Gustavo Diaz
Kenneth Taylor Hall 527
1280 Main Street West
Hamilton, ON Canada
✉ diazg2@mcmaster.ca
🌐 gustavodiaz.org
📞 +1 217 904 0581

October 19, 2023

Dear Members of the Search Committee,

I write to express my interest in your call for postdoctoral positions in Data Science. I am a postdoctoral fellow in Advanced Statistical, Causal Inference, and Computational Methodologies in the Department of Political Science at McMaster University. I received my PhD from the Department of Political Science at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign under the supervision of Jake Bowers, Matt Winters, Gisela Sin, and Avital Livny.

My research uses tools from data science and design-based causal inference to develop standards to navigate research design tradeoffs in the social sciences. I focus on applications in which collective behavior prevents us from observing the phenomena we wish to understand directly, thus demanding creativity in data analysis. My work is published or forthcoming in outlets discussing these topics, including *World Development*, the *Journal of Experimental Political Science*, and *The SAGE Handbook of Research Methods in Political Science and International Relations*.

My research agenda focuses on developing tools that researchers can adopt to improve statistical precision before data collection. This is overlooked in the causal inference literature in favor of identifying unbiased estimators. Implicitly, this literature assumes that one can improve statistical precision by increasing sample size. This is not feasible in many social science applications due to resource limitations. Moreover, resource considerations aside, even the least intrusive study has an ethical mandate to identify a research design that maximizes benefits and minimizes harm at the lowest possible cost.

Focusing on randomized controlled trials, this agenda follows two strands. First, I examine cases where one can improve statistical precision without sacrificing unbiasedness, which implies unforeseen costs in other dimensions. For example, in work under review with Erin Rossiter (Notre Dame), we show how implementing alternatives to the standard experimental design, such as pre-post outcome measurement or block randomization, may attenuate the expected gains in precision via explicit or implicit sample loss. In a solo-authored piece accepted at the *Journal of Experimental Political Science*, I introduce new tools to assess the validity of estimates in double list experiments. This is a variant of the list experiment that promises more precise results but comes with under-explored questionnaire design complications. In work in progress with Inés Fynn (PUC-Chile), Verónica Pérez Bentancur (Universidad de la República), and Lucía Tiscornia (University College Dublin), we show

how to combine list experiments with network scale up questions to improve the precision of prevalence rate estimates for sensitive attitudes and behaviors at the cost one additional assumption.

The second strand focuses on cases where one can improve precision by sacrificing unbiasedness deliberately. For example, in work in progress with Jake Bowers (Illinois) and Christopher Grady (USAID), we discuss the circumstances under which researchers should prefer biased yet precise estimators to analyze experimental data, including applications to block-randomization and M-estimation. In a *SAGE Handbook* chapter with Christopher Grady and Jim Kuklinski (Illinois), we discuss the merits and challenges of increasingly complex survey experimental designs that improve precision at the cost of external validity bias.

As part of my postdoc, I contribute to three projects under the supervision of Professor Michele Dion. First, I serve as the methods editorial assistant for the *American Political Science Review*, one of the leading journals in the discipline. My involve assisting editors in assessing the quality and relevance of scholarship applying cutting-edge techniques from data science and statistics, which gives me an opportunity to shape and influence their implementation.

Second, I lead the development of a text analysis workflow for a project that seeks to understand gendered patterns in the application and citation of quantitative methods across the social science. I am building a pipeline to collect and pre-process text data from leading journals in anthropology, economics, political science, and sociology in the last 20 years while also constructing citation networks across articles. The endline of this project is to leverage this extensive data collection to determine whether scholars write about their peers' use of statistical and data science methods differently depending on their gender.

Third, in a project that also includes Guillem Riambau (Universitat de Barcelona), we use data from the Asian Barometer to understand whether the presence of others during an interview shapes how people respond to face-to-face surveys. I lead the process of harmonizing and documenting the coding of key variables using data spanning 20 years of surveys across 17 countries. This project aims to improve our understanding of how context, culture, and institutions shape measurement error in cross-national surveys.

I believe my expertise makes me an excellent fit at Yale. My research has already benefited from the advice and feedback of FDS members Alex Coppock, Luke Sanford, and Fredrik Sävje. Their continued mentorship would have a dramatic impact on my career. I would also benefit greatly from the mentorship of FDS members Alan Gerber, Joshua Kalla, and Jasjeet Sekhon. If you have any questions, you can contact me via email or phone.

Sincerely,

Gustavo Diaz
Postdoctoral Fellow
Department of Political Science
McMaster University