

11.1.4 We can write table as:

Source	Df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	F-statistic	p-value
Treatments	6	7.61	1.26	0.77	0.6
Error	77	125.57	1.63		
Total	83	133.18			

You get **(-1 point)** for each empty or wrong value in cell.

11.1.8 (a) We have $s = \sqrt{MSE} = 2.22$ and $q_{0.05,3,30} = 3.49$. Thus, we have

$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 \in (48.05 - 44.74 - \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}, 48.05 - 44.74 + \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}) = (0.96, 5.63),$$

$$\mu_1 - \mu_3 \in (48.05 - 49.11 - \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}, 48.05 - 49.11 + \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}) = (-3.39, 1.27),$$

$$\mu_2 - \mu_3 \in (44.74 - 49.11 - \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}, 44.74 - 49.11 + \frac{2.22 \times 3.49}{\sqrt{11}}) = (-6.7, -2.04).$$

(b) Since $0 \in (-3.39, 1.27)$, μ_1 is indistinguishable from μ_3 . The other cases are different.

(c) If the number of samples is n , the length of the confidence intervals are given by

$$2 \times \frac{2.22 \times q_{0.05,3,3n-3}}{\sqrt{n}} < 2.$$

So, we have $4.96 \times q_{0.05,3,3n-3}^2 < n$. If $n > 55$, we have the above inequality. Thus, we need additional $55 - 33 = 22$ samples.

11.1.16 From DS 11.1.4,

$$n_1 = 12, \bar{x}_1 = 25.13, n_2 = 10, \bar{x}_2 = 29.11, n_3 = 11, \bar{x}_3 = 24.76$$

$$k = 3, n_T = 33, SSE = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 = 34.42$$

$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n_T - k} = 1.147, \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{MSE} = 1.071 \quad (+3 \text{ points})$$

Since $q_{0.05,3,30} = 3.486$ the 95% SCI for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$:

$$\left(25.13 - 29.11 - 1.071 \frac{3.486}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{10}}, 25.13 - 29.11 + 1.071 \frac{3.486}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{10}} \right) \\ = (-5.11, -2.85)$$

for $\mu_2 - \mu_3$: (3.20, 5.50), and for $\mu_1 - \mu_3$: (-0.73, 1.47). **(+3 points)**

Therefore, layout 2 takes more time to perform the task. **(+4 points)**

11.1.20 We have $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 5$ and $n_4 = 4$ and $n_5 = 6$. And we also have

$$\bar{x}_{1.} = 33.6, \bar{x}_{2.} = 40, \bar{x}_{3.} = 20.4, \bar{x}_{4.} = 26.5, \bar{x}_{5.} = 31, \bar{x}_{..} = 30.12$$

And it follows that

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} x_{ij}^2 - n_T \bar{x}_{..}^2 = 1400.64$$

$$SST_r = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 = 1102.74$$

$$MST_r = \frac{SST_r}{k-1} = 275.69$$

$$SSE = SST - SST_r = 297.9$$

$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n_T - k} = 14.90, \quad \text{where } k = 5 \quad \text{and} \quad n_T = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = 25.$$

Under the null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$, (assuming $x_{ij} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$) we have

$$F = \frac{MST_r}{MSE} \sim F_{k-1, n_T-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{P-value} = P\left(F_{k-1, n_T-k} \geq \frac{MST_r}{MSE}\right).$$

The F -statistics is 18.51 and the p-value is 0.000. (+4 points)

Set $\alpha = 0.05$. Let

$$(T_i, T_j) := \text{The Tukey intervals for } \mu_i - \mu_j.$$

Then

$$(T_1, T_2) = (-13.70, 0.90), (T_1, T_4) = (-5.14, 10.34),$$

$$(T_3, T_5) = (-13.09, 0.89), (T_4, T_5) = (-2.95, 11.95).$$

And they (intervals written above) contain 0. But the following intervals do not contain 0:

$$(T_1, T_3) = (5.89, 20.50), (T_1, T_5) = (0.11, 14.09),$$

$$(T_2, T_3) = (12.30, 26.90), (T_2, T_4) = (1.26, 16.47)$$

$$(T_2, T_5) = (6.51, 20.49), (T_3, T_4) = (-18.34, -2.86).$$

The treatment 2 and 1 are indistinguishable, as are treatment 1 and 4, but treatment 2 is known to have an greater mean level than that of treatment 4. And the treatment 3 and 5 are indistinguishable, as are treatment 5 and 4, but treatment 4 is known to have an greater mean level than that of treatment 5. (+4 points)

- The treatment with the largest mean is treatment 1 or treatment 2. (+1 point)
- The treatment with the smallest mean is treatment 3 or treatment 5. (+1 point)

11.1.24 We have $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = n_5 = 4$. And we also have

$$\bar{x}_{1.} = 29, \bar{x}_{2.} = \bar{x}_{3.} = 28.75, \bar{x}_{4.} = 37, \bar{x}_{5.} = 42, \bar{x}_{..} = 33.1$$

And it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SST} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} x_{ij}^2 - n_T \bar{x}_{..}^2 = 687.8 \\ \text{SST}_r &= \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 = 596.3 \\ \text{MST}_r &= \frac{\text{SST}_r}{k-1} = 149.1 \\ \text{SSE} &= \text{SST} - \text{SST}_r = 91.5 \\ \text{MSE} &= \frac{\text{SSE}}{n_T - k} = 6.1, \quad \text{where } k = 5 \quad \text{and} \quad n_T = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = 20. \end{aligned}$$

Under the null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$, (assuming $x_{ij} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$) we have

$$F = \frac{\text{MST}_r}{\text{MSE}} \sim F_{k-1, n_T-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{P-value} = P(F_{k-1, n_T-k} \geq \frac{\text{MST}_r}{\text{MSE}}) = 0.000$$

The F -statistics is 24.44 and the p-value is 0.000. (+4 points)

Set $\alpha = 0.05$. Let

$$(T_i, T_j) := \text{The Tukey intervals for } \mu_i - \mu_j.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1, T_2) &= (-4.97, 5.47), (T_1, T_3) = (-4.97, 5.47), \\ (T_2, T_3) &= (-5.22, 5.22), (T_4, T_5) = (-10.22, 0.22). \end{aligned}$$

And they (intervals written above) contain 0. But the following intervals do not contain 0:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1, T_4) &= (-13.22, -2.75), (T_1, T_5) = (-18.22, -7.78), \\ (T_2, T_4) &= (-13.47, -3.03), (T_2, T_5) = (-18.47, -8.03) \\ (T_3, T_4) &= (-13.47, -3.03), (T_3, T_5) = (-18.47, -8.03). \end{aligned}$$

Location 1,2,3 are one group and location 4,5 are the other. (+4 points)

- Location 4 or 5 has the highest E. Coli level (+1 point)
- Location 1, 2, or 3 has the smallest E. Coli level. (+1 point)