

Hand-in, Derivative pricing.

Gustaf Sundell, gu0147su-s.

A:

The rightmost inequality simplifies to

$$e^{-r(T-t)} E \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i S_i(T) - K \right)^+ \right) \leq e^{-r(T-t)} E \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (S_i(T) - K_i)^+.$$

\Rightarrow the discounting factor can be disregarded.

LHS expands to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i (S_i(T) - K_i) \right)^+, \text{ which is due to}$$

$$\text{that } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i K_i = K.$$

Furthermore, as all $c_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$, this means that $c^T x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and with

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a convex combination of the}$$

elements in x .

$$\text{let } x_i = S_i(T) - K_i.$$

so what we are comparing is
 $E((C^T x)^+)$ to $E(C^T(x)^+)$, alt. $C^T(E(x)^+)$

(linearity of $E \Rightarrow$ where we put it doesn't
seem to important here, but open for suggestions.

now, let $f(y) = (y)^+ = \max(y, 0)$

\max is a convex function, And by the
definition of convexity:

$$f \text{ convex} \Rightarrow f(\theta x_1 + (1-\theta)x_2) \leq \theta f(x_1) + (1-\theta)f(x_2)$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$

this generalises to bigger convex combinations,
of which $C^T x$ is an example, so

$$f(C^T x) \leq C^T f(x), \text{ proving the rightmost ineq.}$$

Sorry, no time for the leftmost
ineq!

B:

① For this, I simulate $\ln(S(T))$ (vector-valued).

and put $R = C^T \ln(S(T))$

and further obtain $\Phi(T) = (e^R - K)^+$

then obtain the price as

$e^{-r(T-t)} \cdot E^Q(\Phi(T))$, where the expectation is estimated as mean of simulations.

②

This task was very similar, except the payoff for each simulated asset of course

was $(S_i(T) - K)^+$.

further the price was obtained as the mean of all $n=12$ prices.

③ With the crude mc method, this was not so different from the 2 previous tasks, as well as the lab earlier this week.

However, to use the control variate, the following scheme was used.

↳

Re-using some of the previously mentioned notation, we have that the vector $S(T)$ follows a vectorvalued GBM as:

$$l = \ln(S(T)) = \ln(S(t)) + (r \cdot \mathbb{I}_n - \text{diag}(\sigma\sigma^*)/2)(T-t) + \dots \\ \dots + \sigma \sqrt{T-t} G$$

where $G \sim N_n(0, 1)$.

and σ is the lower cholesky factorization of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_{1,2} & \dots \\ \rho_{2,1} & 1 & \\ \vdots & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}$$

this means that

$$E(l) = \ln(S(t)) + (r \cdot \mathbb{I}_n - \text{diag}(\sigma\sigma^*)/2)(T-t)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(l) = \sigma\sigma^*(T-t)$$

and $R = c^T l \Rightarrow R$ is also Gaussian
(since it's a linear comb. of Gaussians)
with

$$E(R) = c^T E(l)$$

$$\text{Var}(R) = c^T \text{Var}(l) c,$$

\hookrightarrow

now: since $\prod_{i=1}^n (S_i(T))^{C_i} = e^R$

this simplifies to a log-normal random variable

if $\bar{X} = e^{\bar{x}}$, $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then

$$E(\bar{X}) = e^{(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)}$$

So, using e^R as a control variate is easy, because we know the Expected value. The implementation in matlab is heavily borrowed from Lecture 10.

(4)

$$a) \pi_0 = 1.1 \cdot NA = e^{-r(T-t)} E^Q(\Phi(T)) =$$

$$= e^{-r(T-t)} NA \left(1 + pr E \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_i \frac{S_i(T)}{S_i(0)} - 1 \right)^+ \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow pr = \frac{1.1 \cdot e^{r(T-t)} - 1}{E \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_i \frac{S_i(T)}{S_i(0)} - 1 \right)^+ \right)}$$

↳

note, as ^{each} S_i is assumed to follow a GBM,
 we have that if we have the vector
 l where $l_i = \ln \left(\frac{S_i(T)}{S_i(0)} \right)$ then

$$l = (rI_n - \text{diag}(\sigma\sigma^*)/2)(T-t) + \sigma\sqrt{T-t} \cdot G,$$

with $G \in \sigma$ as before (note we now have a
 given ρ -matrix).

the simulation then becomes:

$$\hat{p}_r = \frac{1.1e^{v(T-t)} - 1}{\text{mean}((c^T e^l - 1)^+)} \approx 0.72.$$

b) Using the estimated \hat{p}_r , the price is simply
 calculated. I was a bit unsure if price at
 $t=1$ should still be compared to $S_i(0)$ or to
 $S_i(1)$. I opted for the latter:

$$\hat{\Pi}_i = e^{-r(T-t)} \cdot NA \cdot \left(1 + \hat{p}_r \cdot E \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{S_i(T)}{S_i(1)} - 1 \right)^+ \right) \right) \approx 108.9.$$

where again $S_i(1)$ simplifies away,