

$$YG = (\lambda n. \text{ if } n == 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + (YG(n - 1))) \quad (4)$$

$\therefore YG = g$  is our  $sum$  recursive function.