

10.1.8.

p : positive result being incorrect.

since binary case, & one-sided test.

we should check o.l. is included in $(0, \hat{p} + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} \cdot Z_{\alpha})$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{23}{324}, Z_{0.01} = 2.327$$

\Rightarrow 99% confidence interval $(0, 0.164181 \dots)$

\therefore screening test is hard to acceptable.

10.2.2.

two sided. confidence interval $p_A - p_B = (p_A - p_B - \sqrt{\text{Var}(p_A) + \text{Var}(p_B)} \cdot Z_{\alpha/2}, p_A - p_B + \sqrt{\text{Var}(p_A) + \text{Var}(p_B)} \cdot Z_{\alpha/2})$

$$\hat{p}_A = \frac{261}{302} \approx 0.8642, \text{Var}(\hat{p}_A) = \frac{\hat{p}_A \cdot (1 - \hat{p}_A)}{302} \approx (0.0197)^2, \sqrt{\text{Var}(p_A) + \text{Var}(p_B)} \approx 0.02481$$

$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{401}{454} \approx 0.8832, \text{Var}(\hat{p}_B) = \frac{\hat{p}_B \cdot (1 - \hat{p}_B)}{454} \approx (0.0150)^2$$

$$(a) = (-0.190 - 0.02481 \times 2.576, -0.190 + 0.02481 \times 2.576) \\ (-0.08291, 0.04491)$$

$$(b) = (-0.190 - 0.02481 \times 1.645, -0.190 + 0.02481 \times 1.645) \\ (-0.05981245, 0.0281245)$$

$$(c) = (-0.190, -0.190 + 0.02481 \times 1.645)$$

$$(d): Z\text{-value} = \frac{0.190}{0.02481} \approx 0.7658, p\text{-value} \approx 0.222$$

10.2.12.

$$H_0: p_A \geq p_B, \quad H_a: p_A < p_B.$$

$$Z\text{-value} = \frac{\hat{p}_A - \hat{p}_B}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{p}_A) + \text{Var}(\hat{p}_B)}} \approx -4.336$$
$$\hat{p}_A = \frac{22}{54}$$
$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{64}{601}$$

\Rightarrow , $p\text{-value} \approx 0$, then H_0 is rejected. Modification is more attract.

10.3.6.

$$n_1 = 225, \quad n_2 = 223, \quad n_3 = 152.$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{p}_2 = \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2 + n_3} = 0.3716 \quad \hat{p}_3 = \frac{n_3}{n_1 + n_2 + n_3} = 0.253.$$

$$H_0: p_2 = p_3, \quad H_1: p_2 \neq p_3.$$

$$Z\text{-value} = \frac{\hat{p}_2 - \hat{p}_3}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{p}_2) + \text{Var}(\hat{p}_3)}} \approx 4.4583.$$

$\therefore p\text{-value} \approx 0$. three formulation are not equally popular.

10.3.10.

$$p_1 = \frac{83}{205}, \quad p_2 = \frac{75}{205}, \quad p_3 = \frac{47}{205}$$

$$H_0: p_1 = p_3, \quad H_a: p_1 \neq p_3.$$

$$Z\text{-value} = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_3}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{p}_1) + \text{Var}(\hat{p}_3)}} \approx 3.8905.$$

$\therefore p\text{-value} \approx 0$. There is sufficient evidence to conclude 3 products do not have equal probabilities.

10.3.12.

Weibull distribution $f(x; k, \lambda) = \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^k}$.

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(x_i - e_i)^2}{e_i}$$

$$e_i = \frac{0.45}{1.56} \cdot \left(\frac{i}{1.56}\right)^{-0.55} \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{i}{1.56}\right)^{0.45}}$$

$$x_i = (12, 53, 39)$$

1 hour of call. $k = 0.45, \lambda = 0.065$.

$$e_i = (16.24,$$

24 hour of call $k = 0.45, \lambda = 1.56$

Weibull $\frac{0.9}{1}$

10.4.2.

	Dead	Slow	Medium	Strong	
Fx	48	111	186	142	487
F1	71	89	174	181	515
F2	63	95	181	190	529
	182	295	341	513	1531

whether.
✓

To check. F_x, F_1, F_2 is same or not.

χ^2 or p-value check ~~II~~.

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(x_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}$$

$$\approx 13.65911$$

$$df = (r-1)(c-1) = 6$$

$\therefore p = 0.324$, it is hard to think 3 sets are different.

10.4.6. - check

	x_{11}	x_{12}
	x_{21}	x_{22}
	$x_{.1} = x_{11} + x_{21}$	$x_{.2} = x_{12} + x_{22}$

$$x_{1.} = x_{11} + x_{12}$$

$$x_{2.} = x_{21} + x_{22}$$

$$n = \frac{x_{1.} \cdot x_{.2}}{x_{12}}$$

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(x_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}$$

$$\text{Since } e_{ij} = \frac{x_{i.}}{n} \times \frac{x_{.j}}{n} \times n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{x_{ij}^2}{e_{ij}} - 2x_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{n \cdot x_{ij}}{x_{i.} \cdot x_{.j}} - n$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_{ij} = n \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 e_{ij} = n \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{n}{x_{i.}} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{x_{ij}}{x_{.j}} - n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{n}{x_{i.}} \left(\frac{x_{i1}}{x_{.1}} + \frac{x_{i2}}{x_{.2}} \right) - n$$

$$= \frac{n}{x_{1.}} \left(\frac{x_{11}}{x_{.1}} + \frac{x_{12}}{x_{.2}} \right) + \frac{n}{x_{2.}} \left(\frac{x_{21}}{x_{.1}} + \frac{x_{22}}{x_{.2}} \right) - n$$

$$= n \left(\frac{x_{1.}(x_{.1}x_{12} + x_{.2}x_{11}) + x_{2.}(x_{.2}x_{21} + x_{.1}x_{22})}{x_{1.}x_{2.}x_{.1}x_{.2}} \right) - n$$

#10.4.10

X	a	17	31	57
B	4	9	36	49
C	15	19	56	90
	28	45	123	196

$$\Rightarrow \chi^2 = 5.023351$$

$$p\text{-value} = 0.2848.$$

\therefore It is hard to think different

10.7.20. $\hat{P}_A = \frac{56}{94}$, $\hat{P}_B = \frac{64}{153}$

(a). $H_0: P_A \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $H_a: P_A > \frac{1}{2}$

$$Z\text{-value} = \frac{\frac{56}{94} - \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{94} \cdot \left(\frac{56}{94} \cdot \frac{38}{94}\right)}} \approx 1.89156.$$

then $p\text{-value} \approx 0.029$.

\therefore In 5% significance level, P_A is better than 50%

(b) 99% confidence interval

$$(\hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B - \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{P}_A) + \text{Var}(\hat{P}_B)} \cdot Z_{0.005}, \hat{P}_A - \hat{P}_B + \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{P}_A) + \text{Var}(\hat{P}_B)} \cdot Z_{0.005})$$

$$\Rightarrow (0.0114486, 0.313439)$$

(c) $\chi^2 \approx 6.646131$, $p\text{-value} \approx 0.0099371$

$\therefore P_A, P_B$ is different.

