

## ■ Scientific Justification

Our knowledge of low-mass galaxy evolution is anchored in the Milky Way (MW) halo. Within 300 kpc of the MW, HST observations of resolved stars have enabled the measurement of detailed star formation histories (SFHs) and precise proper motions (PMs) that complete the full 6-dimensional orbital phase space throughout the MW halo. These observations uniquely establish the relationship between dwarf galaxies and reionization (Brown et al. 2014; Weisz et al. 2014a; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2015), provide stringent tests of CDM and the physics of galaxy formation (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2012; Wetzel et al. 2016; Simon 2019), determine the 3-D orbits, infall times, and quenching timescales of several satellites (e.g., Kallivayalil et al. 2013; Sohn et al. 2013; Fritz et al. 2018; Simon 2018), and are sensitive to the internal motions and rotation of individual stellar orbits (van der Marel & Kallivayalil 2014). These results are fundamental to our understanding of all low-mass and satellite galaxies (e.g., Bullock & Boylan-Kolchin 2017).

However, there is growing evidence that the MW satellites may not be broadly representative. Compared to the MW, satellite systems throughout the local Universe show varying luminosity functions, stellar populations, quenching properties, and spatial configurations, often in excess of cosmic variance (e.g., McConnachie & Irwin 2006; Brasseur et al. 2011; Tollerud et al. 2012; Chiboucas et al. 2013; Geha et al. 2017; Greco et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2018; Pawlowski 2018; Smercina et al. 2018). Thus, it remains unclear whether the fundamental insights established in MW satellites are generally applicable to low-mass systems or stem from the specific accretion history of the MW. Unfortunately, direct comparisons between the lifetime evolution of MW satellites and systems outside the Local Group (LG) are not currently possible. Even in the nearest groups (e.g., M81, Cen A;  $D \sim 3.5$  Mpc), severe crowding and multi-decade time baselines limit the measurement of accurate SFHs and prohibit PMs, even with HST (and/or JWST). Exploring such distant systems in detail similar to the MW satellites requires a 12-16m space telescope.

In contrast, the M31 system provides a unique, yet under-utilized, opportunity to study an entire galactic ecosystem outside the MW halo. Current data hint at important differences in the internal (e.g., kinematics, stellar content; Collins et al. 2014; Martin et al. 2017) and global (e.g., “plane of satellites”; Ibata et al. 2013; Pawlowski 2018) properties of M31 and MW satellites.

Observationally, HST can measure PMs and SFHs at the distance of M31 to a precision comparable to MW satellites (e.g., Sohn et al. 2012; Skillman et al. 2017). However, there has been comparatively little investment in HST observations of M31 satellites. To date,  $> 1000$  orbits have been dedicated to MW satellites, while only  $\sim 200$  have been spent on M31 satellites. HST has observed nearly all 51 known MW satellites, while of the 35 known dwarf galaxies within the virial radius ( $\sim 300$  kpc) of M31, only 12 (34%) have HST imaging that reaches the oldest main sequence turnoff (MSTO) and only the 2 (6%) with recent star formation have published PMs (e.g., Brunthaler et al. 2007; van der Marel et al. 2018).

*Through this Treasury program, we propose a comprehensive ACS/WFC3 survey of the M31 satellite system. Our imaging will target all known satellites within  $\sim 300$  kpc of M31, encompassing its full halo profile out to the virial radius. It will have the depth to measure robust SFHs, the cadence to provide secure RR Lyrae distances, and the astrometric precision for optimal first-epoch proper-motion measurements, all comparable in quality to current measurements of the entire MW*

