

# Problem set 1: Newton method and gradient descent

Eva Perazzi, Gustave Besacier, Agustina María Zein

September 23, 2023

## 1 Exercise 1

Let us recall the Black-Scholes option price formula for a non-dividend paying call is

$$C(S, K, T, \sigma, r) = SN(d_1) - Ke^{-rT}N(d_2) \quad (1)$$

where we define the call option on a stock  $S$ , the strike of the option  $K$ , the expiration  $T$ , the risk-free rate  $r$  and

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \quad (2)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{\left( \log\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T \right)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \quad d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T} \quad (3)$$

with  $\sigma$  the implied volatility.

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\partial C(S, K, T, \sigma, r)}{\partial \sigma} = S \frac{e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{T} \quad (4)$$

and we know that the current value of the option is  $C(100, 100, 1.5, \sigma^*, 0.04) = 10.78$ .

Our goal is to determine  $\sigma^*$ . For that matter, let us define the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(\sigma) = C(S, K, T, \sigma, r)$  when  $S, K, T$  and  $r$  are held fixed at values  $S = S(0) = 100$ ,  $K = 100$ ,  $T = 1.5$  and  $r = 0.04$ , namely  $f(\sigma) = C(100, 100, 1.5, \sigma, 0.04)$ .

We use the Newton method to find the zeros of the function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $g(\sigma) = f(\sigma) - f(\sigma^*) = f(\sigma) - 10.78$ . Therefore our problem translates into searching for the root in the interval  $[0, 1]$  of  $g$ .

The functions  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous and differentiable, and we observe:

$$f'(\sigma) = g'(\sigma) = \frac{\partial C(S, K, T, \sigma, r)}{\partial \sigma} = S \frac{e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{T} \quad (5)$$

Using Matlab, we implement the Newton method

$$\sigma_{k+1} = \sigma_k - \frac{g(\sigma_k)}{g'(\sigma_k)} \quad (6)$$

using a tolerance level of  $10^{-5}$  and a starting value of  $\sigma_0 = 0.5$ . As a result, we obtain an implied volatility of  $\sigma^* = 0.1594$  computed in 5 iterations.

## 2 Exercise 2

In this exercise, we aim to find the minimum of the following function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 2)^4 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 \quad (7)$$

To solve it, we will first use the Newton method then the gradient descent method.

### 2.1 Optimization using the Newton method

According to the Newton method, we have the following

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \text{inv}(H_F(x_k)) \vec{\nabla} F(x_k) \quad (8)$$

We first calculate the partial derivatives of  $f$  with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . We find

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} = 4(x_1 - 2)^3 + 2(x_1 - 2x_2) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} = -4(x_1 - 2x_2) \quad (10)$$

We then compute

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{(\partial x_1)^2} = 12(x_1 - 2)^2 + 2 \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = -4 \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{(\partial x_2)^2} = 8 \quad (13)$$

We obtain the gradient and the Hessian matrix, which are given by

$$\vec{\nabla} f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 4(x_1 - 2)^3 + 2(x_1 - 2x_2) \\ -4(x_1 - 2x_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$H_f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 12(x_1 - 2)^2 + 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

By using the starting point  $x_0 = (3, 3)$ , and after 24 iterations, we find the local minimum  $x^* = (2, 1)$ . However, if we take the starting point  $x_1 = (2, 2)$ , the Hessian matrix at point  $x_1$  is equal to

$$H_f(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

and we have

$$\det(H_f(2, 2)) = 0$$

Therefore the Hessian is not invertible at that point and the equation (8) is not valid.

## 2.2 Optimization using the gradient descent method

By doing the calculations with the gradient descent method, we will select a few different step sizes and record the number of iterations for each of them. The gradient descent step is written

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \vec{\nabla} f(x_k) \quad (17)$$

where  $\vec{\nabla} f(x_k)$ ,  $x_k = (x_{k,1}, x_{k,2}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  refers to the same gradient as in part (2.1).

We choose three different step sizes, namely  $\alpha_1 = 10^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 10^{-2}$  and  $\alpha_3 = 10^{-3}$ . Starting at point  $x_0 = (3, 3)$ , we find the objectives  $x_{0,1}^*$ ,  $x_{0,2}^*$  and  $x_{0,2}^*$ , using respectively  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$

$$x_{0,1}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 33926 iterations} \quad (18)$$

$$x_{0,2}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 339337 iterations} \quad (19)$$

$$x_{0,3}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 3393436 iterations} \quad (20)$$

When starting at point  $x_1 = (2, 2)$ , we find the objectives  $x_{1,1}^*$ ,  $x_{1,2}^*$  and  $x_{1,2}^*$ , using respectively  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$

$$x_{1,1}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 33918 iterations} \quad (21)$$

$$x_{1,2}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 339243 iterations} \quad (22)$$

$$x_{1,3}^* = (2.0068, 1.0034) \text{ computed in 3392482 iterations} \quad (23)$$

We concluded that, whereas Newton method finds a local minimum of the function in less iterations, its applicability requires more restrictive conditions on continuity and smoothness of the function than the gradient descent method.