

Reasoning about Negation

Rules for Double Negation

$$\frac{A}{\neg\neg A} \quad \neg\neg i$$

$$\frac{\neg\neg A}{A} \quad \neg\neg e$$

Contradictions: \perp

\perp is a shorthand for $\phi \wedge \neg\phi$, where ϕ is *any* formula.

Observation: For every formula ψ , the argument $\phi \wedge \neg\phi \models \psi$ is valid.

A	$A \wedge \neg A$
T	F
F	F

(There can be no line of the truth table where $\phi \wedge \neg\phi$ is true, hence there can be no counterexample to validity.)

Rules for \perp

$$\frac{A \quad \neg A}{\perp} \neg e$$

$$\frac{\perp}{A} \perp e$$

(Here A can be *any formula*.)

\neg -Introduction

The rule for introducing negation also makes use of subproofs:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} A \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\neg A} \quad \neg i$$

Modus Tollens

We can use $\neg i$ to prove a rule that is very useful for using negative facts:

$$\frac{A \longrightarrow B \quad \neg B}{\neg A} \quad MT$$

Proof:

1 :	$A \longrightarrow B$	Premise
2 :	$\neg B$	Premise
3 :	A	Assumption
4 :	B	1, 3, $\longrightarrow e$
5 :	\perp	2, 4, $\neg e$
6 :	$\neg A$	3 – 5 $\neg i$

Example

1 :	$(S \vee G) \longrightarrow P$	Premise
2 :	$P \longrightarrow A$	Premise
3 :	$\neg A$	Assumption
4 :	G	Assumption
5 :	$S \vee G$	4, $\vee i_2$
6 :	P	1, 5, $\longrightarrow e$
7 :	A	2, 6, $\longrightarrow e$
8 :	\perp	3, 7, $\neg e$
9 :	$\neg G$	4 – 8, $\neg i$
10 :	$\neg A \longrightarrow \neg G$	3 – 9, $\longrightarrow i$

A useful variant of $\neg i$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \neg A \\
 \vdots \\
 \perp \\
 \hline
 A
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{RAA}$$

This rule is also known as *proof by contradiction* or *reductio ad absurdum* (RAA).

Law of the excluded Middle

$$\frac{}{A \vee \neg A} \text{LEM}$$