

# Software Quality Assurance Plan (v. 1.0)

Company 1 - TDDC88

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Scope . . . . .	2
1.2	Project description . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Documents</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Regulatory documents . . . . .	3
2.2	Guidelines . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Development</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Code conventions . . . . .	4
3.2	Workflow . . . . .	4
3.3	Software reviews . . . . .	4
3.4	Bug-tracking system . . . . .	4
3.5	Version handling . . . . .	4
3.6	Documentation . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Testing</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Purpose . . . . .	5
4.2	Testplan . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Quality</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Resources . . . . .	6
5.2	Standard metrics . . . . .	6

Version	Author	Updates	Reviewed by	Date
0.1	Erik Sköld	Initial version	-	2021-09-14
1.0	Erik Sköld	First plans for documents, development and testing	TBD	2021-09-23

# **1 Purpose**

The purpose of this Software Quality Assurance Plan is to establish the processes and responsibilities required to implement effective quality assurance functions for the project.

The Software Quality Assurance Plan will provide the framework necessary to ensure a consistent approach to software quality assurance throughout the project life cycle. It defines the approach that will be used by members of the company to monitor and assess software development processes to provide objective insight into the quality of the software and the product. The systematic monitoring of the product and processes will be evaluated to ensure they meet requirements.

## **1.1 Scope**

This plan covers Software Quality Assurance activities throughout the first iterations of the project and will be updated regularly.

## **1.2 Project description**

The project's goal is to develop a tool that visualizes and compiles information from patients at Region Östergötland hospital's emergency departments. The information comes from the various computer systems that Region Östergötland has available today. The tool should compile information in an easy and clear way. The compiled information must be presented but does not have to include input solutions.

The product will be developed during four iterations. The project will continue until December 16, 2021, when version 1.0 will be presented.

## 2 Documents

This section lists the regulatory documents used in the project and general guidelines for documentation.

### 2.1 Regulatory documents

The guidelines described in this section apply to the seven regulatory documents listed below. *Links to the documents will be available in a future version of this document.*

- Architecture Notebook
- Customer Requirements Specification
- Education Plan
- Project Plan
- Software Quality Assurance Plan (*this document*)
- Standard Operating Procedures
- Test Plan

### 2.2 Guidelines

- Regulatory document shall be written in LaTeX, preferably with the help of Overleaf. The documents are made available to everyone in the company through link sharing, editing links to all documents are among the company's files in Teams.
- Version control of the regulatory documents is made via GitLab. Each document has its own directory in the documents folder of the develop branch. Version control shall be carried out regularly, at least when each new version of the document is published.
- To ensure traceability: When referring to requirements, issues or other artifacts in documentation, ID of the artifact in question must be included. When documenting actions, such as decisions or changes, pointers to relevant documentation must be included.
- For major changes to be made to requirements, a change request (*process TBD*) must be accepted by the product owner and reviewed by a company member with knowledge of the subject. Major changes must be documented in accordance with (*process TBD*) where the requirements and issues involved are clearly referred to.
- In order to publish a new versions of a regulatory documents, a company member with knowledge of the subject must have reviewed the updated document.
- When changes are made to published documents, version number, author, significant changes between versions, reviewer and date must be noted in the version table.

## 3 Development

This section is intended to address guidelines for the development and quality assurance of code that will result in the end product.

The project is set up with TypeScript. The client (Angular), server (Node.js) and database (MongoDB) run in three separate Docker containers and are orchestrated with Docker-compose.

### 3.1 Code conventions

As the project is set up with TypeScript (TS), Google's TypeScript Style Guide must be followed for all TS code.

Names for functions and variables must be written with camelCase and be self-explanatory. Names generated by Angular should not be changed. *Conventions for HTML and CSS is to be determined.*

### 3.2 Workflow

The project uses a form of feature branch workflow. Feature branches are merged with a development branch, never directly to the main branch. Once the code has passed testing on the develop branch, it can be merged into the main branch by a authorized manager.

*Figure of workflow will be added in an upcoming version.*

### 3.3 Software reviews

In addition to regular discussion of code between developers, software reviews take place in connection with each merge from feature branches to the development branch. The software review must follow a set protocol in GitLab (*will be attached in this document in an upcoming version*). In merge requests, new code must be described as well as what changes have been made and the justification for these.

The reviews are done by a person who has not developed the code himself within two working days of when the merge request was posted. For critical features, the review must be done by a developer with at least competence level 4 (*TBD*).

### 3.4 Bug-tracking system

GitLab is used for bug-tracking. Bugs will be listed, classified and get unique ID as issues in the Issues tab of the company GitLab. *Further guidelines for bug-tracking will be found on the company Gitlab.*

### 3.5 Version handling

GitLab is used for version handling. Work needs to be committed at least daily when working on features but the goal is to commit after each working addition to the code. Commits must be tagged with what kind of commit it is (*see upcoming guidelines on GitLab*) and the commit message should include the ID for the requirement or issue being worked on and the functions that have been changed or added.

### 3.6 Documentation

The Technical Writer and the involved developers document the code produced at module level. The documentation includes descriptions of which inputs are handled, which functions are used, which inputs are given and how they work together with the rest of the system.

## 4 Testing

The Quality Coordinator will assure that the test management processes and products are being implemented per the Test Plan. This includes all types of testing of software system components as described in the test plan, specifically during integration testing (verification) and acceptance testing (validation).

The Quality Coordinator together with the Test Leader will monitor testing efforts to assure that test schedules are adhered to and maintained to reflect an accurate progression of the testing activities. It will be assured that tests are conducted using approved test procedures and appropriate test tools, and that test anomalies are identified, documented, addressed, and tracked to closure.

Members of the Test Team will review post-test execution related artifacts including test results and test reports.

### 4.1 Purpose

Testing will be conducted in order to verify that the product is working as intended. Solutions will be evaluated to reduce the risk of problems and improve the performance of the product. Testing is an important part of the work to ensure that the requirements are complied with and thus assuring quality.

### 4.2 Testplan

*Link to Test documents will be added in an upcoming version.*

## 5 Quality

This section highlights the expected resources to be spent on Quality Assurance (excluding testing) and the standard metrics to be used. *Will be further developed in a future version.*

### 5.1 Resources

Quality Personnel	Hours
Quality Coordinator	80

### 5.2 Standard metrics

- Software Quality effort and resources expended (Planned versus Actual)
- Number of Software Quality Assessments (Planned versus Actual)
- Number of Software Quality Assessment Findings or Noncompliances (Open versus Closed)
- Number of Risks identified as a result of Software Quality Assessments