

## Solutions to Decimals Exercises

1. If  $x = \frac{32}{13}$ , we obtain

| $n$   | 0                                   | 1                                   | 2                                   | 3                                   | 4                                   | 5                                   | 6                                    | ... |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| $d_n$ | $\lfloor \frac{32}{13} \rfloor = 2$ | $\lfloor \frac{60}{13} \rfloor = 4$ | $\lfloor \frac{80}{13} \rfloor = 6$ | $\lfloor \frac{20}{13} \rfloor = 1$ | $\lfloor \frac{70}{13} \rfloor = 5$ | $\lfloor \frac{50}{13} \rfloor = 3$ | $\lfloor \frac{110}{13} \rfloor = 8$ | ... |
| $R_n$ | $\frac{6}{13}$                      | $\frac{8}{13}$                      | $\frac{2}{13}$                      | $\frac{7}{13}$                      | $\frac{5}{13}$                      | $\frac{11}{13}$                     | $\frac{6}{13}$                       | ... |

Since  $R_6 = R_0$ , the process repeats and we obtain  $D(\frac{32}{13}) = 2.461538461538 \dots$

2. (a) Formally this requires induction. Informally, it should be clear that  $0 \leq R_0 < 1$ , whence  $d_1 = \lfloor 10R_0 \rfloor$  is an integer  $0 \leq d_0 \leq 9$ .
- (b)  $D(x)$  converges trivially by the comparison test:  $d_n 10^{-n} \leq 9 \cdot 10^{-n}$ . Alternatively, the partial sums form a monotone-up sequence bounded above by  $x$ .

Following the hint, let  $E_n = x - \sum_{k=0}^n d_k 10^{-k}$ . We prove by induction that  $R_n = 10^n E_n$ .

The base case is obvious since  $R_0 = x - d_0 = 10^0 E_0$ .

For the induction step, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and assume  $R_n = 10^n E_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{n+1} &= 10R_n - d_{n+1} = 10^{n+1}E_n - d_{n+1} \\
 &= 10^{n+1}x - \sum_{k=0}^n d_k 10^{n-k} - d_{n+1} \\
 &= 10^{n+1} \left( x - \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} d_k 10^{-k} \right) = 10^{n+1}E_{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

But now  $E_n = \frac{1}{10^n} R_n \rightarrow 0$ , since  $0 \leq R_n < 1$  for all  $n$ .

- (c) Following the hint, since  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 10^{-rl} = \frac{1}{1-10^{-r}} = \frac{10^r}{10^r-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we see that

$$d_0.d_1 \dots d_m d_{m+1} \dots d_{m+r} d_{m+1} \dots d_{m+r} \dots = \sum_{k=0}^m d_k 10^{-k} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^r d_{m+j} 10^{-m-j} \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 10^{-rl}$$

is rational.

Conversely, suppose  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational in lowest terms. At each stage of the algorithm, one subtracts an integer after multiplying by 10. The denominator of  $R_n$  is therefore always a divisor of  $q$ , whence

$$R_n = \frac{a}{q} \text{ where } a \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$$

For  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  there are only  $q$  possible remainders  $R_n$ : at least one of these must appear twice;  $R_i = R_j$  for some  $0 \leq i < j \leq q$ . Writing  $r = j - i$ , it follows that

$$\forall k \geq i, d_{k+r} = d_k$$

whence the decimal is eventually periodic.

(d) That the two series are equal is easy to check via the geometric series formula:

$$9 \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} 10^{-n} = \frac{9 \cdot 10^{-m-1}}{1 - 1/10} = 10^{-m}$$

Now suppose that two different decimals are equal: that is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n 10^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n 10^{-n}$$

Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is minimal such that  $c_m \neq d_m$  and assume WLOG that  $c_m < d_m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (d_m - c_m)10^{-m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} d_n 10^{-n} &= \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} c_n 10^{-n} \\ \implies (d_m - c_m) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n+m} 10^{-n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+m} 10^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the left and right sides of this equation:

**Left Side** Since  $d_m > c_m$ , this is *greater than or equal to 1* with equality if and only if  $d_m = c_m + 1$  and *all*  $d_{n+m} = 0$ .

**Right Side** Since  $9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 10^{-n} = 1$ , the right side is *less than or equal to 1* with equality if and only if *all*  $c_{n+m} = 9$ .

We conclude that  $d_m = c_m + 1$ , and that

$$n > m \implies d_n = 0, c_n = 9$$

3. (a)  $D(x)$  terminates  $\implies x = \frac{p}{2^a 5^b}$  is rational in lowest terms. For example,  $x = \frac{193}{250} = \frac{193}{2 \cdot 5^2}$  has a terminating decimal, namely 0.772. Here is a general proof.

By the Theorem, we know that all possible candidates for a terminating decimal must be rational. Thus assume  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational in lowest terms. Observe that

$$R_0 = \frac{p}{q} - d_0 = \frac{p - d_0 q}{q}$$

is a fraction with denominator  $q$ . Similarly,

$$R_1 = 10R_0 - \lfloor 10R_0 \rfloor$$

is  $10R_0$  minus an integer; it is therefore a fraction whose denominator is either  $q$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}q$ ,  $\frac{1}{5}q$  or  $\frac{1}{10}q$ . Iterating this process, we see that  $R_n$  is a fraction with denominator

$$q_n = \frac{1}{2^a 5^b} q \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$D(x)$  terminates if and only if some  $q_n = 1$  (then  $R_n = \frac{0}{1} = 0$ ), which happens if and only if  $x$  has the form described above.

- (b) Think back to the proof. If  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  is in lowest terms, then in the first  $q + 1$  remainders, one remainder must appear at least twice. The seemingly largest period is therefore  $q$  (if  $R_0 = R_q$ ). However, if any remainder were ever zero, then the decimal terminates (with ‘period’ 1). The longest possible period will therefore be  $q - 1$ , which happens if the remainders  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{q-2}$  are distinct and non-zero, and  $R_{q-1} = R_0$ . The example with  $\frac{1}{7}$  (period 6) shows this. Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{23} = 0.04347826086956521739130434782609 \dots$$

has period 22.

4. (a)  $[0.02020202 \dots]_3 = \frac{2}{3^2} [1.010101 \dots]_3 = \frac{2}{9} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-2n} = \frac{2}{9(1-\frac{1}{9})} = \frac{1}{4}.$

Following the algorithm,

|       |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |         |   |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---|
| $n$   | 0                                 | 1                                 | 2                                 | 3                                 | $\dots$ | $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} = [0.11111 \dots]_3$ |
| $d_n$ | $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 0$ | $\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \rfloor = 1$ | $\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \rfloor = 1$ | $\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \rfloor = 1$ | $\dots$ |   |
| $R_n$ | $\frac{1}{2}$                     | $\frac{1}{2}$                     | $\frac{1}{2}$                     | $\frac{1}{2}$                     | $\dots$ |   |

We could also have done this by multiplying the expression for  $\frac{1}{4}$  by 2.

Now for  $\frac{1}{5}$ :

|       |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                    |                                   |         |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| $n$   | 0                                 | 1                                 | 2                                 | 3                                  | 4                                 | $\dots$ |
| $d_n$ | $\lfloor \frac{1}{5} \rfloor = 0$ | $\lfloor \frac{3}{5} \rfloor = 0$ | $\lfloor \frac{9}{5} \rfloor = 1$ | $\lfloor \frac{12}{5} \rfloor = 2$ | $\lfloor \frac{6}{5} \rfloor = 1$ | $\dots$ |
| $R_n$ | $\frac{1}{5}$                     | $\frac{3}{5}$                     | $\frac{4}{5}$                     | $\frac{2}{5}$                      | $\frac{1}{5}$                     | $\dots$ |

from which the ternary representation repeats:

$$\frac{1}{5} = [0.012101210121 \dots]_3$$

- (b) The theorem goes through almost unchanged: each  $t_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  whenever  $n \geq 1$ , every ternary expansion converges to  $T(x) = x$ , rational numbers have eventual periodicity, and terminating ternary expansions have another representation: e.g.

$$[1.2012]_3 = [1.2011222222 \dots]_3$$

where the final non-zero term is reduced by 1 and an infinite string of 2’s added.

- (c) Suppose  $n = p_1^{\mu_1} \dots p_k^{\mu_k}$  is the unique prime factorization of  $n$ . The (positive) real numbers  $x$  whose  $n$ -ary expansion terminates are precisely those rational numbers whose (lowest-term) denominators are divisible by no other primes than  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .

For instance, in base-60 =  $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ , the expansion of  $\frac{1001}{450}$  will terminate, but that of  $\frac{1}{7}$  will not (it is 3-periodic though!). In case you are curious:

$$\frac{1001}{450} = [2; 13, 28]_{60} = 2 + \frac{13}{60} + \frac{28}{60^2}, \quad \frac{1}{7} = [0; 8, 34, 17, 8, 34, 17, \dots]_{60}$$