Eli Levin Doron S. Lubinsky

Bounds and Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials for Varying Weights



SpringerBriefs in Mathematics

Series Editors

Nicola Bellomo Michele Benzi Palle Jorgensen Tatsien Li Roderick Melnik Otmar Scherzer Benjamin Steinberg Lothar Reichel Yuri Tschinkel George Yin Ping Zhang

SpringerBriefs in Mathematics showcases expositions in all areas of mathematics and applied mathematics. Manuscripts presenting new results or a single new result in a classical field, new field, or an emerging topic, applications, or bridges between new results and already published works, are encouraged. The series is intended for mathematicians and applied mathematicians.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/10030

Bounds and Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials for Varying Weights



^{*}Research supported by NSF grant DMS136208

Eli Levin Department of Mathematics Open University of Israel Tel-Aviv, Israel Doron S. Lubinsky Mathematics Georgia Institution of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, USA

ISSN 2191-8198 ISSN 2191-8201 (electronic) SpringerBriefs in Mathematics ISBN 978-3-319-72946-6 ISBN 978-3-319-72947-3 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72947-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017963056

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05, 41A17, 30C15, 30E15, 31A15

© The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Annette Rohrs for her meticulous care in preparing the manuscript, and Danielle Walker and Donna Chernyk for their prompt and efficient handling of the process.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Statement of Main Results	9
3	Potential Theoretic Estimates	13
4	Restricted Range Inequalities	29
5	Bounds for Christoffel Functions	37
6	Spacing of Zeros	47
7	Bounds on Orthogonal Polynomials	53
8	Markov-Bernstein Inequalities in L_{∞}	63
9	Discretization of Potentials	67
10	Derivatives of Discretized Polynomials	83
11	Weighted Polynomial Approximations	93
12	Formulae Involving Bernstein-Szegő Polynomials	117
13	Asymptotics of Orthonormal Polynomials	123
14	Further Bounds	143
15	Universality Limits and Entropy Integrals	153
Ref	erences	165
Ind	Index	

Chapter 1 Introduction



1

Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure with support on the real line containing infinitely many points, and all finite power moments $\int x^j d\mu(x)$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$. We may then define orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x)$ of degree $n, n=0,1,2,\ldots$, satisfying

$$\int p_m(x) p_n(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{mn}.$$

The asymptotic behavior of $p_n(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ has been studied for over a century. Beginning around 1918 [47, 48] Szegő analyzed orthonormal polynomials for absolutely continuous measures supported on [-1,1], or the unit circle, motivated by connections to Hankel and Toeplitz matrices. Plancherel and Rotach in the late 1920s [41] considered the Hermite weight $\mu'(x) = e^{-x^2}$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, in order to investigate convergence of orthonormal expansions in Hermite polynomials. Plancherel and Rotach applied the method of steepest descent to a contour integral representation of Hermite polynomials. The very precise asymptotics they established are now called Plancherel-Rotach type asymptotics, and continue to be studied for more general measures to this day.

Until the last three decades of the 20th century, there were very few techniques for investigating orthogonal polynomials for non-compactly supported measures. If the orthogonal polynomials admit a contour integral representation, or a simple second order differential equation, or have a generating function as in the case of Pollaczek polynomials, classical asymptotic methods are applicable. However even the rudiments of a general theory were lacking. It was Geza Freud and later Paul Nevai who in the 1970s began to consider general weights $e^{-2Q(x)}$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, using extremal properties and approximation to develop weaker forms of asymptotics. Nevai and his students, William Bauldry, Stan Bonan, Rong Sheen, and Shing Whu-Jha, obtained precise asymptotics for weights like $\exp(-x^{2m})$, where

2 1 Introduction

m is a positive integer, using a mixture of analyzing differential equations and recurrence relations. Paul Erdős provided valuable insights for the case where $\mu'(x)$ decreases faster than $e^{-|x|^{\alpha}}$ for all $\alpha > 0$. See the still very relevant 1986 survey paper of Nevai [40].

Potential theory with external fields provided a dramatic breakthrough in the 1980s. In landmark papers, E. A. Rakhmanov [42] and Mhaskar and Saff [36–38] showed how to analyze orthogonal and extremal polynomials for quite general weights of the form $e^{-2Q(x)}$ on the real line. A comprehensive and polished development of that theory appears in the celebrated monograph of Saff and Totik [44]. By combining that potential theory with older methods of orthogonal polynomials, such as explicit formulae for Bernstein-Szegő weights, many researchers in orthogonal polynomials were able to analyze asymptotics – including the present authors [25].

An alternative approach to asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials is to place hypotheses on the coefficients in their three term recurrence relation, rather than on the underlying measure or weight. Some model examples of this approach for non-compactly supported measures appear in [14, 15, 55, 57]. Yet another relevant link is to discrete measures associated with indeterminate moment problems, see for example [7].

A second revolution for the case of absolutely continuous weights, came with the Deift-Zhou method in [9, 11, 12]. They developed a steepest descent method for a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem whose solution includes orthonormal polynomials, and which was first observed by Fokas, Its, and Kitaev. The dramatic ramifications of that method continue to be observed to this day. While it initially dealt primarily with analytic or piecewise analytic weights, it has been extended by McLaughlin and Miller using a $\bar{\theta}$ approximation [34, 35]. A distinguishing feature of results obtained via Riemann-Hilbert methods is that they hold globally, and are far more precise than any general results obtained using any other method. Because they were motivated by problems arising in random matrices, Riemann-Hilbert researchers usually considered varying rather than fixed measures. That brings us to the setting of this monograph, which is the varying weights case.

For $n \ge 1$, let μ_n be a finite positive Borel measure with support supp $[\mu_n] \subset \mathbb{R}$, containing infinitely many points. Assume also that all power moments $\int x^j d\mu_n(x)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, are finite. We may define orthonormal polynomials

$$p_{n,m}(\mu_n, x) = \gamma_{n,m}(\mu_n) x^m + \cdots, \quad \gamma_{n,m}(\mu_n) > 0,$$

 $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying the orthonormality conditions

$$\int p_{n,k}(\mu_n, x) p_{n,\ell}(\mu_n, x) d\mu_n(x) = \delta_{k\ell}.$$

1 Introduction 3

The *n*th reproducing kernel for μ_n is

$$K_n(\mu_n, x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n,k}(\mu_n, x) p_{n,k}(\mu_n, y).$$
 (1.1)

We often abbreviate this as $K_n(x, y)$. The *n*th Christoffel function is

$$\lambda_n (\mu_n, x) = K_n (\mu_n, x, x)^{-1}$$
.

The absolutely continuous case, where

$$\mu_n'(x) = e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \tag{1.2}$$

and $\{Q_n\}$ are given functions, plays an important role in random matrices. In this case, we often use the notation $p_{n,k}\left(e^{-2nQ_n},x\right)$, $\lambda_n\left(e^{-2nQ_n},x\right)$, and so on. The canonical example is $Q_n\left(x\right)=x^2$ for $n\geq 1$. Szegő style asymptotics of the associated orthonormal polynomials have been investigated by many authors, with many of the most spectacular results obtained using the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method. In particular, in celebrated papers [11, 12], Deift, Kriecherbauer, McLaughlin, Venakides, and Zhou considered the case where all $Q_n=Q$, and $Q\left(x\right)$ is real analytic on the real line, and grows faster than $\log |x|$ as $|x|\to\infty$. They established uniform asymptotics for the associated orthonormal polynomials in all regions of the complex plane, as well as detailed asymptotics for associated quantities, with applications to universality limits for random matrices. This set the stage for treating a large array of varying weights, such as varying (and sometimes fixed) Jacobi or Laguerre weights - some of the references are [3, 18–23, 56].

In all the earlier Riemann-Hilbert papers, Q was required to be analytic in a neighborhood of the real line, or piecewise analytic. As noted above, using the $\bar{\partial}$ -method, McLaughlin and Miller [34, 35] relaxed the requirement of analyticity, and considered the case where Q'' satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order 1, together with some other conditions. In particular, the latter conditions are satisfied when Q is strictly convex in the real line. They established asymptotics for $p_{n,n}$ and $p_{n,n-1}$ in all regions of the complex plane – including asymptotics inside and at the edge of the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff interval (or equivalently, the support of the equilibrium measure). One of our foci is to further relax their smoothness requirements on Q.

We shall need some concepts from the potential theory for external fields [44], to which we alluded above. Let Σ be a closed set on the real line, and e^{-Q} be an upper semi-continuous function on Σ that is positive on a set of positive linear Lebesgue measure. If Σ is unbounded, we assume that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty, x \in \Sigma} (Q(x) - \log |x|) = \infty.$$

4 1 Introduction

Associated with Σ and Q, we may consider the extremal problem

$$\inf_{\nu} \left(\int \int \log \frac{1}{|x-t|} d\nu (x) d\nu (t) + 2 \int Q d\nu \right),$$

where the inf is taken over all positive Borel measures ν with support in Σ and $\nu(\Sigma) = 1$. The inf is attained by a unique equilibrium measure ω_Q , with support supp $[\omega_Q]$, characterized by the following conditions: let

$$V^{\omega_{Q}}(z) = \int \log \frac{1}{|z - t|} d\omega_{Q}(t)$$
(1.3)

denote the logarithmic potential for ω_O . Then [44, Thm. I.1.3, p. 27]

$$V^{\omega_Q} + Q \ge F_O \text{ q.e. on } \Sigma;$$
 (1.4)

$$V^{\omega_Q} + Q = F_O \text{ q.e. in supp } [\omega_O]. \tag{1.5}$$

Here the number F_Q is a constant, and q.e. stands for quasi everywhere, that is, except on a set of capacity 0. Notice that we are using ω_Q for the equilibrium measure, rather than the more standard μ_W or ν_W , to avoid confusion with μ_n or ν_n . We use

$$\sigma_O(x) = \omega_O'(x) \tag{1.6}$$

for the Radon-Nikodym derivative of ω_Q . Sometimes we denote V^{ω_Q} by V^{σ_Q} .

While the Riemann-Hilbert methods yield the strongest results for smooth weights, techniques based on potential theory and Bernstein-Szegő weights allow one to treat more general weights. Indeed, this was the traditional approach for fixed exponential weights adopted in [25, 32, 42, 43, 51, 52]. These methods enabled one to establish asymptotics of the orthonormal polynomials in the complex plane away from the interval of orthogonality, but not usually pointwise asymptotics on the interval. The most general results for varying weights, using these types of tools, were obtained by V. Totik in his 1994 lecture notes [51, Thm. 14.2, p. 99; Thm. 14.4, p. 101]:

Theorem A. For $n \ge 1$, let e^{-2nQ_n} be a weight function on [-1,1], whose equilibrium measure ω_{Q_n} has support [-1,1]. Assume that ω_{Q_n} is absolutely continuous, and its density σ_{Q_n} satisfies

$$\frac{1}{A} (1 - t^2)^{\beta_0} \le \sigma_{Q_n}(t) \le A (1 - t^2)^{\beta_1}, \quad t \in (-1, 1),$$

1 Introduction 5

where $\beta_1 > -1$, and A, β_0, β_1 are independent of n. Assume also that $\{\sigma_{Q_n}\}$ are uniformly equicontinuous in every compact subset of (-1, 1).

(I) Then for any fixed integer k,

$$p_{n,n+k} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-nQ_n(x)} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1-x^2}} \cos \left[\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \arccos x + n\pi \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right]$$
(1.7)

tends to 0 in $L_2[-1, 1]$ as $n \to \infty$.

(II) Uniformly for z in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}\setminus[-1,1]$,

$$p_{n,n+k}(z) = \frac{1+o(1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \left(z^2 - 1\right)^{-1/4}$$

$$\times \exp\left(nF_{Q_n} - n\int \log\frac{1}{z - t}\sigma_{Q_n}(t) dt\right).$$
 (1.8)

Here F_{O_n} is the constant in (1.4) for $Q = Q_n$.

We note that this is not the most general form of Totik's result, and both asymptotics above can be formulated in terms of Szegő functions and their arguments. Indeed, (1.7) is formulated in a different way in [51]. Moreover, for $Q_n(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$, $\alpha > 1$, all the constants and densities can be given explicit forms. It is also significant that the weights $\{e^{-nQ_n}\}$ are assumed to be supported on [-1,1]. There are extra difficulties in establishing asymptotics when, for example, the interval of orthogonality is unbounded. Then one has to use restricted range inequalities, and often this requires extra hypotheses.

Another important asymptotic is that for Christoffel functions. One of Totik's celebrated results for asymptotics of Christoffel functions for varying weights is [52]:

Theorem B. Let e^{-Q} be a continuous nonnegative function on the set Σ , which is assumed to consist of finitely many intervals. If Σ is unbounded, we assume also

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty, x\in\Sigma} Q(x)/\log|x| = \infty.$$

Let J be a closed interval lying in the interior of supp $[\omega_Q]$, where ω_Q denotes the equilibrium measure for Q. Assume that ω_Q is absolutely continuous in a neighborhood of J, and that σ_Q is continuous in that neighborhood. Then uniformly for $x \in J$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n \left(e^{-2nQ}, x \right) e^{2nQ(x)} = \sigma_Q(x). \tag{1.9}$$

6 1 Introduction

In particular, when Q' satisfies a Lipschitz condition of some positive order in a neighborhood of J, then [44, p. 209] σ_Q is continuous there, and hence we obtain asymptotics of Christoffel functions there. Note too that when Q is convex in Σ , or xQ'(x) is increasing there, then the support of ω_Q consists of at most finitely many intervals, with at most one interval per component of Σ [44, p. 199, Thm. 1.10(c)]. We used Totik's result to establish universality results for varying weights in [27, p. 747, Thm. 1.1].

Our aim in this paper is especially to establish locally uniform versions of (1.7) in compact subsets of the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff interval, as well as global bounds on the orthonormal polynomials. We now define the class of weights that we shall use throughout this book:

Definition 1.1. For $n \ge 1$, let $I_n = (c_n, d_n)$, where $-\infty \le c_n < d_n \le \infty$. Assume that for some $r^* > 1$, $[-r^*, r^*] \subset I_n$, for all $n \ge 1$. Assume that

$$\mu'_n(x) = e^{-2nQ_n(x)}, \quad x \in I_n,$$
 (1.10)

where (i) $Q_n(x) / \log(2 + |x|)$ has limit ∞ at $c_n +$ and $d_n -$.

- (ii) Q'_n is strictly increasing and continuous in I_n .
- (iii) There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, C > 0 such that for $n \ge 1$ and $x, y \in [-r^*, r^*]$,

$$|Q'_n(x) - Q'_n(y)| \le C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$
. (1.11)

(iv) There exists $\alpha_1 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $C_1 > 0$, and an open neighborhood I_0 of 1 and -1, such that for $n \ge 1$ and $x, y \in I_n \cap I_0$,

$$|Q'_n(x) - Q'_n(y)| \le C_1 |x - y|^{\alpha_1}.$$
 (1.12)

(v) [-1, 1] is the support of the equilibrium distribution ω_{Q_n} for Q_n . Then we write $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Remarks. (a) The convexity and smoothness assumptions can be replaced by implicit assumptions involving bounds and smoothness of the equilibrium distributions such as bounds and smoothness.

(b) The support condition (v) is equivalent to the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff equations

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x Q_n'(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx = 1; \tag{1.13}$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q_n'(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx = 0. \tag{1.14}$$

(c) It may seem strange that we impose a stronger smoothness condition near ± 1 than elsewhere. This is needed to bound the equilibrium density near the endpoints

1 Introduction 7

of the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff interval, as we shall see in Chapter 3. We also show there that something like this is needed to ensure uniform convergence of integrals that arise there, such as

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\left| Q_n'(t) - Q_n'(1) \right|}{(1-t)^{3/2}} dt.$$

(d) The Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ in (iv) can be weakened to

$$\left|Q'_n(x) - Q'_n(y)\right| \le C_1 \Omega\left(|x - y|\right), \quad x, y \in I_n \cap I_0,$$

where

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\Omega(t)}{t^{3/2}} dt < \infty.$$

Under this weaker condition, we can still prove all the results of the next chapter, but with weaker error terms, no longer with $O(n^{-\tau})$, some $\tau > 0$.

(e) For notational convenience, we shall often assume that

$$\alpha \le \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}.\tag{1.15}$$

(f) The hypotheses force for some C > 0 independent of n,

$$Q'_{n}(-1) \leq -C \text{ and } Q'_{n}(1) \geq C,$$

see Lemma 3.2 below. Then for some $t_n \in (-1, 1)$,

$$Q_n'(t_n) = 0 (1.16)$$

and then the uniform Lipschitz condition gives

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \sup_{t\in[-r^*,r^*]} \left| Q'_n(t) \right| < \infty. \tag{1.17}$$

We can then divide each $\mu_n'=e^{-2nQ_n}$ by a normalizing constant, and assume that also

$$O_n(t_n) = 0,$$
 (1.18)

and hence

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \sup_{t\in[-r^*,r^*]} |Q_n(t)| < \infty. \tag{1.19}$$

8 1 Introduction

(g) The hypotheses of Definition 1.1 are satisfied if, for example, for $n \ge 1$, $Q_n(x) = c_n |x|^{\beta_n}$ with all $\{\beta_n\}$ lying in a fixed compact subset of $(1, \infty)$, and $\{c_n\}$ are chosen so that the equilibrium measures have support [-1, 1].

Throughout C, C_1, C_2, \ldots denote constants independent of n, x, t and perhaps other specified parameters. The same symbol does not necessarily indicate the same constant in different occurrences. For sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ of nonzero real numbers, we write $x_n \sim y_n$ if there exists C > 1 such that for $n \ge 1$,

$$C^{-1} \leq x_n/y_n \leq C$$
.

We shall state some of our main results in the next chapter. The proofs of these will be distributed over Chapters 3 to 15. We shall discuss the organization in more detail in the next chapter.

Chapter 2 Statement of Main Results



We first state our uniform bounds on the orthonormal polynomials and related quantities:

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and that for $n, m \geq 1$, $p_{n,m}$ is the orthonormal polynomial of degree m for the weight e^{-2nQ_n} on I_n .

(a) Let A > 0. For $n \ge 1$, and

$$|n - m| < An^{1/3}, (2.1)$$

we have

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} |p_{n,m}|(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left[|1 - |x|| + n^{-2/3} \right]^{1/4} \sim 1.$$
 (2.2)

Moreover, uniformly in such m, n,

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} |p_{n,m}|(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \sim n^{1/6}.$$
 (2.3)

(b) Let A > 0. Uniformly for $n \ge 1$, m satisfying (2.1), and $x \in I_n$ satisfying $|x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}$, we have

$$\lambda_m \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{2nQ_n(x)} \sim \frac{1}{n} \max\{ |1 - |x||, n^{-2/3} \}^{-1/2}.$$
 (2.4)

Moreover, uniformly for $n \ge 1$, m satisfying (2.1), and $x \in I_n$,

$$\lambda_m \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{2nQ_n(x)} \ge C_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \max\{ |1 - |x||, n^{-2/3} \}^{-1/2}. \tag{2.5}$$

© The Author(s) 2018

E. Levin, D.S. Lybinsky, Rounds and Assumptation for Ox

(c) Let $\{x_{jn}\}$ denote the zeros of $p_{n,n}$, ordered as

$$x_{nn} < x_{n-1,n} < \cdots < x_{1n}$$
.

Uniformly for $n \ge 1$ *and* $1 \le j \le n - 1$,

$$x_{jn} - x_{j+1,n} \sim \frac{1}{n} \max\{\left|1 - \left|x_{jn}\right|\right|, n^{-2/3}\}^{-1/2}.$$
 (2.6)

Moreover,

$$1 - \frac{C_1}{n^{2/3}} \le x_{1n} \le 1 + \frac{C_2}{n},\tag{2.7}$$

with a similar inequality for x_{nn} .

Proof. (a) See Theorems 7.1 and 14.2(d).

- (b) See Theorem 5.1.
- (c) See Theorems 6.1 and 14.2(c).

Remarks. We believe that for the uniform bound (2.2) to hold, one really does need Q'_n to satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order at least $\frac{1}{2}$ near ± 1 .

Next, we turn to asymptotics on the interval of orthogonality.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. For $n \ge 1$, let σ_{Q_n} denote the density of the equilibrium measure for Q_n on [-1, 1]. There exists $\tau > 0$ such that uniformly for $n \ge 1$ and $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau}$, $\theta = \arccos x$, and for

$$|m-n| \le n^{1/3-\varepsilon},\tag{2.8}$$

we have (a)

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1 - x^2)^{1/4}$$

$$= \cos\left((m - n)\theta + n\pi \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(n^{-\tau}).$$
(2.9)

(b)

$$\frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}p'_{n,m}(x)e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$=\pi\sigma_{Q_{n}}(x)\sin\left((m-n)\theta+n\pi\int_{x}^{1}\sigma_{Q_{n}}(t)dt+\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$$

$$+Q'_{n}(x)\cos\left((m-n)\theta+n\pi\int_{x}^{1}\sigma_{Q_{n}}(t)dt+\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+O(n^{-\tau}).$$
(2.10)

(c)

$$\frac{1}{n}\lambda_n^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} = \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + O(n^{-\tau}). \tag{2.11}$$

(d) Uniformly for j with $|x_{jn}| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau}$,

$$n\sigma_{O_n}(x_{in})(x_{in}-x_{i+1,n})=1+O(n^{-\tau}).$$
 (2.12)

Proof. (a), (b) See Theorem 13.2(a), (b).

(c) See Theorem 13.3.

(d) See Theorem
$$13.5(b)$$
.

Remarks. (a) We expect that one can prove the asymptotic (2.11) for the Christoffel function without assuming the extra Lipschitz condition (1.12) near ± 1 .

(b) We also expect that one can prove the asymptotic (2.9) assuming less smoothness on $\{Q_n\}$: instead of (1.11), assume equicontinuity of $\{\sigma_{Q_n}\}$ in [-1, 1] (which is true if $\{Q'_n\}$ satisfy a uniform Dini condition). In addition, replace (1.12) by the conditions in the remarks (d) after Definition 1.1. However, one then loses the $O(n^{-\tau_1})$ error term, and the asymptotic would hold in compact subsets of (-1, 1).

Finally, we turn to asymptotics for orthonormal polynomials in the plane, and for leading coefficients. We need more notations. Let

$$\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \tag{2.13}$$

denote the conformal map of the exterior of [-1, 1] onto the exterior of the unit ball. For $n \ge 1$, let

$$F_n(\theta) = e^{-2nQ_n(\cos\theta)} |\sin\theta|. \tag{2.14}$$

Define the associated Szegő function

$$D(F_n; z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log F_n(t) dt\right), |z| < 1.$$
 (2.15)

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. There exists $\tau > 0$ such that uniformly for $n \ge 1$ and m satisfying (2.8),

(a) For dist
$$(z, [-1, 1]) \ge n^{-\tau}$$
,

$$\left| p_{n,m}(z) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi(z)^m D^{-1} \left(F_n; \phi(z)^{-1} \right) \right\} - 1 \right| \le C n^{-\tau}. \tag{2.16}$$

(b) The leading coefficient $\gamma_{n,m}$ of $p_{n,m}$ satisfies

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right) (1 + O(n^{-\tau})). \tag{2.17}$$

(c) The coefficients $A_{n,m}$ and $B_{n,m}$ in the three term recurrence relation

$$xp_{n,m}(x) = A_{n,m}p_{n,m-1}(x) + B_{n,m}p_{n,m}(x) + A_{n,m-1}p_{n,m-1}(x)$$

satisfy

$$A_{n,m} = \frac{1}{2} + O(n^{-\tau}) \text{ and } B_{n,m} = O(n^{-\tau}).$$
 (2.18)

Proof. (a) See Theorem 13.2(c).

- (b) See Theorem 13.1.
- (c) See Theorem 13.4.

Remarks. (a) Note that for varying weights supported on [-1, 1], Totik's Theorem A is far more general than (2.16) (without the rate).

(b) We expect that one does not need the extra Lipschitz condition (1.12) for Theorem 2.3.

This paper is organized as follows: in Chapter 3, we estimate the equilibrium densities, and some potential theoretic quantities. In Chapter 4, we present restricted range inequalities. In Chapter 5, we provide upper and lower bounds for Christoffel functions and their L_p analogues. In Chapter 6, we obtain upper bounds on the spacing of zeros of orthogonal polynomials, as well as estimates for the largest zeros. In Chapter 7, we establish bounds for orthonormal polynomials. In Chapter 8, we prove a Markov-Bernstein inequality. In Chapters 9 and 10, we use Totik's method to discretize potentials and their derivatives, and then in Chapter 11, we apply these to obtain weighted polynomial approximations. In Chapter 12, we record some identities involving Bernstein-Szegő weights. In Chapter 13, we establish asymptotics for leading coefficients of orthonormal polynomials, as well as uniform asymptotics for orthonormal polynomials and their derivatives, their recurrence coefficients, their zeros, and asymptotics for Christoffel functions. In Chapter 14, we establish further bounds, and in particular lower bounds for the spacing of the zeros. In Chapter 15, we establish universality limits for random matrices associated with $\{e^{-nQ_n}\}$, for fluctuations of eigenvalues, and asymptotics for entropy type integrals.

Chapter 3 Potential Theoretic Estimates



Throughout this chapter, we assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$, and use the notation of Definition 1.1. We shall need the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers for Q_n associated with equilibrium measures of total mass r other than 1. For r > 0, define $a_{\pm n,r}$ by

$$r = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{xQ'_n(x)}{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - x)}} dx;$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{Q'_n(x)}{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - x)}} dx.$$
(3.1)

These are uniquely defined (see Chapter 2 of [25]). As r increases, so does $[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]$, with

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} a_{n,r} = d_n; \quad \lim_{r\to\infty} a_{-n,r} = c_n.$$

We also need the equilibrium density on $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$ [25, p. 16],

$$\sigma_{Q_{n},r}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - x)}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{Q'_{n}(s) - Q'_{n}(x)}{s - x} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{(s - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - s)}},$$
(3.2)

which has total mass r,

$$\int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \sigma_{Q_{n,r}} = r. \tag{3.3}$$

© The Author(s) 2018

E. Levin, D.S. Lubinsky, Rounds and Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials

Its potential

$$V^{\sigma_{Q_{n,r}}}(x) = \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \log \frac{1}{|x-t|} \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}(t) dt$$

satisfies

$$V^{\sigma_{Q_n,r}} + Q_n \ge F_{Q_n,r} \text{ on } I_n; \tag{3.4}$$

$$V^{\sigma_{Q_{n,r}}} + Q_n = F_{Q_{n,r}} \text{ in } [a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]. \tag{3.5}$$

In the special case r = 1, we have by our hypotheses in Chapter 1,

$$[a_{-n,1}, a_{n,1}] = [-1, 1] \text{ and } \sigma_{Q_n, 1} = \sigma_{Q_n}.$$
 (3.6)

We shall need to map $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$ to [-1, 1] and scale $\sigma_{Q_n,r}$ to a density on [-1, 1]. Accordingly, let

$$\beta_{n,r} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{n,r} + a_{-n,r}) \text{ and } \delta_{n,r} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{n,r} - a_{-n,r})$$
 (3.7)

and define the map $L_{n,r}$ of $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$ onto [-1, 1],

$$L_{n,r}(t) = \frac{t - \beta_{n,r}}{\delta_{n,r}} \text{ with inverse } L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(s) = \beta_{n,r} + \delta_{n,r}s.$$
 (3.8)

Define the scaled density

$$\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}(t) = \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r} \sigma_{Q_{n,r}} \left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t) \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{1 - t^2} \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r \pi^2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q'_n \left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u) \right) - Q'_n \left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t) \right)}{u - t} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}}, \tag{3.9}$$

for $t \in [-1, 1]$. Then

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}(t) dt = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Also, let

$$U_{n,r}(x) = -\left(V^{\sigma_{Q_{n},r}}(x) + Q_{n}(x) - F_{Q_{n},r}\right), \ x \in I_{n}.$$
(3.11)

We often abbreviate $U_{n,1}$ as U_n .

Our main result in this chapter involves estimates for the equilibrium densities:

Theorem 3.1. Let α, α_1 be as in Definition 1.1 and assume (1.15). There exists $r_0 \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, such that uniformly for $r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$, and in n, t, (a)

$$\hat{\sigma}_{O_n,r}(t) \sim \sqrt{1-t^2}, \quad t \in (-1,1),$$
 (3.12)

and

$$\sigma_{O_{n,r}}(x) \sim \sqrt{(a_{n,r} - x)(x - a_{-n,r})}, \quad x \in [a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}].$$
 (3.13)

(b)

$$\left|\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}(t)/\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}(s)/\sqrt{1-s^{2}}\right| \leq C\left|s-t\right|^{\alpha}, \quad s,t\in(-1,1),$$
 (3.14)

and

$$|\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}(t) - \hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}(s)| \le C |s-t|^{\alpha}, \quad s,t \in (-1,1).$$
 (3.15)

Remarks. (a) Applying Fatou's lemma in (3.9) gives

$$\liminf_{t \to 1-} \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}(t) / \sqrt{1 - t^{2}}$$

$$\geq \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r\pi^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q'_{n}(a_{n,r}) - Q'_{n}(a_{n,r} - \delta_{n,r}(1 - u))}{(1 - u)^{3/2}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 + u}}.$$

Here we also are using that the integrand in (3.9) is nonnegative as Q'_n is increasing. In particular,

$$\liminf_{t \to 1^{-}} \sigma_{Q_n,1}(t) / \sqrt{1-t^2} \ge \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q'_n(1) - Q'_n(u)}{(1-u)^{3/2}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1+u}}.$$

It then follows from the bound $\sigma_{Q_{n},1}(t) \leq C\sqrt{1-t^2}$, $t \in (-1,1)$, that as $x \to 1-$,

$$\frac{Q_n'(1) - Q_n'(x)}{(1 - x)^{1/2}} \le 2^{3/2} \int_{x - (1 - x)}^x \frac{Q_n'(1) - Q_n'(u)}{(1 - u)^{3/2}} du \to 0.$$

Thus

$$Q'_{n}(1) - Q'_{n}(x) = o(1-x)^{1/2}$$

as $x \to 1-$. This explains our more severe smoothness assumption on Q'_n near ± 1 .

(b) Define the Hilbert transform on the real line, for integrable functions $f:\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H[f](x) = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t - x} dt,$$

a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is a classic result [50, p. 122] that

$$H[H[f]] = -f,$$

at least for square integrable functions. We can recast (3.2) for r = 1, as

$$\frac{\pi\sigma_{Q_n}(x)\chi(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = H\left[\frac{Q'_n(\cdot)}{\sqrt{1-\cdot^2}}\chi\right](x),$$

where χ is the characteristic function of [-1, 1]. Then the invertibility of H gives

$$\frac{Q_n'(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\chi(x) = -\pi H\left[\frac{\pi\sigma_{Q_n}(\cdot)\chi}{\sqrt{1-\cdot^2}}\right](x).$$

It follows from Privalov's Theorem [60, Vol. I, p. 121, Thm. 13.29] that if σ_{Q_n} satisfies a Lipschitz condition of some positive order in each compact subset of (-1,1), then the same is true of $\frac{Q'_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$. Moreover, if this is uniform in n for σ_{Q_n} , it will be uniform in n for Q'_n .

We begin by proving estimates for $a_{\pm n,r}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}$:

Lemma 3.2. (a) For $n \ge 1$, r > 0,

$$Q'_{n}(a_{n,r}) \delta_{n,r} \ge r \text{ and } Q'_{n}(a_{-n,r}) \delta_{n,r} \le -r.$$
 (3.16)

Furthermore, there exists C > 0 such that

$$C \ge Q'_n(1) \ge 1 \text{ and } -C \le Q'_n(-1) \le -1.$$
 (3.17)

(b) Uniformly in $r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$ and in n,

$$\delta_{n\,r} \sim 1,$$
 (3.18)

Proof. (a) We can reformulate (3.1) as

$$r = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} Q'_n(x) \sqrt{\frac{x - a_{-n,r}}{a_{n,r} - x}} dx;$$
 (3.19)

$$-r = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} Q'_n(x) \sqrt{\frac{a_{n,r} - x}{x - a_{-n,r}}} dx.$$
 (3.20)

Since for a < b,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{x-a}{b-x}} dx = \frac{1}{2} (b-a) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{b-x}{x-a}} dx,$$

and since Q'_n is increasing, this also gives

$$r \leq Q'_n(a_{n,r}) \delta_{n,r}$$
 and $-r \geq Q'_n(a_{-n,r}) \delta_{n,r}$.

Next, the uniform Lipschitz condition on Q'_n gives

$$|Q'_n(1)| + |Q'_n(-1)| = |Q'_n(1) - Q'_n(-1)| \le C(2)^{\alpha},$$

and then since $\delta_{n,1} = 1$, we also obtain (3.17).

(b) First let t_n denote a point with

$$Q_n'(t_n) = 0. (3.21)$$

This exists, since Q_n is convex and Q_n has limit ∞ at c_n+ and d_n- . Note that $Q'_n(t)(t-t_n) \ge 0$ in I_n . From (3.16), we see that for t>0,

$$t_n \in (a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}).$$
 (3.22)

It then follows from [25, Thm. 2.4(iii), p. 41] that

$$t_n = a_{n,0} := \lim_{r \to 0} a_{n,r}.$$

Note that there $a_{n,0} = 0$, but we can translate the interval to our case. For future use, we observe that

$$1 \le Q'_n(1) = Q'_n(1) - Q'_n(t_n) \le C(1 - t_n)^{\alpha}$$

and a similar inequality holds for $1 + t_n$. Thus for some 0 < C < 1,

$$t_n \in [-1 + C, 1 - C]. \tag{3.23}$$

Then (3.22) shows that

$$a_{n,r} \in [-1 + C, 1]; \ a_{-n,r} \in [-1, 1 - C] \text{ for } r \in (0, 1).$$
 (3.24)

We next establish an upper bound for $\delta_{n,r}$. If $r \le 1$, then $\delta_{n,r} \le \delta_{n,1} = 1$ (cf. [25, Thm. 2.4(iii), p. 41]). Suppose now $r \in (1,2]$. If max $\{a_{n,r}, |a_{-n,r}|\} \le 2$, we are

done. Suppose this fails and say $a_{n,r} \ge |a_{-n,r}|$ and $a_{n,r} > 2$. Now (3.1) and our assumption that $a_{n,r} > 2$ give

$$r = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{(x - t_n) Q'_n(x)}{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n,r}) (a_{n,r} - x)}} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{2}{3}a_{n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}a_{n,r} - 1\right) Q'_n(1)}{\sqrt{2\delta_{n,r} (a_{n,r} - x)}} dx$$

$$\geq Ca_{n,r} \int_{\frac{2}{3}a_{n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\delta_{n,r} (a_{n,r} - x)}} \geq C \frac{a_{n,r}^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\delta_{n,r}}} \geq Ca_{n,r}.$$

Then still $\delta_{n,r} \leq a_{n,r} \leq C$.

Next, we establish a lower bound for $\delta_{n,r}$. If $r \in [1,2]$, we have $\delta_{n,r} \ge \delta_{n,1} = 1$. Suppose next that $r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. As Q'_n is increasing, (a) gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \le r \le Q'_n(a_{n,r}) \, \delta_{n,r} \le Q'_n(1) \, \delta_{n,r} \le C \delta_{n,r}.$$

Lemma 3.3. (a) For 0 < r < s,

$$\frac{\delta_{n,s}}{s} \le \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r},\tag{3.25}$$

and

$$|a_{n,s} - a_{n,r}| + |a_{-n,s} - a_{-n,r}| \le 2\frac{\delta_{n,s}}{s} (s - r).$$
 (3.26)

(b) There exists $r_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for $n \ge n_1$ and $r, s \in \left[r_1, \frac{1}{r_1}\right]$,

$$|a_{n,s} - a_{n,r}| \sim s - r \text{ and } |a_{-n,s} - a_{-n,r}| \sim s - r.$$
 (3.27)

Proof. (a) First note that the strict monotonicity of $a_{n,r}$ in r is proved in Chapter 2 of [25, Thm. 2.4(iii), p. 41]. Fix 0 < r < s and define the linear map

$$\mathcal{L}\left(x\right) = L_{n,r}^{[-1]} \circ L_{n,s}\left(x\right).$$

It maps $[a_{-n,s}, a_{n,s}]$ onto $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{L}(a_{-n,s}) = a_{-n,r} > a_{-n,s};$$

$$\mathcal{L}(a_{n,s}) = a_{n,r} < a_{n,s}.$$

Then the equation $\mathcal{L}(x) = x$ has a unique root $\tau_n \in (a_{-n,s}, a_{n,s})$. By our equilibrium relations (3.1), and then a substitution $x = \mathcal{L}(y)$,

$$r = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{(x - \mathcal{L}(\tau_n)) Q'_n(x)}{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n,r}) (a_{n,r} - x)}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,s}}^{a_{n,s}} \frac{(\mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(\tau_n)) Q'_n(\mathcal{L}(y))}{\sqrt{(y - a_{-n,s}) (a_{n,s} - y)}} dy$$

$$= \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{\delta_{n,s}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,s}}^{a_{n,s}} \frac{(y - \tau_n) Q'_n(\mathcal{L}(y))}{\sqrt{(y - a_{-n,s}) (a_{n,s} - y)}} dy.$$
(3.28)

Now for $y < \tau_n$, we have $y - \tau_n < 0$ and $Q'_n(\mathcal{L}(y)) > Q'_n(y)$, since $\mathcal{L}(y) > y$, so

$$(y-\tau_n) Q'_n(\mathcal{L}(y)) < (y-\tau_n) Q'_n(y).$$
 (3.29)

Similarly for $y > \tau_n$, we have $y - \tau_n > 0$ and $Q'_n(\mathcal{L}(y)) < Q'_n(y)$, so again (3.29) holds. Then (3.28) gives

$$r \le \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{\delta_{n,s}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n,s}}^{a_{n,s}} \frac{(y - \tau_n) Q_n'(y)}{\sqrt{(y - a_{-n,s}) (a_{n,s} - y)}} dy = \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{\delta_{n,s}} s,$$

by our equilibrium relations (3.1). So we have (3.25). Finally, we also then obtain

$$\delta_{n,s} - \delta_{n,r} \leq \delta_{n,s} \left(1 - \frac{r}{s} \right),$$

which easily yields (3.26).

(b) We use the identity [25, p. 62, eqn. (2.75)]

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{da_{n,t}}{dt}\left\{Q'_n\left(a_{n,t}\right) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{a_{-n,t}}^{a_{n,t}}\frac{Q'_n\left(a_{n,t}\right) - Q'_n\left(x\right)}{\left(a_{n,t} - x\right)^{3/2}}\left(x - a_{-n,t}\right)^{1/2}dx\right\} = 1.$$

This is valid provided $a_{n,t}$ is in the neighborhood of 1 in which Q_n' satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. By (a), there exists $r_1 \in (0,1)$ such that for $t \in \left[r_1, \frac{1}{r_1}\right]$, we have this inclusion. Note that in [25], it was assumed that Q'(0) = 0, but we can apply the result there to $Q_n(t-t_n)$. Straightforward estimation, using our Lipschitz condition, using (3.18) and the locally uniform boundedness of $\{Q_n'\}$ gives

$$C_1 \le \frac{da_{n,t}}{dt} \le C_2, \quad n \ge 1, \ t \in \left[r_1, \frac{1}{r_1}\right].$$

Then $|a_{n,s} - a_{n,r}| \sim |r - s|$ and the analogous inequality on the left is similar. \Box

We need one more lemma, a Privalov type estimate. Presumably the second part is in the literature somewhere, but we have been unable to find it.

Lemma 3.4. Let $h: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ on [-1,1]. Assume, moreover, that in a neighborhood of ± 1 , h satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. Define

$$H(x) = PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{h(t)}{(t-x)\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt, \quad x \in (-1,1),$$

and define H by its limiting values at ± 1 . Then H satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α in each compact subset of (-1,1), and for some $\varepsilon \in (0,\frac{1}{4})$, satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ in $[-1, -1 + \varepsilon] \cup [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$.

Proof. Since $t \to h(t)/\sqrt{1-t^2}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α in each compact subset of (-1,1) (recall (1.15)), the first assertion follows from the classic Privalov theorem for principal value integrals on arcs [60, Vol. I, p. 121, Thms. 13.29, 13.30]. We turn to the second part, and prove it on $[1-\varepsilon,1]$. Since the function

$$\int_{-1}^{1-2\varepsilon} \frac{h(t)}{(t-x)\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt$$

is a continuously differentiable function of $x \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$, we can simply assume that h satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ throughout [-1, 1]. Define

$$G(t) = \frac{h(t) - h(1)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}, t \in (-1, 1).$$

Observe that G has limit 0 as $t \to 1-$. Define G = 0 in [1, 2]. Then for $x \in (-1, 2)$,

$$H(x) = PV \int_{-1}^{2} \frac{G(t)}{t - x} dt.$$

If we can show that G satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ in [0, 2], then the classic Privalov theorem for an arc that we quoted above shows that H satisfies the requisite Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ in $[1 - \varepsilon, 1]$. Obviously we need to only show that G satisfies the Lipschitz condition in [0, 1]. So let $0 \le s < t \le 1$. We consider two subcases:

(I) $1 - t \ge \frac{1}{2} (1 - s)$. We see that

$$|G(s) - G(t)| = \left| \frac{h(s) - h(t)}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} + [h(t) - h(1)] \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq C \frac{|s - t|^{\alpha_1}}{\sqrt{1 - s}} + C|t - 1|^{\alpha_1} \frac{|s - t|}{(1 - s)\sqrt{1 - t}}.$$

Now $t - s \le 1 - s$ and $1 - t \le 1 - s$. Then (as $\alpha_1 < 1$),

$$|G(s) - G(t)| \le C|s - t|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}} + C|t - 1|^{\alpha_1 - 3/2}|s - t|$$

$$\le C|s - t|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}} + C|s - 1|^{\alpha_1 - 3/2}|s - t|$$

$$\le C|s - t|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

(II) $1 - t \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - s)$. Then

$$|s-t| = |(1-t)-(1-s)| \ge \frac{1}{2}(1-s) \ge 1-t.$$

Then

$$|G(s) - G(t)| \le |G(s)| + |G(t)|$$

$$\le C|s - 1|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}} + C|t - 1|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\le C|s - t|^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we apply Lemma 3.3 with s=1, so that $a_{\pm n,s}=\pm 1$ to deduce that for r>0,

$$|a_{n,r}-1|+|a_{-n,r}+1| \le 2|r-1|$$
. (3.30)

Then we can choose $r_0 \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $\eta_0 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ such that if I_0 is as in Definition 1.1, then for $r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$ and $n \ge 1$, then

$$L_{n,r}^{[-1]}[1-2\eta_0,1] \subset I_0 \cap I_n. \tag{3.31}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (a) Suppose first that $|t| \le 1 - \eta_0$ with η_0 as above. The uniform Lipschitz condition (1.11), (3.9), and (3.18) give

$$\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}(t) / \sqrt{1 - t^{2}} \leq C \delta_{n,r} \int_{-1}^{1} \delta_{n,r}^{\alpha} |u - t|^{\alpha - 1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\eta_{0}}} \int_{-1 + \eta_{0}/2}^{1 - \eta_{0}/2} |u - t|^{\alpha - 1} du + C \eta_{0}^{\alpha - 1} \int_{1 - \eta_{0}/2 \leq |u| \leq 1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\eta_{0}}} \int_{-2}^{2} |s|^{\alpha - 1} ds + C \eta_{0}^{\alpha - 1} \int_{1 - \eta_{0}/2 \leq |u| \leq 1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}} \leq C_{2}.$$

Let us next assume $t \in [1 - \eta_0, 1]$. Using (3.9), (1.12) and the substitution 1 - u = (1 - t) s, we estimate

$$\begin{split} \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}\left(t\right)/\sqrt{1-t^{2}} &\leq C\eta_{0}^{\alpha-1} \int_{|u|\leq 1-2\eta_{0}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^{2}}} + C \int_{1-2\eta_{0}}^{1} |u-t|^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u}} \\ &\leq C + C \left(1-t\right)^{\alpha_{1}-1/2} \int_{0}^{2\eta_{0}/(1-t)} |1-s|^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \\ &\leq C + C \left(1-t\right)^{\alpha_{1}-1/2} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\min\{2,2\eta_{0}/(1-t)\}} |1-s|^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \right\} \\ &\leq C + C \left(1-t\right)^{\alpha_{1}-1/2} \left\{ 1 + (1-t)^{-\left(\alpha_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \right\} \leq C, \end{split}$$

as $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. So we have the upper bound implicit in (3.12). We turn to the matching lower bound. Suppose that t is given with $Q_n'\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t)\right) \geq 0$, so $L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t) \geq t_n$. We have $Q_n'\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u)\right) \leq 0$ for $u \leq L_{n,r}(t_n)$, so

$$\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}(t) / \sqrt{1 - t^{2}} \ge \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r\pi^{2}} \int_{-1}^{L_{n,r}(t_{n})} \frac{Q'_{n}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u)\right) - Q'_{n}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t)\right)}{u - t} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}}$$

$$\ge \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r\pi^{2}} \int_{-1}^{L_{n,r}(t_{n})} \frac{\left|Q'_{n}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u)\right)\right| + Q'_{n}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t)\right)}{2} du$$

$$\ge C\delta_{n,r} \int_{-1}^{L_{n,r}(t_{n})} \left|Q'_{n}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u)\right)\right| du$$

$$= C\int_{a_{n,r}}^{t_{n}} \left|Q'_{n}(s)\right| ds.$$

Now (3.16) shows that $|Q'(a_{-n,r})| \geq C$. Then our uniform Lipschitz condition shows that $Q'_n(s) < 0$ and $|Q'_n(s)| \geq \frac{C}{2}$ for $s \in [a_{-n,r}, a_{-n,r} + \eta_2]$, where η_2 is independent of r, n. Then

$$\int_{a}^{t_{n}} |Q'(s)| ds \ge C_{1}$$

independently of n, r. The case where $Q_n'\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t)\right) < 0$ is similar. So we have proved (3.12). Then (3.13) follows using (3.18). (b) We apply Lemma 3.4 to $\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2}$, in the form given by (3.9). Recall

(b) We apply Lemma 3.4 to $\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2}$, in the form given by (3.9). Recall too that $\alpha < \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$. Then (3.14) follows. Since $t \to \sqrt{1-t^2}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\frac{1}{2}$, we also obtain (3.15).

Next we turn to growth of potentials:

Lemma 3.5. The potential $V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}}$ satisfies for $n \ge 1$, $r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|y| \le 1$,

$$0 \ge V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x+iy) - V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x)$$

$$\ge -C|y|\left\{\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|,0\}} + \sqrt{|y|}\right\}.$$
 (3.32)

The constant C is independent of n, r, x, y.

Proof. Let us first assume that $x \in [0, 1]$. Then using (3.12),

$$V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x+iy) - V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{x-s}\right)^{2}\right] \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}(s) ds$$

$$\geq -C \int_{0}^{1} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{x-s}\right)^{2}\right] \sqrt{1-s} ds$$

$$\geq -C \int_{0}^{1} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{x-s}\right)^{2}\right] \left(\sqrt{1-x} + \sqrt{|x-s|}\right) ds$$

$$\geq -C |y| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2}\right] \left(\sqrt{1-x} + \sqrt{|y|} \sqrt{|u|}\right) du,$$

by the substitution s - x = u|y|. This gives (3.32) for $x \in [0, 1]$. Next, if $x \in (1, \infty)$,

$$V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x+iy) - V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{x-s}\right)^{2}\right] \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}(s) ds$$

$$\geq -C \int_{0}^{1} \log\left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{1-s}\right)^{2}\right] \sqrt{1-s} ds$$

$$= -C |y|^{3/2} \int_{0}^{1/y} \log\left[1 + \frac{1}{t^{2}}\right] \sqrt{t} dt,$$

by the substitution 1 - s = t |y|. So we also have the result for $x \in [1, \infty)$. The case x < 0 is similar.

Next, we study the behavior of $U_{n,r}$ close to $a_{n,r}$. Recall from (3.11) that

$$U_{n,r}(x) = -V^{\sigma_{Q_{n},r}}(x) - Q_{n}(x) + F_{Q_{n},r}, \quad x \in I_{n},$$

and that $U_{n,r} \leq 0$ in I_n , with equality in $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$. We shall need Green's functions. Recall that given an interval Δ , and $x \notin \Delta$, $g_{\Delta}(z,x)$ denotes the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ with pole at x, so that $g_{\Delta}(z,x) + \log |z-x|$ is harmonic as a function

of z in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ and vanishes on Δ . When $x \in \Delta$, we set $g_{\Delta}(z, x) \equiv 0$, and when $x = \infty$, the Green's function is denoted by $g_{\Delta}(z)$. For example, if $\Delta = [-1, 1]$, then $g_{[-1,1]}(z) = \log |z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}|$.

Lemma 3.6. (a) There exists $\eta_2 > 0$, such that for $n \ge 1$, $r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$, and $t \in [1, 1 + \eta_2] \cap I_0$,

$$U_{n,r}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(t)\right) \sim -(t-1)^{3/2}$$
. (3.33)

Moreover for $n \ge 1$, $r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$, and $x \in [a_{n,r}, a_{n,r} + \eta_2] \cap I_0$,

$$U_{n,r}(x) \sim -(x - a_{n,r})^{3/2}$$
. (3.34)

(b) Let B > 0, $\rho \in (a_{n,r}, a_{n,r} + \eta_2]$. For $x \in [\rho, d_n)$, and some C_1, C_2 independent of n, r, B,

$$nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \le -C_1 n (\rho - a_{n,r})^{3/2} + BC_2 (\rho - a_{n,r})^{1/2},$$
 (3.35)

and for $n \geq C_6 B/(\rho - a_{n,r})$,

$$nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \le -C_7 n \left(\rho - a_{n,r}\right)^{3/2}.$$
 (3.36)

(c) In particular, for some C_7 independent of $n, r \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$, B_7

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left(nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \right) \le C_7 B n^{-1/2}. \tag{3.37}$$

Similar inequalities hold in the interval $(c_n, -\rho)$.

Proof. (a) We know that

$$-\int_{a_{n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \log|x-t| \, \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}(t) \, dt + Q_{n}(x) = F_{Q_{n,r}}, x \in [a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}].$$

Since $\sigma_{Q_n,r}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of positive order in [-1,1], we can differentiate this relation to obtain (cf. [32, p. 29 ff.])

$$-PV \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{x-t} \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}(t) dt + Q'_{n}(x) = 0, \quad x \in (a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}).$$

Here *PV* stands for Cauchy principal value. Since $\{\sigma_{Q_n,r}\}$ satisfy a uniform in n, r Lipschitz condition in $(a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r})$, and since $\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}(t) \sim \sqrt{1-t^2} \Rightarrow \sigma_{Q_n,r}(x) \sim \sqrt{(x-a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r}-x)}$ uniformly in n, r and x, we can let $x \to a_{n,r}$ —to obtain

$$-\int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{a_{n,r}-t} \sigma_{Q_n,r}(t) dt + Q'_n(a_{n,r}) = 0.$$

(The justification is straightforward and left to the reader.) We thus have both $U_{n,r}(a_{n,r}) = 0$ and $U'_{n,r}(a_{n,r}) = 0$. Also for $x > a_{n,r}$,

$$U'_{n,r}(x) = \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{x - t} \sigma_{Q_n,r}(t) dt - Q'_n(x)$$

is a decreasing function of x, and in particular, $U'_{n,r}(x) < 0$. Then if $x \in (a_{n,r}, d_n) \cap I_0$, we have for some $\xi \in (a_{n,r}, x)$,

$$U_{n,r}(x) = U_{n,r}(a_{n,r}) + (x - a_{n,r}) U'_{n,r}(\xi)$$

$$\geq 0 + (x - a_{n,r}) U'_{n,r}(x).$$
(3.38)

Here

$$U'_{n,r}(x) = U'_{n,r}(x) - U'_{n,r}(a_{n,r})$$

$$= \int_{a_{n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \left[\frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{a_{n,r}-t} \right] \sigma_{Q_n,r}(t) dt - \left[Q'_n(x) - Q'_n(a_{n,r}) \right].$$

Using our Lipschitz condition (1.12), and (3.13) and that $x \in I_0$, we continue this as

$$= -(x - a_{n,r}) \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{(x - t)(a_{n,r} - t)} \sigma_{Q_{n},r}(t) dt - \left[Q'_{n}(x) - Q'_{n}(a_{n,r})\right]$$

$$\geq -C(x - a_{n,r}) \left[\int_{0}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{(x - t)(a_{n,r} - t)} \sqrt{(t - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - t)} dt + 1 \right]$$

$$- C_{2}(x - a_{n,r})^{\alpha_{1}}$$

$$\geq -C(x - a_{n,r}) \left[\int_{0}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{(x - t)\sqrt{a_{n,r} - t}} \sqrt{\delta_{n,r}} dt + 1 \right] - C_{2}(x - a_{n,r})^{\alpha_{1}}$$

$$= -C(x - a_{n,r})^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\frac{a_{n,r}}{x - a_{n,r}}} \frac{1}{(1 + s)\sqrt{s}} ds - C_{2}(x - a_{n,r})^{\alpha_{1}}$$

$$\geq -C_{1}(x - a_{n,r})^{1/2} - C_{2}(x - a_{n,r})^{\alpha_{1}}.$$

In the second last line, we made the substitution $(a_{n,r}-t)=(x-a_{n,r})\,s$. The constants don't depend on n or x. As $\alpha_1>\frac{1}{2}$, we have for some $C_3,\eta_2>0$ independent of n,r, we have

$$U'_{n,r}(x) \ge -C_3 (x - a_{n,r})^{1/2}, \quad x \in [a_{n,r}, a_{n,r} + \eta_2] \cap I_0.$$

Then from (3.38),

$$U_{n,r}(x) \ge -C_4 (x - a_{n,r})^{3/2}, \quad x \in [a_{n,r}, a_{n,r} + \eta_2] \cap I_0.$$

Similarly, for some ζ between $x (\geq a_{n,r})$ and $\frac{a_{n,r}+x}{2}$

$$U_{n,r}(x) = U_{n,r}\left(\frac{a_{n,r} + x}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{x - a_{n,r}}{2}\right)U'_{n,r}(\zeta)$$

$$\leq 0 + \left(\frac{x - a_{n,r}}{2}\right)U'_{n,r}\left(\frac{a_{n,r} + x}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{x - a_{n,r}}{2}\right)\left\{\int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \left[\frac{1}{\frac{a_{n,r} + x}{2} - t} - \frac{1}{a_{n,r} - t}\right]\sigma_{Q_{n},r}(t)dt$$

$$-\left[Q'_{n}\left(\frac{a_{n,r} + x}{2}\right) - Q'_{n}(a_{n,r})\right]\right\}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{x - a_{n,r}}{2}\right)\int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \left[\frac{1}{\frac{a_{n,r} + x}{2} - t} - \frac{1}{a_{n,r} - t}\right]\sigma_{Q_{n},r}(t)dt$$

$$\leq -C\left(x - a_{n,r}\right)^{3/2},$$

as above. So we have (3.34). Since

$$L_{n,r}^{\left[-1\right]}\left(t\right)-a_{n,r}=\delta_{n,r}\left(t-1\right),$$

we also obtain (3.33).

(b) Now for $x > a_{n,r}$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \right]$$

$$= nU'_{n,r}(x) + B \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \frac{1}{x - t} \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{(t - a_{-n,r})(a_{n,r} - t)}}$$

is a decreasing function of x, so for $x \in [\rho, d_n)$, standard estimates for $g_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}$ give,

$$nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \le nU_n(\rho) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(\rho)$$

$$\le -C_1 n (\rho - a_{n,r})^{3/2} + BC_2 (\rho - a_{n,r})^{1/2}$$

$$= (\rho - a_{n,r})^{1/2} [-C_1 n (\rho - a_{n,r}) + BC_2].$$

Then (3.35) and (3.36) follow.

(c) From (b), with $\rho = a_{n,r} + \frac{1}{n}$, we have for $x \in [a_{n,r} + \frac{1}{n}, d_n)$,

$$nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n}, a_{n}, r]}(x) \le (-C_1 + BC_2) n^{-1/2}.$$

Also for such n and $x \in \left[a_{n,r}, a_{n,r} + \frac{1}{n}\right]$, the estimate $g_{\left[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}\right]}(x) \leq C\sqrt{x - a_{n,r}}$ gives

$$nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \le 0 + BC_2 n^{-1/2}.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{x \in [a_{n,r},d_n)} \left(nU_n(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) \right) \le C_7 Bn^{-1/2}.$$

A similar estimate holds in $(c_n, a_{-n,r}]$. Since in $[a_{-n,r}, a_{n,r}]$, we have $nU_{n,r}(x) + Bg_{[a_{-n,r},a_{n,r}]}(x) = 0$, the result follows.

Chapter 4 Restricted Range Inequalities



In the theory of weighted polynomials, the Mhaskar-Saff identity

$$||Pe^{-nQ_n}||_{L_{\infty}(I)} = ||Pe^{-nQ_n}||_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]},$$

valid for polynomials P of degree $\leq n$, plays a key role [36]. Their L_p analogues have been investigated in a variety of contexts. Here we establish suitable variations for our purposes. We shall find it useful, especially in estimating largest zeros of orthogonal polynomials, to have analogues for exponentials of potentials, a special case of which are absolute values of polynomials. Accordingly, for T > 0, we define \mathbb{P}_T to be the set of all functions of the form

$$P(z) = c \exp\left(\int \log|z - x| \, d\nu(x)\right),\tag{4.1}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, ν is a measure of total mass $\leq T$ with compact support. In particular, if P is a polynomial of degree $\leq N$, then $|P| \in \mathbb{P}_N$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{Q_n\} \in Q$. Let T > 0, $n \ge 1$, $0 and <math>P \in \mathbb{P}_{nT - \frac{2}{p}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}])} < \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}]},$$
 (4.2)

and if $p < \infty$,

$$\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n)} < 2^{1/p} \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}]}.$$
 (4.3)

In particular, these hold for not-identically vanishing polynomials P of degree $\leq nT - \frac{2}{p}$. For $p = \infty$, (4.3) holds with < replaced by \leq .

© The Author(s) 2018 29

For $T=1,\,0< p<\infty,$ and polynomials P of degree $\leq n-\frac{2}{p},\,P\neq 0,$ Theorem 4.1 gives

$$\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus[-1,1])} < \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[-1,1]}.$$

We also prove:

Theorem 4.2. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $T \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$, $S \ge 0$ and $0 . Then there exists <math>C_1, C_2, C_3, \eta > 0$ such that for $n \ge 1$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{nT+S}$,

$$\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}])} \le e^{C_1(S+1)n^{-1/2}} \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}]}. \tag{4.4}$$

(b) If $R_1 \geq C_2 (S+1)$,

$$\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p\left(I_n\setminus \left[a_{-n,T}-\frac{R_1}{n},a_{n,T}+\frac{R_1}{n}\right]\right)} < \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p\left[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}\right]}. \tag{4.5}$$

(c) Uniformly for $C_2 n^{-1/3} (S+1) \le R \le n^{2/3} \eta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus \left[a_{-n,T}-\frac{R}{n^{2/3}},a_{n,T}+\frac{R}{n^{2/3}}\right])} \\ &\leq \exp\left(-C_3R^{3/2}\right)\|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p\left[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}\right]} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

The constants C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , η are independent of R, R_1 , P, n, S, but depend on T and p.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let R > 0, $S \ge 0$ and $0 . There exist <math>C_4, n_0 > 0$, such that for $n \ge 1$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{n+S}$,

$$||Pe^{-nQ_n}||_{L_p(I_n\setminus[-1+Rn^{-2/3},1-Rn^{-2/3}])}$$

$$\leq C_4 ||Pe^{-nQ_n}||_{L_p[-1+Rn^{-2/3},1-Rn^{-2/3}]}.$$
(4.6)

 C_4 is independent of P, n, but depends on R, S, p.

Recall that given an interval Δ , and $x \notin \Delta$, $g_{\Delta}(z, x)$ denotes the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ with pole at x, so that $g_{\Delta}(z, x) + \log |z - x|$ is harmonic as a function of z in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ and vanishes on Δ . Moreover, for $x = \infty$, $g_{\Delta}(z)$ denotes the Green's function with pole at ∞ . We begin with

Lemma 4.4. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $T, \Omega > 0$ and $0 and <math>P \in \mathbb{P}_{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}$. Represent P in the form (4.1) with $c \ne 0$, so that v has total mass $\le \Omega$. Let

$$\Delta = [a_{-n,T}, a_{n,T}].$$

(a) For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$,

$$|P(z)|^{p} / \int_{\Delta} |Pe^{-nQ_{n}}|^{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi \operatorname{dist}(z, [-1, 1])} \exp\left(-p \left[\int g_{\Delta}(z, u) dv(u) + n \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_{n}, T}}(z) - F_{Q_{n}, T}\right)\right] - [p (nT - \Omega) - 1] g_{\Delta}(z)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi \operatorname{dist}(z, [-1, 1])} \exp\left(-p \left[n \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_{n}, T}}(z) - F_{Q_{n}, T}\right)\right] - [p (nT - \Omega) - 1] g_{\Delta}(z)\right). \tag{4.7}$$

(b) Moreover, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$,

$$|P(z)| \leq \exp\left(-n\left(V^{\sigma_{Q_n,T}}(z) - F_{Q_n,T}\right) - (nT - \Omega)g_{\Delta}(z)\right) \parallel Pe^{-nQ_n} \parallel_{L_{\infty}(\Delta)}.$$
(4.8)

(c) For 0 ,

$$\left\| P(x)e^{-nQ_n(x)} \exp(-nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[nT - \Omega - \frac{2}{p} \right] g_{\Delta}(x)) \right\|_{L_p(I_n \setminus \Delta)}$$

$$\leq \left\| Pe^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_p(\Delta)}.$$

$$(4.9)$$

Proof. This is very similar to that of Lemma 9.6 in [25, p. 260], but we provide the details.

(a) We may assume that in P, we have c = 1 and ν has total mass exactly Ω . Let

$$G(z) := \frac{1}{n} \int \left\{ \log |z - u| + g_{\Delta}(z, u) \right\} d\nu(u)$$

$$+ \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_{n}, T}}(z) - F_{Q_{n}, T} \right) + \left(T - \frac{\Omega}{n} \right) g_{\Delta}(z).$$

This is harmonic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Delta$, and as $z\to\infty$,

$$G(z) = \frac{\Omega}{n} \log|z| + \frac{\Omega}{n} \int g_{\Delta}(\infty, u) \, d\nu(u) - T \log|z|$$
$$-F_{Q_n, T} + \left(T - \frac{\Omega}{n}\right) \log|z| + o(1)$$
$$= \frac{\Omega}{n} \int g_{\Delta}(\infty, u) \, d\nu(u) - F_{Q_n, T} + o(1).$$

Thus it has a finite limit at ∞ , so is harmonic there too, and thus is harmonic in simply connected $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$. Hence it has a single valued harmonic conjugate there, $\widetilde{G}(z)$ say. Then the function

$$f(z) := \exp(G(z) + i\widetilde{G}(z))$$

is analytic and nonvanishing in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$. We can then choose a single valued branch of f^{np} in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$. Letting $\widetilde{g}_{\Delta}(z)$ denote a harmonic conjugate of $g_{\Delta}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$, we have that

$$A(z) := \exp(g_{\Lambda}(z) + i\widetilde{g}_{\Lambda}(z))$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Delta$ with a simple pole at ∞ . More precisely,

$$|A(z)| = \frac{4}{a_{n,T} - a_{-n,T}} |z| (1 + o(1)), \quad z \to \infty.$$

Hence we may apply Cauchy's integral formula for the exterior of a segment to deduce that for $z \notin \Delta$,

$$f^{np}(z)/A(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{(f^{np}/A)(x+i0) - (f^{np}/A)(x-i0)}{x-z} dx$$
 (4.10)

where the terms in the integrand denote boundary values from the upper and lower half planes. Formally, this is derived by taking a contour enclosing Δ , traversed clockwise, and shrinking it to the segment. Next, for a.e. $x \in \Delta$,

$$|(f^{np}/A)(x \pm i0)| = \exp(npG(x)) = |P(x)|^p e^{-npQ_n(x)},$$

recall that $g_{\Delta}(z, x) = g_{\Delta}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \Delta^{o}$. Also, for $z \notin \Delta$,

$$|f^{np}/A|(z) = \exp(npG(z) - g_{\Delta}(z))$$

$$= |P(z)|^p \exp\left(p \left[\int g_{\Delta}(z, u) d\nu(u) + n \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_n, T}}(z) - F_{Q_n, T}\right)\right] + \left[p \left(nT - \Omega\right) - 1\right] g_{\Delta}(z)\right).$$

Estimating (4.10) in the obvious way and using these last identities together with $g_{\Delta}(z, u) \ge 0$ yields (4.7).

- (b) This follows by taking pth roots in (4.7) and letting $p \to \infty$.
- (c) We apply the case p = 2 of Lemma 4.3 in [25, p. 98] to the function $f^{np/2}/A$ (with p in a different sense to the quoted Lemma 4.3, and not necessarily 2)

$$||f^{np/2}/A||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\Delta)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(||f^{np/2}/A|_{+}||_{L_{2}(\Delta)} + ||f^{np/2}/A|_{-}||_{L_{2}(\Delta)} \right)$$

$$= ||Pe^{-nQ_{n}}||_{L_{p}(\Delta)}^{p/2}.$$

Hence

$$\left\| P(x) \exp\left(\left[\int g_{\Delta}(x, u) dv(u) + n \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_n, T}}(x) - F_{Q_n, T} \right) \right] + \left[nT - \Omega - \frac{2}{p} \right] g_{\Delta}(x) \right) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta)}$$

$$\leq \left\| Pe^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_p(\Delta)}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $g_{\Delta}(x, u) \ge 0$, and $V^{\sigma_{Q_n, T}}(x) - F_{Q_n, T} = -U_{n, T}(x) - Q_n$ in I_n , (4.9) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Firstly (4.2) follows from (4.9) and the fact that $\Omega \leq nT - \frac{2}{p}$ and $g_{\Delta} > 0$ outside Δ , while $U_{n,T} \leq 0$. Next, (4.3) follows from (4.2).

Proof of Theorem 4.2(a). We apply (4.9) with $\Omega = nT + S$ and $\Delta = [a_{-n,T}, a_{n,T}]$,

$$\left\| P(x)e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \exp\left(-\left\{nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right]g_{\Delta}(x)\right\}\right) \right\|_{L_{p}(I_{n}\setminus\Delta)}$$

$$\leq \left\| Pe^{-nQ_{n}} \right\|_{L_{n}(\Delta)}.$$

$$(4.12)$$

Here Lemma 3.6(c) with $B = S + \frac{2}{p}$ gives

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left(nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p} \right] g_{\Delta}(x) \right) \le C \left(S + \frac{2}{p} \right) n^{-1/2} < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2(b),(c). (b) We use (4.12), which is applicable as $P \in \mathbb{P}_{nT+S}$. We need to show that there exists fixed R_1 such that for $x \ge a_{n,T} + \frac{R_1}{n}$, with $x \in I_n$,

$$nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right]g_{\Delta}(x) < 0.$$

Let η_2 be as in Lemma 3.6. Let $\rho = a_{n,T} + \frac{R_1}{n} \in [a_{n,T}, a_{n,T} + \eta_2]$. For $x \in [\rho, d_n)$, and some C_1, C_2 independent of n, T, S, (3.35) of Lemma 3.6(b) shows that

$$nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] g_{[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}]}(x)$$

$$\leq -C_1 n \left(\rho - a_{n,T}\right)^{3/2} + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] C_2 \left(\rho - a_{n,T}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\rho - a_{n,T}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ -C_1 n \left(\rho - a_{n,T}\right) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] C_2 \right\}$$

$$\leq \left(\rho - a_{n,T}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ -C_1 R_1 + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] C_2 \right\} < 0,$$

if
$$R_1 > \left[S + \frac{2}{p} \right] C_2 / C_1$$
.

(c) Let η_2 be as in Lemma 3.6. Next, if $\rho = a_{n,T} + \frac{R}{n^{2/3}} \le a_{n,T} + \eta$, and $x \in [\rho, d_n)$, (3.35) shows that

$$nU_{n,T}(x) + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] g_{\left[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}\right]}(x)$$

$$\leq -C_1 R^{3/2} + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] C_2 \left(\frac{R}{n^{2/3}}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= R^{1/2} \left(-C_1 R + \left[S + \frac{2}{p}\right] C_2 n^{-1/3}\right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{C_1}{2} R^{3/2},$$

for $R \ge 2 \left[S + \frac{2}{p} \right] \frac{C_2}{C_1} n^{-1/3}$, so from (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| P(x)e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right\|_{L_p\left(t \setminus \left[a_{-n,T} - \frac{R}{n^{2/3}}, a_{n,T} + \frac{R}{n^{2/3}}\right]\right)} \\ & \leq \exp\left(-\frac{C_1}{2}R^{3/2}\right) \left\| Pe^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_p\left[a_{-n,T}, a_{n,T}\right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Recall that from (3.27) of Lemma 3.3 that $|a_{-n,T}+1|+|a_{n,T}-1|\sim |T-1|$ for T close to 1. Then given R>0, we can choose L=L(R) and $T=T(R)=1-Ln^{-2/3}$ such that

$$\left[-1 + Rn^{-2/3}, 1 - Rn^{-2/3}\right] \supset \left[a_{-n,T}, a_{n,T}\right].$$

Also, given S > 0, write

$$n + S = nT + S_1,$$

where

$$S_1 = S + n(1 - T) \sim n^{1/3}$$
.

By Theorem 4.2(a), with *S* replaced by S_1 , we have for $P \in \mathbb{P}_{n+S} = \mathbb{P}_{nT+S_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus[-1+Rn^{-2/3},1-Rn^{-2/3}])} \\ &\leq \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p(I_n\setminus[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}])} \\ &\leq e^{C_1(S_1+1)n^{-1/2}} \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[a_{-n,T},a_{n,T}]} \\ &\leq C \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_p[-1+Rn^{-2/3},1-Rn^{-2/3}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 5 Bounds for Christoffel Functions



Define the L_p Christoffel function for a given external field Q_n by

$$\lambda_{n,p}\left(e^{-pnQ_{n}},x\right) = \inf_{\deg(P) \le n-1} \frac{\int_{I_{n}} |P\left(t\right)|^{p} e^{-pnQ_{n}(t)} dt}{|P\left(x\right)|^{p}}.$$

Of course $\lambda_{n,2}$ is our usual Christoffel function λ_n . We shall prove:

Theorem 5.1. Let A, B > 0 and N = N(n) satisfy

$$|N - n| < Bn^{1/3}. (5.1)$$

(a) For $0 , <math>x \in I_n$,

$$\lambda_{N,p}\left(e^{-pnQ_{n}},x\right)e^{pnQ_{n}(x)} \geq \frac{C_{1}}{n\sqrt{\max\left\{1-|x|,n^{-2/3}\right\}}}.$$
 (5.2)

(b) For $|x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}$, with $x \in I_n$,

$$\lambda_{N,p}(e^{-pnQ_n}, x)e^{pnQ_n(x)} \le \frac{C_2}{n\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|, n^{-2/3}\}}}.$$
 (5.3)

The constants C_1 , C_2 depend on A, B, p but not on n, N, x.

Proof of Theorem 5.1(a). Let P be a nonzero polynomial of degree $m \le N-1$ and represent |P| as in (4.1). From the first inequality in (4.7), with T=1, $\Omega=N-1$, z=x+iy, $x \in I_n$, $\Delta=[-1,1]$,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\int_{I_n} |P(t)|^p \, e^{-pnQ_n(t)} dt}{|P(x)|^p \, e^{-pnQ_n(x)}} = \frac{\int_{I_n} |P(t)|^p \, e^{-pnQ_n(t)} dt}{|P(z)|^p} \left(\frac{|P(z)|}{|P(x)| \, e^{-nQ_n(x)}}\right)^p \\ &\geq \pi \, |y| \exp\left(p \bigg[\int g_{[-1,1]}(z,u) dv(u) + n \left(V^{\sigma_{Q_n}}(z) - F_{Q_n}\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(p(n-N+1) - 1\right) g_{[-1,1]}(z) \right] \right) \left(\frac{|P(z)|}{|P(x)| \, e^{-nQ_n(x)}}\right)^p. \end{split}$$

We claim that

$$\exp\left(p\int g_{[-1,1]}(z,t)d\nu(t)\right) \left|\frac{P(z)}{P(x)}\right|^{p}$$

$$= \exp\left(p\int \left\{g_{[-1,1]}(z,t) + \log\left|\frac{z-t}{x-t}\right|\right\} d\nu(t)\right) \ge 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed for each $t \in [-1, 1]$, (with z = x + iy fixed as above)

$$g_{[-1,1]}(z,t) + \log \left| \frac{z-t}{x-t} \right| = 0 + \log \left| 1 + \frac{iy}{x-t} \right| \ge 0$$

and the left-hand side is superharmonic as a function of t in $\mathbb{C}\setminus [-1,1]$. (Indeed, $g_{[-1,1]}(z,t)+\log|z-t|$ is harmonic as a function of t in $\mathbb{C}\setminus [-1,1]$, while $\log\frac{1}{|x-t|}$ is superharmonic there. Moreover, the left-hand side has a finite limit at ∞ .) The minimum principle for superharmonic functions shows that the left-hand side ≥ 0 for all $t\in\mathbb{C}$. Then (5.4) follows. We deduce that

$$\lambda_{N,p} \left(e^{-pnQ_n}, x \right) e^{pnQ_n(x)} = \inf_{\deg(P) \le n-1} \frac{\int_{I_n} |P(t)|^p e^{-pnQ_n(t)} dt}{|P(x)|^p e^{-pnQ_n(x)}}$$

$$\ge \pi |y| \exp(pn[V^{\sigma_{Q_n}}(z) - F_{Q_n} + Q_n(x)] + (p(n-N+1)-1) g_{[-1,1]}(z)). \tag{5.5}$$

Letting $U_n = U_{n,1}$ be defined by (3.11), we can continue this as

$$= \pi |y| \exp(pn[V^{\sigma_{Q_n}}(z) - V^{\sigma_{Q_n}}(x)] - pnU_n(x) + (p(n-N+1)-1) g_{[-1,1]}(z))$$

$$\geq \pi |y| \exp\left(-Cpn|y| \left[\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|,0\}} + \sqrt{|y|}\right] - pnU_n(x) - Cn^{1/3}g_{[-1,1]}(z)\right), \tag{5.6}$$

by Lemma 3.5 and (5.1). Choose

$$y = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{\max\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\}}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$n|y|\left[\sqrt{\max\left\{1-\left|x\right|,0\right\}}+\sqrt{\left|y\right|}\right]\leq C.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.6(c),

$$pnU_n(x) + Cn^{1/3}g_{[-1,1]}(x+iy)$$

$$= pnU_n(x) + Cn^{1/3}g_{[-1,1]}(x) + Cn^{1/3}\left(g_{[-1,1]}(x+iy) - g_{[-1,1]}(x)\right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{-1/6} + Cn^{1/3}\int_{-1}^{1}\log\left(1 + \left(\frac{y}{x-t}\right)^2\right)\frac{dt}{\pi\sqrt{1-t^2}}.$$

Suppose that we have proved that this last right-hand side is bounded above. Then we can continue (5.6) as

$$\lambda_{N,p}\left(e^{-pnQ_n},x\right)e^{pnQ_n(x)}\geq C|y|.$$

Our choice of y gives the result (5.2). It remains to estimate the integral. Let us assume $x \ge 0$. We estimate the integral by

$$n^{1/3} \int_{-1}^{1} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{y}{x - t} \right)^{2} \right) \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{1 - t}}$$

$$\leq C n^{1/3} \int_{\{t: |x - t| \leq |1 - t|\}} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{y}{x - t} \right)^{2} \right) \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{|x - t|}}$$

$$+ C n^{1/3} \int_{\{t: |x - t| \geq |1 - t|\}} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{y}{1 - t} \right)^{2} \right) \frac{dt}{\pi \sqrt{|1 - t|}}$$

$$\leq C n^{1/3} |y|^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{s^{2}} \right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{|s|}} \leq C,$$

by the substitutions x-t = s |y| and 1-t = s |y| in the first and second integrals. \square For $n \ge m \ge 1$ and $x \in I_n$, let

$$\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n},x) = \inf_{\deg(P) \le m} \frac{\left\| P e^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)}}{|P(x)|}.$$

(The degree is different from the m-1 in $\lambda_{m,p}$.) Our upper bounds are based on:

Lemma 5.2. Let 0 , <math>A > 0, and $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

(a) For
$$n \ge N > m \ge 1$$
 and $x \in I_n$,

$$\lambda_{N,p}(e^{-pnQ_n}, x)e^{pnQ_n(x)} \le C \left[\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n}, x)e^{nQ_n(x)}\right]^p \frac{1}{N-m} \max \left\{ \sqrt{|1-|x||}, \frac{1}{N-m} \right\}.$$
 (5.7)

Here $C \neq C(n, N, m, x)$.

(b) Suppose that $|x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}$ and that we can choose m = m(n, x) and N = N(n, x) such that

$$n \ge N \ge \frac{n}{8} \text{ and } 1 - \frac{m}{N} \ge C \max\left\{n^{-2/3}, 1 - |x|\right\},$$
 (5.8)

and

$$\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n}, x)e^{nQ_n(x)} \le C. \tag{5.9}$$

Then

$$\lambda_{N,p}(e^{-pnQ_n}, x)e^{pnQ_n(x)} \le \frac{C}{n} \max\{n^{-2/3}, 1 - |x|\}^{-1/2}.$$
 (5.10)

Proof. (a) By the restricted range inequality (4.4) with T = 1, and as $N \le n$,

$$\lambda_{N,p}(e^{-pnQ_n}, x)e^{pnQ_n}(x) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{N-1}} \int_{I_n} |Pe^{-nQ_n}|^p (s) \, ds/|Pe^{-nQ_n}|^p (x)$$

$$\leq C \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{N-1}} \int_{-1}^{1} |Pe^{-nQ_n}|^p (s) \, ds/|Pe^{-nQ_n}|^p (x)$$

$$\leq C \left[\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n}, x)/e^{-nQ_n}(x)\right]^p \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{N-m-1}} \int_{-1}^{1} |P|^p (s) \, ds/|P|^p (x)$$

by definition of $\lambda_{m,\infty}$. By a result of P. Nevai on generalized Christoffel functions, [39, Lemma 5, p. 108; Thm. 13, p. 113],

$$\inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_l} \int_{-1}^{1} |P|^p(s) ds / |P|^p(x) \le \frac{C}{l} \max \left\{ |1 - |x||^{1/2}, \frac{1}{l} \right\}$$

at least for $x \in [-1, 1]$. Here $C \neq C(l, x)$. Almost the same proof shows that for x > 1,

$$\inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_l} \int_{-1}^1 |P|^p(s)ds/|P|^p(x) \le \frac{C}{l^2},$$

so that the above inequality persists in \mathbb{R} . Setting l = N - m gives the result.

(b) From (a) and (5.8), (5.9),

$$\begin{split} &\lambda_{N,p}(e^{-pnQ_n},x)e^{pnQ_n(x)} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{N-m}\max\left\{\sqrt{\max\left\{n^{-2/3},1-|x|\right\}},\frac{1}{N-m}\right\} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{\max\left\{n^{-2/3},1-|x|\right\}} \\ &\times \max\left\{\sqrt{\max\left\{n^{-2/3},1-|x|\right\}},\frac{1}{N\max\left\{n^{-2/3},1-|x|\right\}}\right\} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\max\left\{n^{-2/3},1-|x|\right\}}}. \end{split}$$

We need to show that for m = m(n, x) satisfying (5.8),

$$\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n},x)e^{nQ_n(x)} \leq C.$$

This can be done using Theorem 9.1 in [24, p. 498]:

Lemma 5.3. Let g(t) be a nonnegative continuous function on [-1, 1] satisfying $\int_{-1}^{1} g = 1$. Suppose also that

$$g(t) = h(t)\sqrt{1 - t^2},$$
 (5.11)

where h is a positive continuous function on [-1, 1] whose modulus of continuity $\omega(h; \cdot)$ satisfies for some $\Gamma > 0$,

$$\omega(h;t) \le \Gamma\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-1}, \quad t \in (0,1). \tag{5.12}$$

Let

$$G(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \log|x - t| g(t) dt.$$

Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a polynomial P_{m,x_0} of degree $\leq m$, such that

$$|P_{m,x_0}(x)| \leq C_1 e^{mG(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$|P_{m,x_0}(x_0)| \ge C_2 e^{mG(x_0)}.$$

The constants C_1 and C_2 depend on Γ and the maximum and minimum of h in [-1,1], but not on m, x, x_0 , or the particular g or h.

Now we prove:

Lemma 5.4. Suppose that A > 0 and that $x \in I_n$ with $|x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}$ with $x \in I_n$. Let B > 0 and

$$n \ge N \ge n - Bn^{1/3}. (5.13)$$

Then we can choose for $n \ge n_0$, m = m(n, x) such that

$$1 - \frac{m}{N} \ge C \max\left\{ n^{-2/3}, 1 - |x| \right\}, \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n}, x)e^{nQ_n(x)} \le C. \tag{5.15}$$

Here n_0 and C are independent of x.

Proof. We consider several different ranges of x. Let r_0 be as in Theorem 3.1.

(I) Assume first that $x \in [a_{n,r_0}, 1 - Ln^{-2/3}]$.

Here *L* is some large number. Choose $r \in [r_0, 1)$ with $x = a_{n,r}$ (this is uniquely possible as $a_{n,r}$ is a strictly increasing continuous function of *r*). Let m = [nr], so that

$$nr - 1 < m \le nr. \tag{5.16}$$

By (3.26) of Lemma 3.3,

$$Ln^{-2/3} \le 1 - x = 1 - a_{n,r} \le C_1 (1 - r) \le C_1 \left(1 - \frac{m}{n}\right).$$
 (5.17)

Here C_1 is independent of m, n, r, L, B. We assume L is so large that $L/C_1 \ge 2B$, with B as in (5.13). Then

$$n-N \le Bn^{1/3} \le \frac{1}{2} (L/C_1) n^{1/3} \le \frac{1}{2} (n-m)$$

by (5.17). Then also

$$1 - \frac{m}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \left((n - m) - (n - N) \right) \ge \frac{n - m}{2N}$$
$$\ge C_1 \left(1 - \frac{m}{n} \right) \ge C_1 \left(1 - x \right),$$

by (5.17). Then (5.14) follows in this case. Now we can apply Lemma 5.3 to $g = \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}$: note that the smoothness condition (5.12) is satisfied (uniformly in n and r), by (3.14) of Theorem 3.1. So there exists a polynomial $P_{m,x}$ of degree $\leq m$, such that

$$|P_{m,x}(t)| \le C_1 \exp\left(-mV^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,r}}(t)\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$|P_{m,x}(x)| \ge C_2 \exp\left(-mV^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(x)\right).$$

Next from the first equation in (3.9),

$$-V^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}}(L_{n,r}(y)) = \int_{-1}^{1} \log |L_{n,r}(y) - u| \, \hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n,r}}(u) \, du$$

$$= \frac{\delta_{n,r}}{r} \int_{-1}^{1} \log |L_{n,r}(y) - u| \, \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}\left(L_{n,r}^{[-1]}(u)\right) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \log |L_{n,r}(y) - L_{n,r}(s)| \, \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}(s) \, ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \int_{a_{-n,r}}^{a_{n,r}} \log |y - s| \, \sigma_{Q_{n,r}}(s) \, ds - \log \delta_{n,r}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{r} V^{\sigma_{Q_{n,r}}}(y) - \log \delta_{n,r}.$$

Now let

$$R_{n}\left(t\right) = P_{m,L_{n,r}\left(x\right)}\left(L_{n,r}\left(t\right)\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(5.18)

Then for $t \in I_n$, and as $n \ge m/r$, the inequalities above give

$$\left| R_{n}(t) e^{-nQ_{n}(t)} \right| \leq C_{1} \exp\left(-mV^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}}(L_{n,r}(t)) - \frac{m}{r}Q_{n}(t)\right)
= C_{1} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{r}\left[V^{\sigma_{Q_{n},r}}(t) + r\log\delta_{n,r}\right] - \frac{m}{r}Q_{n}(t)\right)
= C_{1} \exp\left(\frac{m}{r}U_{n,r}(t) - \frac{m}{r}F_{Q_{n},r} - m\log\delta_{n,r}\right)
\leq C_{1} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{r}F_{Q_{n},r} - m\log\delta_{n,r}\right) = C_{1}A_{n},$$
(5.19)

say. Here we are using $U_{n,r} \leq 0$. Also, for the given $x = a_{n,r}$,

$$\left| R_{n}(x) e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \right|$$

$$\geq C_{2} \exp\left(-mV^{\hat{\sigma}_{Q_{n},r}}(L_{n,r}(x)) - \frac{m}{r}Q_{n}(x)\right) \exp\left(\left(\frac{m}{r} - n\right)Q_{n}(x)\right)$$

$$\geq C_{2} \exp\left(\frac{m}{r}U_{n,r}(x) - \frac{m}{r}F_{Q_{n},r} - m\log\delta_{n,r}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{r}Q_{n}(x)\right)$$

$$= C_{2}A_{n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{r}Q_{n}(x)\right) \geq C_{3}A_{n}. \tag{5.20}$$

Here we have used that $U_{n,r}(a_{n,r}) = 0$. Then R_n is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$, and

$$\lambda_{m,\infty}(e^{-nQ_n}, x)e^{nQ_n(x)} \le \frac{\|R_n e^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)}}{|R_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)}|} \le \frac{C_3}{C_1}.$$
 (5.21)

(II) Assume next that $x \in [-1 + Ln^{-2/3}, a_{-n,r_0}]$. This is similar to (I).

(III) Assume next that $x \in (a_{-n,r_0}, a_{n,r_0})$.

Recall from Lemma 3.3(b) that $1 - |a_{\pm n, r_0}| \ge C > 0$. Thus also $1 - |x| \ge C > 0$. Choose $r = \frac{3}{4}$ and $m = \left[\frac{3}{4}n\right]$. We have

$$1 - \frac{m}{N} \sim 1 \sim \max\left\{n^{-2/3}, 1 - |x|\right\},\,$$

so still (5.14) holds, and we proceed as in (I), choosing R_n by (5.18). (IV) Assume next that $x \in [1 - Ln^{-2/3}, 1 + An^{-2/3}]$.

In this case, we choose

$$m = n - [(A + B) n^{1/3}] \le N - An^{1/3} + 1,$$

so that

$$1 - \frac{m}{N} \ge \frac{An^{1/3} - 1}{N} \ge Cn^{-2/3} \ge C \max\left\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\right\},\,$$

and (5.14) holds. We choose

$$r = \frac{m}{n} = 1 - \frac{\left[(A+B) \, n^{1/3} \right]}{n}$$

so that m = rn. We choose R_n by (5.18). Exactly as at (5.19), for $t \in I_n$,

$$\left|R_n(t)e^{-nQ_n(t)}\right|\leq C_1A_n.$$

Moreover, as at (5.20),

$$\left| R_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \ge C_2 A_n \exp\left(\frac{m}{r} U_{n,r}(x)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{r} Q_n(x)\right).$$

Here $\frac{1}{r}Q_n(x) \le 2Q_n(x) \le C$, while if $x \le a_{n,r}$, we have $U_{n,r}(x) = 0$ and if $x > a_{n,r}$, then

$$x - a_{n,r} < 1 + An^{-2/3} - a_{n,r} < C(1-r) + An^{-2/3} < Cn^{-2/3}$$

so from (3.34) of Lemma 3.6(b),

$$\frac{m}{r}U_{n,r}(x) \ge -Cn\left(x - a_{n,r}\right)^{3/2} \ge -C.$$

Thus

$$\left|R_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)}\right| \geq C_3.$$

Then (5.21) follows.

(V) Assume next that
$$x \in [-1 - An^{-2/3}, -1 + Ln^{-2/3}]$$
. This is similar to (IV).

Proof of Theorem 5.1(b). This follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 for the case $n \ge N \ge n - Bn^{1/3}$. Since $\lambda_{n,p}$ is decreasing in n, we also obtain the bound for the full range (5.1). □

Chapter 6 Spacing of Zeros



Recall that we order the zeros of $p_{n,n}$ as

$$x_{nn} < x_{n-1,n} < \cdots < x_{2n} < x_{1n}$$
.

We prove

Theorem 6.1. Assume $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

(a)

$$1 - \frac{C_2}{n^{2/3}} \le x_{1n} < a_{n,1 + \frac{1}{2n}} \le 1 + \frac{C_2}{n}.$$
 (6.1)

Analogous inequalities hold for x_{nn} .

(b) For some C > 0, and $2 \le j \le n$,

$$x_{j-1,n} - x_{jn} \le \frac{C}{n\sqrt{\max\{1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3}\}}}.$$
 (6.2)

We note that further results on spacing of zeros appear in Theorems 13.5 and 14.2.

Proof of the Right Inequality in (6.1). We use

$$1 - \frac{x_{1n}}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}} = \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{\int_{I_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}}\right) P^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}{\int_{I_n} P^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}.$$
 (6.3)

This is an easy consequence of the Gauss quadrature formula, see for example [49, p. 188]. The minimum is attained when we take *P* to be the fundamental polynomial

© The Author(s) 2018

E. Lavin, D.S. Labinsky, Provide and Assumptation for Outhors and Polymentials.

 $\ell_{1n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ of Lagrange interpolation satisfying $\ell_{1n}(x_{jn}) = \delta_{1j}$. Here if P is a nonzero polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$, then $\left|1-\frac{x}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}}\right|^{1/2}|P(x)| \in \mathbb{P}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$, so (4.2) of Theorem 4.1 with $T=1+\frac{1}{2n}, p=2, nT-\frac{2}{p}=n-\frac{1}{2}$, gives that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{I_{n} \setminus \left[a_{-n,1+\frac{1}{2n}},a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}\right]} \left|1 - \frac{x}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}}\right| P\left(x\right)^{2} e^{-2nQ_{n}(x)} dx \\ & < \int_{\left[a_{-n,1+\frac{1}{2n}},a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}\right]} \left|1 - \frac{x}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}}\right| P\left(x\right)^{2} e^{-2nQ_{n}(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\int_{I_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}}} \right) P^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx > 0,$$

so that from (6.3),

$$1 - \frac{x_{1n}}{a_{n,1 + \frac{1}{2n}}} > 0.$$

Finally, $a_{n,1+\frac{1}{2n}} < 1 + \frac{C}{n}$ by (3.26) of Lemma 3.3(a). For the left inequality in (6.1), we need:

Lemma 6.2. Let A > 0. There exist polynomials R_n of degree $\leq 2n - 2n^{1/3}$ such that

$$R_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \sim \sqrt{\max\{1-|x|, n^{-2/3}\}}, \quad for \ x \in I_n \ with \ |x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}.$$

Proof. Define

$$N = N(n) = n - \left\lceil n^{1/3} \right\rceil.$$

Let

$$R_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{N,2}^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right),$$

a polynomial of degree $\leq 2N-2 \leq 2n-2n^{1/3}$. By Theorem 5.1(b), for $|x| \leq 1+An^{-2/3}$,

$$R_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \ge C\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|, n^{-2/3}\}}$$

while using monotonicity of Christoffel functions in the degree, and Theorem 5.1(a),

$$R_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{n,2}^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le C \sqrt{\max \left\{ 1 - |x|, n^{-2/3} \right\}}.$$

Proof of the Left Inequality in (6.1). We use (6.3) in the slightly modified form

$$1 - x_{1n} = \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-2}, P \ge 0 \text{ in } I_n} \frac{\int_{I_n} (1 - x) P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}{\int_{I_n} P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}$$

$$\leq \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-2}, P \ge 0 \text{ in } I_n} \frac{\int_{I_n} |1 - x| P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}{\int_{I_n} P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}$$

$$\leq C \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-2}, P \ge 0 \text{ in } I_n} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x) P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx}{\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx},$$

by (4.4) with T = 1, p = 1, and Q_n replaced by $2Q_n$. Now choose $P = R_n S^4$, where R_n is as in Lemma 6.2 and

$$S(x) = \frac{T_{\ell}(x) - 1}{x - 1}$$

and ℓ is the largest even integer $\leq \frac{1}{8}n^{1/3} - 1$. Here T_{ℓ} is the classical Chebyshev polynomial of degree ℓ . Note that for $x \in [-1, 1]$, Bernstein's Inequality gives

$$|S(x)| \le C \min \left\{ \ell^2, \frac{1}{|x-1|} \right\}$$

and for some $\eta > 0$,

$$S(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}\ell^2, \quad x \in [1 - \eta\ell^{-2}, 1].$$

Lemma 6.2 and these last inequalities give

$$\begin{split} 1 - x_{1n} &\leq C \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \left(1 - x\right) \sqrt{\max\left\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\right\}} \min\left\{\ell^{2}, \frac{1}{|x - 1|}\right\}^{4} dx}{\int_{1 - \eta\ell^{-2}}^{1} \sqrt{\max\left\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\right\}} \ell^{8} dx} \\ &\leq C\ell^{-5} \int_{-1}^{1} \left(1 - x\right) \sqrt{\max\{1 - x, \ell^{-2}\}} \min\left\{\ell^{2}, \frac{1}{|x - 1|}\right\}^{4} dx \\ &= C\ell^{-2} \int_{0}^{2\ell^{2}} s \sqrt{\max\{s, 1\}} \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{s}\right\}^{4} ds \leq Cn^{-2/3}, \end{split}$$

by the substitution $1 - x = \ell^{-2}s$.

50 6 Spacing of Zeros

For the spacing, we use:

Lemma 6.3. Let

$$a \leq y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_m \leq b$$
.

Assume $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are the fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation at $\{y_j\}$. Let $w:(a,b)\to(0,\infty)$ and assume that $q=\log\frac{1}{w}$ is such that q' exists and is nondecreasing in (a,b). Then for $1\leq j\leq m-1$,

$$\ell_j(x) w^{-1}(y_j) w(x) + \ell_{j+1}(x) w^{-1}(y_{j+1}) w(x) \ge 1, \quad x \in [y_j, y_{j+1}].$$

Proof. See [25, Lemma 11.8, p. 320].

Proof of Theorem 6.1(b). Assume that x_{jn} and $x_{j-1,n} \ge 0$. Let $\lambda_{jn} = \lambda_n(e^{-2nQ_n}, x_{jn})$. We have

$$\lambda_{jn}e^{2nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} + \lambda_{j-1,n}e^{2nQ_{n}(x_{j-1,n})}$$

$$= \int_{I_{n}} \left(\ell_{jn}^{2}(x) e^{2nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} + \ell_{j-1,n}^{2}(x) e^{2nQ_{n}(x_{j-1,n})} \right) e^{-2nQ_{n}(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{jn}}^{x_{j-1,n}} \left(\ell_{jn}(x) e^{nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} + \ell_{j-1,n}(x) e^{nQ_{n}(x_{j-1,n})} \right)^{2} e^{-2nQ_{n}(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{j-1,n} - x_{jn} \right),$$

by Lemma 6.3. Assume now that x_{jn} and $x_{j-1,n} \ge 0$. We apply our upper bounds (5.3) for Christoffel functions:

$$x_{j-1,n} - x_{jn} \le \frac{C}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\max\left\{1 - x_{jn}, n^{-2/3}\right\}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\max\left\{1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\right\}}} \right)$$

$$\le \frac{2C}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\max\left\{1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\right\}}}.$$
(6.4)

We claim that uniformly in j, n,

$$\max\left\{1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\right\} \sim \max\left\{1 - x_{jn}, n^{-2/3}\right\}. \tag{6.5}$$

If x_{jn} and $x_{j-1,n} \ge 0$ and

$$\max\left\{1 - x_{jn}, n^{-2/3}\right\} \le 2\max\left\{1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\right\},\,$$

6 Spacing of Zeros 51

this is true. If this last inequality fails, then

$$1 - x_{in} > 2 \left(1 - x_{i-1,n} \right),\,$$

so

$$x_{j-1,n} - x_{jn} = (1 - x_{jn}) - (1 - x_{j-1,n}) \ge \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_{jn})$$

so (6.4) gives

$$1 - x_{jn} \le 2 \left(x_{j-1,n} - x_{jn} \right) \le \frac{C}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\max \left\{ 1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3} \right\}}} \le C n^{-2/3}.$$

Thus in this case,

$$\max\{1 - x_{in}, n^{-2/3}\} \sim \max\{1 - x_{i-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\} \sim n^{-2/3}$$

and we again have (6.5) and hence also (6.2). The case where x_{jn} and $x_{j-1,n} \le 0$ is similar, and the case where $x_{j-1,n} \ge 0 \ge x_{j,n}$ is easily handled as in this case $\max\{1 - x_{jn}, n^{-2/3}\} \sim \max\{1 - x_{j-1,n}, n^{-2/3}\} \sim 1$.

Chapter 7

Bounds on Orthogonal Polynomials



We prove:

Theorem 7.1. Assume $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let A > 0. For $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$ with

$$|m - n| \le An^{1/3},\tag{7.1}$$

(a)

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} |p_{n,m}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} |1 - x^2|^{1/4} \sim 1.$$
 (7.2)

(b)

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} |p_{n,m}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left[|1 - |x|| + n^{-2/3} \right]^{1/4} \sim 1.$$
 (7.3)

Most of this chapter will be devoted to proving Theorem 7.1 for m = n. We then manipulate the definition of $\{Q_n\}$ to obtain the general case. Our approach follows that in [24, 25].

We begin by defining

$$\bar{Q}_n(x,t) = \frac{Q'_n(x) - Q'_n(t)}{x - t}$$

and

$$A_n(x) = 2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}^2(t) \, \bar{Q}_n(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt.$$
 (7.4)

Lemma 7.2. (a)

$$p'_{n,n}\left(x_{jn}\right) = \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p_{n,n-1}\left(x_{jn}\right) A_n\left(x_{jn}\right). \tag{7.5}$$

(b)

$$|p_{n,n}(x)| \le |x - x_{jn}| \left[K_n(x, x) A_n(x_{jn}) \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (7.6)

Proof. (a) We use the reproducing kernel relation, and then integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{n,n}(x) &= \int_{I_n} K_n(x,t) \, p'_{n,n}(t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \\ &= K_n(x,t) \, p_{n,n}(t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \big|_{t=c_n}^{t=d_n} \\ &- \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}(t) \, \frac{d}{dt} \, \Big\{ K_n(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \Big\} \, dt \\ &= 0 - \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_n(x,t) \right) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \\ &+ 2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}(t) \, K_n(x,t) \, Q'_n(t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \\ &= 2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}(t) \, K_n(x,t) \, Q'_n(t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $Q_n(x) / \log(2 + |x|)$ has limit ∞ at c_n, d_n , and also orthogonality. Now we set $x = x_{jn}$ and use the Christoffel-Darboux formula, and then orthogonality again:

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{n,n}(x_{jn}) &= 2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}(t) K_n(x_{jn}, t) Q'_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \\ &= 2n \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p_{n,n-1}(x_{jn}) \int_{I_n} \frac{p_{n,n}^2(t) Q'_n(t)}{t - x_{jn}} e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \\ &= 2n \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p_{n,n-1}(x_{jn}) \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}^2(t) \frac{Q'_n(t) - Q'_n(x_{jn})}{t - x_{jn}} e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

(b) First, by the confluent form of the Christoffel-Darboux formula,

$$K_{n}\left(x_{jn}, x_{jn}\right) = \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p'_{n,n}\left(x_{jn}\right) p_{n,n-1}\left(x_{jn}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p_{n,n-1}\left(x_{jn}\right)\right)^{2} A_{n}\left(x_{jn}\right)$$

so that

$$\left|\frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}}p_{n,n-1}\left(x_{jn}\right)\right| = \left(K_n\left(x_{jn},x_{jn}\right)/A_n\left(x_{jn}\right)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then the Christoffel-Darboux formula gives

$$|p_{n,n}(x)| = |x - x_{jn}| \frac{|K_n(x, x_{jn})|}{\left|\frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p_{n,n-1}(x_{jn})\right|}$$

$$\leq |x - x_{jn}| \left\{ \frac{K_n(x, x) K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn})}{K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) / A_n(x_{jn})} \right\}^{1/2},$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz, and the identity above.

Recall that t_n is defined by $Q'_n(t_n) = 0$, cf. (1.16).

Lemma 7.3.

(a)

$$\int_{I_n} p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} t Q_n'(t) dt = \frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{n}.$$
 (7.7)

(b)

$$\int_{I_{n}} p_{n,m}^{2}(t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} Q_{n}'(t) dt = 0.$$
(7.8)

(c) For $\eta > 0$,

$$\int_{I_{n}\setminus(t_{n}-\eta,t_{n}+\eta)}p_{n,m}^{2}(t)\,e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)}\left|Q_{n}'(t)\right|dt \leq \frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{n\eta}.\tag{7.9}$$

Proof. (a) An integration by parts gives

$$2n \int_{I_{n}} p_{n,m}^{2}(t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} tQ_{n}'(t) dt$$

$$= -\int_{I_{n}} p_{n,m}^{2}(t) t \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \right\} dt$$

$$= -\left[p_{n,m}^{2}(t) t e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \right]_{t=c_{n}}^{t=d_{n}} + \int_{I_{n}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[p_{n,m}^{2}(t) t \right] e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$= \int_{I_{n}} \left[p_{n,m}^{2}(t) + 2p_{n,m}(t) p_{n,m}'(t) t \right] e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$= 1 + 2m.$$

(b) As in (a),

$$2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} Q_n'(t) dt$$

$$= -\left[p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right]_{t=c_n}^{t=d_n} + \int_{I_n} \frac{d}{dt} \left[p_{n,m}^2(t) \right] e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt$$

$$= \int_{I_n} 2p_{n,m}(t) p_{n,m}'(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt = 0.$$

(c) From (a), (b), and as $(t - t_n) Q'_n(t) \ge 0$, $t \in I_n$, so

$$\frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{n} = \int_{I_n} p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \left| (t - t_n) Q_n'(t) \right| dt$$

$$\geq \eta \int_{I_n \setminus (t_n - \eta, t_n + \eta)} p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \left| Q_n'(t) \right| dt.$$

Proof of Theorem 7.1 for m(n) = n, $n \ge 1$. (a) Let $x \in [-1, 1]$. Choose j such that x_{jn} is the closest zero of $p_{n,n}$ in [-1, 1] to x. Then using Theorem 6.1 and (6.5), we see that

$$|x - x_{jn}| \le \frac{C}{n\sqrt{\max\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\}}}.$$

Also from (5.2), with p = 2 and N = n,

$$K_n(x,x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le Cn\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|, n^{-2/3}\}}.$$

Then from Lemma 7.2(b),

$$|p_{n,n}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1-x^2)^{1/4}$$

$$\leq C \left(1-x^2\right)^{1/4} \left[\frac{1}{n\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|,n^{-2/3}\}}} A_n\left(x_{jn}\right) \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \left[\int_{I_n} p_{n,n}^2(t) \, \bar{Q}_n\left(x_{jn},t\right) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \right]^{1/2}. \tag{7.10}$$

Let

$$M_{n} = \sup_{t \in I_{n}} |p_{n,n}(t)| e^{-nQ_{n}(t)} |1 - t^{2}|^{1/4}.$$
 (7.11)

In view of Theorem 4.2(a) with T = 1, $S = \frac{1}{2}$, and $P(t) = |p_{n,n}(t)| |1 - t^2|^{1/4} \in \mathbb{P}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$M_n \le C_0 \sup_{t \in [-1,1]} |p_{n,n}(t)| e^{-nQ_n(t)} |1-t^2|^{1/4}.$$
 (7.12)

Let $\eta > 0$ be a small positive number, independent of n, x. We shall choose it to be small enough later. We estimate the integral in (7.10) by splitting I_n into 3 ranges. First,

$$J_{1} = \int_{I_{n} \setminus ([t_{n} - \eta, t_{n} + \eta] \cup [x_{jn} - \eta, x_{jn} + \eta])} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \left\{ |Q'_{n}(x_{jn})| + \int_{I_{n} \setminus [t_{n} - \eta, t_{n} + \eta]} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) |Q'_{n}(t)| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \left\{ \|Q'_{n}\|_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]} + \frac{2}{\eta} \right\} = C(\eta), \qquad (7.13)$$

by (7.9). In view of (3.23), we may assume η is so small that for some $C_1 > 0$, we have

$$[t_n - \eta, t_n + \eta] \subset [-1 + C_1, 1 - C_1].$$

Then $\sqrt{1-t^2}$ is bounded below by a positive constant in $[t_n - \eta, t_n + \eta]$ for all $n \ge 1$, so

$$J_{2} = \int_{I_{n} \cap [t_{n} - \eta, t_{n} + \eta]} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq M_{n}^{2} \int_{I_{n} \cap [t_{n} - \eta, t_{n} + \eta]} \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1 - t^{2}|}}$$

$$\leq CM_{n}^{2} \int_{t_{n} - \eta}^{t_{n} + \eta} |x_{jn} - t|^{\alpha - 1} dt,$$

by (1.11). If $x_{jn} \in [t_n - 2\eta, t_n + 2\eta]$, we estimate in an obvious way to obtain

$$J_2 \le C_1 M_n^2 \eta_n^{\alpha}. \tag{7.14}$$

If $x_{jn} \notin [t_n - 2\eta, t_n + 2\eta]$, then as $\alpha < 1$,

$$J_2 \leq CM_n^2 \eta^{\alpha-1} (2\eta) .$$

So in all cases, we have (7.14). Here it is crucial that C_1 is independent of η (as well as n, x). Now we must deal with $I_n \cap [x_{jn} - \eta, x_{jn} + \eta]$. We split this as a union $S_{1n} \cup S_{2n}$, where $S_{1n} \subset I_n \setminus I_0$ and $S_{2n} \subset I_0$. In S_{1n} , $\sqrt{1 - t^2}$ is bounded below, independently of n, so that

$$J_{31} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_{1n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt \leq M_{n}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{1n}} \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1 - t^{2}|}}$$

$$\leq CM_{n}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{1n}} \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, dt$$

$$\leq CM_{n}^{2} \int_{x_{jn} - \eta}^{x_{jn} + \eta} |x_{jn} - t|^{\alpha - 1} \, dt \leq C_{1}M_{n}^{2}\eta^{\alpha}. \tag{7.15}$$

Now S_{2n} consists of points close to either -1 or 1. Assume the latter. Then

$$J_{32} = \int_{S_{2n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq M_{n}^{2} \int_{S_{2n}} \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1 - t^{2}|}}$$

$$\leq CM_{n}^{2} \int_{x_{jn} - \eta}^{x_{jn} + \eta} |x_{jn} - t|^{\alpha_{1} - 1} \, \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1 - t|}}$$

$$= CM_{n}^{2} \left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_{1} - 1/2} \int_{1 - \frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}}^{1 + \frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}} |1 - s|^{\alpha_{1} - 1} \, \frac{ds}{\sqrt{|s|}}.$$

$$(7.16)$$

Here we used the substitution $1 - t = s (1 - x_{jn})$. We now consider two subcases: (I) $\eta \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_{jn})$

Then the range of integration in (7.16) is contained in $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$, so we continue (7.16) as

$$J_{32} \leq CM_n^2 \left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2} \int_{1 - \frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}}^{1 + \frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}} |1 - s|^{\alpha_1 - 1} ds$$

$$\leq CM_n^2 \left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}\right)^{\alpha_1}$$

$$= CM_n^2 \eta^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 - x_{in}}\right)^{1/2} \leq C_1 M_n^2 \eta^{\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}}.$$
(7.17)

(II)
$$\eta > \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_{jn})$$

Here we estimate

$$J_{32} \leq CM_n^2 \left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2} \left(\int_0^{3/4} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{|s|}} + \int_{3/4}^{5/4} |1 - s|^{\alpha_1 - 1} ds + \int_{5/4}^{1 + \frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}} |s|^{\alpha_1 - 3/2} ds \right)$$

$$\leq CM_n^2 \left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\eta}{1 - x_{jn}}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2}\right)$$

$$= CM_n^2 \left(\left(1 - x_{jn}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1/2} + \eta^{\alpha_1 - 1/2}\right) \leq C_1 M_n^2 \eta^{\alpha_1 - 1/2}. \tag{7.18}$$

Combining (7.15–7.18), and recalling our assumption that $\alpha \leq \alpha_1 - 1/2$, gives

$$J_{3} = \int_{I_{n} \cap [x_{in} - \eta, x_{in} + \eta]} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x_{jn}, t) \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt \leq C_{1} M_{n}^{2} \eta^{\alpha}.$$

Together with (7.13) and (7.14), and assuming $\alpha \le \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$, this gives

$$\int_{I_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}\left(t\right) \bar{Q}_{n}\left(x_{jn},t\right) e^{-2nQ_{n}\left(t\right)} dt \leq C\left(\eta\right) + C_{1}M_{n}^{2}\eta^{\alpha}.$$

Then (7.10) and (7.12) give

$$M_n \leq C_0 \left(C(\eta) + C_1 M_n^2 \eta^{\alpha} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The crucial thing is that C_0 and C_1 are independent of η . Then choosing small enough η , we obtain

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} M_n \leq C_2 < \infty.$$

So we have the upper bound implicit in (7.2) for m = n. The lower bounds follow easily: using Theorem 4.2(a),

$$1 = \int_{I_n} p_{n,m}^2 e^{-2nQ_n} \le C \int_{-1}^1 p_{n,m}^2 e^{-2nQ_n}$$

$$\le C \left(\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |p_{n,m}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} \right)^2 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}.$$

(b) We have from Theorem 4.3 with R = 1 and

$$P(x) = |p_{n,n}(x)| \left[\left(1 - x^2 \right)^2 + \left(n^{-2/3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/8} \in \mathbb{P}_{n + \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} |p_{n,n}(x)| \left[\left(1 - x^2 \right)^2 + \left(n^{-2/3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/8} e^{-nQ_n(x)}$$

$$\leq C_1 \sup_{|x| \leq 1 - n^{-2/3}} |p_{n,n}(x)| \left[\left(1 - x^2 \right)^2 + \left(n^{-2/3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/8} e^{-nQ_n(x)}$$

$$\leq C_2 \sup_{|x| \leq 1 - n^{-2/3}} |p_{n,n}(x)| \left[\left(1 - x^2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/8} e^{-nQ_n(x)} \leq C_3,$$

by (a). The lower bound follows from (7.2).

Now we turn to the bound for general *m*:

Proof of Theorem 7.1 *for* m = m(n) *Satisfying* (7.1). Recall that $L_{n,r}$ is defined by (3.8). Let

$$r_n = m/n$$

and for $x \in L_{n,r_n}(I_n) =: I_m^{\#}$, let

$$Q_{m}^{\#}(x) = \frac{n}{m} Q_{n} \left(L_{n,r_{n}}^{[-1]}(x) \right) = r_{n}^{-1} Q_{n} \left(L_{n,r_{n}}^{[-1]}(x) \right).$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x Q_{m}^{\#'}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}} dx = \frac{1}{\pi} r_{n}^{-1} \delta_{n, r_{n}} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x Q_{n}' \left(L_{n, r_{n}}^{[-1]}(x) \right)}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} r_{n}^{-1} \int_{a_{-n, r_{n}}}^{a_{n, r_{n}}} \frac{L_{n, r_{n}}(t) Q_{n}'(t)}{\sqrt{1 - L_{n, r_{n}}^{2}(t)}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} r_{n}^{-1} \int_{a_{-n, r_{n}}}^{a_{n, r_{n}}} \frac{t Q_{n}'(t)}{\sqrt{(t - a_{-n, r_{n}})(a_{n, r_{n}} - t)}} dt = 1, \tag{7.19}$$

by the equilibrium relations (3.1) for Q_n . Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x Q_m^{\#'}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx = 0. \tag{7.20}$$

It follows that [-1, 1] is the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff interval and the support of the equilibrium measure of total mass 1 for $Q_m^{\#}$. Also, since $r_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$,

for each compact subset \mathcal{K} of $(-r^*, r^*)$ and for $m \geq m_0$, where m_0 is some large threshold, $Q_m^{\#}$ satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition of order α on $\mathcal{K} \cap I_m^{\#}$. Next, since

$$r_n = 1 + O\left(n^{-2/3}\right),\,$$

(3.26) gives

$$\delta_{n,r_n} = 1 + O(n^{-2/3})$$
 and $\beta_{n,r_n} = O(n^{-2/3})$.

Then we can find a neighborhood $I_0^\#$ of ± 1 such that for large enough n, $L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}\left(I_0^\#\right)\subset I_0$. Consequently, $x\in I_0^\# \Rightarrow L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x)\in I_0$, so $\{Q_m^\#\}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α_1 in $I_0^\#$. So $\{Q_m^\#\}$ lies in the class $\mathcal Q$. Next, if $p_m^\#$ is the orthonormal polynomial of degree m for $e^{-2mQ_m^\#}$, then we claim

$$p_m^{\#}(x) = \sqrt{\delta_{n,r_n}} p_{n,m} \left(L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x) \right).$$

Indeed, defining p_m^* by this last formula,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{I_m^{\#}} p_m^{\#}(x)^2 e^{-2mQ_m^{\#}(x)} dx \\ & = \delta_{n,r_n} \int_{L_{n,r_n}(I_n)} p_{n,m}^2 \left(L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x) \right) e^{-2nQ_n \left(L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x) \right)} dx \\ & = \int_{I_n} p_{n,m}^2(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt = 1. \end{split}$$

The orthogonality of $p_m^{\#}(x)$ to x^j , $0 \le j < m$, is similar. Thus we can apply the special case of Theorem 7.1 to $\{p_m^{\#}\}$, so that for m = m(n) and all n,

$$|p_m^{\#}(x)| e^{-mQ_m^{\#}(x)} \le C[|1-x^2| + m^{-2/3}]^{-1/4}, \quad \text{for } x \in I_m^{\#}.$$

Setting $x = L_{n,r_n}(t)$ and using $\delta_{n,r_n} \sim 1$, $m \sim n$, we obtain

$$|p_{n,m}(t)| e^{-nQ_n(t)} \le C \left[\left| 1 - L_{n,r_n}^2(t) \right| + n^{-2/3} \right]^{1/4}, \quad t \in I_n.$$

We need to show that

$$\left|1 - L_{n\,r_n}^2(t)\right| + n^{-2/3} \sim \left|1 - t^2\right| + n^{-2/3}, \quad t \in I_n.$$
 (7.21)

As above, from (3.26), $|\pm 1 - a_{\pm n, r_n}| = O(1 - r_n) = O(n^{-2/3})$, so

$$\delta_{n,r_n}^2 \left| 1 - L_{n,r_n}^2(t) \right| = \left| (t - a_{-n,r_n}) \left(a_{n,r_n} - t \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| \left(t + 1 + O\left(n^{-2/3} \right) \right) \left(1 - t + O\left(n^{-2/3} \right) \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| 1 - t^2 + O\left(n^{-2/3} \right) \right|.$$

It follows that if A is large enough, and $\left|1-t^2\right| \geq An^{-2/3}$, then $\left|1-L_{n,r_n}^2(t)\right| \sim \left|1-t^2\right|$, and (7.21) follows. On the other hand, if $\left|1-t^2\right| < An^{-2/3}$, this last relation shows that $\left|1-L_{n,r_n}^2(t)\right| = O\left(n^{-2/3}\right)$, and again (7.21) follows. So we have the desired upper bound implicit in (7.3). The lower bound follows as in the case m(n) = n. Then (7.2) also follows.

Chapter 8 Markov-Bernstein Inequalities in L_{∞}



We prove:

Theorem 8.1. Assume $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

(a) Let $1 \le r < r^*$. Let $L \ge 0$. Then for $n \ge n_0(r)$ and polynomials P of degree < n + L,

$$\sup_{x \in [-r,r] \cap I_n} \left| \left(Pe^{-nQ_n} \right)'(x) \max \left\{ 1 - |x|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{-1/2} \right| \le Cn \left\| Pe^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)}.$$
 (8.1)

(b) For $n \ge 1$ and polynomials P of degree $\le n + L$,

$$\|P'e^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)} \le Cn \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)}.$$
 (8.2)

Proof of Theorem 8.1(a). For the given r, we assume that n is so large that $r + n^{-1/2} \le r^*$. Given B > 0, this permits us to apply the Lipschitz condition (1.11) in $[-r - Bn^{-2/3}, r + Bn^{-2/3}]$ for $n \ge n_0(B, r)$. Fix $x \in [-r, r] \cap I_n$. Define

$$\hat{Q}_n(t) = Q_n(x) + Q'_n(x)(t - x);$$

$$\hat{W}_n(t) = \exp\left(-\hat{Q}_n(t)\right).$$

Observe that

$$\hat{W}_{n}^{(j)}(x) = (e^{-Q_{n}})^{(j)}(x), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{\max\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\}}}$$

and *P* be a polynomial of degree $\leq n$. By Cauchy's estimates for derivatives, and by (4.8) of Lemma 4.4(b), with T=1, $\Omega=n+L$, and as $g_{[-1,1]}\geq 0$,

$$\begin{split} \left| (Pe^{-nQ_n})'(x) \right| &= \left| \left(P\hat{W}_n^n \right)'(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \max_{|t-x|=\rho} \left| \left(P\hat{W}_n^n \right)(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left\| Pe^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]} \max_{|t-x|=\rho} \exp \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -n \left[V^{\sigma_{Q_n,1}}(t) - F_{Q_n,1} \right] + Lg_{[-1,1]}(t) \\ -nQ_n(x) - nQ'_n(x) & (\operatorname{Re} t - x) \end{array} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Setting

$$H_n(x, y) = Q_n(y) - Q_n(x) - Q'_n(x)(y - x),$$

and recalling the definition (3.11) of $U_{n,1}$, we continue this as

$$\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left\| P e^{-nQ_n} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)} \max_{|t-x|=\rho} \exp\{-n \left[V^{\sigma_{Q_n,1}}(t) - V^{\sigma_{Q_n,1}}(\operatorname{Re} t) \right] + L g_{[-1,1]}(t) + n U_{n,1}(\operatorname{Re} t) + n H_n(x, \operatorname{Re} t) \right\}.$$
(8.3)

Here $U_{n,1} \leq 0$ and for some ξ between x and Re t,

$$|H_n (\operatorname{Re} t, x)| = \left| \left\{ Q'_n(\xi) - Q'_n(x) \right\} (\operatorname{Re} t - x) \right|$$

$$\leq C |\xi - x|^{\alpha} |\operatorname{Re} t - x|$$

$$\leq C \rho^{\alpha + 1} \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

provided $\sqrt{\max\{1-|x|,n^{-2/3}\}} \ge n^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}-1}$, by definition of ρ . If this last inequality fails, then for n large enough, we are in the interval I_0 where Q'_n satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$, and then instead

$$|H_n\left(\operatorname{Re} t, x\right)| \le C\rho^{\alpha_1+1} \le C\rho^{3/2} \le \frac{C}{n},$$

by definition of ρ . Also, as L is fixed, and ρ is bounded, $Lg_{[-1,1]}(t) \leq C$ for all $|t-x|=\rho$ and $x\in [-r,r]$. Finally by Lemma 3.5, as $\sigma_{Q_n,1}=\hat{\sigma}_{Q_n,1}$,

$$0 \ge [V^{\sigma_{Q_{n,1}}}(t) - V^{\sigma_{Q_{n,1}}}(\operatorname{Re} t)]$$

$$\ge -C |\operatorname{Im} t| \left\{ \sqrt{\max\{1 - |\operatorname{Re} t|, 0\}} + \sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} t|} \right\}$$

$$\ge -C\rho \left\{ \sqrt{\max\{1 - |\operatorname{Re} t|, 0\}} + \sqrt{\rho} \right\}$$

$$\ge -C\rho \left\{ \sqrt{\max\{1 - |x|, 0\}} + \sqrt{\rho} \right\} \ge -\frac{C}{n}.$$

Substituting all these inequalities into (8.3) gives the inequality (8.1).

Proof of Theorem 8.1(b). By (a), for *P* of degree $\leq n$ and $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| \left(P'\left(x \right) e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x \right)} - nQ'_{n}\left(x \right) P\left(x \right) e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x \right)} \right) \right| \leq Cn \left\| Pe^{-nQ_{n}} \right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(I_{n} \right)}.$$

Since $\{Q'_n\}$ are uniformly bounded in [-1, 1],

$$\|P'e^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]} \le Cn \|Pe^{-nQ_n}\|_{L_{\infty}(I_n)}$$

Then Theorem 4.2(a) gives the result.

Chapter 9 Discretization of Potentials



In this chapter, we discretize potentials, using a method of Totik. We shall develop further properties of these in the next chapter, and then in Chapter 11, will use these to approximate e^{-2nQ_n} by Bernstein-Szegő weights.

Theorem 9.1. For $n \ge 1$, assume that σ_n is a nonnegative function on [-1, 1] such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \sigma_n = 1.$$

Assume further that

$$\sigma_n(t) = h_n(t) \sqrt{1 - t^2},$$

where for some 0 < A < B,

$$A \le h_n(t) \le B, \quad t \in (-1, 1),$$
 (9.1)

and for some C > 0,

$$|h_n(t) - h_n(s)| \le C\omega(|s - t|), \tag{9.2}$$

where $\omega: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a strictly increasing continuous function with $\omega(0) = 0$, and $\omega(2t) = O(\omega(t))$, $t \ge 0$. The constants A, B, C and ω are assumed to be independent of n, s, t. There exist n_0, C_0 and C_1 such that if $n \ge n_0$ and d_n is an integer $\ge C_0$, then there exist polynomials R_n of degree n such that (1)

$$|R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)} \ge 1, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (9.3)

© The Author(s) 2018 67

(II) For $u \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying the inequalities

$$n(1-|u|)^{3/2} \ge d_n^6 \tag{9.4}$$

and

$$\omega\left(\frac{d_n^4}{n\left(1-|u|\right)^{1/2}}\right) \le d_n^{-2},\tag{9.5}$$

we have

$$|R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)} = e^{d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{1}{d_n}\right)}.$$
 (9.6)

(III) For $u \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)} \le e^{C_1 d_n \log n}.$$
 (9.7)

To simplify notation, we shall focus on a given n, and often omit the subscript n. In particular, we let $\sigma = \sigma_n$. Throughout, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 9.1. For the given n, and $\sigma = \sigma_n$, we partition [-1, 1] as

$$-1 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = 1$$
,

where

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{j+1}} \sigma = \frac{1}{n}.\tag{9.8}$$

We let

$$I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$$
 and $|I_j| = t_{j+1} - t_j$.

We choose the weight point (or center of mass) $\xi_i \in I_i$ by

$$\int_{I_j} (t - \xi_j) \sigma(t) dt = 0.$$
(9.9)

Define

$$R_{n}(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(z - \xi_{j} + id_{n} \left| I_{j} \right| \right), \qquad (9.10)$$

where d_n is an integer,

$$d_n \ge 2. \tag{9.11}$$

Later on, we shall choose d_n to exceed some threshold C_0 . We also let

$$K = K(n) = d_n^4.$$
 (9.12)

We let

$$\Gamma_n(u) = \log |R_n(u)| + nV^{\sigma}(u) \tag{9.13}$$

and observe that

$$\Gamma_{n}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n \int_{I_{j}} \log \left| \frac{u - \xi_{j} + id_{n} \left| I_{j} \right|}{u - t} \right| \sigma(t) dt =: \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_{n,j}(u).$$
 (9.14)

When we consider $u \in (-1, 1)$, we choose j_0 such that

$$u \in I_{j_0}. \tag{9.15}$$

We follow the path in [25, Chapter 7]. First, a lower bound for $\Gamma_{n,j}(u)$:

Lemma 9.2. For $0 \le j \le n-1$,

$$\Gamma_{n,j}(u) \ge 0 \text{ for } u \in \mathbb{R},$$
(9.16)

and hence

$$\Gamma_n(u) > 0$$
 for $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $u \in I_i$, then for $t \in I_i$,

$$\left|\frac{u-\xi_j+id_n\left|I_j\right|}{u-t}\right|\geq \frac{d_n\left|I_j\right|}{\left|I_j\right|}\geq 1.$$

Thus in this case the integrand in $\Gamma_{n,j}$ is nonnegative, and so $\Gamma_{n,j}(u) \geq 0$. Next, suppose u is to the left of I_j . A Taylor expansion of $\log(t-u)$ about $u=\xi_j$ gives, for some s between ξ_j , t,

$$\log \left| \frac{u - \xi_j + id_n \left| I_j \right|}{u - t} \right| \ge \log \left| \frac{u - \xi_j}{u - t} \right| = \log \left(\frac{\xi_j - u}{t - u} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\xi_j - u \right) - \left[\log \left(\xi_j - u \right) + \frac{t - \xi_j}{\xi_j - u} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(t - \xi_j \right)^2}{\left(s - u \right)^2} \right]$$

$$\ge - \frac{t - \xi_j}{\xi_j - u},$$

so

$$\Gamma_{n,j}(u) \ge -n \int_{I_j} \frac{t - \xi_j}{\xi_j - u} \sigma(t) dt = 0.$$

The case where u is to the right of I_i is similar.

Next, we list some properties of the discretization points. Again, we suppress the dependence of many quantities on n, and the constants are uniform in n.

Lemma 9.3. (a) For $0 \le j \le n-1$,

$$|I_j| \sim |I_{j+1}| \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1 - t_j^2 + n^{-2/3}}},$$
 (9.17)

and

$$1 - t_i^2 + n^{-2/3} \sim 1 - t_{i+1}^2 + n^{-2/3}. (9.18)$$

(b)

$$1 - t_{n-1} \sim n^{-2/3} \sim 1 + t_1. \tag{9.19}$$

(c) For $2 \le j \le n - 2$,

$$\left| \frac{\left| I_{j+1} \right|}{\left| I_{j} \right|} - 1 \right| \le \frac{C}{n \left(1 - t_{j}^{2} \right)^{3/2}} + C\omega \left(\frac{1}{n \sqrt{1 - t_{j}^{2}}} \right). \tag{9.20}$$

(d) For $s, t \in (-1, 1)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} - \frac{1}{\sigma(t)} \right| \le C \frac{|s - t|}{\min\left\{ \sqrt{1 - s^2}, \sqrt{1 - t^2} \right\}^3} + C \frac{\omega(|s - t|)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}, \tag{9.21}$$

and

$$|\sigma(s) - \sigma(t)| \le C \frac{|s - t|}{\max\left\{\sqrt{1 - s^2}, \sqrt{1 - t^2}\right\}} + C\sqrt{1 - s^2}\omega(|s - t|).$$
 (9.22)

(e) There exists C_0 such that for $d_n \ge C_0$ and $u \in I_{j_0}$ satisfying (9.4),

$$\left| \frac{t_{j_0 \pm K} - u}{1 - |u|} \right| \le C \frac{K}{n \left(1 - |u| \right)^{3/2}} \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 (9.23)

and

$$1 - \left| t_{j_0 + \kappa} \right| \sim 1 - |u| \,. \tag{9.24}$$

Proof. (a), (b) From (9.1) and (9.8),

$$\frac{1}{n} \ge A \int_{I_j} \sqrt{1 - t^2} dt \ge A |I_j| \min \left\{ \sqrt{1 - t_j^2}, \sqrt{1 - t_{j+1}^2} \right\}.$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \leq B \int_{I_j} \sqrt{1-t^2} dt \leq B \left| I_j \right| \max \left\{ \sqrt{1-t_j^2}, \sqrt{1-t_{j+1}^2} \right\}.$$

If, for example, $t_i \ge 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{nB\sqrt{1-t_j^2}} \le |I_j| \le \frac{1}{nA\sqrt{1-t_{j+1}^2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} 0 &< \sqrt{1 - t_j^2} - \sqrt{1 - t_{j+1}^2} \\ &= \frac{t_{j+1}^2 - t_j^2}{\sqrt{1 - t_j^2} + \sqrt{1 - t_{j+1}^2}} \le 2 \frac{\left|I_j\right|}{\sqrt{1 - t_{j+1}^2}} \\ &\le \frac{2}{nA\left(1 - t_{j+1}^2\right)}, \end{split}$$

so

$$0 < \frac{\sqrt{1 - t_j^2}}{\sqrt{1 - t_{j+1}^2}} - 1 \le \frac{2}{nA \left(1 - t_{j+1}^2\right)^{3/2}} \le C$$

if $1-t_{j+1} \ge \eta n^{-2/3}$, with the last C depending on η . In this case $\sqrt{1-t_j^2} \sim \sqrt{1-t_{j+1}^2}$, and

$$|I_j| \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1-t_j^2}} \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1-t_{j+1}^2}} \sim |I_{j+1}|$$

and since $1 - t_{j+1}^2 \ge \eta n^{-2/3}$, we also obtain (9.17), (9.18). The case where at least one of $t_j, t_{j+1} \le 0$ and $1 + t_j \ge \eta n^{-2/3}$ is similar. Finally,

$$\frac{1}{n} = \int_{t_{n-1}}^{1} \sigma(t) dt \le B \int_{t_{n-1}}^{1} \sqrt{1 - t^2} dt$$

$$\le CB (1 - t_{n-1})^{3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - t_{n-1} \ge Cn^{-2/3}.$$

Similarly $1 - t_{n-1} \le C n^{-2/3}$. Thus $1 - t_{n-1} \sim n^{-2/3}$ and similarly, $1 + t_1 \sim n^{-2/3}$, so we have (9.19). If j = n - 1, or j = 1, we also then trivially have (9.17) and (9.18).

(c) Let us assume that $t_j \ge 0$, so that I_j, I_{j+1} lie in [0, 1]. Let us also assume that $j \le n-2$. The mean value theorem shows that for some $\xi \in I_{j+1}, \zeta \in I_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} &||I_{j+1}| - |I_{j}|| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} \int_{I_{j+1}} \sigma(t) dt - \frac{1}{\sigma(\zeta)} \int_{I_{j}} \sigma(t) dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{h(\xi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}}} - \frac{1}{h(\zeta)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{h(\xi)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}}} \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}}} \left[\frac{1}{h(\xi)} - \frac{1}{h(\zeta)} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left[\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}} \sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}}} \left(\sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}} + \sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}} \right) + \frac{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^{2}}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(by (9.1), (9.2))

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \frac{\left|I_{j}\right|}{\left(1-t_{j}^{2}\right)^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{n} \frac{\omega\left(\left|I_{j}\right|\right)}{\left(1-t_{j}^{2}\right)^{1/2}},$$

in view of (9.17), (9.18). Then using (9.17),

$$\left| \frac{\left| I_{j+1} \right|}{\left| I_{j} \right|} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{C}{n \left(1 - t_{j}^{2} \right)^{3/2}} + C\omega \left(\left| I_{j} \right| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n \left(1 - t_{j}^{2} \right)^{3/2}} + C\omega \left(\frac{1}{n \sqrt{1 - t_{j}^{2}}} \right).$$

- (d) This was essentially proved in (c).
- (e) Let us assume that $u \ge 0$. By the Mean Value Theorem, for some ξ between u and $\frac{1}{2}(u+t_{j_0+K})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} (t_{j_0+K} - u) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} \int_u^{\frac{1}{2} (t_{j_0+K} + u)} \sigma(t) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 - \left[\frac{1}{2} (t_{j_0+K} + u)\right]^2}} \int_{t_{j_0}}^{t_{j_0+K}} \sigma(t) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 - \left[\frac{1}{2} (t_{j_0+K} + u)\right]}} \frac{K}{n}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 - u}} \frac{K}{n}.$$

Then from (9.4) and (9.12),

$$\frac{t_{j_0+K}-u}{1-u} \le C \frac{K}{n(1-u)^{3/2}} \le C \frac{1}{d_n^2} \le \frac{1}{2},$$

provided $d_n \ge C_0 = \sqrt{2C}$. Also then

$$0 < \frac{1-u}{1-t_{j_0+K}} - 1 = \frac{t_{j_0+K}-u}{1-u} \le \frac{1}{2},$$

so (9.24) also follows. The remaining cases are handled similarly.

Next, we estimate the "tail" terms:

Lemma 9.4. Let $u \in I_{i_0}$ and

$$\sum_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0 - K - 1} \Gamma_{n,j}(u); \quad \sum_{3} = \sum_{j=j_0 + K}^{n-1} \Gamma_{n,j}(u).$$
 (9.25)

Then

$$\sum_{1} + \sum_{3} \le \frac{C}{d_{n}^{2}}.$$
(9.26)

Proof. Note first that

$$|j-j_0| \ge 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(u,I_j) \ge C|I_j|$$
,

as follows from $|I_j| \sim |I_{j+1}|$. Next if $t \in I_j$, we write

$$\frac{u - \xi_j + id_n |I_j|}{u - t} = 1 + \frac{t - \xi_j}{u - t} + i\frac{d_n |I_j|}{u - t} = 1 + S + iT,$$

say. Here

$$|T/S| = d_n |I_j| / |t - \xi_j| \ge d_n |I_j| / |I_j| \ge 2.$$

By Lemma 7.18 in [25, p. 196], if $|T| \ge |S|$ or $S \ge -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$|\log|1 + S + iT| - S| \le C(S^2 + T^2),$$
 (9.27)

where C is an absolute constant, that is

$$\left|\log\left|\frac{u-\xi_{j}+id_{n}\left|I_{j}\right|}{u-t}\right|-\frac{t-\xi_{j}}{u-t}\right| \leq C\left(\left(\frac{t-\xi_{j}}{u-t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d_{n}\left|I_{j}\right|}{u-t}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\frac{d_{n}\left|I_{j}\right|}{u-t}\right)^{2}.$$

Also

$$\frac{t - \xi_j}{u - t} = \frac{t - \xi_j}{u - \xi_j} + \frac{\left(t - \xi_j\right)^2}{\left(u - t\right)\left(u - \xi_j\right)} = \frac{t - \xi_j}{u - \xi_j} + O\left(\frac{\left(t - \xi_j\right)^2}{\left(u - t\right)^2}\right).$$

Then

$$\log \left| \frac{u - \xi_j + id_n \left| I_j \right|}{u - t} \right| = \frac{t - \xi_j}{u - \xi_j} + O\left(\frac{d_n \left| I_j \right|}{u - t}\right)^2,$$

so using the definition of ξ_j ,

$$\Gamma_{n,j}(u) = n \int_{I_j} \left\{ \frac{t - \xi_j}{u - \xi_j} + O\left(\frac{d_n |I_j|}{u - t}\right)^2 \right\} \sigma(t) dt$$

$$= 0 + n \left(d_n |I_j|\right)^2 + O\left(\int_{I_j} \frac{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{(u - t)^2} dt\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d_n^2}{n} \int_{I_j} \frac{1}{(u - t)^2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}\right),$$

recall (9.17-18). Then

$$\sum_{1} + \sum_{3} \le C \frac{d_{n}^{2}}{n} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{t_{j_{0}-K}} + \int_{t_{j_{0}+K}}^{1} \right\} \frac{1}{(u-t)^{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}.$$

Suppose, for example, $u \ge 0$. Then we continue this, using the substitution (1-t) = s(1-u) as

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} + \left[\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{t_{j_0 - K}} + \int_{t_{j_0 + K}}^{1} \frac{1}{(u - t)^2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t}} \right] \right. \\
\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n} \left\{ 1 + (1 - u)^{-3/2} \left[\int_{\frac{1 - t_{j_0 - K}}{1 - u}}^{\frac{3}{2(1 - u)}} + \int_{0}^{\frac{1 - t_{j_0 + K}}{1 - u}} \frac{1}{(1 - s)^2 \sqrt{s}} ds \right\} \\
\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n} \left\{ 1 + (1 - u)^{-3/2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1 - t_{j_0 - K}}{1 - u} - 1 \right)^{-1} + \left(1 - \frac{1 - t_{j_0 + K}}{1 - u} \right)^{-1} \right] \right\} \\
\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n} \left\{ (1 - u)^{-3/2} \left[1 + \frac{1 - u}{u - t_{j_0 - K}} + \frac{1 - u}{t_{j_0 + K} - u} \right] \right\}.$$

Next observe that

$$u - t_{j_0 - K} \ge \int_{t_{j_0 - K}}^{t_{j_0}} dt \ge C \int_{t_{j_0 - K}}^{t_{j_0}} \frac{\sigma(t)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt \ge \frac{CK}{n\sqrt{1 - u}},$$
(9.28)

by (9.24), with a similar inequality for $t_{i_0+K}-u$. Thus

$$\sum_{1} + \sum_{3} \le C \frac{d_{n}^{2}}{n (1 - u)^{3/2}} + C \frac{d_{n}^{2}}{K} \le \frac{C}{d_{n}^{2}},$$

recall (9.4) and (9.12).

Now we handle the central terms:

Lemma 9.5. Let

$$\sum_{2} = \sum_{j=j_0-K}^{j_0+K-1} \Gamma_{n,j}(u). \tag{9.29}$$

Then

$$\sum_{2} = d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{1}{d_n}\right). \tag{9.30}$$

Proof. We write (as in the proof of Lemma 7.21 in [25, p. 203]),

$$\Gamma_{n,j}(u) = n \int_{I_j} \log \left| \frac{u - \xi_j + id_n \left| I_j \right|}{u - t + id_n / (n\sigma(u))} \right| \sigma(t) dt$$

$$+ n \int_{I_j} \log \left| \frac{u - t + id_n / (n\sigma(u))}{u - t} \right| \sigma(t) dt$$

$$=: A_{j,1} + A_{j,2}.$$

We shall show that

$$\sum_{i=i,-K}^{j_0+K-1} |A_{j,1}| \le \frac{C}{d_n}; \tag{9.31}$$

$$\sum_{j=j_0-K}^{j_0+K-1} A_{j,2} = d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{1}{d_n}\right). \tag{9.32}$$

These together give (9.30).

Proof of (9.31). We assume that $t_{j_0} \ge 0$. We write for $j_0 - K \le j \le j_0 + K - 1$,

$$A_{j,1} = n \int_{I_{j}} \log |1 + \rho_{j}(t)| \sigma(t) dt,$$

where

$$\rho_{j}(t) = \frac{t - \xi_{j} + id_{n} \left[\left| I_{j} \right| - \frac{1}{n\sigma(u)} \right]}{u - t + id_{n} / (n\sigma(u))}$$

Here for some $\xi \in I_i$, (9.21) gives

$$\left| |I_{j}| - \frac{1}{n\sigma(u)} \right| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} - \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \left[\frac{|\xi - u|}{\min\left\{ \sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}}, \sqrt{1 - u^{2}} \right\}^{3}} + \frac{\omega(|\xi - u|)}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \left[\frac{t_{j_{0} + K} - t_{j_{0} - K}}{\left(\sqrt{1 - t_{j_{0} + K}} \right)^{3}} + \frac{\omega(t_{j_{0} + K} - t_{j_{0} - K})}{\sqrt{1 - t_{j_{0} + K}}} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} \left[\frac{K}{n(1 - u)^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u}} \omega\left(\frac{K}{n\sqrt{1 - u}}\right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{d_{n}^{2}} |I_{j}|, \tag{9.33}$$

by (9.4), (9.5), (9.17), and (9.23). Then

$$\left| \rho_{j} \left(t \right) \right| \le C \frac{\left| I_{j} \right|}{\sqrt{\left(u - t \right)^{2} + \left(d_{n} \left| I_{j} \right| \right)^{2}}} \le \frac{C}{d_{n}} \le \frac{1}{2},$$
 (9.34)

for large enough n, if $d_n \ge C_0$ for some fixed C_0 . Then using the inequality (9.27) (which is applicable as Re $\rho_j(t) \ge -\frac{1}{2}$)

$$\left| \log \left| 1 + \rho_{i}(t) \right| - \operatorname{Re} \rho_{i}(t) \right| \le C \left| \rho_{i}(t) \right|^{2}.$$
 (9.35)

Here

Re
$$\rho_{j}(t) = \frac{\left(t - \xi_{j}\right)\left(u - t\right)}{\left(u - t\right)^{2} + \left(d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)^{2}} + \frac{d_{n}^{2}\left[\left|I_{j}\right| - \frac{1}{n\sigma(u)}\right]\frac{1}{n\sigma(u)}}{\left(u - t\right)^{2} + \left(d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)^{2}}$$

$$= \left(t - \xi_{j}\right)Q\left(u - t, d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right) + \frac{d_{n}^{2}\left[\left|I_{j}\right| - \frac{1}{n\sigma(u)}\right]\frac{1}{n\sigma(u)}}{\left(u - t\right)^{2} + \left(d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)^{2}},$$
(9.36)

where Q is the conjugate Poisson kernel, given by

$$Q(r,s) = \frac{r}{r^2 + s^2}.$$

As

$$\left| \frac{\partial Q(r,s)}{\partial r} \right| = \left| \frac{r^2 - s^2}{(r^2 + s^2)^2} \right| \le \frac{1}{r^2 + s^2},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Q(u - t, d_n / (n\sigma(u))) - Q(u - \xi_j, d_n / (n\sigma(u))) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C \left| t - \xi_j \right|}{(u - t)^2 + (d_n / (n\sigma(u)))^2} \\ & \leq C \frac{\left| I_j \right|}{(u - t)^2 + (d_n / (n\sigma(u)))^2} \end{aligned}$$

so using also (9.33),

$$\left|\operatorname{Re}\,\rho_{j}\left(t\right)-\left(t-\xi_{j}\right)Q\left(u-\xi_{j},d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)\right|\leq C\frac{\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left(u-t\right)^{2}+\left(d_{n}/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)^{2}}.$$

By definition of $A_{i,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| A_{j,1} \right| &\leq n \int_{I_{j}} \left| \log \left| 1 + \rho_{j} \left(t \right) \right| - \operatorname{Re} \rho_{j} \left(t \right) \right| \sigma \left(t \right) dt \\ &+ n \int_{I_{j}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \rho_{j} \left(t \right) - \left(t - \xi_{j} \right) Q \left(u - \xi_{j}, d_{n} / \left(n \sigma \left(u \right) \right) \right) \right| \sigma \left(t \right) dt \\ &+ n \left| Q \left(u - \xi_{j}, d_{n} / \left(n \sigma \left(u \right) \right) \right) \int_{I_{j}} \left(t - \xi_{j} \right) \sigma \left(t \right) dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the bound above and (9.34), (9.35) gives

$$|A_{j,1}| \le Cn \int_{I_j} \frac{|I_j|^2}{(u-t)^2 + (d_n/(n\sigma(u)))^2} \sigma(t) dt + 0$$

$$\le \frac{C}{n\sigma(u)} \int_{I_j} \frac{dt}{(u-t)^2 + (d_n/(n\sigma(u)))^2},$$

recall (9.24) of Lemma 9.3(e). Adding over j,

$$\sum_{j=j_0-K}^{j_0+K-1} \left| A_{j,1} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n\sigma\left(u\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\left(u-t\right)^2 + \left(d_n/\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\right)\right)^2} = \frac{C}{d_n} \pi.$$

Proof of (9.32).

$$\sum_{j=j_{0}-K}^{j_{0}+K-1} A_{j,2} = \frac{n}{2} \int_{t_{j_{0}-K}}^{t_{j_{0}+K}} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{d_{n}}{n\sigma(u)(u-t)} \right)^{2} \right) \sigma(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{n\sigma(u)}{2} \int_{t_{j_{0}-K}}^{t_{j_{0}+K}} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{d_{n}}{n\sigma(u)(u-t)} \right)^{2} \right) dt$$

$$+ \frac{n}{2} \int_{t_{j_{0}-K}}^{t_{j_{0}+K}} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{d_{n}}{n\sigma(u)(u-t)} \right)^{2} \right) [\sigma(t) - \sigma(u)] dt$$

$$=: J_{1} + J_{2}.$$

Here the substitution $\frac{d_n}{n\sigma(u)(u-t)} = \frac{1}{s}$ shows that

$$J_{1} = \frac{d_{n}}{2} \int_{n\sigma(u)\left(\frac{u-t_{j_{0}-K}}{d_{n}}\right)}^{n\sigma(u)\left(\frac{u-t_{j_{0}+K}}{d_{n}}\right)} \log\left(1+s^{-2}\right) ds$$

$$= \frac{d_{n}}{2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log\left(1+s^{-2}\right) ds + O\left(\left(n\sigma\left(u\right)\left|\frac{u-t_{j_{0}\pm K}}{d_{n}}\right|\right)^{-1}\right) \right].$$

Here [16, p. 560, no. 4.295.3] (or an integration by parts) gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log(1 + s^{-2}) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log(1 + v^{2}) \frac{1}{v^{2}} dv = 2\pi$$

while from (9.17) and (9.24),

$$n\sigma(u) \left| \frac{u - t_{j_0 \pm K}}{d_n} \right| \sim \frac{n\sqrt{1 - |u|}}{d_n} \left| \int_u^{t_{j_0 \pm K}} dt \right|$$
$$\sim \frac{n}{d_n} \left| \int_u^{t_{j_0 \pm K}} \sigma(t) dt \right| \sim \frac{K}{d_n},$$

so

$$J_1 = d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{d_n^2}{K}\right) = d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{1}{d_n^2}\right).$$

Next, for $t \in [t_{j_0-K}, t_{j_0+K}], (9.22)$ gives

$$\begin{split} &|\sigma(t) - \sigma(u)| \\ &\leq C\sigma(u) \left[\frac{|t - u|}{1 - u^2} + \omega(|u - t|) \right] \\ &\leq C\sigma(u) \left[\frac{t_{j_0 + K} - t_{j_0 - K}}{1 - u^2} + \omega(t_{j_0 + K} - t_{j_0 - K}) \right] \\ &\leq C\sigma(u) \left\{ \frac{CK}{n(1 - u^2)^{3/2}} + \omega\left(\frac{K}{n(1 - u^2)^{1/2}}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq C\sigma(u) / d_n^2, \end{split}$$

by (9.23), (9.4), (9.5), and (9.12). Then using our later estimates for J_1 ,

$$J_{2} \leq \frac{Cn}{d_{n}^{2}}\sigma\left(u\right) \int_{t_{j_{0}-K}}^{t_{j_{0}+K}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{d_{n}}{n\sigma\left(u\right)\left(u-t\right)}\right)^{2}\right) dt$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{d_{n}^{2}} J_{1} \leq \frac{C}{d_{n}}.$$

So we have (9.32) and hence also (9.30).

Proof of Theorem 9.1. From (9.13),

$$\log\left[\left|R_{n}\left(u\right)\right|e^{nV^{\sigma}\left(u\right)}\right]=\Gamma_{n}\left(u\right).$$

- (I) By Lemma 9.2, $\Gamma_n(u) \ge 0$, which is equivalent to (9.3).
- (II) From Lemma 9.4 and 9.5, for u satisfying (9.4) and (9.5), we have

$$\Gamma_n(u) = \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3 = d_n \pi + O\left(\frac{1}{d_n}\right).$$

This gives (9.6).

(III) Let first $u \in [-1, 1]$. We assume that $u \in I_{j_0}$ and split

$$\Gamma_n(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-2} + \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{j_0+1} + \sum_{j=j_0+2}^{n-1} =: S_1 + S_2 + S_3.$$

Here the central term S_2 contains at most 3 terms (and 2 if $j_0 = 0$ or n - 1). Now for each $j_0 - 1 \le j \le j_0 + 1$, we have

$$\Gamma_{n,j}(u) \leq n \int_{I_{j}} \log \frac{Cd_{n} |I_{j}|}{|u-t|} \sigma(t) dt$$

$$= \log (Cd_{n}) + n \int_{I_{j}} \log \frac{|I_{j}|}{|u-t|} \sigma(t) dt$$

$$\leq \log (Cd_{n}) + Cn \int_{I_{j}} \log \frac{|I_{j}|}{|u-t|} \sqrt{1-t^{2}} dt$$

$$\leq \log (Cd_{n}) + C \int_{I_{j}} \log \frac{|I_{j}|}{|u-t|} \frac{dt}{|I_{j}|} \text{ (by (9.17-18))}$$

$$\leq \log (Cd_{n}) + C \int_{-1}^{1} \log \frac{1}{|s|} ds.$$

Thus

$$S_2 \leq \log(Cd_n) + C$$
.

Next, consider S_3 . For $j_0 + 1 \le j \le n - 1$, set

$$A_j = |I_{j_0+1}| + |I_{j_0+2}| + \dots + |I_j| = t_{j+1} - t_{j_0+1}.$$

Then for $j \ge j_0 + 2$ and $t \in I_j$,

$$t-u \ge t_j - t_{j_0+1} = A_{j-1}.$$

Then for $t \in I_i$,

$$\log \left| \frac{u - \xi_j + id_n \left| I_j \right|}{u - t} \right| \le \log \left| \frac{|u - t| + (d_n + 1) \left| I_j \right|}{|u - t|} \right|$$

$$\le \log \left(1 + \frac{(d_n + 1) \left| I_j \right|}{A_{j-1}} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{A_j + d_n \left| I_j \right|}{A_{j-1}} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{A_j}{A_{j-1}} \right) + \log \left(1 + \frac{d_n \left| I_j \right|}{A_j} \right)$$

$$\le \log \left(\frac{A_j}{A_{j-1}} \right) + \frac{d_n \left| I_j \right|}{A_i}.$$

Then as $n \int_{I_i} \sigma = 1$,

$$S_{3} \leq \sum_{j=j_{0}+2}^{n-1} \log \left(\frac{A_{j}}{A_{j-1}} \right) + d_{n} \sum_{j=j_{0}+2}^{n-1} \frac{\left| I_{j} \right|}{A_{j}}$$

$$\leq \log \frac{A_{n-1}}{A_{j_{0}+1}} + d_{n} \sum_{j=j_{0}+2}^{n-1} \frac{A_{j} - A_{j-1}}{A_{j}}$$

$$\leq \log \frac{2}{A_{j_{0}+1}} + d_{n} \int_{A_{j_{0}+1}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \log \frac{2}{\left| I_{j_{0}+1} \right|} + d_{n} \int_{\left| I_{j_{0}+1} \right|}^{2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leq C \log n + C d_{n} \log n.$$

A similar estimate holds for S_1 . Thus for $u \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\Gamma_n(u) \leq \log d_n + C + Cd_n \log n.$$

Finally for u outside [-1,1], we can use the fact that $\Gamma_n(u)$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus[-1,1]$, and has limit 0 at ∞ , so is subharmonic and bounded above in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}\setminus[-1,1]$. The maximum principle for subharmonic functions gives for all $u\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$\Gamma_n(u) < Cd_n \log n$$
.

Chapter 10 Derivatives of Discretized Polynomials



In this chapter, we estimate the derivative of the discretized potentials from the last chapter. We prove

Theorem 10.1. Assume the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 9.1. In addition assume that $\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty$. The polynomials R_n of Theorem 9.1 satisfy

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{d}{du} \log \left\{ |R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)} \right\} \right| \le \frac{C}{d_n}$$

for $u \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying (9.4) and (9.5), and the additional restriction

$$\int_{0}^{d_{n}^{2}/(n\sqrt{1-|u|})} \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds \le \frac{1}{d_{n}}.$$
 (10.1)

The constant C is independent of n, u, and the particular σ_n , depending only on A, B, ω .

As in the previous chapter, we omit many subscripts involving n and use $\sigma = \sigma_n$. Recall that $\Gamma_n(u) = \log \{|R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)}\}$ satisfies (9.14). In view of (9.8), we can reformulate (9.14) as

$$\Gamma_n\left(u\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log\left|u - \xi_j + id_n\left|I_j\right|\right| - n \int_{-1}^1 \log\left|u - t\right| \sigma\left(t\right) dt.$$

Then as σ satisfies a uniform Dini condition, we can differentiate to obtain a principal value integral

$$\Gamma_n'(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{u - \xi_j}{\left(u - \xi_j\right)^2 + \left(d_n \left| I_j \right|\right)^2} - nPV \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{u - t} \sigma(t) dt, \tag{10.2}$$

and as at (9.14),

$$\Gamma_n'(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n \int_{I_j} \left[\frac{u - \xi_j}{\left(u - \xi_j \right)^2 + \left(d_n \left| I_j \right| \right)^2} - \frac{1}{u - t} \right] \sigma(t) dt$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u). \tag{10.3}$$

As in the previous chapter, we fix u, and assume $u \in I_{j_0}$. We set

$$L = d_n^2 \tag{10.4}$$

and for $0 \le j \le n-1$,

$$\tau_j = (u - \xi_j)^2 + (d_n |I_j|)^2$$
. (10.5)

Throughout we assume (9.4), (9.5), and (10.1). We start with some technical estimates:

Lemma 10.2. (a) For $|j - j_0| \le L$,

$$\left|I_{j}\right| \sim \left|I_{j_{0}}\right| \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1-u^{2}}} \tag{10.6}$$

and

$$1 - t_j^2 \sim 1 - u^2. (10.7)$$

(b) For $2 \le |j - j_0| \le L$,

$$|u - \xi_j| \sim |j - j_0| |I_{j_0}|.$$
 (10.8)

(c) For $1 \le k \le L$,

$$\left| \left(u - \xi_{j_0 - k} \right) + \left(u - \xi_{j_0 + k} \right) \right| \\
\leq C \left| I_{j_0} \right| \left\{ 1 + \frac{k^2}{n \left(1 - u \right)^{3/2}} + k\omega \left(\frac{k}{n\sqrt{1 - u}} \right) \right\} \\
=: C \rho_k, \tag{10.9}$$

say

(d) For $1 \le k \le L$,

$$k ||I_{i_0+k}| - |I_{i_0-k}|| \le C\rho_k.$$
 (10.10)

Proof. We do this for $u \ge 0$.

(a) From (9.17),

$$\frac{\left|I_{j_0}\right|}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \sim \sqrt{\frac{1 - t_j^2 + n^{-2/3}}{1 - t_{j_0}^2 + n^{-2/3}}} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{t_{j_0}^2 - t_j^2}{1 - t_{j_0}^2 + n^{-2/3}}}.$$

Here as $|j-j_0| \le L = d_n^2 \ll K = d_n^4$, we see from (9.23) and then (9.4) that

$$\left| \frac{t_{j_0}^2 - t_j^2}{1 - t_{j_0}^2 + n^{-2/3}} \right| \le C \left| \frac{t_{j_0} - t_{j_0 \pm K}}{1 - |t_{j_0}|} \right|$$

$$\le \frac{CK}{n \left(1 - |u|\right)^{3/2}} \le \frac{C}{d_n^2} < \frac{1}{2},$$

so $|I_j| \sim |I_{j_0}|$. Then (9.17) gives the last \sim in (10.6). Finally,

$$\frac{1 - t_j}{1 - u} = 1 + \frac{u - t_j}{1 - u},$$

and by (9.23) again,

$$\left|\frac{u-t_j}{1-u}\right| \leq \left|\frac{t_{j_0}-t_{j_0\pm K}}{1-u}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) This follows easily from (a).

(c) In view of (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(u - \xi_{j_0 - k} \right) + \left(u - \xi_{j_0 + k} \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \left| I_{j_0} \right| + \left| \left(t_{j_0} - t_{j_0 - k} \right) - \left(t_{j_0 + k} - t_{j_0} \right) \right| \\ &= C \left| I_{j_0} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\sigma \left(\xi \right)} \int_{t_{j_0 - k}}^{t_{j_0}} \sigma \left(t \right) dt - \frac{1}{\sigma \left(\xi \right)} \int_{t_{j_0}}^{t_{j_0 + k}} \sigma \left(t \right) dt \right| \\ &= C \left| I_{j_0} \right| + \frac{k}{n} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma \left(\xi \right)} - \frac{1}{\sigma \left(\xi \right)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi, \zeta \in [t_{j_0-k}, t_{j_0+k}]$, by the mean value theorem. Using (9.21), we continue this as

$$\leq C \left| I_{j_0} \right| + C \frac{k}{n} \left\{ \frac{t_{j_0+k+1} - t_{j_0-k}}{\min \left\{ \sqrt{1 - t_{j_0+k+1}^2}, \sqrt{1 - t_{j_0-k}^2} \right\}^3} + \frac{\omega \left(t_{j_0+k+1} - t_{j_0-k} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - t_{j_0+k+1}^2}} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left| I_{j_0} \right| \left\{ 1 + \frac{k^2}{n \left(\sqrt{1 - u} \right)^3} \right\} + C \left| I_{j_0} \right| k \omega \left(\frac{k}{n \sqrt{1 - u}} \right) = C \rho_k,$$

as at (10.9).

(d) For some $\xi \in I_{j_0+k}$ and $\zeta \in I_{j_0-k}$

$$k \left| \left| I_{j_0+k} \right| - \left| I_{j_0-k} \right| \right| = k \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} \int_{I_{j_0+k}} \sigma(t) dt - \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} \int_{I_{j_0-k}} \sigma(t) dt \right|$$
$$= \frac{k}{n} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} - \frac{1}{\sigma(\xi)} \right| \le C\rho_k,$$

as in (c). \Box

First we estimate tail terms:

Lemma 10.3.

$$\sum_{j:|j-j_0|>L} \left| \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) \right| \le C \frac{n}{d_n^2}. \tag{10.11}$$

Proof. Let $|j - j_0| > L$ and $t \in I_j$, while as usual, $u \in I_{j_0}$. Then if dist (u, I_j) denotes the distance from u to I_i ,

$$\frac{u - \xi_{j}}{(u - \xi_{j})^{2} + (d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}} - \frac{1}{u - t}$$

$$= \left(\frac{u - \xi_{j}}{(u - \xi_{j})^{2} + (d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}} - \frac{1}{u - \xi_{j}}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{u - \xi_{j}} - \frac{1}{u - t}\right)$$

$$= \frac{-(d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}}{\left[(u - \xi_{j})^{2} + (d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}\right](u - \xi_{j})} + \frac{\xi_{j} - t}{(u - \xi_{j})(u - t)}$$

$$= \frac{-(d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}}{\left[(u - \xi_{j})^{2} + (d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}\right](u - \xi_{j})} + \frac{\xi_{j} - t}{u - \xi_{j}} \left\{\frac{1}{u - \xi_{j}} + \frac{t - \xi_{j}}{(u - t)(u - \xi_{j})}\right\}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{(d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}}{\text{dist}(u, I_{j})^{3}}\right) + \frac{\xi_{j} - t}{(u - \xi_{j})^{2}} + O\left(\frac{|I_{j}|^{2}}{\text{dist}(u, I_{j})^{3}}\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{(d_{n} |I_{j}|)^{2}}{\text{dist}(u, I_{j})^{3}}\right) + \frac{\xi_{j} - t}{(u - \xi_{j})^{2}}.$$

Integrating over I_i , and using the weight point property (9.9),

$$\Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) = n \int_{I_j} O\left(\frac{\left(d_n |I_j|\right)^2}{\operatorname{dist}(u, I_j)^3}\right) \sigma(t) dt + 0$$

$$= O\left(\frac{\left(d_n |I_j|\right)^2}{\operatorname{dist}(u, I_j)^3}\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d_n^2}{n\sqrt{1 - t_j^2 + n^{-2/3}}} \frac{|I_j|}{\operatorname{dist}(u, I_j)^3}\right),$$

by (9.17) and (9.8). Adding, and estimating in an obvious way gives

$$\sum_{i:|j-i_0|>L} \left| \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) \right| \le C \frac{d_n^2}{n} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{t_{j_0-L}} + \int_{t_{j_0+L}}^{1} \right\} \frac{dt}{|u-t|^3 \sqrt{1-t^2}}.$$

Let us assume that $u \ge 0$. Then with the substitution (1 - t) = s(1 - u), we see that we can continue this as

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{1-t_{j_0-L}}{1-u}}^{\frac{2}{1-u}} + \int_0^{\frac{1-t_{j_0+L}}{1-u}} \right\} \frac{ds}{|1-s|^3 \sqrt{|s|}}$$

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1-t_{j_0-L}}{1-u} - 1 \right)^{-2} + \left(1 - \frac{1-t_{j_0+L}}{1-u} \right)^{-2} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{u-t_{j_0-L}}{1-u} \right)^{-2} + \left(\frac{t_{j_0+L}-u}{1-u} \right)^{-2} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1-u}{L|I_{j_0}|} \right)^2 \right\} \text{ (by (10.6), (10.8))}$$

$$\leq C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{n (1-u)^{3/2}}{L} \right)^2 \right\}$$

$$= C \frac{d_n^2}{n (1-u)^{5/2}} + C \frac{n}{d_n^2} (1-u)^{1/2} \leq C \frac{n}{d_n^2}.$$

We used (9.4) to bound the first term.

Next we turn to the central terms, which are the most difficult. We separately estimate the terms in the sum and the central integral.

Lemma 10.4.

$$\sum_{1} = \sum_{i=i_{0}-L}^{j_{0}+L} \frac{u-\xi_{i}}{\left(u-\xi_{i}\right)^{2} + \left(d_{n}\left|I_{i}\right|\right)^{2}} \le C\frac{n}{d_{n}}.$$
(10.12)

Proof. We split off the term for $j = j_0$, so

$$\sum_{1} = \frac{u - \xi_{j_0}}{\left(u - \xi_{j_0}\right)^2 + \left(d_n \left| I_{j_0} \right|\right)^2} + \sum_{1,1}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{\left|I_{j_0}\right|}{\left(d_n \left|I_{j_0}\right|\right)^2}\right) + \sum_{1,1}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n\sqrt{1 - u}}{d_n^2}\right) + \sum_{1,1},$$
(10.13)

where

$$\sum_{1,1} = \sum_{j=j_0-L,j\neq j_0}^{j_0+L} \frac{u-\xi_j}{\tau_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left\{ \frac{u-\xi_{j_0+k}}{\tau_{j_0+k}} + \frac{u-\xi_{j_0-k}}{\tau_{j_0-k}} \right\}.$$
 (10.14)

Recall here τ_i is defined by (10.5). Note that by (10.6) and (10.8),

$$\tau_{i_0\pm k} \sim (k^2 + d_n^2) |I_{i_0}|^2$$
.

Here

$$\begin{split} & \frac{u - \xi_{j_0 + k}}{\tau_{j_0 + k}} + \frac{u - \xi_{j_0 - k}}{\tau_{j_0 - k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_{j_0 + k}\tau_{j_0 - k}} \begin{bmatrix} (u - \xi_{j_0 + k}) \left[(u - \xi_{j_0 - k})^2 + (d_n |I_{j_0 - k}|)^2 \right] \\ &+ (u - \xi_{j_0 - k}) \left[(u - \xi_{j_0 + k})^2 + (d_n |I_{j_0 + k}|)^2 \right] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_{j_0 + k}\tau_{j_0 - k}} \begin{bmatrix} (u - \xi_{j_0 + k}) (u - \xi_{j_0 - k}) ((u - \xi_{j_0 - k}) + (u - \xi_{j_0 + k})) \\ &+ (d_n |I_{j_0 - k}|)^2 ((u - \xi_{j_0 + k}) + (u - \xi_{j_0 - k})) \\ &+ d_n^2 (u - \xi_{j_0 - k}) \left(|I_{j_0 + k}|^2 - |I_{j_0 - k}|^2 \right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_{j_0 + k}\tau_{j_0 - k}} \left[O\left(k^2 |I_{j_0}|^2 \rho_k\right) + O\left(d_n^2 |I_{j_0}|^2 \rho_k\right) \\ &+ O\left(d_n^2 |I_{j_0}|^2 k ||I_{j_0 + k}| - |I_{j_0 - k}||\right) \right] \end{split}$$

(by (10.9) and (10.8))

$$\leq \frac{C}{\left|I_{j_0}\right|^4 \left(k^2 + d_n^2\right)^2} \left[\left(k^2 + d_n^2\right) \left|I_{j_0}\right|^2 + d_n^2 \left|I_{j_0}\right|^2 \right] \rho_k
\leq \frac{C\rho_k}{\left|I_{j_0}\right|^2 \left(k^2 + d_n^2\right)},$$

by (10.10). Substituting in (10.14), and using the definition (10.9) of ρ_k ,

$$\sum_{1,1} \leq \frac{C}{|I_{j_0}|} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \frac{1}{k^2 + d_n^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k^2}{n(1-u)^{3/2}} + k\omega \left(\frac{k}{n\sqrt{1-u}} \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq Cn\sqrt{1-u} \int_0^L \frac{dx}{x^2 + d_n^2} + \frac{C}{1-u} \int_0^L \frac{x^2}{x^2 + d_n^2} dx$$

$$+ Cn\sqrt{1-u}\omega \left(\frac{L}{n\sqrt{1-u}} \right) \int_0^L \frac{x}{x^2 + d_n^2} dx$$

$$\leq C \frac{n}{d_n} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2 + 1} + \frac{C}{1-u} d_n \int_0^{L/d_n} \frac{s^2}{s^2 + 1} ds$$

$$+ Cn\sqrt{1-u}\omega \left(\frac{L}{n\sqrt{1-u}} \right) \int_0^{L/d_n} \frac{s}{s^2 + 1} ds$$

$$\leq C \frac{n}{d_n} + C \frac{L}{1-u} + Cn\sqrt{1-u}\omega \left(\frac{L}{n\sqrt{1-u}} \right) \log d_n$$

$$\leq C \frac{n}{d_n} + C \frac{n}{d_n^4} + C \frac{n}{d_n^2} \log d_n \leq C \frac{n}{d_n},$$

by (9.4) and (9.5) and since $L = d_n^2$. Together with (10.13), this gives the result. \Box Finally, we handle the central integral:

Lemma 10.5.

$$|J_1| = \left| nPV \int_{t_{j_0 - L}}^{t_{j_0 + L + 1}} \frac{\sigma(t)}{u - t} dt \right| \le C \frac{n}{d_n}.$$
 (10.15)

Proof. Now

$$J_{1} = n \int_{t_{j_{0}-L}}^{t_{j_{0}+L+1}} \frac{\sigma(t) - \sigma(u)}{u - t} dt - n\sigma(u) PV \int_{t_{j_{0}-L}}^{t_{j_{0}+L+1}} \frac{dt}{t - u}$$
$$= J_{11} - J_{12}.$$

Here, using (9.2), (10.6), and (10.8),

$$|J_{11}| = \left| n \int_{t_{j_0-L}}^{t_{j_0+L+1}} \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2} - \sqrt{1-u^2}}{u-t} h(t) dt \right|$$

$$+ n\sqrt{1-u^2} \int_{t_{j_0-L}}^{t_{j_0+L+1}} \frac{h(t) - h(u)}{t-u} dt \Big|$$

$$\leq Cn \int_{t_{j_0-L}}^{t_{j_0+L+1}} |u-t|^{-1/2} dt + Cn \int_{t_{j_0-L}}^{t_{j_0+L+1}} \frac{\omega(|t-u|)}{|t-u|} dt$$

$$\leq Cn \left(L \left| I_{j_0} \right| \right)^{1/2} + Cn \int_0^{CL \left| I_{j_0} \right|} \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds$$

$$\leq Cn \left(\frac{d_n^2}{n\sqrt{1-u}} \right)^{1/2} + Cn \int_0^{Cd_n^2/(n\sqrt{1-u})} \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds \leq C \frac{n}{d_n},$$

$$(10.16)$$

by (10.1) and (9.4). Next,

$$J_{12} = n\sigma(u) \log \left| \frac{t_{j_0 + L + 1} - u}{u - t_{j_0 - L}} \right|$$

$$= n\sigma(u) \log \left| 1 + \frac{(t_{j_0 + L + 1} - u) + (t_{j_0 - L} - u)}{u - t_{j_0 - L}} \right|$$

$$= n\sigma(u) \log \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\left| (u - \xi_{j_0 - L}) + (u - \xi_{j_0 + L}) \right| + \left| I_{j_0} \right|}{L \left| I_{j_0} \right|} \right) \right)$$

$$= O\left(n\sigma(u) \frac{\rho_L + \left| I_{j_0} \right|}{L \left| I_{j_0} \right|} \right) \text{ (recall (10.9))}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n\sigma(u)}{L} \left\{ 1 + \frac{L^2}{n(1 - u)^{3/2}} \right\} + n\sigma(u) \omega\left(\frac{L}{n\sqrt{1 - u}}\right) \right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n}{L}\right) + O\left(\frac{L}{1 - u}\right) + n\sigma(u) \omega\left(\frac{d_n^2}{n\sqrt{1 - u}}\right) = O\left(\frac{n}{d_n^2}\right),$$

by (9.4) and (9.5). Then together with (10.16), this gives (10.15).

Proof of Theorem 10.1. This follows by combining Lemmas 10.3, 10.4, and 10.5. Indeed, from (10.3),

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{d}{du} \log \left\{ |R_n(u)| e^{nV^{\sigma_n}(u)} \right\} \right| \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left| \Gamma_n'(u) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j: |j-j_0| \le L} \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) + \sum_{j: |j-j_0| > L} \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) \right| \\
\le \frac{1}{n} \left(|\Sigma_1| + |J_1| + \sum_{j: |j-j_0| > L} \left| \Gamma_{n,j}^{(1)}(u) \right| \right) \\
\le \frac{C}{d_n} + \frac{C}{d_n} + \frac{C}{d_n^2} \le \frac{C}{d_n},$$

by Lemmas 10.3, 10.4, and 10.5.

Chapter 11 Weighted Polynomial Approximations



In this chapter, we turn the weighted polynomial approximations of the previous chapter into ones suitable for establishing asymptotics. Recall that a Bernstein-Szegő weight has the form $w_{n,B}^2$, where

$$w_{n,B}(t) = \frac{\left(1 - t^2\right)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{S_n(t)}}.$$
 (11.1)

Here S_n is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n$, that is positive in [-1, 1], except possibly for simple zeros at ± 1 . We first state our three main results and then turn to the proofs. Throughout we assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Theorem 11.1. Let $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$. There exist for n large enough, polynomials S_n of $degree \leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, positive in [-1, 1], such that if $w_{n,B}$ is given by (11.1), and

$$\psi_n(t) = e^{-nQ_n(t)}/w_{n,B}(t),$$
 (11.2)

then

(a)

$$|\psi_n(t) - 1| \le Cn^{-\tau_1}, \quad |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}.$$
 (11.3)

(b)

$$n^{-2\tau_1} (1 - t^2)^{1/4} \le \psi_n(t) \le 1, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$
 (11.4)

(c) For $|t| < 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}$,

$$\left|\psi_n'(t)\right| \le Cn^{1-\tau_1}.\tag{11.5}$$

© The Author(s) 2018 93

(*d*) For $t \in (-1, 1)$

$$\left| \frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \right| \le C \left(\frac{n^{1+4\tau_1}}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{n^{2/3+4\tau_1}}{1-t^2} \right). \tag{11.6}$$

(e)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt \le C n^{-\tau_1}. \tag{11.7}$$

(f) For $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| 1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \le C n^{-\tau_1/3}.$$
 (11.8)

(g) For $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \frac{\log \psi_n^{-2}(t) - \log \psi_n^{-2}(x)}{t - x} \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \le C n^{-\tau_1/3}.$$
 (11.9)

(h) For $1 - n^{-\tau_1} \le |x| < 1$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| 1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \le C n^{5\tau_1} \left(1 - |x| \right)^{-5/4}. \tag{11.10}$$

(i) For $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \frac{\frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \left(1 - t^2 \right) - \frac{\psi_n'(x)}{\psi_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)}{t - x} \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \le C n^{1 - \tau_1/3}. \tag{11.11}$$

The next two results involve one-sided approximation:

Theorem 11.2. Let $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$. Let A > 0 and

$$\rho_n = 1 + \left(A \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{2/3}, \quad n \ge 2. \tag{11.12}$$

There exist for n large enough, polynomials S_n of degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, positive in [-1, 1], such that if $w_{n,B}$ is given by (11.1), and

$$\hat{\psi}_n(t) = e^{-nQ_n(\rho_n t)}/w_{n,B}(t),$$
 (11.13)

then

(a)

$$\left|\hat{\psi}_n(t) - 1\right| \le Cn^{-\tau_1}, \quad |t| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}.$$
 (11.14)

(b)

$$\hat{\psi}_n(t) \le 1, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$
 (11.15)

(c)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| \log \hat{\psi}_{n}(t) \right|}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}} dt \le C n^{-\tau_{1}}. \tag{11.16}$$

Theorem 11.3. There exist for n large enough, polynomials S_n of degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3-2\tau_1}$, positive in [-1,1], such that if $w_{n,B}$ and ψ_n are given by (11.1) and (11.2) respectively, then

$$\psi_n(t) \ge 1, \quad t \in [-1, 1],$$
 (11.17)

and

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt \le C n^{-\tau_1/3}.$$
 (11.18)

The procedure to prove these theorems is somewhat technical. We use the polynomials $\{R_n\}$ from Theorem 9.1. Unfortunately these are often too large or too small near ± 1 , so we have to multiply by damping polynomials, or add weighted polynomials that are bounded below. This increases the degree of the approximating polynomials, and we then have to scale Q_n to adjust the degree.

We start by reformulating parts of Theorems 9.1 and 10.1:

Lemma 11.4. There exists $n_0 > 0$ with the following property: for $n \ge n_0$, and $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$, there exist polynomials $R_n^{\#}$ with no real zeros, of degree $\le n$, such that (a)

$$|R_n^{\#}(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}), \quad |x| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}.$$
 (11.19)

(b)

$$\exp\left(C_{1}n^{\tau_{1}}\log n\right) \geq |R_{n}^{\#}(x)|e^{-nQ_{n}(x)-nU_{n}(x)} \geq \exp\left(-\pi n^{\tau_{1}}\right), \quad x \in I_{n}.$$
 (11.20)

(c)

$$\exp\left(C_{1}n^{\tau_{1}}\log n\right) \ge |R_{n}^{\#}(x)|e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \ge \exp\left(-\pi n^{\tau_{1}}\right), \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \tag{11.21}$$

(d)

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \log \left(|R_n^{\#}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right) \right\} \right| \le C n^{1-\tau_1}, \quad |x| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}. \tag{11.22}$$

Proof. We repeatedly use the fact that $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50})$. Set $d_n = [n^{\tau_1}]$ and $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}_{Q_n}$ in Theorem 9.1. We apply that theorem and Theorem 10.1 with $\omega(s) = s^{\alpha/2}$, which is permissible in view of (3.14). Let

$$R_n^{\#}(x) = R_n(x) e^{nF_{Q_n}} e^{-d_n \pi}.$$

Observe that from (3.11),

$$\log\left(\left|R_{n}^{\#}\left(x\right)\right|e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x\right)}\right)$$

$$=\log\left(\left|R_{n}\left(x\right)\right|e^{nV^{\sigma}Q_{n}\left(x\right)}\right)+nU_{n}\left(x\right)-d_{n}\pi.$$
(11.23)

(a) If $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$, then

$$n(1-|x|)^{3/2} \ge n^{12\tau_1} \ge d_n^6$$

for large enough n, and

$$\left(\frac{n(1-|x|)^{1/2}}{d_n^4}\right)^{\alpha/2} \ge n^{\alpha/3} \ge n^{2\tau_1} \ge d_n^2.$$

So both (9.4) and (9.5) hold. Then as $U_n = 0$ in (-1, 1), (9.6) of Theorem 9.1 and (11.23) give

$$\log (|R_n^{\#}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)}) = O(d_n^{-1}) = O(n^{-\tau_1}),$$

so we have (11.19).

- (b) This follows directly from (9.3) and (9.7) of Theorem 9.1 and (11.23).
- (c) This follows from (b) as $U_n = 0$ in [-1, 1].
- (d) Observe first that (10.1) holds with $\omega(s) = s^{\alpha/2}$ and u replaced by x. Indeed,

$$\int_0^{d_n^2/\left(n\sqrt{1-|x|}\right)} \frac{\omega\left(s\right)}{s} ds \le C \left(\frac{d_n^2}{n\sqrt{1-|x|}}\right)^{\alpha/2} \le C d_n^{-2},$$

as above. By (11.23), for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$, and then Theorem 10.1,

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left\{\log\left(\left|R_{n}^{\#}\left(x\right)\right|e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x\right)}\right)\right\} = \frac{d}{dx}\left\{\log\left(\left|R_{n}\left(x\right)\right|e^{nV^{\sigma}Q_{n}\left(x\right)}\right)\right\} = O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}}\right).$$

Next, we use damping polynomials to get around the fact that our discretized polynomials may be too large near ± 1 .

Lemma 11.5. There exists n_0 and for $n \ge n_0$, and $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$, polynomials S_n with no zeros in [-1, 1], of degree $\le n + n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$ such that (a)

$$e^{-2n^{2\tau_1} + nU_n(x)} \le |S_n(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le 1, \quad x \in I_n.$$
 (11.24)

(b)

$$|S_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}), \quad |x| \le 1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}.$$
 (11.25)

(c)

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ \left| S_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \right\} \right| \le C n^{1-\tau_1}, \quad |x| \le 1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}.$$
 (11.26)

Proof. Let

$$m = m(n) = \left[\frac{1}{2}n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}\right] \text{ and } \zeta_m = 2n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}.$$
 (11.27)

Note that then $m^2 \zeta_m \sim n^{4\tau_1} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. By Theorem 7.5 in [25, p. 172], there exist polynomials P_m of degree $\leq m$ such that

$$0 < P_{m}(x) \le \exp\left(-Cm\sqrt{\zeta_{m}}\right)$$

$$\le \exp\left(-Cn^{2\tau_{1}}\right), \quad 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_{1}} \le |x| \le 1; \tag{11.28}$$

$$|1 - P_{m}(x)| \le \exp\left(-Cm\sqrt{\zeta_{m}}\right)$$

$$< \exp\left(-Cn^{2\tau_{1}}\right), \quad |x| < 1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_{1}}. \tag{11.29}$$

$$0 < P_m(x) \le 1, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \tag{11.30}$$

Then by Bernstein's inequality, for $|x| \le 1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$

$$|P'_m(x)| \le \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \le Cn^{2/3-6\tau_1}.$$
 (11.31)

Let $R_n^{\#}$ be as in the last lemma. Define

$$\hat{S}_{n}(x) = R_{n}^{\#}(x) \left[P_{m}^{2}(x) + e^{-n^{2\tau_{1}}} \right],$$

a polynomial of degree $\leq n + n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$.

(a) Firstly for $|x| \le 1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$, (11.19) and (11.29) give

$$\log \left(\left| \hat{S}_{n}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\left| R_{n}^{\#}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \right) + \log \left[P_{m}^{2}(x) + e^{-n^{2}\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$= O(n^{-\tau_{1}}) + O\left(\exp\left(-Cn^{2\tau_{1}} \right) \right) = O(n^{-\tau_{1}}).$$
(11.32)

Next, for $1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \le |x| \le 1$, (11.21) and (11.28) give

$$\log\left(\left|\hat{S}_n(x)\right|e^{-nQ_n(x)}\right) \le C_0 n^{\tau_1}\log n - C n^{2\tau_1} \le -C_1 n^{2\tau_1}.$$

In the remaining range $1 - 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \le |x| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$, we obtain from (11.19) and (11.30),

$$\log\left(\left|\hat{S}_{n}\left(x\right)\right|e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x\right)}\right)\leq O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}}\right).$$

Combining these last three inequalities, we obtain for $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left|\hat{S}_n(x)\right|e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le 1 + Cn^{-\tau_1}.$$

Next, we apply Theorem 4.2(a) with T = 1 and $S = n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$. That result applied to \hat{S}_n , which has degree $\leq nT + S$,

$$\left\| \hat{S}_{n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(I_{n}\setminus[-1,1])} \leq e^{C(S+1)n^{-1/2}} \left\| \hat{S}_{n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right\|_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]}$$

$$\leq e^{Cn^{-1/6-2\tau_{1}}} \left(1 + Cn^{-\tau_{1}} \right) \leq 1 + C_{1} n^{-\tau_{1}}. \tag{11.33}$$

Defining

$$S_n = \hat{S}_n / (1 + C_1 n^{-\tau_1}),$$

we obtain the right inequality in (11.24). For the left, we use the lower bound in (11.20): for $x \in I_n$,

$$\left|\hat{S}_{n}(x)\right| \ge \left|R_{n}^{\#}(x)\right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} e^{-n^{2\tau_{1}}} \ge e^{-n^{2\tau_{1}} - \pi n^{\tau_{1}} + nU_{n}(x)}.$$

Then the lower bound (11.24) follows for large enough n.

- (b) This follows directly from (11.32).
- (c) For $|x| \le 1 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ \left| S_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ \left| R_n^{\#}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \right\} \right| + \left| \frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ P_m(x)^2 + e^{-n^2\tau_1} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq Cn^{1-\tau_1} + Cn^{2/3-6\tau_1},$$

by (11.22) and (11.31). Then (11.26) follows.

The degree of S_n in the last lemma exceeds n. We now manipulate the definition of Q_n to reduce its degree.

Lemma 11.6. Let $\Delta \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$. There exists for $n \ge n_0$, and $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$, polynomials X_n of degree $\le n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$ such that

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le 1, \quad x \in I_n.$$
 (11.34)

(b)

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} \ge e^{-Cn^{3\tau_1} - Cn^{3\Delta/2}}, \quad |x| \le 1 + n^{-2/3 + \Delta}.$$
 (11.35)

(c)

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}), \quad |x| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}.$$
 (11.36)

(*d*)

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \log \left(|X_n(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right) \right\} \right| \le C n^{1-\tau_1}, \quad |x| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}. \tag{11.37}$$

Proof. For large enough *n*, let

$$m = m(n) = n - 2\left[n^{\frac{1}{3} - 2\tau_1}\right] - 1 \text{ and } r_n = \frac{m}{n}.$$
 (11.38)

Note that

$$m + m^{1/3 - 2\tau_1} \le n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$$

and

$$1 - r_n \sim n^{-\frac{2}{3} - 2\tau_1}. (11.39)$$

Set

$$Q_m^{\#}(t) = r_n^{-1} Q_n \left(L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(t) \right), \quad t \in L_{n,r_n}(I_n) = I_m^{\#}.$$

Since $L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}$ maps [-1,1] onto $[a_{-n,r_n},a_{n,r_n}]$, and since $Q_m^\#$ is convex, this external field has equilibrium measure with support [-1,1]. See the proof of the general case of Theorem 7.1, especially (7.19) and (7.20), where this is established in detail. Since $r_n \in \left[r_0, \frac{1}{r_0}\right]$ for large enough n, we can apply the conclusions of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.6. It follows that we can apply the conclusions of Lemma 11.5 to the fields $\{Q_m^\#\}$ on $\{I_m^\#\}$. It is conceivable that not every positive integer is included in the sequence $\{m(n)\}$, or that finitely many are repeated, but this is not an issue. Moreover, $\{Q_m^\#\}$ satisfy all the conditions of Definition 1.1, as in the proof of the general case of Theorem 7.1. Thus there exist polynomials $S_m^\#$ of degree $\leq m + m^{1/3 - 2\tau_1} \leq n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$ with the properties that

$$e^{-2m^{2\tau_{1}}+mU_{m}^{\#}(t)} \le \left|S_{m}^{\#}(t)\right|e^{-mQ_{m}^{\#}(t)} \le 1, \quad t \in I_{m}^{\#};$$
 (11.40)

$$|S_m^{\#}(t)| e^{-mQ_m^{\#}(t)} = 1 + O(m^{-\tau_1}), \quad |t| \le 1 - 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1};$$
 (11.41)

and

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \log \left\{ \left| S_m^{\#}(t) \right| e^{-mQ_m^{\#}(t)} \right\} \right| \le C m^{1-\tau_1}, \quad |t| \le 1 - 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}. \tag{11.42}$$

Here $U_m^{\#}(t) = -\left(V^{\sigma_{Q_m^{\#}}}(t) + Q_m^{\#}(t) - F_{Q_m}^{\#}\right)$ is the $Q_m^{\#}$ analogue of U_n for Q_n . Now let

$$X_n(x) = S_m^{\#}(L_{n,r_n}(x)),$$

a polynomial of degree $\leq n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$. Note that for $t = L_{n,r_n}(x)$,

$$mQ_{m}^{\#}\left(L_{n,r_{n}}\left(x\right)\right)=nQ_{n}\left(x\right),$$

and

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} = |S_m^{\#}(t)|e^{-mQ_m^{\#}(t)}.$$

(a) Now (11.40) gives

$$|X_n(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le 1, \quad x \in I_n,$$

so we have (11.34).

(b) By (11.40), and the definition of X_n , for $x \in I_n$,

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} > e^{-2m^{2\tau_1} + mU_m^{\#}(L_{n,r_n}(x))}.$$

For $x \in L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}([-1,1])$, we then immediately obtain (11.35). Now consider $L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(1) \le x \le 1 + n^{-2/3+\Delta}$. As $Q_m^{\#}$ has equilibrium density with support

[-1, 1], (3.34) of Lemma 3.6 gives

$$mU_{m}^{\#}(L_{n,r_{n}}(x)) \geq -Cn (L_{n,r_{n}}(x) - 1)^{3/2}$$

$$= -Cn \left(\frac{x - a_{n,r_{n}}}{\delta_{n,r_{n}}}\right)^{3/2}$$

$$\geq -Cn ((x - 1) + (1 - r_{n}))^{3/2}$$

$$\geq -Cn^{3\Delta/2} - Cn^{-3\tau_{1}},$$

by (3.26) and (11.39) and since $\delta_{n,r_n} \sim 1$. Then (11.35) follows.

(c) Setting $t = L_{n,r_n}(x)$ in (11.41) gives

$$|X_n(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)}=1+O(n^{-\tau_1}),$$

provided

$$|L_{n,r_n}(x)| \le 1 - 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \Leftrightarrow x \in L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]} \left[-1 + 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}, 1 - 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \right].$$

Here

$$1 - L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]} \left(1 - 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \right) = 1 - a_{n,r_n} + 3m^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \delta_{n,r_n}$$
$$= O\left(n^{-\frac{2}{3} - 2\tau_1} \right) + 3n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1} \left(1 + O\left(n^{-\frac{2}{3} - 2\tau_1} \right) \right),$$

by (11.39) and (3.27). Thus if $|x| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 8\tau_1}$, this is satisfied for large enough n, and we obtain (11.36).

(d) Finally, $\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} L_{n,r_n}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta_{n,r_n}} \sim 1$, so for the range in (11.37), we obtain from (11.42),

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \log \left(\left| X_n \left(x \right) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right) \right\} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \log \left(\left| S_m^{\#} \left(t \right) \right| e^{-mQ_m^{\#}(t)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{\delta_{n,r_n}} \\ &= O\left(n^{1-\tau_1} \right). \end{split}$$

Next, we use Christoffel functions to construct weighted polynomials that are bounded above and below:

Lemma 11.7. Let A > 0. There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and polynomials E_n of degree $\leq 2n - 3n^{1/3}$ such that for $n \geq 1$ and $|x| \leq 1 + An^{-2/3}$,

$$C_1 \le E_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le C_2.$$
 (11.43)

Proof. Recall from Theorem 5.1 that if $N = N(n) = n - \lfloor 2n^{1/3} \rfloor$, then for $|x| \le 1 + An^{-2/3}$ and $n \ge 1$,

$$\lambda_N^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \sim n \sqrt{1 - |x| + n^{-2/3}}.$$

Now consider the Legendre weight

$$v(x) = 1, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Its Christoffel function $\lambda_m(v, x)$ satisfies uniformly in m and $|x| \le 1 + Am^{-2}$, [39, p. 108, Lemma 5]

$$\lambda_m^{-1}(v,x) \sim m \left(1-|x|+m^{-2}\right)^{-1/2}$$
.

Then we choose

$$E_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\lambda_N^{-1}\left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}\lambda_{\left[\frac{1}{2}n^{1/3}\right]}^{-1}(v, x)\right).$$

It is easily seen from the above relations that E_n does the job.

Our last lemma involves one-sided approximations to $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$. Surprisingly it involves far more work than one would expect.

Lemma 11.8. (I) Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. There exist for large enough m, polynomials Y_m of degree $\leq 4m$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}m^{-\varepsilon/4}(1-t^2) \le Y_m(t) \le (1-t^2)^{1/2}, \quad |t| \le 1; \tag{11.44}$$

$$Y_m(t) = (1 - t^2)^{1/2} (1 + O(m^{-\epsilon/4})), \quad |t| \le 1 - m^{-2 + \epsilon};$$
 (11.45)

and

$$\left|Y_{m}'(t)\right| \le Cm^{2-\varepsilon/2}, \quad |t| \le 1 - m^{-2+\varepsilon}. \tag{11.46}$$

(II) Similarly, there exist polynomials Y_m of degree $\leq 2m$ satisfying (11.45), (11.46), and for |t| < 1,

$$(1-t^2)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{m^3(1-t^2)} \ge Y_m(t) \ge (1-t^2)^{1/2}.$$
 (11.47)

Proof. (I) Let S_{2m} be the polynomial of degree $\leq 2m-1$ interpolating the function $f(t) = (1-t^2)^{-3/4}$ at the 2m zeros of T_m^2 in (-1,1). Here T_m is the usual Chebyshev polynomial of degree m. Since T_m^2 is even, as is f, the degree of S_{2m} is actually

 $\leq 2m-2$. By the error formula for Lagrange interpolation [33, p. 54], for $t \in (-1, 1)$, there exists $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ such that

$$f(t) - S_{2m}(t) = \frac{f^{(2m)}(\xi)}{(2m)!} T_m^2(t).$$
 (11.48)

Since $f^{(2m)} \ge 0$, we have

$$S_{2m}(t) \le (1-t^2)^{-3/4}, \quad t \in (-1,1).$$

Let

$$R_m(t) = (1 - t^2)^2 S_{2m}^2(t)$$

a polynomial of degree $\leq 4m$. Then we have

$$0 \le R_m(t) \le \left(1 - t^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad t \in (-1, 1). \tag{11.49}$$

Next, we use the error formula for Lagrange interpolation: if Γ is a simple closed positively oriented contour enclosing (-1,1) and passing through ± 1 , then by taking limits in the usual error formula for Lagrange interpolation to deal with the integrable singularities at ± 1 , [33, p. 55],

$$f(x) - S_{2m}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t - x} \left(\frac{T_m(x)}{T_m(t)}\right)^2 dt, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

Here the branch of $f(t) = (1-t^2)^{-3/4}$ is taken so that f is real valued in (-1,1) and analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus ((-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty))$. Since the integrand is analytic as a function of t in $\mathbb{C}\setminus ((-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty))$ and outside Γ , and is $O(t^{-2m-7/4})$ at ∞ , we can deform the contour Γ into two "loops" Γ_+ and Γ_- around ± 1 . Here the "loop" Γ_+ consists of a line from ∞ to 1 in the lower half-plane, encircles 1 clockwise, and then is a line from 1 to ∞ in the upper-half plane. Γ_- is described similarly. Then we see that for $x \in (-1,1)$,

$$f(x) - S_{2m}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \right) \frac{f(s)_{+} - f(s)_{-}}{s - x} \left(\frac{T_{m}(x)}{T_{m}(s)} \right)^{2} ds.$$

Here $f(s)_{\pm}$ are the boundary values of f from the upper and lower half planes. Using evenness, we deduce that for $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$|f(x) - S_{2m}(x)| \le \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(s^2 - 1\right)^{-3/4}}{s - x} \frac{ds}{T_m(s)^2}.$$
 (11.50)

Next, we use the bound

$$T_m(s) \ge \frac{s^m}{2} e^{\frac{m}{2}(1-s^{-1})^{1/2}}, \quad s \in [1, \infty).$$

Indeed, for $s \in [1, \infty)$,

$$T_{m}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(s + \sqrt{s^{2} - 1} \right)^{m} + \left(s - \sqrt{s^{2} - 1} \right)^{m} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{s^{m}}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - s^{-2}} \right)^{m}$$

$$= \frac{s^{m}}{2} \exp \left(m \log \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - s^{-2}} \right) \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{s^{m}}{2} \exp \left(\frac{m}{2} \sqrt{1 - s^{-2}} \right),$$

by the inequality $\log (1 + t) \ge \frac{t}{2}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Substituting in (11.50) gives for $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$|f(x) - S_{2m}(x)|$$

$$\leq C \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(s-1)^{-3/4}}{(s-x) s^{m}} e^{-m(1-s^{-1})^{1/2}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{1-x} \left[\int_{1}^{2} (s-1)^{-3/4} e^{-\frac{m}{2}(s-1)^{1/2}} ds + e^{-Cm} \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{m}} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{1-x} \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} \int_{0}^{m^{2}} t^{-3/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{1/2}} dt + e^{-Cm} \frac{2^{-m+1}}{m-1} \right] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{m} (1-x)}.$$

Then for $x \in [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\left| \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} - \left(1 - x^2 \right) S_{2m}(x) \right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{m}},$$

so also for $|x| \le 1 - m^{-2+\varepsilon}$,

$$\left|1 - \frac{\left(1 - x^2\right) S_{2m}(x)}{\left(1 - x^2\right)^{1/4}}\right| \le \frac{C}{\left[m^2 \left(1 - x^2\right)\right]^{1/4}} \le C m^{-\varepsilon/4},$$

and hence also for such x,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{R_m(x)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}} \right| \le C m^{-\varepsilon/4}. \tag{11.51}$$

Let us summarize what we have so far: R_m has degree $\leq 4m$, and satisfies (11.49) and (11.51). We need a better lower bound than that in (11.49). So set

$$Y_m(x) = \frac{R_m(x) + (1 - x^2) m^{-\epsilon/4}}{1 + m^{-\epsilon/4}}.$$

Then (11.44) follows easily from the definition of Y_m and (11.49). Likewise the definition of Y_m and (11.51) give (11.45). Finally, Bernstein's inequality gives

$$|Y'_m(x)| \le \frac{4m}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \|Y_m\|_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]} \le \frac{4m}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

and then (11.46) also follows.

(II) Here we choose $f(t) = (1-t^2)^{1/2}$, and again let $S_{2m}(t)$ be the polynomial of degree $\leq 2m-2$ that interpolates to f at the zeros of T_m^2 . Since for $m \geq 1$, $f^{(2m)} \leq 0$ in (-1,1), (11.48) gives

$$S_{2m}(t) \ge (1 - t^2)^{1/2}, \quad t \in (-1, 1).$$
 (11.52)

We set $Y_m = S_{2m}$. By using the error formula for Lagrange interpolation much as in (I), we obtain for $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$|f(x) - S_{2m}(x)| \le C \int_1^\infty \frac{(s-1)^{1/2}}{(s-x)s^m} e^{-\frac{m}{2}(1-s^{-1})^{1/2}} ds \le \frac{C}{m^3(1-x)}.$$

Thus for $x \in (-1, 1)$,

$$\left|1 - \frac{S_{2m}(x)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}\right| \le \frac{C}{\left[m^2 (1 - x^2)\right]^{3/2}}.$$
(11.53)

Then (11.47) follows from this last inequality and (11.52). Next, this last inequality gives something stronger than (11.45), and the Markov-Bernstein inequality gives (11.46) much as in (I).

Proof of Theorem 11.1. (b) We let $\eta > 0$ be a small positive number and

$$S_{n}(t) = \left\{ \frac{\left| X_{n}(t) \right|^{2} + \eta n^{-\tau_{1}} E_{n}(t)}{1 + n^{-\tau_{1}}} \right\} Y_{k}(t),$$

where X_n , E_n are as in Lemmas 11.6, 11.7 and Y_k is as in Lemma 11.8 (I), and $k = k(n) = \left[\frac{1}{4}n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}\right]$. Recall also that $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50}]$. We also set in Lemma 11.8,

$$\varepsilon = 24\tau_1 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Observe that

$$k^{\varepsilon} \sim n^{8\tau_1 - 48\tau_1^2} \ge n^{7\tau_1}.\tag{11.54}$$

Then S_n has degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, and for $t \in [-1, 1]$, by (11.34), (11.43), and (11.44),

$$\psi_{n}(t) = \left\{ S_{n}(t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \left(1 - t^{2} \right)^{-1/2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \left\{ \left[\frac{|X_{n}(t)|^{2} + \eta n^{-\tau_{1}} E_{n}(t)}{1 + n^{-\tau_{1}}} \right] e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \left[Y_{k}(t) \left(1 - t^{2} \right)^{-1/2} \right] \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{1 + C_{2} \eta n^{-\tau_{1}}}{1 + n^{-\tau_{1}}} 1 \right\}^{1/2} \leq 1,$$

if $\eta \le 1/C_2$. Thus we have the upper bound in (11.4). Also, for $t \in [-1, 1]$, (11.43) and (11.44) give

$$\psi_n(t) \geq \left\{ \frac{\eta n^{-\tau_1} E_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}}{1 + n^{-\tau_1}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{2k^{\varepsilon/4}} \right\}^{1/2} \geq C \left\{ n^{-3\tau_1} \sqrt{1 - t^2} \right\}^{1/2},$$

so we also have the lower bound in (11.4).

(a) Next, if both $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$, and $|t| \le 1 - k^{-2 + \varepsilon}$,

$$\psi_{n}(t) = \left\{ \left[\frac{|X_{n}(t)|^{2} + \eta n^{-\tau_{1}} E_{n}(t)}{1 + n^{-\tau_{1}}} \right] e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \left[Y_{k}(t) \left| 1 - t^{2} \right|^{-1/2} \right] \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{1 + O(n^{-\tau_{1}}) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}})}{1 + n^{-\tau_{1}}} \right\}^{1/2} \left(1 + O(k^{-\varepsilon/4}) \right) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_{1}}),$$

by (11.36), (11.43), and (11.45) and since $k^{-\varepsilon/4} << n^{-\tau_1}$. It is easily seen that for large enough n, the range $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$ is larger than $|t| \le 1 - k^{-2 + \varepsilon}$. Indeed $k^{-2+\varepsilon} \sim n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1 - 48\tau_1^2} \ge n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 11\tau_1}$. So we have (11.3) for $|t| \le 1 - k^{-2+\varepsilon}$ and hence for $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 12\tau_1}$.

(c) Note first that by (11.43) and Theorem 4.1,

$$||E_n e^{-2nQ_n}||_{L_{\infty}(I_n)} \le C_2,$$

and then (8.1) of Theorem 8.1 gives for $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(E_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \right) \right| \le Cn.$$

Then using (11.3) and (11.36), (11.37), (11.45), (11.46), for t satisfying $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3+8\tau_1}$, and $|t| < 1 - k^{-2+\varepsilon}$.

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dt} \log \psi_n(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \log \left\{ \left[|X_n(t)|^2 + \eta n^{-\tau_1} E_n(t) \right] e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \log \left[|Y_k(t)| |1 - t^2|^{-1/2} \right] \right\} \\ &= O\left(\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |X_n(t)|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right\} \right) + O\left(\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \eta n^{-\tau_1} E_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right\} \right) \\ &+ O\left(|Y_k'(t)| \right) + O\left((1 - t^2)^{-1} \right) \\ &= O\left(n^{1-\tau_1} \right) + O\left(n^{1-\tau_1} \right) + O\left(k^{2-\varepsilon/2} \right) + O\left(k^{2-\varepsilon} \right) = O\left(n^{1-\tau_1} \right). \end{split}$$

Then (11.5) follows, recall that the two ranges of t both contain the range $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 12\tau_1}$.

(d) Now our upper and lower bounds for ψ_n give

$$Cn^{-4\tau_1} \left(1 - t^2 \right) \le \left| S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right| \le 1, \quad t \in [-1, 1],$$
 (11.55)

so our Markov-Bernstein inequality (8.1) gives

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right) \right| \le Cn \left(1 - t^2 + n^{-2/3} \right)^{1/2}, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$
 (11.56)

Next,

$$\frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} = \frac{t}{2(1-t^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}}$$

$$= \frac{t}{2(1-t^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2} \psi_n(t)^2}, \tag{11.57}$$

so combining (11.56), (11.4) gives

$$\left| \frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \right| \le \frac{C}{1 - t^2} + C \frac{n^{1 + 4\tau_1} \left(1 - t^2 + n^{-2/3} \right)^{1/2}}{1 - t^2}$$

$$\le \frac{C}{1 - t^2} + C \frac{n^{1 + 4\tau_1}}{\left(1 - t^2 \right)^{1/2}} + C \frac{n^{2/3 + 4\tau_1}}{1 - t^2}.$$

Then the result follows.

(e) Now from (11.3),

$$\int_{|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 12\tau_1}} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt = O(n^{-\tau_1}).$$

Next, our bounds in (11.4) give

$$\begin{split} & \int_{1-4n^{-2/3+12\tau_1} \le |t| \le 1} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \\ & = O\left((\log n) \, n^{-1/3+6\tau_1} \right) + O\left(\int_{1-4n^{-2/3+12\tau_1} \le |t| \le 1} \frac{\left| \log \left(1 - t^2 \right) \right|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \right) \\ & = O\left((\log n) \, n^{-1/3+6\tau_1} \right) = O\left(n^{-\tau_1} \right). \end{split}$$

Combining the two estimates gives (11.7).

(f) Let $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$. We split

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| 1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_1} + \int_{\mathcal{I}_2} + \int_{\mathcal{I}_3} \right) \dots$$
$$=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.$$

Here the ranges are:

$$\mathcal{I}_1: |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}, |x - t| \ge n^{-6};$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2: |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}, |x - t| < n^{-6};$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3: 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1} \le |t| < 1.$$

Here using (11.3) and assuming $x \ge 0$,

$$J_{1} \leq C n^{-\tau_{1}} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{1}{|x-t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t}}$$

$$\leq C n^{-\tau_{1}} (1-x)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{1}{|s-1|} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}},$$

where we have made the substitution 1 - t = (1 - x) s, and where

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \left\{ s \in (0, \infty) : |s - 1| \ge \frac{1}{n^6 (1 - x)} \right\}.$$

Then we can continue this as

$$J_1 \le Cn^{-\tau_1} (1-x)^{-1/2} \log n \le Cn^{-\tau_1/3},$$

as $1 - x \ge n^{-\tau_1}$. Next, using (11.5), and $\psi_n \le 1$,

$$J_2 \leq C \sup_{t \in \mathcal{I}_2} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \psi_n^2(t) \right| \int_{\mathcal{I}_2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t}} \leq C n^{1-\tau_1} n^{-3} \leq C n^{-\tau_1}.$$

Finally,

$$J_{3} \leq \frac{C}{1 - |x|} \int_{1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_{1}} \leq |t| \leq 1}^{1} \left(\psi_{n}(t) + \psi_{n}(t)^{-1} \right) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{3\tau_{1}} \int_{1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_{1}}}^{1} \frac{dt}{(1 - t)^{3/4}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{6} + 6\tau_{1}} \leq Cn^{-\tau_{1}}.$$

Adding the estimates gives (11.8).

- (g) This is similar to, but easier than (f).
- (h) Let $1 > x \ge 1 n^{-\tau_1}$. (The case of negative x is similar.) We split

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| 1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_1} + \int_{\mathcal{I}_2} \right) \dots =: J_1 + J_2.$$

Here the ranges are:

$$\mathcal{I}_1: t \in (-1,1), \quad |x-t| \ge n^{-6} (1-|x|)^2;$$

 $\mathcal{I}_2: t \in (-1,1), \quad |x-t| < n^{-6} (1-|x|)^2.$

Some of these ranges may be empty. Using our bounds (11.4), and then the substitution 1 - t = s(1 - x),

$$J_{1} = \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{\left|1 - \left(\frac{\psi_{n}(t)}{\psi_{n}(x)}\right)^{2}\right| \psi_{n}(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{\psi_{n}(t)^{-1} + \psi_{n}(t) \psi_{n}(x)^{-2}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{n^{2\tau_{1}} \left(1 - t^{2}\right)^{-1/4} + n^{4\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{-1/2}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C n^{2\tau_{1}} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{1}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{(1 - t)^{3/4}} + C n^{4\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{1}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{(1 - t)^{1/2}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{2\tau_{1}} (1-x)^{-3/4} \int_{|s-1| \geq n^{-6}/(1-x)} \frac{1}{|s-1|} \frac{ds}{|s|^{3/4}}$$

$$+ Cn^{4\tau_{1}} (1-x)^{-1} \int_{|s-1| \geq n^{-6}/(1-x)} \frac{1}{|s-1|} \frac{ds}{|s|^{1/2}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{2\tau_{1}} (1-x)^{-3/4} \log \left[n^{6} (1-x)^{-1} \right] + Cn^{4\tau_{1}} (1-x)^{-1} \log \left[n^{6} (1-x)^{-1} \right]$$

$$\leq Cn^{5\tau_{1}} (1-|x|)^{-5/4} .$$

Next, for $|x-t| < n^{-6} (1-x)^2$, we have $1-t \sim 1-x$, and for some ξ between x, t,

$$\frac{\left|1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)}\right)^2\right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \\
= \psi_n(x)^{-2} 2\psi_n(\xi) \psi_n'(\xi) \psi_n(t)^{-1} \\
= 2\psi_n(x)^{-2} \psi_n(t)^{-1} \psi_n(\xi)^2 \frac{\psi_n'(\xi)}{\psi_n(\xi)} \\
\leq Cn^{6\tau_1} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{-3/4} \left\{ \frac{n^{1+4\tau_1}}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}} + C\frac{n^{2/3 + 4\tau_1}}{1 - x^2} \right\} \\
\leq Cn^{1+10\tau_1} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{-5/4} + Cn^{2/3 + 10\tau_1} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{-7/4},$$

by (11.4), (11.6). Then

$$J_{2} \leq C \left(n^{1+10\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-5/4} + n^{2/3+10\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-7/4} \right) \int_{\mathcal{I}_{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t}}$$

$$\leq C \left(n^{1+10\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-5/4} + n^{2/3+10\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-7/4} \right) n^{-6} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{3/2}$$

$$\leq C (1 - x^{2})^{-1/4}.$$

Together with our estimate for J_1 , this gives the result.

(i) We split

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \frac{\frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \left(1 - t^2 \right) - \frac{\psi_n'(x)}{\psi_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)}{t - x} \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_1} + \int_{\mathcal{I}_2} + \int_{\mathcal{I}_3} \right) \cdots$$
$$=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$

where the ranges are:

$$\mathcal{I}_1: |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}, \quad |x - t| \ge n^{-100};$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2: |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}, \quad |x - t| < n^{-100};$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3: 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1} < |t| < 1.$$

Using our estimates (11.3) and (11.5),

$$J_{1} \leq C \int_{\mathcal{I}_{1}} \frac{n^{1-\tau_{1}}}{|t-x|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{1-\tau_{1}} (\log n) (1-x^{2})^{-1/2}. \tag{11.58}$$

Next, from (11.5) and (11.6),

$$J_{3} \leq C \int_{\mathcal{I}_{3}} \frac{n^{1+4\tau_{1}} \sqrt{1-t^{2}} + n^{2/3+4\tau_{1}} + n^{1-\tau_{1}}}{|t-x|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{1+4\tau_{1}} \left(1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}} - |x|\right)^{-1} n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}}$$

$$+ Cn^{1-\tau_{1}} \left(1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}} - |x|\right)^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{3}+6\tau_{1}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{\frac{1}{3}+17\tau_{1}} + Cn^{\frac{2}{3}+6\tau_{1}} \leq Cn^{\frac{2}{3}+6\tau_{1}}.$$
(11.59)

Next, we estimate the central term J_2 . This is messy as we do not know that Q_n'' exists, and have to use the Lipschitz α condition on Q_n' . In this range, $1-|t| \sim 1-|x|$. Observe that from (11.57),

$$\Delta(x,t) = \left| \frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \left(1 - t^2 \right) - \frac{\psi_n'(x)}{\psi_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left| t - x + \frac{\left(1 - t^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}} \right| \\
- \frac{\left(1 - x^2 \right) \frac{d}{dx} \left(S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \right)}{S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)}} \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| t - x \right| + \left| x^2 - t^2 \right| \left| \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}} \right| \\
+ \left(1 - x^2 \right) \left| \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}} - \frac{\frac{d}{dx} \left(S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \right)}{S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)}} \right| \\
\leq C \left| t - x \right| \frac{n^{1+4\tau_1} \left(1 - t^2 + n^{-2/3} \right)^{1/2}}{1 - t^2} + \left(1 - x^2 \right) \left| T \right|, \tag{11.60}$$

where we have used (11.55) and (11.56), and

$$T = \left| \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right)}{S_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)}} - \frac{\frac{d}{dx} \left(S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \right)}{S_n(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)}} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{S'_n(t)}{S_n(t)} - \frac{S'_n(x)}{S_n(x)} \right| + 2n \left| Q'_n(t) - Q'_n(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{S'_n(t) e^{-nQ_n(t)} - S'_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)}}{S_n(t) e^{-nQ_n(t)}} \right|$$

$$+ \left| S'_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{S_n(t) e^{-nQ_n(t)}} - \frac{1}{S_n(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)}} \right|$$

$$+ 2n \left| Q'_n(t) - Q'_n(x) \right|$$

$$\leq Cn^{2+4\tau_1} (1 - x^2)^{-1} |t - x| + Cn^{2+8\tau_1} (1 - x^2)^{-2} |t - x| + Cn |t - x|^{\alpha},$$

by (1.11), (11.55), (11.56), and two applications of Theorem 8.1. Substituting this into (11.60) gives

$$\Delta(x,t) \le Cn^{2+8\tau_1} (1-x^2)^{-1} |t-x| + Cn |t-x|^{\alpha}.$$

Then

$$J_{2} = \int_{\mathcal{I}_{2}} \left| \frac{\Delta (x, t)}{t - x} \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C n^{2 + 8\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}} + C n \int_{\mathcal{I}_{2}} |t - x|^{\alpha - 1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C n^{-98 + 8\tau_{1}} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-3/2} + C n^{1 + 4\tau_{1}} n^{-100\alpha} \leq C n^{1 - \tau_{1}},$$

by our restrictions on x and τ_1 . Together with (11.58) and (11.59), this gives

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \frac{\frac{\psi_n'(t)}{\psi_n(t)} \left(1 - t^2 \right) - \frac{\psi_n'(x)}{\psi_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)}{t - x} \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}$$

$$\leq C n^{1 - \tau_1} \left(\log n \right) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{-1/2} + C n^{2/3 + 6\tau_1} + C n^{1 - \tau_1} \leq C n^{1 - \tau_1/3}.$$

 $\text{if } |x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}.$

Proof of Theorem 11.2. (a), (b) We let

$$S_n(t) = \left\{ \left| X_n(\rho_n t) \right|^2 \right\} Y_k(t),$$

where X_n and Y_k are as in Lemmas 11.6 and 11.8(I) respectively, and $k = k(n) = \left[\frac{1}{4}n^{1/3-2\tau_1}\right]$. We also set, as in the previous proof,

$$\varepsilon = 24\tau_1 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Then S_n has degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, and for $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\hat{\psi}_{n}(t) = |X_{n}(\rho_{n}t)|^{2} e^{-2nQ_{n}(\rho_{n}t)} \left[Y_{k}(t) \left| 1 - t^{2} \right|^{-1/2} \right]^{1/2} \leq 1,$$

by (11.34) and (11.44). So we have (11.15). Also from (11.36) and (11.45), we have

$$\hat{\psi}_n(t) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}) + O(k^{-\varepsilon/4}) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}),$$

as in the proof of Theorem 11.1. This holds for t satisfying $|t| \le 1 - k^{-2+\varepsilon}$ such that also

$$|\rho_n t| \le 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |t| \le \frac{1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}}{1 + \left(A\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}}$$

$$= 1 - 4n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 8\tau_1}(1 + o(1)).$$

Since $k^{-2+\varepsilon} \sim n^{-2/3+12\tau_1-48\tau_1^2}$, the first requirement is more severe. So it suffices that $|t| \le 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_1}$. Then we have (11.14). Also,

$$\int_{-1+n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_1}}^{1-n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_1}} \frac{\left|\log \hat{\psi}_n(t)\right|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \le Cn^{-\tau_1}.$$

Next, for $1 \ge |t| \ge 1 - n^{-\frac{2}{3} + 12\tau_1}$, we have $|\rho_n t| \le 1 + \left(A \frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}$, so our lower bound (11.35) (with Δ very small) gives

$$\left|X_n\left(\rho_n t\right)\right|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(\rho_n t)} \ge \exp\left(-n^{3\tau_1}\right).$$

Then from (11.47).

$$\hat{\psi}_n(t) \ge \exp\left(-Cn^{3\tau_1}\right)(1-t^2)^{1/4},$$

$$\int_{1\geq |t|\geq 1-n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}}} \frac{\left|\log \hat{\psi}_{n}\left(t\right)\right|}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} dt$$

$$= -\int_{1\geq |t|\geq 1-n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}}} \frac{\log \hat{\psi}_{n}\left(t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} dt$$

$$\leq C \int_{1\geq |t|\geq 1-n^{-\frac{2}{3}+12\tau_{1}}} \frac{n^{3\tau_{1}} + \left|\log \left(1-t^{2}\right)\right|}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} dt$$

$$< n^{-\tau_{1}},$$

for large enough n. So we have (11.16).

For the proof of Theorem 11.3, we need polynomials that are small in most of [-1, 1], but close to 1 near ± 1 :

Lemma 11.9. There exist polynomials Z_m of degree $\leq m$ such that

$$0 < Z_m < 1 \text{ in } [-1, 1];$$
 (11.61)

$$0 < Z_m \le \frac{C_1}{m^{1/2}}, \quad |x| \le 1 - 2m^{-1/2}; \tag{11.62}$$

$$0 \le 1 - Z_m(x) \le \frac{C_1}{m^{1/2}}, \quad 1 - m^{-1/2} \le |x| \le 1. \tag{11.63}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = m^{-1/2}$ and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \le 1 - 2\varepsilon; \\ 1, & 1 - \varepsilon \le |x| \le 1. \end{cases}$$

We may define f in $1 - \varepsilon \le |x| \le 1 - 2\varepsilon$ so that f is continuously differentiable in [-1, 1] with

$$\left|f'(x)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

(Smooth a piecewise linear function near $\pm (1 - \varepsilon)$ and $\pm (1 - 2\varepsilon)$.) By Jackson's Theorem, we may find polynomials Z_m of degree $\leq m$ such that

$$||f - Z_m||_{L_{\infty}[-1,1]} \le \frac{C}{m\varepsilon} = \frac{C}{m^{1/2}}.$$

We can assume $0 < Z_m < 1$ in [-1, 1], by adding a small positive constant and then dividing by $1 + Am^{-1/2}$ for large enough A. We easily obtain (11.62-3) from the last inequality.

Proof of Theorem 11.3. We let *B* be a large enough positive number, and

$$S_{n}\left(t\right) = \left\{ \left|X_{n}\left(t\right)\right|^{2} + BE_{n}\left(t\right)Z_{m}\left(t\right) \right\}Y_{k}\left(t\right),$$

where X_n and Y_k are as in Lemmas 11.6 and 11.8(II) respectively, and $k = \left[\frac{1}{4}n^{1/3-2\tau_1}\right]$. Moreover, Z_m is as in Lemma 11.9 with $m \sim n^{2\tau_1}$. Then E_nZ_m has degree $\leq 2n - 3n^{1/3} + n^{2\tau_1} \leq 2n - 2n^{1/3}$ for large enough n, so S_n has degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3-2\tau_1}$. By (11.36), (11.45), and (11.62),

$$\psi_n(t) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}) + O(n^{-\tau_1}) + O(k^{-\varepsilon/4}),$$

for t satisfying all of $|t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 8\tau_1}$ and $|t| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$ and $|t| \le 1 - k^{-2 + \varepsilon}$. As in the proof of Theorem 11.1, we see that then

$$\psi_n(t) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}), \tag{11.64}$$

for $|t| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$. Also, for $1 - n^{-\tau_1} \le |t| \le 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 8\tau_1}$, we have by (11.36) and (11.47),

$$\psi_n^2(t) \ge \left\{ |X_n(t)|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(t)} \right\} Y_k(t) \left(1 - t^2\right)^{-1/2} \ge 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1})$$

while for $1 - n^{-\tau_1} \le |t| \le 1$, by (11.43), (11.47), (11.63),

$$\psi_n^2(t) \ge \left\{ BE_n(t) e^{-2nQ_n(t)} Z_m(t) \right\} Y_k(t) \left(1 - t^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$
$$\ge BC \left(1 - \frac{C_1}{n^{\tau_1}} \right) \ge 1,$$

provided *B* is large enough. Since $1 - n^{-\tau_1} < 1 - 4n^{-2/3 + 8\tau_1}$, we have proved that for all $t \in [-1, 1]$

$$\psi_n^2(t) \ge 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}).$$

Multiplying S_n by $1 + Dn^{-\tau_1}$ with D large enough gives (11.17). Next, (11.64) shows that

$$\int_{-1+n^{-\tau_1}}^{1-n^{-\tau_1}} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \le Cn^{-\tau_1}.$$

Finally, using (11.34), (11.43), (11.47), and (11.61), we see that

$$\int_{[-1,1]\setminus[-1+n^{-\tau_1},1-n^{-\tau_1}]} \frac{|\log \psi_n(t)|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \le C (\log n) n^{-\tau_1/2}.$$

Then (11.18) follows.

Chapter 12 Formulae Involving Bernstein-Szegő Polynomials



In this chapter, we state some formulae involving orthonormal polynomials for Bernstein-Szegő weights $w_{n,B}^2$, where

$$w_{n,B}(t) = \frac{\left(1 - t^2\right)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{S_n(t)}}.$$

Here S_n is a polynomial of degree $2q_n \leq 2n$, that is positive in [-1,1], except possibly for simple zeros at ± 1 . In the previous chapter, we approximated e^{-2nQ_n} by such a weight, and in subsequent chapters, we shall use formulae for $p_n\left(w_{n,B}^2,x\right)=\gamma_n\left(w_{n,B}^2\right)x^n+\cdots$ and related quantities, to obtain asymptotics for quantities associated with e^{-2nQ_n} . We need some more notation. Throughout we assume that $\{Q_n\}\in\mathcal{Q}$. For a function $g:[-1,1]\to\mathbb{R}$, we let $\check{g}(\theta)=g(\cos\theta)$, and in particular, we let

$$\widetilde{w}_{n,B}(\theta) = w_{n,B}(\cos \theta) \tag{12.1}$$

and

$$f_n(\theta) = w_{n,B}^2(\cos \theta) |\sin \theta| = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{S_n(\cos \theta)}.$$
 (12.2)

Define the associated Szegő function

$$D(f_n; z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log f_n(t) dt\right), \quad |z| < 1,$$
 (12.3)

© The Author(s) 2018

that satisfies

$$\left|D\left(f_n;e^{it}\right)\right|^2 = f_n\left(t\right), \quad t \in \left(-\pi,\pi\right) \setminus \{0\}. \tag{12.4}$$

The argument of the Szegő function on the unit circle is [25, p. 420]

$$\Gamma(f_n; \theta) = \arg D(f_n; e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} PV \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\cot \frac{\theta - t}{2}\right) \log f_n(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\cot \frac{\theta - t}{2}\right) \left[\log f_n(t) - \log f_n(\theta)\right] dt. \tag{12.5}$$

Here *PV* denotes Cauchy Principal Value. If $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $x = \cos \theta$, where $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, then also [25, eqn. (21), p. 441; eqn. (41), p. 433]

$$\Gamma(f_n; \theta) = \Gamma(\check{w}_{n,B}^2; \theta) + \Gamma(|\sin \cdot|; \theta)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\log w_{n,B}^2(s) - \log w_{n,B}^2(x)}{s - x} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$
(12.6)

Also, its derivative satisfies [25, eqn. (23), p. 441]

$$\Gamma'(f_n; \theta) = \Gamma'(\check{w}_{n,B}^2; \theta) + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} \left(\log w_{n,B}^2(s) \right) \right\} \frac{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}$$
(12.7)

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left(w'_{n,B}(s) / w_{n,B}(s)\right) \left(1 - s^{2}\right) - \left(w'_{n,B}(x) / w_{n,B}(x)\right) \left(1 - x^{2}\right)}{s - x} \times \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^{2}}} + \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (12.8)

We can now state:

Lemma 12.1. Let $m \ge q_n = \frac{1}{2} \deg(S_n)$. Let $x = \cos \theta$, where $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, and let $z = e^{i\theta}$.

$$\gamma_{m}\left(w_{n,B}^{2}\right) = \frac{2^{m}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f_{n}\left(t\right) dt\right)$$

$$= \frac{2^{m}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\log\left[w_{n,B}^{2}\left(s\right)\sqrt{1-s^{2}}\right]}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}} ds\right). \tag{12.9}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p_m \left(w_{n,B}^2, x \right) w_{n,B} \left(x \right) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} = \cos \left(m\theta + \Gamma \left(f_n; \theta \right) \right). \tag{12.10}$$

(c)

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p'_{m} \left(w_{n,B}^{2}, x\right) w_{n,B} \left(x\right) \left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{3/4}$$

$$= \left(m + \Gamma'\left(f_{n}; \theta\right)\right) \sin\left(m\theta + \Gamma\left(f_{n}; \theta\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f'_{n}(\theta)}{f_{n}(\theta)} \cos\left(m\theta + \Gamma\left(f_{n}; \theta\right)\right).$$
(12.11)

(d)

$$\pi \lambda_m^{-1} (w_{n,B}^2, x) w_{n,B}^2(x) (1 - x^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= m - \frac{1}{2} + \Gamma'(f_n; \theta) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \sin((2m - 1)\theta + 2\Gamma(f_n; \theta)). \quad (12.12)$$

Proof. We apply the results of Appendix B in [25] with a polynomial $S = S_n$ of degree $2q_n$ there and n there replaced by $m \ge q_n$.

- (a) This is (a) in [25, p. 435, Theorem B.1].
- (b) This is (c) in [25, p. 436, Theorem B.1(c)].
- (c) This is (a) of Theorem B.4 in [25, p. 439, Thm. B.4(a)].
- (d) This is (b) of Theorem B.4 in [25, p. 440, Thm. B.4(b)].

 Recall our notation

$$\psi_n(t) = e^{-nQ_n(t)} w_{nR}^{-1}(t)$$
.

Our next lemma provides identities connecting Γ $(f_n; \cdot)$ or Γ $(F_n; \cdot)$ and $\sigma_{Q_n, 1}$:

Lemma 12.2. (a) For $x = \cos \theta$,

$$n + \Gamma'(f_n; \theta) = n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left[\psi'_n(s)/\psi_n(s)\right] \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (12.13)

(b) Let

$$F_n(\theta) = e^{-2nQ_n(\cos\theta)} |\sin\theta|. \tag{12.14}$$

Then

$$n\theta + \Gamma\left(F_n;\theta\right) = n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{Q_n}\left(t\right) dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$
 (12.15)

Proof. (a) Now

$$\log \psi_n(t) = -nQ_n(t) - \log w_{n,B}(t),$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\psi_{n}\left(t\right) = -nQ'_{n}\left(t\right) - \frac{d}{dt}\log w_{n,B}\left(t\right).$$

Then from (12.7),

$$\Gamma'(f_n; \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} \left(\log w_{n,B}^2(s) \right) \right\} \frac{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q'_n(s) \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left[\psi'_n(s) / \psi_n(s) \right] \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}.$$
(12.16)

Now from (3.2), with r = 1, $a_{\pm n,r} = \pm 1$,

$$\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) = (1 - x^2) \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q'_n(s)}{s - x} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}$$

$$= \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q'_n(s)}{s - x} \left(1 - s^2 + s^2 - x^2\right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}$$

$$= \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q'_n(s)}{s - x} \sqrt{1 - s^2} ds + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 Q'_n(s) (s + x) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}$$

$$= \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q'_n(s)}{s - x} \sqrt{1 - s^2} ds + 1, \qquad (12.17)$$

by our equilibrium relations (3.1). Thus substituting in (12.16) gives

$$\Gamma'(f_n; \theta) = n\left(\sqrt{1 - x^2}\sigma_{Q_n}(x)\pi - 1\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\pi}PV\int_{-1}^1 \frac{\left[\psi_n'(s)/\psi_n(s)\right]\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x}ds + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then (12.13) follows.

(b) Let $x \in (-1, 1)$, $x = \cos \theta$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. As at (12.7), we obtain from [25, p. 441, eqn. (23)],

$$\Gamma'(F_n; \theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{d}{ds} \left(\log \left[e^{-2nQ_n(s)} \right] \right) \right] \frac{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} Q'_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= n \left(\sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) \pi - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{12.18}$$

by (12.17). Then integrating,

$$\int_{x}^{1} \left(n + \Gamma' \left(F_{n}; \arccos t \right) \right) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}} = n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{Q_{n}}(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(n + \Gamma' \left(F_{n}; s \right) \right) ds = n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{Q_{n}}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow n\theta + \Gamma \left(F_{n}; \theta \right) - \Gamma \left(F_{n}; 0 \right) = n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{Q_{n}}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2}. \tag{12.19}$$

We turn to evaluating Γ (F_n ; 0). Now by [25, p. 433, eqn. (41)]

$$\Gamma(F_n; \theta) = \Gamma\left(e^{-2n\check{Q}_n}; \theta\right) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\left(\sin^2 \cdot; \theta\right)$$
$$= \Gamma\left(e^{-2n\check{Q}_n}; \theta\right) + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}. \tag{12.20}$$

Here, by Lemma B.5(a) in [25, p. 441, eqn. (21)], with $x = \cos \theta$,

$$\Gamma\left(e^{-2n\underline{Q}_{n}};\theta\right) = -\frac{n\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q'_{n}(s) - Q'_{n}(x)}{s-x} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}}$$

and since Q'_n satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ near 1, we have

$$\Gamma\left(e^{-2n\check{Q}_n};0\right) = \lim_{x\to 1^-} \Gamma\left(e^{-2n\check{Q}_n};\theta\right) = 0.$$

Then (12.20) gives

$$\Gamma(F_n; 0) = -\frac{\pi}{4}.$$
 (12.21)

Substituting into (12.19) gives (12.15).

We also need an estimate on $p_m\left(w_{n,B}^2,\cdot\right)$ in the complex plane:

Lemma 12.3. Let $m \ge q_n$ and

$$\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}. (12.22)$$

Then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| p_m \left(w_{n,B}^2, z \right) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \phi \left(z \right)^m D^{-2} \left(\check{w}_{n,B}; \phi \left(z \right)^{-1} \right) \left(1 - \phi \left(z \right)^{-2} \right)^{-1/2} \right\} - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \phi \left(z \right) \right|^{2q_n - 2m - 2}.$$

Proof. This is the special case p=2 of Theorem A.1(d) in [25, p. 422]. Note that there $V_p=V_2=w_{n,B}$ and $\kappa_2=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

Chapter 13

Asymptotics of Orthonormal Polynomials



In this chapter, we establish asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on and off the interval of orthogonality, as well as their first derivative, their leading coefficients, their recurrence coefficients, their zeros, and the associated Christoffel functions. We first state the theorems, and then prove them sequentially. Throughout, we assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$, as in Definition 1.1.

Theorem 13.1. Let $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{50})$. For $|n-m| \le \frac{1}{2}n^{1/3-2\tau_1}$, and some C > 0, the leading coefficient $\gamma_{n,m}$ of $p_{n,m}$ satisfies

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right) \left(1 + O(n^{-C})\right). \tag{13.1}$$

Moreover, if $w_{n,B}$ is as in Theorem 11.1, then for some C > 0,

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \gamma_m \left(w_{n,B}^2 \right) \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C} \right) \right).$$
 (13.2)

The next result focuses on asymptotics inside and outside [-1, 1]:

Theorem 13.2. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. Let $\{F_n\}$ be defined by (12.14) and $\{\Gamma(F_n; \cdot)\}$ be defined by (12.15). For n > 1, let

$$|m-n| \le n^{1/3-\varepsilon}. (13.3)$$

There exists $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$ with the following properties: (a) For $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$, and $\theta = \arccos x$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1 - x^2)^{1/4}$$

$$= \cos(m\theta + \Gamma(F_n; \theta)) + O(n^{-\tau_1})$$

© The Author(s) 2018

$$= \cos\left(\left(m - n\right)\theta + n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{Q_{n}}\left(t\right)dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}}\right). \tag{13.4}$$

(b) For $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p'_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{1/4}$$

$$= \pi \sigma_{Q_n}(x) \sin(m\theta + \Gamma(F_n; \theta))$$

$$+ Q'_n(\cos \theta) \cos(m\theta + \Gamma(F_n; \theta)) + O\left(n^{-\tau_1/3}\right)$$

$$= \pi \sigma_{Q_n}(x) \sin\left((m - n)\theta + n\pi \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$$

$$+ Q'_n(x) \cos\left((m - n)\theta + n\pi \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_1/3}\right).$$
(13.5)

(c) For dist $(z, [-1, 1]) > n^{-\tau_1/2}$,

$$\left| p_{n,m}(z) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi(z)^m D^{-1} \left(F_n; \phi(z)^{-1} \right) \right\} - 1 \right| \le C n^{-\tau_1/2}. \tag{13.6}$$

Next, we turn to Christoffel functions:

Theorem 13.3. There exists $\tau_1 > 0$ such that for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} = n\sigma_{O_n}(x) + O\left(n^{1-\tau_1} \right). \tag{13.7}$$

Recall that the three term recurrence relation for $p_{n,m}$ has the form

$$xp_{n,m}(x) = A_{n,m}p_{n,m+1}(x) + B_{n,m}p_{n,m}(x) + A_{n,m-1}p_{n,m-1}(x)$$
.

We prove:

Theorem 13.4. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. Uniformly for m = m(n) satisfying (13.3), and some $\tau_1 > 0$,

$$A_{n,m} = \frac{1}{2} + O(n^{-\tau_1}) \text{ and } B_{n,m} = O(n^{-\tau_1}).$$
 (13.8)

Our final result in this chapter concerns asymptotics of zeros of orthogonal polynomials. For $n \ge 1$, define $g_n : [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_n(x) = \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n}(t) dt + \frac{\arccos x}{2\pi n} + \frac{1}{4n}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$
 (13.9)

Then

$$g_n(-1) = 1 + \frac{3}{4n}$$
 and $g_n(1) = \frac{1}{4n}$,

and moreover,

$$g'_n(x) = -\sigma_{Q_n}(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi n\sqrt{1-x^2}} < 0, \quad x \in (-1,1).$$
 (13.10)

It follows that there is a unique root $y_{in} \in (-1, 1)$ of

$$g_n(y_{jn}) = \frac{j}{n}, \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$
 (13.11)

Moreover, since $\sigma_{Q_n}(x) \sim \sqrt{1-x^2}$ and $|g'_n| \sim \sigma_{Q_n}$ uniformly in such n, x,

$$y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n} \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1 - y_{jn}^2}},$$
 (13.12)

uniformly for j with $|y_{jn}| \le 1 - \frac{C}{n}$, some large enough C.

Theorem 13.5. There exists $\tau_2 > 0$ and n_0 such that for $n \ge n_0$,

(a) Uniformly for k with $|x_{kn}| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_2}$, there is a j = j(k, n) such that

$$n(x_{kn} - y_{jn}) = O(n^{-\tau_2}).$$
 (13.13)

Moreover for each j, there is exactly one k for which this last estimate holds.

(b) Uniformly for k with $|x_{kn}| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_2}$,

$$n\sigma_{Q_n}(x_{kn})(x_{kn} - x_{k+1,n}) = 1 + O(n^{-\tau_2}).$$
 (13.14)

We begin the proofs of the theorems:

Lemma 13.6. Let r^* be as in Definition 1.1, and

$$H_n(\lambda) = n \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q_n(\lambda x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx - \log \lambda \right\}, \quad 0 \le \lambda \le r^*.$$
 (13.15)

Then

$$H_n(\lambda) \ge H_n(1) = \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{Q_n(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx.$$
 (13.16)

Proof. This follows from the maximum property of the \mathcal{F} -functional [44, p. 194, Thm. IV.1.5]: for $0 \le \lambda \le r^*$,

$$\log \frac{\lambda}{2} - \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \frac{Q_n(t)}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda^2 - t^2}} dt \le \log \frac{1}{2} - \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q_n(t)}{\pi \sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt,$$

since [-1, 1] is the support of the equilibrium measure for Q_n . This last inequality is easily reformulated as (13.16).

Proof of Theorem 13.1. We first prove the bound:

$$\gamma_{n,m}^{-2} \le \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \left(\frac{2^{m}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{-2nQ_{n}(x) + \log\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}}\right).$$
 (13.17)

To prove this, for $n \ge 1$, let

$$\rho_n = 1 + \left(\frac{A \log n}{n}\right)^{2/3},\,$$

where A is large enough. Using the extremal property of leading coefficients, and our restricted range inequality Theorem 4.2(c) with T = 1, $S = n^{1/3}$, and $R = (A \log n)^{2/3}$ with large enough A > 0, we have for some C > 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,m}^{-2} &= \inf_{\deg(P) \le m-1} \int_{I_n} (x^m - P(x))^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \\ &\le \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \inf_{\deg(P) \le m-1} \int_{-\rho_n}^{\rho_n} (x^m - P(x))^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \rho_n^{2m+1} \inf_{\deg(P) \le m-1} \int_{-1}^{1} (t^m - P(t))^2 e^{-2nQ_n(\rho_n t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, Theorem 11.2 gives polynomials S_n of degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$ and Bernstein-Szegő weights $w_{n,B}$, with

$$\hat{\psi}_n(t) = e^{-nQ_n(\rho_n t)} w_{nR}^{-1}(t) \le 1, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

Then using this inequality and (12.9),

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{n,m}^{-2} &\leq \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \rho_n^{2m+1} \inf_{\deg(P) \leq m-1} \int_{-1}^1 \left(t^m - P\left(t\right)\right)^2 w_{n,B}^2\left(t\right) dt \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \rho_n^{2m+1} \gamma_m^{-2} \left(w_{n,B}^2\right) \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \rho_n^{2m+1} \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \log\left[w_{n,B}^2\left(x\right) \sqrt{1 - x^2}\right] \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right) \end{split}$$

$$= (1 + O(n^{-C})) \rho_n^{2m+1} \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{-2nQ_n(\rho_n x) - \log\left[\hat{\psi}_n(x)^2\right] + \log\sqrt{1 - x^2}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right)$$

$$= (1 + O(n^{-C})) \rho_n^{2m+1} \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{-2nQ_n(\rho_n x) + \log\sqrt{1 - x^2}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right), \quad (13.18)$$

by (11.16) of Theorem 11.2. Now we apply Lemma 13.6, with H_n as in (13.15),

$$\rho_n^{2m} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 2nQ_n (\rho_n x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right)$$

$$= \rho_n^{2(m-n)} \exp\left(-2H_n (\rho_n)\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left(O\left((m-n) \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}\right)\right) \exp\left(-2H_n (1)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 2nQ_n (x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right) (1 + O(n^{-C})),$$

some C>0, recall that $|m-n|=O(n^{1/3})$. Substituting this in (13.18) gives (13.17). Next, we prove the matching lower bound

$$\gamma_{n,m}^{-2} \ge \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)\right) \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{-2nQ_n\left(x\right) + \log\sqrt{1 - x^2}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right). \tag{13.19}$$

Here we choose $w_{n,B}$ as in Theorem 11.3. By that theorem, followed by (12.9),

$$\gamma_{n,m}^{-2} \ge \inf_{\deg(P) \le m-1} \int_{-1}^{1} (x^m - P(x))^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx
\ge \inf_{\deg(P) \le m-1} \int_{-1}^{1} (t^m - P(t))^2 w_{n,B}^2(t) dt
= \gamma_m (w_{n,B}^2)^{-2}
= \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \log\left[w_{n,B}^2(x)\sqrt{1-x^2}\right] \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \left\{-2nQ_n(x) - \log\left[\psi_n(x)^2\right]\right\} + \log\sqrt{1 - x^2}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}$$

$$= \left(1 + O(n^{-C})\right) \left(\frac{2^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \left\{-2nQ_n(x) + \log\sqrt{1 - x^2}\right\} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}\right),$$

by (11.18). So we have (13.19).

Finally, (13.1) follows from (13.17) and (13.19), and the classic potential theory identity

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\log(1 \pm x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx = -\log 2.$$

Also (13.2) follows easily using Theorem 11.1.

Next, we turn to the proof of Theorem 13.2. That theorem refers to *some* $\tau_1 \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. We shall sometimes decrease the parameter τ_1 . This has the effect of decreasing the range $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$ and increasing the error estimate $O(n^{-\tau_1})$. Our restriction that $\tau_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ ensures that (13.3) is compatible with the requirement $m \geq q_n = \frac{1}{2} \deg(S_n)$ in Lemma 12.1 and our use of Theorem 11.1. There S_n has degree $\leq 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, which is compatible with (13.3) if

$$m \ge n - n^{1/3 - \varepsilon} \ge n - \frac{1}{2} n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow n^{\varepsilon} > 2n^{2\tau_1}.$

Our hypothesis $\tau_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ ensures that this last inequality holds for large enough n.

We now show that $p_{n,m}(x)$ is close to $p_m(w_{n,B}^2,x)$ when $w_{n,B}^2$ is as in Theorem 11.1:

Lemma 13.7. Assume (13.3). Let $\{w_{n,B}\}$ be as in Theorem 11.1, and

$$\pi_{n,m}(x) = p_{n,m}(x) - \frac{\gamma_{n,m}}{\gamma_m(w_{n,B}^2)} p_m(w_{n,B}^2, x).$$
 (13.20)

Then for some $\tau_1 > 0$, (a)

$$\sup_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} |\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x) (1 - x^2)^{1/4} \le C n^{-\tau_1/3}.$$
(13.21)

$$\sup_{1-n^{-\tau_1} \le |x| \le 1} |\pi_{n,m}(x)| \, w_{n,B}(x) \, (1-x^2)^{3/2} \le C n^{5\tau_1}. \tag{13.22}$$

(c)

$$\sup_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}} \left| \pi'_{n,m}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C n^{1 - \tau_1/6}. \tag{13.23}$$

(d)

$$\sup_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \lambda_n^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) - \lambda_n^{-1} \left(w_{n,B}^2, x \right) \right| e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le C n^{-\tau_1}. \tag{13.24}$$

Proof. (a) We use Korous' method: by orthogonality,

$$\pi_{n,m}(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \pi_{n,m}(t) K_{m}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x, t) w_{n,B}^{2}(t) dt$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n,m}(t) K_{m}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x, t) w_{n,B}^{2}(t) dt$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n,m}(t) K_{m}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x, t)$$

$$\times \left[w_{n,B}^{2}(t) - w_{n,B}^{2}(x) e^{2n(Q_{n}(x) - Q_{n}(t))} \right] dt.$$

Recall that

$$\psi_n(t) = w_{n,R}^{-1}(t) e^{-nQ_n(t)}$$

and let

$$\Delta_{n}(x,t) = \frac{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\psi_{n}(t)}{\psi_{n}(x)}\right)^{2}\right]}{x - t}.$$

Then using the Christoffel-Darboux formula,

$$\pi_{n,m}(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n,m}(t) K_m(w_{n,B}^2, x, t) w_{n,B}^2(t) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right] dt$$

$$= \frac{\gamma_{m-1}(w_{n,B}^2)}{\gamma_m(w_{n,B}^2)}$$

$$\times \left\{ p_m(w_{n,B}^2, x) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n,m}(t) p_{m-1}(w_{n,B}^2, t) w_{n,B}^2(t) \Delta_n(x, t) dt \right\}$$

$$-p_{m-1}(w_{n,B}^2, x) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n,m}(t) p_m(w_{n,B}^2, t) w_{n,B}^2(t) \Delta_n(x, t) dt \right\}.$$

Here by (12.9), $\frac{\gamma_{m-1}(w_{n,B}^2)}{\gamma_m(w_{n,B}^2)} = \frac{1}{2}$. Next from (12.10), for k = m-1, m, and $x \in (-1, 1)$,

$$|p_k(w_{n,B}^2, x)| w_{n,B}(x) (1 - x^2)^{1/4} \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$
 (13.25)

Also, by Theorem 7.1,

$$\sup_{t \in [-1,1]} |p_{n,m}(t)| e^{-nQ_n(t)} \left(1 - t^2\right)^{1/4} \le C.$$
 (13.26)

Then for |x| < 1,

$$|\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x) (1-x^2)^{1/4}$$

$$\leq C \int_{-1}^{1} e^{nQ_n(t)} w_{n,B}(t) |\Delta_n(x,t)| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}$$

$$= C \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left|1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)}\right)^2\right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x-t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}.$$

Now Theorem 11.1(f) gives the result.

(b) Here we apply Theorem 11.1(h) in the above inequality: for $1 - n^{-\tau_1} \le |x| \le 1$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left| 1 - \left(\frac{\psi_n(t)}{\psi_n(x)} \right)^2 \right| \psi_n(t)^{-1}}{|x - t|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \le C n^{5\tau_1} \left(1 - |x| \right)^{-5/4}. \tag{13.27}$$

(c) Observe first that for $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$|\pi_{n,m}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} = |\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x) \psi_n(x) \le |\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x),$$
 (13.28)

as follows from (11.4). We see from (b) that for $1 - n^{-\tau_1} \le |x| \le 1$,

$$(1-x^2)^8 |\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x) \le Cn^{-\tau_1}.$$

Also for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$, (a) gives

$$(1-x^2)^8 |\pi_{n,m}(x)| w_{n,B}(x) \le Cn^{-\tau_1/3}.$$

These last two inequalities, the fact that $e^{-nQ_n} \le w_{n,B}$ in [-1, 1], and our restricted range inequality (4.4) give

$$(1-x^2)^8 |\pi_{n,m}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le Cn^{-\tau_1/3}, \quad x \in I_n.$$

By our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 8.1(b), for |x| < 1,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \left(1 - x^2 \right)^8 \pi_{n,m} \left(x \right) \right\} \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C n^{1 - \tau_1/3}.$$

Then

$$\left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{8} \left|\pi'_{n,m}(x)\right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \leq Cn^{1-\tau_{1}/3} + 16\left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{7} \left|\pi_{n,m}(x)\right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left|\pi'_{n,m}(x)\right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \leq \frac{Cn^{1-\tau_{1}/3}}{\left(1 - x^{2}\right)^{8}} + \frac{16}{1 - x^{2}} \left|\pi_{n,m}(x)\right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \leq Cn^{1-\tau_{1}/6}$$

for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}$.

(d) This follows in a fairly straightforward but technical fashion from our earlier asymptotics. We provide some details. Recall the formulae

$$\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(e^{-2nQ_{n}},x\right) = \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}}\left(p'_{n,n}\left(x\right)p_{n,n-1}\left(x\right) - p_{n,n}\left(x\right)p'_{n,n-1}\left(x\right)\right);$$

$$\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(w_{n,B}^{2}\right)}{\gamma_{n}\left(w_{n,B}^{2}\right)}\left(p'_{n}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)p_{n-1}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right) - p_{n}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)p'_{n-1}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)\right).$$

$$(13.29)$$

We also use that by (12.9), (13.1), and (13.2), for m = n - 1, n,

$$\frac{\gamma_{n-1}(w_{n,B}^2)}{\gamma_n(w_{n,B}^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} = \frac{1}{2} + O(n^{-C}) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{n,m}}{\gamma_m(w_{n,B}^2)} = 1 + O(n^{-C}). \tag{13.30}$$

Let

$$\pi_{n,m}^{\#}(x) = p_{n,m}(x) - p_m(w_{n,B}^2, x)$$
$$= \pi_{n,m}(x) + O(n^{-C}) p_m(w_{n,B}^2, x).$$

Recall the bounds (13.21), (13.25) and that $e^{-nQ_n} \le w_{n,B}$. Then for some small enough τ_0 and m = n - 1, n,

$$\sup_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} \left| \pi_{n,m}^{\#}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C n^{-\tau_0}, \tag{13.31}$$

and much as in (c),

$$\sup_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}} \left| \pi_{n,m}^{\#}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C n^{1 - \tau_0}. \tag{13.32}$$

Note too that the bound

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left| p_{n,m}(x) \left(1 - x^2 \right) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \le C$$

(which follows from Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 4.2(a)) and Theorem 8.1(b) imply

$$|p'_{n,m}(x)|e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C\frac{n}{1-x^2}, \quad |x| < 1.$$
 (13.33)

Next some straightforward manipulations give

$$\Psi_{n}(x) := \left(p'_{n,n}(x) p_{n,n-1}(x) - p_{n,n}(x) p'_{n,n-1}(x) \right)$$

$$- \left(p'_{n}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) p_{n-1}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) - p_{n}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) p'_{n-1}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) \right)$$

$$= p'_{n,n}(x) \pi_{n,n-1}^{\#}(x) - \pi_{n,n}^{\#}(x) p'_{n,n-1}(x)$$

$$+ \pi_{n,n}^{\#}(x) p_{n-1}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) - \pi_{n,n-1}^{\#}(x) p_{n}(w_{n,B}^{2}, x) .$$

Then our estimates (13.25–26) and (13.31–(13.33)) give for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}$, and some small enough τ_0 ,

$$|\Psi_n(x)|e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \le C\frac{n^{1-\tau_0}}{1-x^2}.$$

Combining this and (13.29-13.30) gives the result.

Proof of Theorem 13.2(a). We use the Bernstein-Szegő weights of Theorem 11.1. Now from (11.2), (12.2), and (12.14),

$$f_n(\theta) = F_n(\theta) \psi_n^{-2}(\cos \theta).$$

Using

$$\cos t - \cos \theta = 2 \sin \left(\frac{\theta - t}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\theta + t}{2}\right)$$

and recalling (12.5),

$$\Gamma(f_n; \theta) - \Gamma(F_n; \theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\log \psi_n^{-2}(\cos t) - \log \psi_n^{-2}(\cos \theta) \right] \cot \left(\frac{\theta - t}{2} \right) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\log \psi_n^{-2}(\cos t) - \log \psi_n^{-2}(\cos \theta)}{\cos t - \cos \theta} \sin \theta dt$$

and hence, for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$ with $x = \cos \theta$,

$$|\Gamma(f_n; \theta) - \Gamma(F_n; \theta)| \le C \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \frac{\log \psi_n^{-2}(s) - \log \psi_n^{-2}(x)}{s - x} \right| \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} < C n^{-\tau_1/3}, \tag{13.34}$$

by (11.9). We substitute this in (12.10):

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p_m \left(w_{n,B}^2, x \right) w_{n,B} \left(x \right) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} = \cos \left(m\theta + \Gamma \left(f_n; \theta \right) \right)$$
$$= \cos \left(m\theta + \Gamma \left(F_n; \theta \right) \right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_1/3} \right).$$

Then from Lemma 13.7(a), (13.30), and (11.3),

$$p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1-x^2)^{1/4} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(m\theta + \Gamma(F_n;\theta)) + O(n^{-\tau_1/3}).$$

This gives the first asymptotic in (13.4), except that τ_1 is replaced by $\tau_1/3$. We can just reduce τ_1 to $\tau_1/3$. The second follows from (12.15).

Proof of Theorem 13.2(b). Recall from Lemma 12.1(c) that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}p'_{m}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)w_{n,B}\left(x\right)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3/4}$$

$$=\left(m+\Gamma'\left(f_{n};\theta\right)\right)\sin\left(m\theta+\Gamma\left(f_{n};\theta\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{f'_{n}\left(\theta\right)}{f_{n}\left(\theta\right)}\cos\left(m\theta+\Gamma\left(f_{n};\theta\right)\right).$$
(13.35)

Here if $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ is such that $x = \cos \theta$ satisfies $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{f_n'(\theta)}{f_n(\theta)} &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \log \left\{ w_{n,B}^2(\cos \theta) |\sin \theta| \right\} \\ &= -2 \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\log \psi_n(\cos \theta) + nQ_n(\cos \theta) \right) + \cot \theta \\ &= 2 \frac{\psi_n'(\cos \theta)}{\psi_n(\cos \theta)} \sin \theta + 2nQ_n'(\cos \theta) \sin \theta + \cot \theta \\ &= 2nQ_n'(\cos \theta) \sin \theta + O\left(n^{1-\tau_1}\right), \end{split}$$

by (11.3) and (11.5). Also, by (12.13),

$$n + \Gamma'(f_n; \theta)$$

$$= n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\psi'_n(s)}{\psi_n(s)} \left(1 - s^2\right) - \frac{\psi'_n(x)}{\psi_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2\right)}{s - x} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}$$

$$= n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + O\left(n^{1 - \tau_1/3}\right),$$

by (11.11), so, recalling (13.3),

$$m + \Gamma'(f_n; \theta) = n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + O(n^{1 - \tau_1/3}) + O(n^{1/3})$$
$$= n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n}(x) + O(n^{1 - \tau_1/3}).$$

Then from (13.34) and (13.35), and (1.17),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} p'_{m} (w_{n,B}^{2}, x) w_{n,B} (x) (1 - x^{2})^{3/4}
= \left(\pi \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \sigma_{Q_{n}} (x) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}/3})\right) \sin(m\theta + \Gamma(F_{n}; \theta) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}/3}))
+ \left(Q'_{n} (\cos \theta) \sin \theta + O(n^{-\tau_{1}})\right) \cos(m\theta + \Gamma(F_{n}; \theta) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}/3}))
= \pi \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \sigma_{Q_{n}} (x) \sin(m\theta + \Gamma(F_{n}; \theta))
+ Q'_{n} (\cos \theta) \sin \theta \cos(m\theta + \Gamma(F_{n}; \theta)) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}/3})$$

and hence also

$$\frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}p'_{m}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)w_{n,B}\left(x\right)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$=\pi\sigma_{Q_{n}}\left(x\right)\sin\left(m\theta+\Gamma\left(F_{n};\theta\right)\right)+Q'_{n}\left(\cos\theta\right)\cos\left(m\theta+\Gamma\left(F_{n};\theta\right)\right)$$

$$+O\left(\frac{n^{-\tau_{1}/3}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right).$$

In view of Lemma 13.7(c) and (13.30), also

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}p'_{n,m}\left(x\right)e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x\right)}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1/4}\\ &=\frac{1}{n}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}p'_{m}\left(w_{n,B}^{2},x\right)w_{n,B}\left(x\right)+O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}/6}\right)\right]\psi_{n}\left(x\right)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1/4}, \end{split}$$

and then the result follows.

Next, we turn to asymptotics of orthonormal polynomials in the complex plane. In both lemmas below, we continue to assume and use (13.3) and (13.20). First we need:

Lemma 13.8. For some $\tau_1 > 0, C > 0$, (a)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |\pi_{n,m}(x)|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \le Cn^{-\tau_1/2}.$$
 (13.36)

(b) For $x = \cos \theta$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, let

$$\Psi_{n,m}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left((m-n) \theta + n\pi \int_{x}^{1} \sigma_{n}(t) dt + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right).$$
 (13.37)

Then

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| p_{n,m}(x) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} - \Psi_{n,m}(x) e^{nQ_n(x)} \right|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \le C n^{-\tau_1/2}.$$
 (13.38)

Proof. (a) By (13.20), (13.21), and as $e^{-2nQ_n} \le w_{n,B}$,

$$\int_{|x|<1-n^{-\tau_1}} |\pi_{n,m}(x)|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \le Cn^{-2\tau_1/3}.$$

Next, by (13.2) and our bounds (13.25) and (13.26) on the orthonormal polynomials,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{1 \ge |x| \ge 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} |\pi_{n,m}(x)|^2 e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \\ & \le 2 \int_{1 \ge |x| \ge 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} \left(p_{n,m}^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,m}}{\gamma_m(w_{n,B}^2)} \right)^2 p_m^2(w_{n,B}^2, x) w_{n,B}^2(x) \left. \right) dx \\ & \le C \int_{1 \ge |x| \ge 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \le C n^{-\tau_1/2}. \end{split}$$

(b) This is similar to (a): for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/3}$, (13.4) gives

$$\left| p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/4} - \Psi_{n,m}(x) \right| \le C n^{-\tau_1/3}.$$

For the tail integrals near ± 1 , we can use our bounds on the orthonormal polynomials and the bound $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ on $|\Psi_{n,m}|$.

Next, we use the lemma above to estimate $\pi_{n,m}$ in the complex plane, using standard methods: recall that we defined $\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}$ in (12.22).

Proof of Theorem 13.2(*c*). Let $\pi_{n,m}$ be as in Lemma 13.7, and

$$R_{n,m}(z) = z^m \pi_{n,m} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right).$$

Recall that F_n is given by (12.14). By Cauchy's integral formula, for |z| < 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{n,m}^{2}(z) D^{2}(F_{n}; z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=1} \frac{R_{n,m}^{2}(t) D^{2}(F_{n}; t)}{t-z} dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-|z|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| R_{n,m}^{2}(e^{is}) D^{2}(F_{n}; e^{is}) \right| ds \\ &= \frac{1}{1-|z|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \pi_{n,m}^{2}(\cos s) F_{n}(s) \right| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} |\pi_{n,m}(t)|^{2} e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{Cn^{-\tau_{1}/2}}{1 - |z|},$$

by Lemma 13.8(a). Setting $z = \phi(u)^{-1}$, where u lies outside [-1, 1], we have $\frac{1}{2}(z + \frac{1}{z}) = u$, so

$$\left|\phi(u)^{-m}\pi_{n,m}(u)D\left(F_n;\phi(u)^{-1}\right)\right|^2 \leq \frac{Cn^{-\tau_1/2}}{1-\left|\phi(u)^{-1}\right|}.$$

Then for $u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$,

$$\left| \frac{\pi_{n,m}(u)}{\phi(u)^m} D\left(F_n; \phi(u)^{-1}\right) \right| \le \frac{Cn^{-\tau_1/4}}{\left(1 - \left|\phi(u)^{-1}\right|\right)^{1/2}}.$$
 (13.39)

Next, our definition (13.20) of $\pi_{n,m}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,m}\left(u\right) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi\left(u\right)^{m} D^{-1} \left(F_{n}; \phi\left(u\right)^{-1}\right) \right\} \\ &= \pi_{n,m}\left(u\right) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi\left(u\right)^{m} D^{-1} \left(F_{n}; \phi\left(u\right)^{-1}\right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_{n,m}}{\gamma_{m} \left(w_{n,B}^{2}\right)} p_{m} \left(w_{n,B}^{2}, u\right) / \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \phi\left(u\right)^{m} D^{-2} \left(\check{w}_{n,B}; \phi\left(u\right)^{-1}\right) \left(1 - \phi\left(u\right)^{-2}\right)^{-1/2} \right\} \Psi \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi = D\left(F_n; \phi(u)^{-1}\right) D^{-2}\left(\check{w}_{n,B}; \phi(u)^{-1}\right) \left(\frac{1 - \phi(u)^{-2}}{2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

Using (13.39), (13.30), and Lemma 12.3, this gives for u outside [-1, 1],

$$p_{n,m}(u) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi(u)^m D^{-1} \left(F_n; \phi(u)^{-1} \right) \right\}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n^{-\tau_1/4}}{\left(1 - \left| \phi(u)^{-1} \right| \right)^{1/2}} \right)$$

$$+ \left(1 + O\left(n^{-C} \right) \right) \left(1 + O\left(\left| \phi(u) \right|^{2q_n - 2m - 2} \right) \right) \Psi. \tag{13.40}$$

Here our S_n in Theorem 11.1 has degree $2q_n \le 2n - n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, while $|m - n| \le n^{1/3 - \varepsilon}$, so $2q_n - 2m - 2 \le -n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1} + 2n^{1/3 - \varepsilon} \le -\frac{1}{2}n^{1/3 - 2\tau_1}$, if n is large enough and $\tau_1 < \varepsilon/4$, as we may assume. Also, standard estimates give

$$|\phi(u)| \ge 1 + C \operatorname{dist}(u, [-1, 1])^{1/2}$$

so for dist $(u, [-1, 1]) \ge n^{-\tau_1/2}$,

$$|\phi(u)|^{2q_n-2m-2} \le \exp\left(-Cn^{-\tau_1/2}n^{1/3-2\tau_1}\right) \le n^{-\tau_1},$$

for large enough *n*. Finally, recall [25, p. 425, eqn. (26)] that $D\left(\sin^2 \cdot; z\right) = \frac{1-z^2}{2}$, so

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= D\left(e^{-2n\check{Q}_{n}}\check{w}_{n,B}^{-2};\phi\left(u\right)^{-1}\right) = D\left(\check{\psi}_{n}^{2};\phi\left(u\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{e^{it}+\phi\left(u\right)^{-1}}{e^{it}-\phi\left(u\right)^{-1}}\log\psi_{n}^{-2}\left(\cos t\right)dt\right) \\ &= \exp\left(O\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|\phi\left(u\right)\right|^{-1}}\right)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\log\psi_{n}\left(\cos t\right)\right|dt\right) \\ &= \exp\left(O\left(\frac{n^{-\tau_{1}}}{1-\left|\phi\left(u\right)\right|^{-1}}\right)\right), \end{split}$$

by (11.7). Substituting all these estimates into (13.40) gives for some $\tau_1 > 0$ and $dist(u, [-1, 1]) \ge n^{-\tau_1}$,

$$p_{n,m}(u) / \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi(u)^m D^{-1} \left(F_n; \phi(u)^{-1} \right) \right\}$$

= 1 + O(n^{-\tau_1}).

Proof of Theorem 13.3. From Lemmas 12.1(d) and 12.2(a),

$$\pi \lambda_n^{-1} \left(w_{n,B}^2, x \right) w_{n,B}^2 \left(x \right) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{Q_n} \left(x \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\left[\psi_n' \left(s \right) / \psi_n \left(s \right) \right] \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s - x} ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \sin \left((2n - 1) \theta + 2\Gamma \left(f_n; \theta \right) \right).$$

Here for some $\tau_1 > 0$ and $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$, Theorem 11.1(i) gives

$$\frac{1}{\pi}PV \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left[\psi'_{n}(s)/\psi_{n}(s)\right]\sqrt{1-s^{2}}}{s-x} ds = O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}/3}\right)$$

so from Lemma 13.7(d), and (11.3) for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/48}$

$$\pi \lambda_n^{-1} \left(e^{-2nQ_n}, x \right) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \pi \lambda_n^{-1} \left(w_{n,B}^2, x \right) w_{n,B}^2 \left(x \right) \left(1 - x^2 \right)^{1/2} + O\left(n^{1 - \tau_1/3} \right)$$

$$= n\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2} \sigma_{O_n} \left(x \right) + O\left(n^{1 - \tau_1/3} \right).$$

Then (13.7) follows, with a smaller τ_1 .

Proof of Theorem 13.4. The first relation in (13.8) follows directly from Theorem 13.1 and the identity $A_{n,m} = \frac{\gamma_{n,m}}{\gamma_{n,m+1}}$. For the second, namely for

$$B_{n,m} = \int_{I_n} x p_{n,m}^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx, \qquad (13.41)$$

we use an approach inspired by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. By the restricted range inequality Theorem 4.2(c), with T = 1, $S = n^{1/3}$, $R = (\log n)^{2/3}$,

$$\left| \int_{I_n \setminus [-1 - \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}, 1 + \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}]} x p_{n,m}^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \right| \le n^{-C}, \tag{13.42}$$

for some C, while using our bounds on $p_{n,m}$, we have for any $\eta \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$,

$$\left| \int_{1-n^{-\eta} \le |x| \le 1 + \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{2/3}} x p_{n,m}^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx \right| \le C n^{-\eta/2}.$$
 (13.43)

So we need to estimate

$$I_n = \int_{|x| < 1 - n^{-\eta}} x p_{n,m}^2(x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} dx.$$

We may assume that η is so small that the asymptotic (13.4) in Theorem 13.2(a) is applicable in this range. Using that asymptotic, the identity $\cos^2 t = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2t)$, and the fact that $x/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ is an odd function, we see that for some $\tau_2 > 0$, and $\theta = \arccos x$,

$$I_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x| \le 1 - n^{-\eta}} x \cos(2m\theta + 2\Gamma(F_{n}; \theta)) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}} + O(n^{-\tau_{2}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-1,1} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{1}} \cos((2m + j)\theta + 2\Gamma(F_{n}; \theta)) d\theta + O(n^{-\tau_{2}}),$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-1,1} I_{n,j} + O(n^{-\tau_{2}}),$$
(13.44)

say, where $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{\theta : |\cos \theta| \le 1 - n^{-\eta}\}$. Fix $j = \pm 1$, and let

$$h_n(\theta) = \frac{2m+j}{2n\pi}\theta + \frac{1}{n\pi}\Gamma(F_n;\theta)$$

$$= \frac{m-n+\frac{j}{2}}{n}\frac{\theta}{\pi} + \int_{\cos\theta}^{1}\sigma_{Q_n}(t)\,dt + \frac{\theta}{2n\pi} - \frac{1}{4n},$$

recall (12.15). Note that

$$h_n(0) = -\frac{1}{4n}$$
 and $h_n(\pi) = 1 + \frac{m-n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \le 1 + O\left(n^{-2/3-\varepsilon}\right)$.

Also

$$h'_n(\theta) = \frac{m - n + \frac{j}{2}}{n\pi} + \sigma_{Q_n}(\cos\theta)\sin\theta + \frac{1}{2n\pi} > 0$$
 (13.45)

in \mathcal{J}_1 if η is small enough. Then, letting $h_n^{[-1]}$ denote the inverse function of h_n , and

$$\phi_n(t) = \frac{1}{h'_n\left(h_n^{[-1]}(t)\right)},$$

we see that

$$I_{n,j} = \int_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cos(2n\pi h_n(\theta)) d\theta$$
$$= \int_{h_n(\mathcal{J}_1)} \cos(2n\pi t) \phi_n(t) dt.$$

Assume now that for some C, $\beta > 0$, and $t \in h_n(\mathcal{J}_1)$,

$$\max_{|s-t|\leq \frac{1}{n}} |\phi_n(s) - \phi_n(t)| \leq Cn^{-\beta}.$$

We may assume that

$$h_n\left(\mathcal{J}_1\right) = \left[\frac{J}{n}, \frac{K}{n}\right],$$

where $-1 \le J \le K \le n$. (The remaining intervals of length $O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ are easily estimated.) Then we can write

$$I_{n,j} = \sum_{k=J}^{K-1} \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} \cos(2n\pi t) \left[\phi_n(t) - \phi_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right] dt$$
$$= \sum_{k=J}^{K-1} O\left(n^{-1-\beta}\right) = O\left(n^{-\beta}\right).$$

Together with (13.41), (13.42), and (13.43), this gives the result. It remains to prove the hypotheses on ϕ_n . Now from (13.45) and (3.12), in \mathcal{J}_1

$$h'_n(\theta) \sim \sin^2 \theta$$
,

so $h_n^{[-1]}$ will satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order 1, with Lipschitz constant $O(n^{2\eta})$ in $h_n(\mathcal{J}_1)$. Moreover, since σ_{Q_n} satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α , (recall (3.14–3.15)), we see that h_n' also does, and hence ϕ_n satisfies the required smoothness condition.

Our final result in this chapter concerns asymptotics of zeros of orthogonal polynomials. Recall the notation (13.9), (13.11).

Proof of Theorem 13.5. (a) Let

$$\Delta_n(x) = p_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1 - x^2)^{1/4}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

From (13.4) of Theorem 13.2, we know that for some $\tau_1 > 0$, and uniformly for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$, with g_n defined by (13.9),

$$\Delta_{n}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(n\pi g_{n}(x) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}})$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(n\pi g_{n}(x)) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}}). \tag{13.46}$$

Choose j = j(k, n) to be the closest integer to $ng_n(x_{kn})$. If there are two, choose the larger integer j. Then

$$|j-ng_n(x_{kn})|\leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so

$$\left|n\pi\left[g_n\left(y_{jn}\right)-g_n\left(x_{kn}\right)\right]\right|\leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Using the inequality $|\sin u| \ge \frac{2}{\pi} |u|, |u| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$, we see that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \left| n\pi \left[g_n \left(y_{jn} \right) - g_n \left(x_{kn} \right) \right] \right| \le \left| \sin n\pi \left[g_n \left(y_{jn} \right) - g_n \left(x_{kn} \right) \right] \right|
= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left| \Delta_n \left(x_{kn} \right) \right| + O\left(n^{-\tau_1} \right) = O\left(n^{-\tau_1} \right),$$

by (13.46). Thus

$$\left|g_n\left(y_{jn}\right)-g_n\left(x_{kn}\right)\right|\leq Cn^{-1-\tau_1}.$$

Since (13.10) shows that

$$g'_n(t) \sim -\sqrt{1-t^2}, \quad |t| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1},$$

we have

$$|y_{jn}-x_{kn}| \leq Cn^{-1-\tau_1}/\sqrt{1-x_{kn}^2},$$

which yields (13.13) if τ_2 is small enough.

Next, suppose that x_{kn} , $x_{k+1,n}$ satisfy (13.13) with the same j, so that

$$x_{kn} - x_{k+1,n} \le Cn^{-1-\tau_2}$$

We shall derive a contradiction. By Rolle's theorem, there exists $y \in (x_{k+1,n}, x_{kn})$ with $p'_{n,n}(y) = 0$. We show that this contradicts our asymptotic for $p'_{n,n}$ in (13.5). First, that asymptotic gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} |p'_{n,n}(x_{kn})| e^{-nQ_n(x_{kn})} (1 - x_{kn}^2)^{1/4}
= |-\pi \sigma_{Q_n}(x_{kn}) \cos(n\pi g_n(x_{kn})) + Q'_n(x_{kn}) \sin(n\pi g_n(x_{kn})) + O(n^{-\tau_1})|
= \pi \sigma_{Q_n}(x_{kn}) (1 + O(n^{-\tau_1})) + O(n^{-\tau_1}).$$

Thus using (3.12),

$$|p'_{n,n}(x_{kn})|e^{-nQ_n(x_{kn})} \sim n(1-x_{kn}^2)^{1/4}$$
.

Then for some z between y and x_{kn} , we have

$$\left| \left(p'_{n,n} e^{-nQ_n} \right)'(z) \right| \ge Cn \left(1 - x_{kn}^2 \right)^{1/4} / |y - x_{kn}| \ge Cn^{2+\tau_2} \left(1 - x_{kn}^2 \right)^{1/4}. \tag{13.47}$$

Now our bounds (7.3) and the restricted range inequality Theorem 4.2 show that

$$\sup_{x\in I_n}\left|\left(1-x^2\right)p_{n,n}\left(x\right)e^{-nQ_n(x)}\right|\leq C,$$

so by Theorem 8.1(b),

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left| \left[\left(1 - x^2 \right) p'_{n,n}(x) - 2x p_{n,n}(x) \right] e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \le Cn.$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left| \left(1 - x^2 \right) p'_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \le Cn.$$

Applying Theorem 8.1(b) again in a similar fashion yields

$$\sup_{x \in I_n} \left| (1 - x^2) p_{n,n}''(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \le Cn^2.$$

This clearly contradicts (13.47) for $|x_{kn}| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_2}$ and some possibly smaller τ_2 . Thus there is at most one x_{kn} within $O\left(n^{-1-\tau_2}\right)$ of y_{jn} . The fact that there is actually one follows from the asymptotic (13.4) and the sign change of $\sin\left(n\pi g_n\left(t\right)\right)$ at $t=y_{jn}$.

(b) In view of the spacing (13.13) and that each y_{jn} is "close" to exactly one x_{kn} , (a) gives

$$\sigma_n(x_{kn})(x_{kn} - x_{k+1,n}) = \sigma_n(x_{kn})(y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n}) + O(n^{-1-\tau_1}),$$
(13.48)

uniformly for $|x_{kn}| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$. Finally, for some $t \in (y_{j+1,n}, y_{jn})$, our definition (13.11) of y_{jn} gives

$$\frac{1}{n} = g'_{n}(t) \left(y_{j+1,n} - y_{jn} \right)
= \left(-\sigma_{Q_{n}}(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi n \sqrt{1 - t^{2}}} \right) \left(y_{j+1,n} - y_{jn} \right)
= \left(\sigma_{Q_{n}}(x_{kn}) + O\left(|t - x_{kn}|^{\alpha} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n \sqrt{1 - y_{jn}^{2}}} \right) \right) \left(y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n} \right)
= \sigma_{Q_{n}}(x_{kn}) \left(y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n \sqrt{1 - y_{jn}^{2}}} \right)^{1+\alpha},$$

by (13.12). Substituting into (13.48) gives the result.

Chapter 14 Further Bounds



Recall that the fundamental polynomials $\{\ell_{jn}\}$ of Lagrange interpolation at the zeros of $p_{n,n}$ are given by

$$\ell_{jn}(x) = \frac{p_{n,n}(x)}{p'_{n,n}(x_{in})(x - x_{in})} = \frac{K_n(x, x_{jn})}{K_n(x_{in}, x_{in})}.$$
 (14.1)

Throughout, we assume that $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and that I_0 and $r^* > 1$ are as in Definition 1.1. We prove:

Theorem 14.1. *Uniformly for* $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\sup_{x \in I_{n}} \left| \ell_{jn}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} / e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} \le C. \tag{14.2}$$

We shall deduce:

Theorem 14.2. Let A > 0. For $n \ge 1$, choose $m = m(n) \ge 1$ with

$$|m(n) - n| \le An^{1/3}.$$
 (14.3)

Let $\{\hat{x}_{jm}\}$ denote the zeros of $p_{n,m}$ in decreasing order. Uniformly for $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq m-1$,

(a) for
$$x \in \left[\hat{x}_{j+1,m}, \hat{x}_{jm}\right]$$

$$\left| p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right| \sim n \max \left\{ 1 - \left| \hat{x}_{jm} \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{1/4} \min \left\{ \left| x - \hat{x}_{jm} \right|, \left| x - \hat{x}_{j+1,m} \right| \right\}.$$
 (14.4)

© The Author(s) 2018 143

144 14 Further Bounds

(b)

$$|p'_{n,m}(\hat{x}_{jm})|e^{-nQ_n(\hat{x}_{jm})} \sim n \max\{1-|\hat{x}_{jm}|, n^{-2/3}\}^{1/4}$$
 (14.5)

and

$$|p_{n,m-1}(\hat{x}_{jm})| e^{-nQ_n(\hat{x}_{jm})} \sim \max\{1 - |\hat{x}_{jm}|, n^{-2/3}\}^{1/4}.$$
 (14.6)

(c)

$$\hat{x}_{jm} - \hat{x}_{j+1,m} \sim \frac{1}{n} \max \left\{ 1 - \left| \hat{x}_{jm} \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{-1/2}.$$
 (14.7)

(*d*)

$$||p_{n,m}e^{-nQ_n}||_{L_{\infty}(L)} \sim n^{1/6}.$$
 (14.8)

This chapter is structured as follows: we first estimate the fundamental polynomials. Then we prove Theorem 14.1, followed by Theorem 14.2 for the special case $m(n) = n, n \ge 1$. Then we deduce the general case. Recall from (7.4) that

$$A_n(x) = 2n \int_{I_n} p_{n,n}^2(t) \, \bar{Q}_n(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt.$$

Lemma 14.3. Let $1 < r^{\#} < r^{*}$.

(a) Uniformly for $n \ge 1$ and $x \in [-r^{\#}, r^{\#}]$,

$$A_n(x) \sim n. \tag{14.9}$$

(b) For $|x| \le 1$, and $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\left| \ell_{jn}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} / e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})} \le C \left\{ \frac{\max\left\{ 1 - |x|, n^{-2/3} \right\}}{\max\left\{ 1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3} \right\}} \right\}^{1/4}. \tag{14.10}$$

(c) For $|x| \le 1$, and $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\left|\ell_{jn}(x)\right|e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}/e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x_{jn}\right)} \leq C\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1/4}\left(\max\left\{1-\left|x_{jn}\right|,n^{-2/3}\right\}\right)^{-1/4}}{n\left|x-x_{jn}\right|}.$$
(14.11)

14 Further Bounds 145

Proof. (a) Let $0 \le x \le r^{\#}$. Assume that η is so small that $[1 - 2\eta, 1 + 2\eta] \subset I_0$. By Theorem 7.1, and our assumptions in Definition 1.1,

$$\int_{-r^*}^{r^*} p_{n,n}^2(t) \, \bar{Q}_n(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt
\leq C \int_{-r^*}^{r^*} \bar{Q}_n(x,t) \, \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1-t^2|}}
\leq C \left[\int_{[-r^*,r^*]\setminus[1-\eta,1+\eta]}^{} |x-t|^{\alpha-1} \, dt + \int_{[1-\eta,1+\eta]}^{} \frac{\bar{Q}_n(x,t)}{\sqrt{|1-t|}} dt \right]
\leq C \left[\int_{-4}^{4} |s|^{\alpha-1} \, ds + \int_{[1-\eta,1+\eta]}^{} \frac{\bar{Q}_n(x,t)}{\sqrt{|1-t|}} dt \right].$$
(14.12)

Here if $x \notin [1 - 2\eta, 1 + 2\eta]$, we can estimate the second integral by

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \int_{1-\eta}^{1+\eta} \left[\left| Q_n'(t) \right| + \left| Q_n'(x) \right| \right] \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1-t^2|}} \le C,$$

using uniform boundedness of $\{Q'_n\}$ in $[-r^*, r^*]$. If $x \in [1 - 2\eta, 1 + 2\eta]$, we instead estimate the second integral by using the substitution (1 - t) = s(1 - x):

$$C \int_{1-\eta}^{1+\eta} |x-t|^{\alpha_1-1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{|1-t|}}$$

$$= C |1-x|^{\alpha_1-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\frac{\eta}{|1-x|}}^{\frac{\eta}{|1-x|}} |1-s|^{\alpha_1-1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{|s|}}$$

$$\leq C |1-x|^{\alpha_1-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\eta}{|1-x|}\right)^{\alpha_1-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \leq C,$$

as $\alpha_1 \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Thus in summary, for $0 \le x \le r^{\#}$, together with (14.12), this gives

$$\int_{-r^*}^{r^*} p_{n,n}^2(t) \, \bar{Q}_n(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_n(t)} dt \le C.$$

Next, for such x,

$$\int_{I_{n}\setminus[-r^{*},r^{*}]} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, \bar{Q}_{n}(x,t) \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt
\leq C \left[\int_{I_{n}\setminus[-r^{*},r^{*}]} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \, |Q'_{n}(t)| \, e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt + |Q'_{n}(x)| \right] \leq C,$$

146 14 Further Bounds

by (7.9), and using uniform boundedness of $\{Q'_n\}$ in $[-r^*, r^*]$. Thus

$$\sup_{x \in \left[-r^{\#}, r^{\#}\right]} A_n(x) \le Cn.$$

Now we establish a matching lower bound. Let us suppose that $x \ge t_n$, where $Q'_n(t_n) = 0$, so that $Q'_n(x) \ge 0 \ge Q'_n(t)$ for $t \le t_n$. Then as $\bar{Q}_n(x,t) \ge 0$, we have

$$A_{n}(x) \geq Cn \int_{c_{n}}^{t_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \frac{\left| Q_{n}'(x) \right| + \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right|}{|x - t|} e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\geq \frac{Cn}{2r^{\#}} \int_{-r^{\#}}^{t_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt. \tag{14.13}$$

Next,

$$2n \int_{c_{n}}^{-r^{\#}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$= \int_{c_{n}}^{-r^{\#}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \right\} dt$$

$$= \left[p_{n,n}^{2}(t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} \right]_{t=c_{n}}^{t=-r^{\#}} - 2 \int_{c_{n}}^{-r^{\#}} p_{n,n}'(t) p_{n,n}(t) e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq p_{n,n}^{2}(-r^{\#}) e^{-2nQ_{n}(-r^{\#})} + C_{1}e^{-C_{2}n} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| p_{n,n}'(t) p_{n,n}(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq C_{1}e^{-C_{2}n} + C_{1}e^{-C_{2}n} n^{C_{3}}.$$

by a straightforward application of our restricted range inequality Theorem 4.2(c), our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 8.1, and our bounds on $\{p_{n,n}\}$. Then, using Lemma 7.3(b),

$$\int_{-r^{\#}}^{t_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$= \int_{c_{n}}^{t_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt + O\left(e^{-C_{3}n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{L} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt + O\left(e^{-C_{3}n}\right). \tag{14.14}$$

14 Further Bounds 147

Next, by Lemma 7.3(a),

$$1 + \frac{1}{2n} \leq \int_{I_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) |tQ'_{n}(t)| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$\leq r^{\#} \int_{-r^{\#}}^{r^{\#}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) |Q'_{n}(t)| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2n} \int_{I_{n} \setminus \left[-r^{\#}, r^{\#}\right]} |tp_{n,n}^{2}(t)| \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)}\right) \right| dt$$

$$\leq r^{\#} \int_{I_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) |Q'_{n}(t)| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt + O\left(e^{-C_{2}n}\right),$$

much as above. So together with (14.14), this gives

$$\int_{-r^{\#}}^{t_{n}} p_{n,n}^{2}(t) \left| Q_{n}'(t) \right| e^{-2nQ_{n}(t)} dt \ge C_{4},$$

so from (14.13),

$$A_n(x) > Cn$$
.

(b) Using Cauchy-Schwarz and our bounds on $K_n = \lambda_{n,2}^{-1}$ from Theorem 5.1, as well as the fact that all $|x_{jn}| \le 1 + \frac{C_2}{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ell_{jn} \left(x \right) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} / e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} &= \left| \frac{K_{n} \left(x, x_{jn} \right) e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}}{K_{n} \left(x_{jn}, x_{jn} \right) e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})}} \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{K_{n} \left(x, x \right) e^{-2nQ_{n}(x)}}{K_{n} \left(x_{jn}, x_{jn} \right) e^{-2nQ_{n}(x_{jn})}} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \frac{\max \left\{ 1 - \left| x \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\}}{\max \left\{ 1 - \left| x_{in} \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\}} \right\}^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Recall first that

$$K_{n}(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) = \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} p'_{n,n}(x_{jn}) p_{n,n-1}(x_{jn})$$

$$= p'_{n,n}(x_{jn})^{2} / A_{n}(x_{jn}), \qquad (14.15)$$

148 14 Further Bounds

by (7.5). Next, using the Christoffel-Darboux formula and then (7.5) again,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ell_{jn}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} / e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} \frac{\left| p_{n,n-1}(x_{jn}) \right| \left| p_{n,n}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}}{\left| x - x_{jn} \right| K_{n}(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})}} \\ &= \frac{\left| p'_{n,n}(x_{jn}) \right| \left| p_{n,n}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}}{A_{n}(x_{jn}) \left| x - x_{jn} \right| K_{n}(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})}} \\ &= \frac{\left| p_{n,n}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_{n}(x)}}{\left| x - x_{jn} \right| \left(A_{n}(x_{jn}) K_{n}(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) \right)^{1/2} e^{-nQ_{n}(x_{jn})}} \\ &\leq C \frac{\left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-1/4} \left(\max \left\{ 1 - \left| x_{jn} \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\} \right)^{-1/4}}{n \left| x - x_{jn} \right|}, \end{aligned}$$

by (14.15), (a) of this lemma, (5.3), and our bounds on $p_{n,n}$ in Theorem 7.1. \square *Proof of Theorem* 14.1. If first, max $\{1 - |x|, n^{-2/3}\} \le 2 \max\{1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3}\}$, then our first bound (14.10) gives

$$\left|\ell_{jn}\left(x\right)\right|e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x\right)}/e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x_{jn}\right)} < C.$$

Otherwise, $1 - |x| \ge 2(1 - |x_{jn}|)$, and $1 - |x| \ge 2n^{-2/3}$, so

$$|x - x_{jn}| \ge ||x| - |x_{jn}||$$

= $|(1 - |x|) - (1 - |x_{jn}|)| \ge \frac{1}{2} (1 - |x|),$

so from the second bound (14.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ell_{jn}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} / e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - |x| \right)^{-5/4} \left(\max \left\{ 1 - \left| x_{jn} \right|, n^{-2/3} \right\} \right)^{-1/4} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^{\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{4}} = C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sup_{|x|\leq 1} \left| \ell_{jn}(x) \right| e^{-nQ_n(x)} / e^{-nQ_n\left(x_{jn}\right)} \leq C.$$

14 Further Bounds 149

Then our restricted range inequality Theorem 4.2 gives the rest.

Proof of Theorem 14.2(a), (b) for m = m(n) = n. Let $1 < r^{\#} < r^{*}$. From Theorem 14.1 and (14.15), for all $|x| \le r^{\#}$, $1 \le j \le n$,

$$|p_{n,n}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C |x - x_{jn}| |p'_{n,n}(x_{jn}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})}|$$

$$= C |x - x_{jn}| [K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) A_n(x_{jn})]^{1/2} e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})}$$

$$\le Cn |x - x_{jn}| \max \{1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3}\}^{1/4},$$

recall (5.2). Then the upper bound implicit in (14.4) follows, provided we also use

$$\max \{1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3}\} \sim \max \{1 - |x_{j+1,n}|, n^{-2/3}\},$$

which was proved at (6.5). We turn to the matching lower bound. From Lemma 6.3, for $x \in [x_{j+1,n}, x_{jn}]$,

$$\ell_{in}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} e^{nQ_n(x_{jn})} + \ell_{i+1,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} e^{nQ_n(x_{j+1,n})} \ge 1,$$

so

$$p_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - x_{jn}) p'_{n,n}(x_{jn}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})}} + \frac{1}{(x - x_{j+1,n}) p'_{n,n}(x_{j+1,n}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{j+1,n})}} \right\} \ge 1.$$
(14.16)

Now from (14.15), followed by (14.9) and Theorem 5.1, and for k = j, j + 1,

$$\left| p'_{n,n}(x_{kn}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{kn})} \right| = \left(A_n(x_{kn}) K_n(x_{kn}, x_{kn}) e^{-2nQ_n(x_{kn})} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\sim n \max \left\{ 1 - |x_{kn}|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{1/4}$$

$$\sim n \max \left\{ 1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{1/4}$$

by (6.5). Then (14.16) gives the matching lower bound

$$\left| p_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right|$$

$$\geq Cn \max \left\{ 1 - |x_{in}|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{1/4} \min \left\{ |x - x_{in}|, |x - x_{i+1,n}| \right\}.$$

150 14 Further Bounds

So we have (14.4) for m = n. This also gives (14.5) (let $x \to x_{jn}$) and then (14.6) follows from (7.5).

Proof of Theorem 14.2(c), (d) for m = m(n) = n. (c) The upper bound was proved in Theorem 6.1(b), so we need to only prove the lower bound. Now for some ξ between x_{jn} and $x_{j+1,n}$,

$$1 = \ell_{jn}(x_{jn}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{jn})} e^{nQ_n(x_{jn})} - \ell_{jn}(x_{j+1,n}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{j+1,n})} e^{nQ_n(x_{jn})}$$

$$= (x_{jn} - x_{j+1,n}) \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \ell_{jn}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} e^{nQ_n(x_{jn})} \right\}_{|x=\xi}$$

$$\leq C(x_{jn} - x_{j+1,n}) n \max \left\{ 1 - |\xi|, n^{-2/3} \right\}^{1/2},$$

by Theorem 8.1(a) and our bound above on ℓ_{in} . Using (6.5), we continue this as

$$1 \le C (x_{jn} - x_{j+1,n}) n \max \{1 - |x_{jn}|, n^{-2/3}\}^{1/2}.$$

(d) The upper bound implicit in (14.8) follows from Theorem 7.1(b). For the lower bound, we use (a) of this theorem with $x = \frac{1}{2}(x_{1n} + x_{2n}), j = 1$, to obtain

$$||p_{n,n}e^{-nQ_n}||_{L_{\infty}(I_n)} \ge Cn \max \{|1 - |x_{1n}||, n^{-2/3}\}^{1/4} |x_{1n} - x_{2n}|$$

$$\ge C \max \{|1 - |x_{1n}||, n^{-2/3}\}^{-1/4} \ge Cn^{1/6},$$

by (c) and (6.1).

Proof of Theorem 14.2 for the General Case m = m(n) Satisfying (14.3). As in the general case of Theorem 7.1, let

$$r_n = m/n$$

and for $x \in L_{n,r_n}(I_n) =: I_m^{\#}$,

$$Q_{m}^{\#}(x) = \frac{n}{m} Q_{n} \left(L_{n,r_{n}}^{[-1]}(x) \right) = r_{n}^{-1} Q_{n} \left(L_{n,r_{n}}^{[-1]}(x) \right),$$

so that

$$mQ_m^{\#}(x) = nQ_n(t), \quad t = L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x).$$

14 Further Bounds 151

If $p_m^{\#}$ is the orthonormal polynomial of degree m for $e^{-2mQ_m^{\#}}$, then we showed in the proof of the general case of Theorem 7.1 that

$$p_m^{\#}(x) = \sqrt{\delta_{n,r_n}} p_{n,m} \left(L_{n,r_n}^{[-1]}(x) \right).$$

Moreover, we have (7.21). It is then straightforward to transfer the estimates from the special case m(n) = n to the general case above.

Chapter 15 Universality Limits and Entropy Integrals



The theory of random matrices goes back at least to John Wishart, but it was the pathbreaking work of Eugene Wigner in modeling nuclei of heavy atoms that brought them to real prominence. He speculated that the spacings between the lines in the spectrum of such a nucleus resemble spacings between the eigenvalues of a random Hermitian matrix. Wigner and Freeman Dyson, amongst others, made major initial contributions to a topic that remains a very active area of research [13].

Wigner considered a probability distribution that after a transformation, becomes a probability distribution $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ on the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ of an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix M. The probability density function for $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$, takes the form

$$\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n e^{-2nQ_n(\lambda_j)} \right).$$
 (15.1)

Here Z_n is a normalizing constant. In the classical Gaussian Unitary Ensemble of Wigner, $Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and one wants to consider various phenomena as $n \to \infty$.

One important quantity that permits asymptotics as $n \to \infty$ is the *m*-point correlation function [9, p. 112]:

$$\mathcal{R}_{m}^{(n)}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{m})$$

$$=\frac{n!}{(n-m)!}\int\cdots\int\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{n})\,d\lambda_{m+1}\,d\lambda_{m+2}\cdots d\lambda_{n}.$$

It allows one to find the expected number of m-tuples of eigenvalues in a given compact set. Typically one analyzes this with m fixed and $n \to \infty$, using a connection to orthogonal polynomials, first discovered by Freeman Dyson [9, p. 112]:

$$\mathcal{R}_{m}^{(n)}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \dots, \lambda_{m}) = \det \left(K_{n} \left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j} \right) e^{-nQ_{n}(\lambda_{i})} e^{-nQ_{n}(\lambda_{j})} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$$

$$= \det \left(\tilde{K}_{n} \left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j} \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \tag{15.2}$$

where we set

$$\tilde{K}_n(x, y) = K_n(x, y) e^{-nQ_n(x)} e^{-nQ_n(y)}$$
.

The *universality limit in the bulk*, which describes the "microlocal" spacing of the eigenvalues, asserts that for fixed $m \ge 2$, x in a suitable subset of the (common) supports of $\{e^{-2nQ_n}\}$, and real a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)^m} \mathcal{R}_m^{(n)} \left(x + \frac{a_1}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)}, x + \frac{a_2}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)}, \dots, x + \frac{a_m}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)} \right)$$

$$= \det \left(\frac{\sin \pi \left(a_i - a_j \right)}{\pi \left(a_i - a_j \right)} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le m}.$$
(15.3)

Because we are dealing with fixed size matrices, Dyson's identity (15.2) permits reduction of (15.3) to just the technical limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{K}_n\left(x + \frac{a_1}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)}, x + \frac{a_2}{\tilde{K}_n(x,x)}\right)}{\tilde{K}_n\left(x,x\right)} = \frac{\sin\pi\left(a_1 - a_2\right)}{\pi\left(a_1 - a_2\right)}.$$

One natural approach to this limit is to substitute sufficiently precise asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials into the Christoffel-Darboux formula. Indeed, this was one early approach. Undoubtedly the most spectacular advances came from the two-dimensional steepest descent method developed by Deift and Zhou [9, 12]. They made heavy use of Riemann-Hilbert problems, as well as earlier ideas of Fokas, Its, and Kitaev, and established far more than universality limits, primarily for analytic or piecewise analytic Q_n . Subsequently the second author developed comparison [28] and normality methods [29] that can handle fixed or varying Q_n with less smoothness. Barry Simon [45, 46] and Vili Totik [53, 54] developed these ideas for fixed measures, while the authors applied these to varying weights $\{e^{-nQ}\}$ in [27].

See [1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 13, 31] for some of the surveys of this very active topic.

Here we use the elementary method of substituting asymptotics for orthonormal polynomials into the Christoffel-Darboux formula to prove:

Theorem 15.1. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. There exists $\tau > 0$ such that as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau}$ and a, b in compact subsets of the real line,

$$\frac{\tilde{K}_{n}\left(x+\frac{a}{\tilde{K}_{n}(x,x)},x+\frac{b}{\tilde{K}_{n}(x,x)}\right)}{\tilde{K}_{n}\left(x,x\right)} = \frac{\sin\pi\left(a-b\right)}{\pi\left(a-b\right)} + O\left(n^{-\tau}\right). \tag{15.4}$$

Remarks. (a) This immediately implies (15.3).

(b) Note that for weights of the form e^{-nQ} , where the equilibrium measure for Q is supported on finitely many intervals, we proved such limits in [27] under weaker conditions on Q' (namely continuity of Q' and its equilibrium density) than we impose on $\{Q_n\}$. However this was without a rate, and Theorem 15.1 seems to be the first result of its type for varying $\{Q_n\}$.

We also consider fluctuations of the eigenvalues, along the lines of earlier work of Jonas Gustavsson [17] and Deng Zhang [58, 59], and the second author [30]. They showed that for j/n bounded away from 0 and 1, the scaled difference between the jth random eigenvalue λ_j and jth zero of $p_{n,n}$ (·) satisfies [58, p. 1490, Thm. 1.4]

$$\frac{\lambda_{j} - x_{jn}}{\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{2\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{n\sigma_{n}^{*}(x_{jn})}}} \to N(0, 1)$$
 (15.5)

in distribution as $n \to \infty$. Here N(0,1) is the normal distribution, that is, has probability density $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. (Their ordering of zeros is different.) We prove:

Theorem 15.2. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ denote the probability distribution with density defined by (15.1) for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues in increasing order. Also, let $\{x_{jn}\}$ denote the zeros of $p_{n,n}$. Then for j, n with $x_{jn} \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$, we have in distribution as $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\lambda_{j} - x_{n+1-j,n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{2\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{n\sigma_{O_{n}}(x_{n+1-i,n})}}} \to N(0,1).$$
 (15.6)

We note that the result holds for zeros in $[-1 + n^{-\tau_1}, 1 - n^{-\tau_1}]$ and not just $[-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$, but we are restricted by the formulation in [30], which applies only to compact subsets of (-1, 1). Finally, we turn to entropy type integrals. The main example is [4, 6, 8, 26]

$$-\int |p_{n,n}e^{-nQ_n}|^2 \log p_{n,n}^2.$$

Since in our case $\log |p_{n,n}| = nQ_n + a$ smaller locally integrable term, this last integral has the same asymptotic as

$$\int \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_n} \right|^2 \left| \log p_{n,n}^2 \right|.$$

We consider slightly more general integrals:

Theorem 15.3. Let $\{Q_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let

$$0 < \rho < 4 \text{ and } \tau \ge 0.$$
 (15.7)

Define

$$\mathcal{I}(n) = \int_{I_n} \left| p_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}(x)| \right|^{\tau} dx.$$
 (15.8)

Then as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathcal{I}(n)/n^{\tau} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}\rho} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+1}{2}\right)^{2}}{\pi^{\rho/2+1} \Gamma\left(\rho+1\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} |Q_{n}(t)|^{\tau} \left(1 - t^{2}\right)^{-\rho/4} dt.$$
 (15.9)

We note that careful tracking of estimates allows one to replace 1 + o(1) by $1 + o(n^{-\tau})$ for some $\tau > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 15.1. Rather than applying the more general results from [27], we substitute our asymptotics for $\{p_{n,n}\}$ into the Christoffel-Darboux formula: for $x \in (-1,1)$, let

$$x_{n,a} = x + \frac{a}{\widetilde{K}_n(x, x)}, \quad n \ge 1, \ a \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (15.10)

and write for *n* large enough,

$$x_{n,q} = \cos(\theta_{n,q})$$
 where $\theta_{n,q} \in (0,\pi)$. (15.11)

Here for $n \ge 1$, $|x| \le 1 - n^{-2/3}$, Theorem 5.1 gives

$$\widetilde{K}_n(x,x) \sim n\sqrt{1-x^2}$$
.

Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{1 - x_{n,a}^2}{1 - x^2}} = 1 + O\left(\frac{|x - x_{n,a}|}{1 - x^2}\right)$$

$$= 1 + O\left(\frac{|a|}{n\left(1 - x^2\right)^{3/2}}\right)$$

$$= 1 + O\left(n^{-1 + \frac{3}{2}\tau_1}\right) = 1 + O\left(n^{-\tau_1}\right), \tag{15.12}$$

provided $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$ and τ_1 is small enough. Also then

$$\theta_{n,a} - \theta_{n,0}$$

$$= \arccos(x_{n,a}) - \arccos(x_{n,0})$$

$$= -\frac{1 + o(1)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} (x_{n,a} - x) = -\frac{(1 + o(1)) a}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x, x) \sqrt{1 - x^2}}.$$
(15.13)

Recall from Theorem 13.4 that

$$\frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} = \frac{1}{2} + O\left(n^{-C}\right). \tag{15.14}$$

Also let

$$\psi_{n,m}(x) = p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{1/4}.$$
 (15.15)

Recall that if g_n is defined by (13.9), and $x = \cos \theta$, we can recast (13.4) as

$$\psi_{n,m}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left((m-n)\theta + n\pi g_n(x) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + O(n^{-\tau_1})$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin\left((m-n)\theta + n\pi g_n(x)\right) + O(n^{-\tau_1}), \qquad (15.16)$$

for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$. From the Christoffel-Darboux formula, for $a \ne b$,

$$\Gamma_{n} := \frac{\widetilde{K}_{n} \left(x + \frac{a}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x,x)}, x + \frac{b}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x,x)} \right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x,x)} \left(1 - x_{n,a}^{2} \right)^{1/4} \left(1 - x_{n,b}^{2} \right)^{1/4} \\
= \frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} \frac{\psi_{n,n}(x_{n,a}) \psi_{n,n-1}(x_{n,b}) - \psi_{n,n}(x_{n,b}) \psi_{n,n-1}(x_{n,a})}{a - b}.$$
(15.17)

Then using (15.14), (15.16),

$$(\pi (a - b)) \Gamma_{n}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\psi_{n,n} (x_{n,a}) \psi_{n,n-1} (x_{n,b}) - \psi_{n,n} (x_{n,b}) \psi_{n,n-1} (x_{n,a}) \right] + O \left(n^{-C} \right)$$

$$= \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) - \theta_{n,b} \right)$$

$$- \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) - \theta_{n,a} \right) + O \left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right)$$

$$= \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \cos \theta_{n,b}$$

$$- \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \cos \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \sin \theta_{n,b}$$

$$- \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \cos \theta_{n,a}$$

$$+ \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \cos \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \sin \theta_{n,a} + O \left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right)$$

$$= \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \left(\cos \theta_{n,b} - \cos \theta_{n,a} \right)$$

$$- \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \cos \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \left(\sin \theta_{n,b} - \sin \theta_{n,a} \right)$$

$$+ \left(\sin \theta_{n,a} \right) \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) \cos \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right)$$

$$- \sin \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right) \cos \left(n\pi g_{n} (x_{n,b}) \right) + O \left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right)$$

$$= \left(\sin \theta_{n,a} \right) \sin \left(n\pi \left[g_{n} (x_{n,b}) - g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right] \right) + O \left(\theta_{n,a} - \theta_{n,b} \right) + O \left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \sin \left(n\pi \left[g_{n} (x_{n,b}) - g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right] \right) + O \left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right), \tag{15.18}$$

by (15.12), (15.13), provided $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$ and τ_1 is small enough. Next, from (13.9),

$$n\pi \left[g_{n} (x_{n,b}) - g_{n} (x_{n,a}) \right]$$

$$= n\pi \int_{x_{n,b}}^{x_{n,a}} \sigma_{Q_{n}} (t) dt + \frac{\theta_{n,b} - \theta_{n,a}}{2}$$

$$= n\pi \frac{a - b}{\widetilde{K}_{n} (x, x)} \left[\sigma_{Q_{n}} (x) + O \left(|x_{n,a} - x_{n,b}|^{\alpha} \right) \right] + O (n^{-\tau_{1}})$$

$$= \pi (a - b) + O (n^{-\tau_{1}}).$$

by (15.10), (15.13), the uniform Lipschitz condition (3.15) of $\{\sigma_{Q_n}\}$, and the asymptotic (13.7) for $\lambda_n = K_n^{-1}$. Combining this with (15.17), (15.18),

$$\frac{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x+\frac{a}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x,x)},x+\frac{b}{\widetilde{K}_{n}(x,x)}\right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x,x\right)}=\frac{\sin\pi\left(a-b\right)}{\pi\left(a-b\right)}+O\left(\frac{n^{-\tau_{1}}}{\left|a-b\right|\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right).$$

We then obtain (15.4) provided a, b lie in a compact set and provided $|a - b| \ge n^{-\tau_1/2}$ and $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/2}$ for some small enough τ_1 . We turn to the case $|a - b| \le n^{-\tau_1/2}$. From our bounds in Theorem 5.1 for K_n , and Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\sup_{x,y\in I_n} |K_n(x,y)| e^{-nQ_n(x)-nQ_n(y)} \le Cn.$$

Using Theorem 8.1, we have

$$\sup_{x \in [-1,1], y \in I_n} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[K_n(x,y) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \right] e^{-nQ_n(y)} \right| \le Cn^2.$$

Then for some ξ between $x_{n,a}$ and $x_{n,b}$,

$$\begin{split} &\left| \frac{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x_{n,a}, x_{n,b}\right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x, x\right)} - \frac{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x_{n,b}, x_{n,b}\right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x, x\right)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\left|x_{n,a} - x_{n,b}\right|}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x, x\right)} \left| \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_{n}\left(x, y\right) e^{-nQ_{n}(x)} \right]_{\left|x = \xi, y = x_{n,b}\right|} e^{-nQ_{n}\left(x_{n,b}\right)} \\ &\leq C \frac{\left|a - b\right|}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x, x\right)^{2}} n^{2} \leq C \frac{n^{-\tau_{1}/2}}{1 - x^{2}} \leq n^{-\tau_{1}/4}, \end{split}$$

for $|x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1/4}$, so using our asymptotic (13.7) for K_n ,

$$\frac{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x_{n,a},x_{n,b}\right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x,x\right)} = \frac{\sigma_{Q_{n}}\left(x_{n,b}\right)}{\sigma_{Q_{n}}\left(x\right)} + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}/4}\right),$$

and then again using our Lipschitz condition on σ_{Q_n} , we obtain

$$\frac{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x_{n,a}, x_{n,b}\right)}{\widetilde{K}_{n}\left(x, x\right)} = 1 + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}/4}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sin \pi \left(b - a\right)}{\pi \left(b - a\right)} + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}/4}\right).$$

So we have the general case of (15.4), with an appropriate choice of τ there.

Proof of Theorem 15.2. We apply Theorem 2.3 in [30, p.11] with $\mu'_n = e^{-2nQ_n}$ on $I_n \subset \mathbb{R}$. The requirements there are the following four conditions. We simplify them to our situation, and note that we have proved above much more than what is needed to apply the results from [30]. The ordering of the zeros of $p_{n,n}$ is also different there.

(I) Pointwise Asymptotics of Orthonormal Polynomials with a Rate

Uniformly for $x = \cos \theta \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$, and m = n - 1, n,

$$p_{n,m}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{1/4}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(\left(m - n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta + n\pi \int_x^1 \sigma_{Q_n} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + o\left((\log n)^{-1/2}\right),$$

where for some C > 1, $n \ge 1$, $x \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$,

$$C^{-1} \leq \sigma_{Q_n}(x) \leq C.$$

We also need that the $\{\sigma_{O_n}\}$ are equicontinuous in $[-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$, with

$$\omega(t) = \sup\{|\sigma_{Q_n}(x) - \sigma_{Q_n}(y)| : n \ge 1, x, y \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon], |x - y| \le t\}$$
$$= o\left(|\log t|^{-1/2}\right)$$

for $t \to 0+$.

(II) Asymptotics of Leading Coefficients

$$\frac{\gamma_{n,n-1}}{\gamma_{n,n}} = \frac{1}{2} + o(1), n \to \infty.$$

(III) Asymptotic Spacing of Zeros

Uniformly for j, n with $x_m \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\sigma_{Q_n}\left(x_{j,n}\right)\left(x_{jn}-x_{j+1,n}\right)=1.$$

(IV) Asymptotics for Reproducing Kernels

Uniformly for $x \in [-1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n(x,x) e^{-2nQ_n(x)} / \left(n\sigma_{Q_n}(x)\right) = 1.$$

Here (I) follows from Theorem 13.2 and (3.15). (II) follows from Theorem 13.4. (III) follows from Theorem 13.5. (IV) follows from Theorem 13.3.

For the proof of Theorem 15.3, we first establish some estimates for $\log |p_{n,n}|$:

Lemma 15.4. (a) For $x \in [x_{nn}, x_{1n}]$,

$$C_1 \log n + \log \min_{1 \le i \le n} |x - x_{jn}| \le \log |p_{n,n}(x)| e^{-nQ_n(x)} \le C_2 \log n.$$
 (15.19)

(b) For $x \in I_n \setminus [x_{nn}, x_{1n}]$,

$$C_3 n + \log \min_{1 < j < n} |x - x_{jn}| \le \log |p_{n,n}(x)| \le C_4 n \log (2 + |x|).$$
 (15.20)

Proof. (a) This follows easily from (14.4) and (14.8).

(b) Suppose that $x \in (x_{1n}, d_n)$. Since the zeros of $p'_{n,n}$ interlace those of $p_{n,n}$, both $p_{n,n}$ and $p'_{n,n}$ are increasing in $(x_{1n,\infty})$. Then for some ξ between x and x_{1n} ,

$$\frac{p_{n,n}(x)}{x - x_{1n}} = p'_{n,n}(\xi) \ge p'_{n,n}(x_{1n})$$

so

$$\log |p_{n,n}(x)| \ge \log |x - x_{1n}| + \log |p'_{n,n}(x_{1n}) e^{-nQ_n(x_{1n})}| + nQ_n(x_{1,n})$$

$$\ge \log |x - x_{1n}| - C \log n + nQ_n(x_{1,n})$$

$$> \log |x - x_{1n}| + C_3 n,$$

by (14.5) and as $Q_{1,n}(x_{1n}) \sim 1$. Also as all zeros lie in $|x| \leq 1 + \frac{C}{n}$ and by Theorem 13.1's asymptotics for $\gamma_{n,n}$,

$$\log |p_{n,n}(x)| \le \log \gamma_{n,n} + n \log (2 + |x|)$$

$$< C_5 n + n \log (2 + |x|) < C_4 n \log (2 + |x|).$$

Proof of Theorem 15.3. Recall from (15.15) and (15.16) that

$$\psi_{n,n}(x) = p_{n,n}(x) e^{-nQ_n(x)} (1 - x^2)^{1/4} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(n\pi g_n(x)) + O(n^{-\tau_1}),$$

where g_n is given by (13.9). Recall too that we define y_{jn} by $g_n(y_{jn}) = \frac{j}{n}$, $1 \le j \le n$, and

$$g'_n(x) \sim -\sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad |x| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}.$$

Let

$$I_{jn} = [y_{j+1,n}, y_{jn}]. (15.21)$$

By (13.12),

$$|I_{jn}| = y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n} \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1 - y_{jn}^2}}.$$
 (15.22)

Next, let

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left[x_{jn} - n^{-100}, x_{jn} + n^{-100} \right].$$

Using Lemma 15.4(a), and our bounds in Theorem 7.1, we see that

$$\int_{\mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}| \right|^{\tau} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| 1 - x^{2} \right|^{-\rho/4} \left(\left| \log \operatorname{dist} \left(x, \left\{ x_{jn} \right\}_{j=1}^{n} \right) \right| + \log n + |nQ_{n}(x)| \right)^{\tau} dx$$

$$= o(n^{\tau}). \tag{15.23}$$

Next, consider an I_{jn} with $|y_{jn}| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}$. For $x \in I_{jn} \setminus \mathcal{I}_n$, we have

$$|\log |p_{n,n}(x)|| = \left|\log |\psi_{n,n}(x)| + nQ_n(x) - \frac{1}{4}\log(1 - x^2)\right|$$
$$= \left|nQ_n(y_{in}) + O(\log n) + O(n^{1-\tau_1})\right|,$$

using our uniform Lipschitz condition on Q_n . Using our spacing (15.22), we see that

$$\int_{I_{jn}\backslash\mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}| \right|^{\tau} dx
= \int_{I_{jn}\backslash\mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| \psi_{n,n}(x) \right|^{\rho} \left| nQ_{n} \left(y_{jn} \right) + O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}} \right) \right|^{\tau} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-\rho/4} dx
= \left| nQ_{n} \left(y_{jn} \right) + O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}} \right) \right|^{\tau} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\rho/2}
\times \int_{I_{jn}\backslash\mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| \sin \left(n\pi g_{n}(x) \right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right) \right|^{\rho} \left(1 - x^{2} \right)^{-\rho/4} dx
= \left(\left(1 - y_{jn}^{2} \right)^{-\rho/4} + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right) \right) \left| nQ_{n} \left(y_{jn} \right) + O\left(n^{1-\tau_{1}} \right) \right|^{\tau} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\rho/2}
\times \left[\int_{I_{jn}} \left| \sin \left(n\pi g_{n}(x) \right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right) \right|^{\rho} dx + O\left(n^{-\tau_{1}} \right) \right]. \tag{15.24}$$

Here if $g_n^{[-1]}$ is the inverse function of g_n ,

$$\int_{I_{jn}} |\sin(n\pi g_n(x))|^{\rho} dx = \int_{j/n}^{(j+1)/n} |\sin n\pi t|^{\rho} \frac{dt}{\left| g'_n\left(g_n^{[-1]}(t)\right) \right|}.$$

Using (13.10) and our Lipschitz condition on σ_{Q_n} , for $t \in \left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right]$,

$$g_n'\left(g_n^{[-1]}\left(t\right)\right) = -\sigma_{Q_n}\left(y_{jn}\right) + O\left(n^{-\tau_1}\right),\,$$

so using a standard integral [16, p. 369, (3.621.1)] and

$$\begin{split} & \int_{I_{jn}} |\sin(n\pi g_n(x))|^{\rho} dx = \frac{1+o(1)}{\sigma_{Q_n}(y_{jn})} \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |\sin t|^{\rho} dt \\ & = \frac{1+o(1)}{\sigma_{Q_n}(y_{jn})} \frac{2^{\rho}}{n\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} = \frac{2^{\rho}}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \left(y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n}\right) (1+o(1)) \,, \end{split}$$

recall (13.48). Substituting in (15.24) gives

$$\frac{1}{n^{\tau}} \int_{I_{jn} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{n}} \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}| \right|^{\tau} dx$$

$$= A(1 - y_{jn}^{2})^{-\rho/4} \left| Q_{n} (y_{jn}) + O(n^{-\tau_{1}}) \right|^{\tau} (y_{jn} - y_{j+1,n}) (1 + o(1))$$

$$= A \int_{I_{jn}} \frac{|Q_{n} (y)|^{\tau}}{(1 - y^{2})^{\rho/4}} dy (1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\rho/2} \frac{2^{\rho}}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\rho+1\right)}.$$

Adding over j, and using (15.23), gives

$$\frac{1}{n^{\tau}} \int_{|t| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_n} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}| \right|^{\tau} dt$$

$$= A \int_{|t| \le 1 - n^{-\tau_1}} \frac{|Q_n(y)|^{\tau}}{(1 - y^2)^{\rho/4}} dy (1 + o(1)).$$

Next, our bounds on $p_{n,n}$ and $\log |p_{n,n}|$ give, much as above,

$$\frac{1}{n^{\tau}} \int_{1-n^{-\tau_{1} \leq |t| \leq 1+n^{-\tau_{1}}}} \left| p_{n,n} e^{-nQ_{n}} \right|^{\rho} \left| \log |p_{n,n}| \right|^{\tau} dt
\leq C \int_{1-n^{-\tau_{1} \leq |t| \leq 1+n^{-\tau_{1}}}} \frac{1}{(1-t^{2})^{\rho/4}} (\log n)^{\tau} dt = o(1).$$

Finally, we can use restricted range inequalities and (15.20) to bound the rest of the integral. \Box

References

- 1. G. Akemann, J. Baik, P. Di Francesco (editors), *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.
- G. Anderson, A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni, An Introduction to Random Matrices, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 118. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- 3. A. I. Aptekarev, R. Khabibullin, *Asymptotic Expansions for Polynomials Orthogonal with respect to a Complex Non-Constant Weight Function*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 68(2007), 1–37.
- A. I. Aptekarev, J. S. Dehesa, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, Asymptotics of Orthogonal Polynomial's Entropy, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 233(2010), 1355–1365.
- J. Baik, L. Li, T. Kriecherbauer, K. McLaughlin, C. Tomei, Proceedings of the Conference on Integrable Systems, Random Matrices and Applications, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 458, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.
- B. Beckermann, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, E. A. Rakhmanov, F. Wielonsky, Asymptotic Upper Bounds for the Entropy of Orthogonal Polynomials in the Szegő Class, J. Math. Phys., 45(2004), 4239–4254.
- 7. D. Dai, M. E. H. Ismail, X.-S. Wang, *Plancherel-Rotach Asymptotic Expansion for Some Polynomials from Indeterminate Moment Problems*, Constr Approx, 40(2014), 61–104.
- 8. J. S. Dehesa, V. S. Buyarov, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, E. B. Saff, *Asymptotics of the Information Entropy for Jacobi and Laguerre Polynomials with Varying Weights*, J. Approx. Theory, 99(1999), 153–166.
- 9. P. Deift, Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, Vol. 3, New York University Press, New York, 1999.
- P. Deift, D. Gioev, Random Matrix Theory: Invariant Ensembles and Universality, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, Vol. 18, New York University Press, New York, 2009.
- 11. P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, X. Zhou, *Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), 1491–1552.
- P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), 1335– 1425.
- 13. P. J. Forrester, Log-gases and Random matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2010.

 J. S. Geronimo, D. Smith, and W. Van Assche, Strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with regularly and slowly varying recurrence coefficients, J. Approx. Theory, 72(1993), 141– 158

- 15. J. S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, *Relative asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with unbounded recurrence coefficients*, J. Approx. Theory, 62, (1990), 47–69.
- I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.
- 17. J. Gustavsson, Gaussian Fluctuations of Eigenvalues in the GUE, Annales Institut H. Poincare, 41(2005), 151–178.
- 18. A. V. Komlov, S. P. Suetin, An Asymptotic Formula for Polynomials Orthonormal with respect to a Varying Weight, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 73(2012), 139–159.
- 19. A. V. Komlov, S. P. Suetin, An Asymptotic Formula for Polynomials Orthonormal with respect to a Varying Weight II, Sbornik Mathematics, 205(2014), 1334–1356.
- A. B. Kuijlaars, *Riemann-Hilbert Analysis for Orthogonal Polynomials*, (in) "Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, Leuven 2002" (E. Koelink and W. Van Assche, eds.), Lect. Notes Math. 1817, Springer-Verlag, pp. 167–210.
- 21. A. B. Kuijlaars, K. T-R McLaughlin, *Riemann-Hilbert Analysis for Laguerre Polynomials with Large Negative Parameter*, Comput. Meth. Funct. Theory, 1(2001), 205–233.
- 22. A. Kuijlaars, K. T-R McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, and M. Vanlessen, *The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on* [-1,1], Advances in Mathematics, 188 (2004), 337–398.
- A. B. Kuijlaars and M. Vanlessen, Universality for Eigenvalue Correlations from the Modified Jacobi Unitary Ensemble, International Mathematics Research Notices, 30(2002), 1575– 1600.
- Eli Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Christoffel Functions, Orthogonal Polynomials, and Nevai's Conjecture for Freud Weights, Constr. Approx., 8(1992), 463–535.
- Eli Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights, Springer, New York, 2001.
- Eli Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Asymptotics for Entropy Integrals Associated with Exponential Weights, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 156(2003), 265–283.
- 27. Eli Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, *Universality Limits in the Bulk for Varying Measures*, Advances in Mathematics, 219(2008), 743–779.
- 28. D. S. Lubinsky, A New Approach to Universality Limits involving Orthogonal Polynomials, Annals of Mathematics, 170(2009), 915–939.
- D. S. Lubinsky, Bulk Universality Holds in Measure for Compactly Supported Measures, J. d' Analyse de Mathematique, 116(2012), 219–253.
- 30. D. S. Lubinsky, Gaussian Fluctuations of Eigenvalues of Random Hermitian Matrices Associated with Fixed and Varying Weights, Random Matrices: Theory and Applications, 5(2016), No. 3, 1650009, 31 pages, 10.1142/S2010326316500009X.
- 31. D. S. Lubinsky, An Update on Local Universality Limits for Correlation Functions generated by Unitary Ensembles, SIGMA, 12(2016), 078, 36 pages.
- 32. D. S. Lubinsky, E. B. Saff, *Strong Asymptotics for Extremal Polynomials Associated with Weights on* ℝ, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1305, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- 33. G. Mastroianni, G. V. Milovanovic, *Interpolation Processes, Basic Theory and Applications*, Springer, New Berlin, 2008.
- 34. K. T.-R McLaughlin and P. D. Miller, *The θ Steepest Descent Method and the Asymptotic Behavior of Polynomials Orthogonal on the Unit Circle with Fixed and Exponentially Varying Nonanalytic Weights*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, (2006), Article ID 48673, 78 pages.
- 35. K. T.-R McLaughlin and P. D. Miller, *The* δ Steepest Descent Method for Orthogonal Polynomials on the Real Line with Varying Weights, Int. Math. Res. Notices, (2008), Article ID rnn075, 66 pages.
- 36. H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, *Extremal Problems for Polynomials with Exponential Weights*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 285(1984), 203–234.

References 167

 H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, Where does the Sup Norm of a Weighted Polynomial Live?, Constr. Approx., 1(1985), 71–91.

- 38. H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, Where does the L_p Norm of a Weighted Polynomial Live?, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 303(1987), 109–124.
- 39. P. Nevai, Orthogonal Polynomials, Memoirs of the American Math. Soc., 18(1979), No. 213.
- P. Nevai, Geza Freud, Orthogonal Polynomials and Christoffel Functions: A Case Study, J. Approx. Theory, 48(1986), 3–167.
- 41. M. Plancherel and W. Rotach, *Sur les valeurs asymptotiques des polynomes d'Hermite* $H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(e^{-x^2/2}\right)$, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 1(1929), 227–254.
- 42. E. A. Rakhmanov, On Asymptotic Properties of Polynomials Orthogonal on the Real Axis, Math. USSR. Sbornik, 47(1984), 155–193.
- 43. E. A. Rakhmanov, *Strong Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Exponential Weights on* ℝ, (in) Methods of Approximation Theory in Complex Analysis and Mathematical Physics, (eds. A. A. Gonchar and E. B. Saff), Nauka, Moscow, 1992, pp. 71–97.
- E. B. Saff and V. Totik, Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields, Springer, New York, 1997.
- 45. B. Simon, *Two Extensions of Lubinsky's Universality Theorem*, J. d'Analyse Mathematique, 105 (2008), 345–362.
- 46. B. Simon, Szegő's Theorem and its descendants, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2011.
- 47. G. Szegő, *A Hankel-féle formákról*, Mathematikai és Természettudomanyi Értesito, 36(1918), 497–538.
- G. Szegő, Über Orthogonalsysteme von Polynomen, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 4(1919), 139–151.
- 49. G. Szegő, Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1939.
- 50. E. C. Titchmarsh, Fourier Integrals, Chelsea Publishing, New York, 1962
- V. Totik, Weighted Approximation with Varying Weight, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1569, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- 52. V. Totik, Asymptotics for Christoffel Functions with Varying Weights, Advances in Applied Mathematics, 25(2000), 322–351.
- 53. V. Totik, *Universality and fine zero spacing on general sets*, Arkiv för Matematik, 47(2009), 361–391.
- V. Totik, Universality Under Szegő's Condition, Canadian Math. Bulletin, 59 (2016), 211– 224.
- W. Van Assche, Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- M. Vanlessen, Strong Asymptotics of Laguerre-type Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications in Random Matrix Theory, Constr. Approx., 25 (2007), 125–175.
- 57. Z. Wang and R. Wong, Asymptotic expansions for second-order linear difference equations with a turning point, Numer. Math., 94, (2003), 147–194.
- 58. Deng Zhang, Gaussian Fluctuations of Eigenvalues in Log-gas Ensemble: Bulk Case I, Acta Math. Sinica, 31(2015), 1487–1500.
- 59. Deng Zhang, Gaussian Fluctuations of Eigenvalues in the Unitary Ensemble, manuscript.
- A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vols. 1,2, Second Paperback edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

Index

Symbols	$\pi_{n,m}$, 128
$A_{n,m}$, 12	$\psi_n(t), 93$
$A_n(x)$, 53	$\sigma_{Q_n}, \sigma_{Q_n,r}, 14$
$B_{n,m}$, 12	~, 8
$D(F_n; z), 11$	$\tilde{K}_n(x,y)$, 154
$F_n(\theta)$, 11	$a_{\pm n,r}$, 13
$F_{Q_n}, F_{Q_n,r}, 14$	c_n , 6
I_n , 6	d_n , 6
$K_n(\mu_n, x, y), K_n(x, y), 3$	$f_n(\theta), 117$
$L_{n,r}$, 14	$g_{\Delta}(z), g_{\Delta}(z, a), 24$
$Q_n(x)$, 6	$p_{n,k}, 2$
$S_n(x)$, 93	$w_{n,B}$, 93
$U_n, U_{n,r}, 14$	$x_{jn}, 10$
$V^{\sigma_{\mathcal{Q}_{n},r}}, 14$	y_{jn} , 125
$\Gamma(f_n;\theta)$, 118	
$\Gamma_n(u), \Gamma'_n(u), 69, 84$	
$\Gamma_{n,j}, \Gamma_{n,i}^{(1)}, 69, 84$	В
$\bar{Q}_{n}(x,t)$, 53	Bernstein-Szego weight, 93, 117
$\beta_{n,r}$, 14	approximation by, 93
$\delta_{n,r}$, 14	
ℓ_{in} , 143	C
$\gamma_{n,m}$, 2	
$\lambda_n(\mu, x), \lambda_{n,p}(\mu, x), 3,37$	Christoffel functions, 3
$\{t_i\}, 68$	asymptotics, 11, 124
\mathbb{P}_T , 29	bounds, 37
$\mathcal{R}_m^{(n)}$, 153	
ω_O^m , 4	D
$\phi(z)$, 11	discretization of potentials, 67
	1

© The Author(s) 2018

169

E. Levin, D.S. Lubinsky, *Bounds and Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials for Varying Weights*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72947-3

170 Index

entropy integrals, 155, 156 equilibrium density, 4, 13 bounds, 15 equilibrium measure, 4 equilibrium potential, 4, 14 external field, 3	orthogonal polynomials asymptotics in the plane, 124 bounds, 9, 53 derivatives, 10 leading coefficients, 123 pointwise asymptotics, 10, 123
F fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation, 143 G Green's function, 30	R random matrices, 153 recurrence coefficients asymptotics, 12 reproducing kernel, 3 restricted range inequality, 29
H Hilbert transform, 16 K Korous' method, 129	S sup norm Christoffel function, 39 Szego function, 11, 117
L local fluctuation of eigenvalues, 155 Lp Christoffel function, 37	universality limit, 154, 155
M Markov-Bernstein inequalities, 63 Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers, 6, 13 Mhaskar-Saff identity, 29	Z zeros of orthogonal polynomials, 47 spacing, 10, 11, 125, 144