Hadoop

Do you need a relational SQL DB?

- difficult for large scale data ("planet-wide")
- 2. fast when de-normalized
- 3. transactions
- 4. export from hadoop to mysql?
- 5. Example Infrastructure
- 6. Data Source -> Spark Streaming -> MongoDB -> Web FrontEnd
- 7. "CAP Theorum"
- 8. Consistency
 - 1. diff. profile thumbs per-request
- 9. Availability
- 10. Partition Tolerance <- non-negotiable for big data</pre>

History

- google releases paper -> open source implementation
- typically apache projects made with java
- two major pieces to hadoop
 - 1. hdfs stores files in folders
 - 2. 64MB to 2GB standardized chunks
 - 3. three replicas of each block
 - 4. blocks distributed across many machines
 - 5. very poor storage efficiency!
 - bad storage...
 - 6. mapreduce
 - first execution paradigm
 - paper released a year later

MapReduce

- * map, reduce -- two stages
- * share nothing
- * insensitive to order

Mappers

- * filter, transform, parse
- * projection to (key, value)

Reducers

- groups by mapper's key
- aggregating step
- counts, statistics
- joins

Hadoop Ecosystem

- "Using Hadoop" cf. "Using Windows"
- Hadoop provides an operating system for data
 - storage + processing
- Higher-level tools are layered on top
- HBase
- Accumulo
- Storm
- Spark
- Zookeper, Kafka, ...
- Each hadoop installation / project is different

Advantages of Hadoop

- Linear Scaling
 - 2x computers => c. 2x speed
 - o 2x data => 2x slower
 - general linear heuristics work
- Schema on Read
 - ETL, Relational, Schema'd Systems
 - Schema on-write
 - Design up-front/
 - Hadoop
 - Schema on-read
 - Keep original data around
 - Design at solution-time, ie., late
 - multiple views/structures, depending on query
 - parse on-read
 - unstructured data (logs, audio, images...)
- Automatic (transparent) Parrellelism
 - mrjob, pig job, etc. are automatically parrellel
 - do not need to consider:
 - threading, locking, fault tolerance, etc.
 - as long as you follow the hadoop paradigm
 - ie., map-reduce
 - strikes the right balance of abstraction
 - …or not?

Limitations

- * Cannot edit files
 - * "replace", ie., delete & copy
- * Append
- * Applications create files
 - * files are written to hdfs
- * Getting data into hadoop is its own engineering problem
 - * data flow systems ususally needed

Python and Hadoop

- Python vs. Java
 - Why python?
 - data science
 - scripting
 - ease of use
 - ecosystem
 - community
 - Why not java?
 - compiling is its own engineering effort
 - dependency (jar) hell
 - sensitivity to build enviornment
 - Why not python?
 - with hadoop, slower / needs translation

MrJob

- Hadoop streaming
 - abitary programs that use stdin/out
 - stdin/out is slower than jvm in-memory data-passing (ie. API methods)
 - multi-jvm
 - jvm/core (/pc)
- Integrates with Amazon EMR or Hadoop
 - Elastic Map-Reduce

Using open source tools such as Apache Spark, Apache Hive, Apache HBase, Apache Flink, and Presto, coupled with the dynamic scalability of Amazon EC2 and scalable storage of Amazon S3, EMR gives analytical teams the engines and elasticity to run Petabyte—scale analysis for a fraction of the cost of traditional on—premise clusters.

The Map Reduce Paradigm

• Aside: generators and yield

- Generators are functions with "suspended returns"
 - They calculate values on-demand, but behave like lists
 - ie., they are iterable
- They are essentially rewritten into objects with a next() method
 - and internal state that provides a "current value"
 - rather than return, yield is read as "when next() is called"
 - the internal state keeps track of looping
 - .__next__() calculates the on-demand value
- next() will move the generator forward
- tuple(), list(), ... will force the generator
 - causing it to calculate all values and store as a list ```python

```
def numbers(): for i in range(3): yield 3

n = numbers() ns = [numbers(), numbers()]

print(next(n)) print(next(n)) print([ tuple(e) for e in ns]) ```
```

A Map Reduce Example

```
from functools import reduce

def mapper(line):
    for word in line.split(" "):
        yield (word.lower(), 1)

def reducer(pair):
    key, value = pair
    yield (key, sum(value))

def _combine(data, values):
    for (k, v) in values:
        data[k].append(v)
    return data
```

- concerns
 - memory
 - how big is line ?
 - mrjob runs in bash
 - system memory management
 - system error managment
 - c. 2x+ slower than java
 - but consider compile time

```
data = ["hello world", "goodbye world"]

# map-side
mapped = map(mapper, data))
combined = reduce(_combine, mapped, defaultdict(list))

# reduce-side
reduced = map(reducer, combined.items())

# report
print([next(result) for result in reduced])
```

::: notes ```python

or, just:

print([]) ```
::

HBase

- 1. NoSQL database on top of HDFS
- 2. Based on BigTable (Open Source Version)
- 3. cf. Google's published papers
- 4. CRUD operations
- 5. Region Servers
- 6. (aprox. Shards = partitions of distributed data)
- 7. On top of HDFS (itself distributed)
- 8. HMaster is master over all reigions
 - Zookeeper Orchestrates
- 9. Data Model
- 10. Rows referenced by unique KEY
- 11. Rows have "COLUMN FAMILIES"
 - 1. with arbitrary number of columns
 - 2. helpful for sparse data
- 12. Rows have "CELL"s row/col intersection
 - 1. versioned by timestamp
- 13. Example: Google's Problem
 - 1. com.cnn.www <- lexographic key choice min read</pre>
 - 2. "Contents" column family with single column
 - 1. so that content cells are versioned
 - 3. "Anchor" column famility
 - 1. Contains may "Anchor" columns eg., millions

- 2. One per url linking
- 14. HBase APIs
 - 1. REST
 - 1. Open Port on VM
 - 2. "starbase" python client
 - 2. Spark, Hive, Pig, ...
- 15. Example: User Ratings
 - 1. RowID = User ID
 - 2. Column Family = {Rating:, Rating:, ...}
- 16. starbase with python
 - 1. Limited: python is in-memory, not bigdata
 - 2. .create() creates column family
 - 3. .drop(), .close(), ...
 - 4. .batch() (~connection)
 - 1. .update() (~insert)
- 17. Interactive shell
 - 1. create 'users'
 - 2. list
 - 3. scan 'users'
 - 4. disable 'users'; drop 'users'
- 18. Pig
 - 1. Big Data
 - 2. create table upfront, unique keys, etc.
 - 3. hbase://
 - 4. USING ...HBaseStorage

Cassandra

- 1. Like HBase but no master node
- 2. HBase has HMaster, Zookeeper
- 3. CQL Cassandra Query Language
- 4. Non-relational
- 5. No Joins
- 6. All queries on primary key (or secondary)
- 7. Shell (CQLSH)
- 8. Eventually Consistent
- 9. CAP compromise on C
- 10. Fast Access to Rows
- 11. Two Systems (OLTPish and OLAPish)
- 12. Ring Architecture
- 13. (Region ID ranges in Ring)
- 14. Gossip Protocol (negotiation between nodes)
- 15. Nodes share data, gossip to find out which has it
- 16. DataStax = Spark + Cassandra

17. Cassandra appears as a DataFrame

Pig

- 1. PigLatin Scripts
- 2. PigView in admin console
- 3. Grunt prompt
- 4. Data Analysis without writing Mappers & Reducers
- 5. Can be faster than MapReduce with TEX
- 6. Spark is preffered, Pig not due to performance
- 7. Historical: Fixed with TEX
- 8. On top of MapReduce & TEZ
- 9. TEZ = Directed Acyclical Graph for Optimizing jobs
- 10. Pig can go via TEZ or MR
- 11. Example:
- 12. "relation" = variable = data set
- 13. "as" provides schema
 - 1. schemaless default expectation
- 14. expects tab delimitated by default
- 15. FOREACH relation GENERATE new-schema
- 16. transformation
- 17. GROUP relation BY field
- 18. "bags" data
- 19. DESCRIBE
- 20. dumps relation structure
- 21. FILTER relation BY test
- 22. JOIN relation BY fields BY relation
- 23. joins relations
- 24. renames fields, including full path from original
- 25. ORDER BY
- 26. IMPORT, DEFINE, REGISTER
 - 1. interfacing with user-defined functions (JVM)

Spark

- 1. In-fashion
- 2. "a fast and general engine for data processing"
- 3. vs. Pig
- 4. Rich Ecosystem (eg., Machine Learning)
- 5. Same Pattern as Hadoop
- 6. Driver PRogram -> Manager -> Cache
- 7. Can use hadoop, doesn't need to
- 8. In-Memory Processing System
- 9. vs. MapReduce File System

- 10. ~(10 to 100)x F.Sys
- 11. Built in TEZ-like optimization system
- 12. One Concept: "Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD)"
- 13. "Data set" add in later version, more SQL-like
- 14. Libraries Included
- 15. Spark Streaming
 - Realtime Analysis (vs., Batch)
- 16. Spark SQL
 - 1. SQL interface to Spark
 - 2. Heavy optimization work (>2.0)
- 17. MLLib
 - 1. Machine Learning Lib
 - 2. vs., eg., with MapReduce (hard to do ML)
- 18. GraphX
 - 1. (Social) graph analytics
- 19. Written in Scala
- 20. Python Libs available
- 21. Compiles to bytecode always faster than python
- 22. Programming model fits spark more naturally
 - 1. eg., data transformation via ann. fns.
- 23. RDDs
 - 1. SparkContext creates RDDs
 - 2. Creating
 - 3. .parrellize([data])
 - 4. .textFile
 - 1. hdfs://
 - 5. HiveContext, Cassandra, ElasticSearch, ..
 - Transforming
 - 7. FilterMonadic: .map, .flatMap, .filter
 - 8. take, top, reduce, count, etc.
 - 9. Lazy Evaluation
 - 10. graph of dependent actions built up
 - 11. nothing happens until "action" is called
 - 12. Example: Find Lowest Rating
 - 13. Spark 1 RDD Interface
 - MapReduce-like
 - .map, .reduceByKey, .mapValues, .sortBy, .take
 - 14. Ambari / Manager
 - Spark Log Level (INFO -> ERROR)
 - 15. Spark SQL
 - 16. Extends RDD to DataFrame
 - 1. cf. R, Pandas, …
 - 17. Data Frames

- 1. from Spark 2.0, lingua franca across libs
- 2. DataSet of Row Objects
- 3. SQL Queries
- 4. Schema
- 5. Read/Write to Json, etc.
- 18. Create DataFrame
 - 1. from json
 - 2. from HiveContext
- 19. DataFrame
 - 1. .sql
 - 2. .select, .filter, .mean, .groupBy, ...
 - 3. .rdd() extracts to RDD level
 - 4. user-defined functions
- 20. Example
 - SparkSession
 - provides SparkContext
 - 2. .get0rCreate() recovery
- 21. MLLib with Spark
- 22. Recommendation Example
 - 1. ALS alg. netflix-prize recommendation alg.
 - 1. Predict rating for given user with ratings history
 - 2. from pyspark.ml.recommedation import ALS
 - 3. read from hdfs://
 - 1. .cache() in memory
 - 4. plug in data into model.trasform & predict

Hive

- 1. SQL on top of MapReduce & TEZ
- 2. builds on existing SQL knowledge
- 3. interactive prompt
- 4. Easy OLAP
- 5. ie., long-time processing queries
- 6. Not good for real-time analytics (ie., OLTP)
- 7. "few minutes" but massive data sets
- 8. Pretend relational, HDFS is schemaless
- 9. No inserts/deletes, etc.
- 10. Pig/Spark more powerful
- 11. MySQL-like
- 12. VIEWs
- 13. Example
 - 1. HiveView
 - 2. DROP TABLE
 - 3. Upload Table, Tab file + Pipe File

- 4. CREATE VIEW / SELECT / GROUP BY / JOIN, etc
- 14. "Schema on Read"
 - 1. unstructured data -> structured as read
 - 2. metastore holds schema
 - 3. CREATE TABLE
 - 4. ROW FORMAT
 - 5. FIELDS TERMINATED BY
 - 6. STORED AS
 - 7. OVERWRITE INTO TABLE
 - 8. LOAD DATA, LOAD DATA LOCAL (copy)
 - 9. No relational DB, just parsing structure
 - 10. Managed Tables Hive Owned, vs., External
 - 11. DROP'able, etc.
 - 12. PARTITITONS
 - 13. sub dirs
 - 14. significant optimization when relevant