Summary Migration Indices

Guy J. Abel

Background

- Compared with fertility and mortality, little attention given to the way that internal or domestic migration varies between nations.
- Comparisons of migration over time or between spatial units is complicated by many factors including:
 - Different or changing definitions of migration
 - Different or changing collection systems for migration
 - Different sizes of regions
 - Different or changing number of regions
 - Different ways of measuring distances
 - Different and changing underlying population sizes and structures
- Bell et al. (2002) brought together and proposed a number of summary measures to enable better comparisons
 - A number of these incorporated into the IMAGE software for comparisons of internal migration between many countries; Bell, Charles-Edwards, Ueffing, et al. (2015), Bell, Charles-Edwards, Kupiszewska, et al. (2015), Stillwell et al. (2016), Rees et al. (2016), Bernard, Bell, and Charles-Edwards (2014)

Background

0

- Bell et al. (2002) identified four main groups of migration indices:
 - Intensity of migration
 - Distance of migration
 - Migration connectivity
 - Effect of migration on the redistribution of populations

- Migration intensity measures attempt to capture the overall level, or incidence, of mobility.
- Provide a single measure for comparison of migration intensities over time or space
- Some indices based on age-specific migration data
 - Will discuss later in the age-schedule section

Crude migration probability

- Crude migration intensity is a simple measure of the overall propensity to migrate
- Similar to crude birth or death rate
- If using migration transition data, the crude migration probability (CMP) is

$$CMP = 100 M/P$$

where M is the total number of migrants in a given time period and P is the population at risk

 Courgeau (1973) discussed how the intensity of migration is directly related to the number of regions n in the country

$$CMP = k \log(n^2)$$

- No intrinsic meaning to a single Courgeau's k, but can be used to compare migration intensity that cannot be seen from the raw data because of differences in their zonal systems.
- Higher the value of k, greater the intensity of migration

- The index_intensity() function in the *migest* package calculates both intensity measures, given a migration and population data
- The migest package also contains a data set on Korean internal migration and populations of first level administrative districts
 - Data originally downloaded from https://kosis.kr/eng

```
> library(tidyverse)
> library(migest)
> korea reg
# A tibble: 2,601 x 4
   orig dest
                              flow
                      year
   <fct> <fct>
                     <int>
                             <int>
 1 Seoul Seoul
                      2012 1069300
 2 Seoul Busan
                      2012
                             21437
 3 Seoul Daegu
                      2012
                             13838
 4 Seoul Incheon
                      2012
                             32216
 5 Seoul Gwangju
                      2012
                             11811
 6 Seoul Daejeon
                      2012
                             14570
 7 Seoul Ulsan
                      2012
                              6799
 8 Seoul Sejong
                      2012
                              1015
 9 Seoul Gyeonggi-do 2012
                            254175
10 Seoul Gangwon-do
                      2012
                             21324
# ... with 2.591 more rows
```

The korea_pop contains the resident population in each region and year

```
> korea_pop
# A tibble: 153 x 3
   region year population
   <chr> <int>
                      <dbl>
 1 Seoul
           2012
                   10195318
 2 Seoul
           2013
                  10143645
 3 Seoul
           2014
                   10103233
 4 Seoul
           2015
                   10022181
 5 Seoul
           2016
                    9930616
 6 Seoul
           2017
                    9857426
 7 Seoul
           2018
                    9765623
 8 Seoul
           2019
                    9729107
 9 Seoul
           2020
                    9668465
10 Busan
           2012
                    3538484
# ... with 143 more rows
```

Calculate migration and population totals in 2020

```
> m <- korea_reg %>%
    filter(year == 2020,
           orig != dest) %>%
    pull(flow) %>%
    sum()
> m
[1] 2534114
> p <- korea_pop %>%
    filter(year == 2020) %>%
    pull(population) %>%
    sum()
> p
[1] 51829023
>
 index_intensity(mig_total = m, pop_total = p,
                  n = n_distinct(korea_pop$region))
 A tibble: 2 x 2
           value
  measure
  <chr> <dbl>
       4.89
1 cmp
2 courgeau_k 0.863
```

```
> mm <- korea_reg %>%
    group_by(year) %>%
   filter(orig != dest) %>%
    summarise(m = sum(flow))
> mm
# A tibble: 9 x 2
   year
  <int>
          <int>
  2012 2512740
  2013 2423429
  2014 2507796
  2015 2551424
  2016 2453342
  2017 2410930
  2018 2429184
  2019 2384948
   2020 2534114
```

```
> pp <- korea_pop %>%
   group_by(year) %>%
    summarise(p = sum(population))
> pp
# A tibble: 9 x 2
   year
        <dbl>
  <int>
   2012 50948272
  2013 51141463
  2014 51327916
  2015 51529338
  2016 51696216
  2017 51778544
  2018 51826059
  2019 51849861
   2020 51829023
```

 Passing the vectors of migration and population totals can lead to confusing output

```
> d <- mm %>%
    left_join(pp)
> d
# A tibble: 9 x 3
   year
  <int>
          <int>
                   <dbl>
  2012 2512740 50948272
 2013 2423429 51141463
  2014 2507796 51327916
  2015 2551424 51529338
  2016 2453342 51696216
  2017 2410930 51778544
  2018 2429184 51826059
  2019 2384948 51849861
   2020 2534114 51829023
```

```
> index_intensity(mig_total = d$m, pop_total = d$p,
               n = n_distinct(korea_pop$region))
 A tibble: 18 x 2
  measure value
  <chr> <dbl>
 1 cmp 4.93
 2 courgeau_k 0.870
 3 cmp 4.74
 4 courgeau_k 0.836
5 cmp 4.89
6 courgeau_k 0.862
 7 cmp 4.95
8 courgeau_k 0.874
9 cmp 4.75
10 courgeau_k 0.838
11 cmp 4.66
12 courgeau_k 0.822
13 cmp 4.69
14 courgeau_k 0.827
15 cmp 4.60
16 courgeau_k 0.812
17 cmp 4.89
18 courgeau k 0.863
```

Set long = FALSE to put each indicator in their own column

```
> index_intensity(mig_total = d$m, pop_total = d$p,
                  n = n_distinct(korea_pop$region), long = FALSE)
# A tibble: 9 x 2
    cmp courgeau k
  <dbl>
             <dbl>
  4.93
            0.870
  4.74
            0.836
  4.89
         0.862
  4.95
         0.874
  4.75
            0.838
  4.66
            0.822
  4.69
            0.827
  4.60
             0.812
  4.89
             0.863
```

> d <- mm %>%

- Use the list() function to store the data frames for each year
 - Provides results alongside the year
- Code below produces a nested list i containing the intensity measures for each year

```
left_join(pp) %>%
    rowwise() %>%
   mutate(i = list(
      index intensity(
        mig_total = m, pop_total = p,
       n = n_distinct(korea_pop$region),
       long = FALSE)
      ))
> d
 A tibble: 9 x 4
# Rounuise:
   year
                       рi
  <int>
          <int>
                  <dbl> <list>
  2012 2512740 50948272 <tibble [1 x 2]>
  2013 2423429 51141463 <tibble [1 x 2]>
  2014 2507796 51327916 <tibble [1 x 2]>
  2015 2551424 51529338 <tibble [1 x 2]>
   2016 2453342 51696216 <tibble [1 x 2]>
```

- The unnest() function in the tidyr package binds each component of column i on next to each other
 - Easier to see changes over time

```
> unnest(d, cols = i)
 A tibble: 9 x 5
                            cmp courgeau_k
   year
                        р
  <int>
          <int>
                   <dbl> <dbl>
                                     <dbl>
   2012 2512740 50948272
                           4.93
                                     0.870
  2013 2423429 51141463
                         4.74
                                     0.836
  2014 2507796 51327916
                         4.89
                                     0.862
   2015 2551424 51529338
                           4.95
                                     0.874
   2016 2453342 51696216
                          4.75
                                     0.838
  2017 2410930 51778544
                           4.66
                                     0.822
   2018 2429184 51826059
                           4.69
                                     0.827
   2019 2384948 51849861
                                     0.812
                           4.60
   2020 2534114 51829023
                          4.89
                                     0.863
```

- As migration is a spatial activity, based on movements between two locations, comparisons should take account of the way that intensities of movement vary across space.
- There are a number of measures that summarize the effects of distance across a migration system
- The distance measure between each region is not straightforward
 - Ideally measure the typical distance that migrants travel.
 - Straight line distance between population-weighted centroids of each region provide a good approximation
- The costs faced by a migrant may not be represented well by the inter-centroid distance.
 - Locations in different regions might be very close to a border, so centroids will exaggerate the distance
 - Areas can take many shapes and sizes
 - Doughnut shaped regions might have centroids not in region
 - Indented coastlines might make regions look closer than they might be (culturally, travel cost)
 - Distance measures for within region moves cause another set of problems

Average migration distance

- Summary of the average migration distance can be calculated by taking a weighted average of the migration counts, where the corresponding distances are the weights
- Bell et al. (2002) note a median average as clearly preferable to a mean average as the distribution of distances is negatively skewed, reflecting the strong distance decay effect which consistently occurs
- Comparison with the mean average distance provides a guide to the skewness

Distance decay

- A more complete method to account for the skewness in migration distances is to fit a model to predict migration counts using the distances between each regions and extract the distance parameter
- Different models could be potentially used, but tend to be based on a log(distance) terms with categorical control variables for the origin and destination
- The distance decay parameter (β_3) in a Poisson log-linear model;

$$\log(m_{ij}) = \beta_0 + \beta_{1i} \text{origin} + \beta_{2j} \text{destination} + \beta_3 \log(\text{distance})$$

- The distance decay parameter of interest (β_3 in the equation above) is almost always negative, indicating an increase in migration leads to fewer predicted migrations.
 - The set of β_{1i} and β_{2j} represent some form of push and pull factors for each region (i and j)

- The korea_dist matrix provides estimates of the 2020 population weighted distances in kilometers between the 17 first level administrative districts in Korea
 - Data based on WorldPop estimates of Edwards et al. (2021)

> korea_dist					
dest					
orig	Busan	${\tt Chungcheongbuk-do}$	${\tt Chungcheongnam-do}$	Daegu	Daejeon
Busan	0	216	255	88	201
Chungcheongbuk-do	216	0	58	130	45
Chungcheongnam-do	255	58	0	174	54
Daegu	88	130	174	0	122
Daejeon	201	45	54	122	0
Gangwon-do	286	122	159	203	166
Gwangju	202	184	170	175	139
Gyeonggi-do	312	96	83	225	125
Gyeongsangbuk-do	107	125	176	32	128
Gyeongsangnam-do	43	190	222	72	168
Incheon	328	111	85	242	134
Jeju	306	381	368	333	337
Jeollabuk-do	201	111	96	143	66
Jeollanam-do	190	205	197	177	162
Sejong	222	37	34	141	22
Seoul	324	108	95	236	138
777	4.77	000	050	70	400

- The index_distance() function in the *migest* package provides three summary distance measures given a set of migration and distance measures between each origin and destination.
- The origin-destination migration flows can be given as a matrix to m or as a data frame, where the column names are assumed to be orig, dest and flow.
 - Can change using orig_col, dest_col and flow_col arguments
- The distance values can also be given as a matrix to d or as a data frame, where the column names are assumed to be orig, dest and dist.
- Origin and destination names in m and dist must match
- Removes all within migration moves from calculations

```
> d <- korea reg %>%
   nest(m = c(orig, dest, flow)) %>%
   mutate(d = list(korea_dist))
> d
# A tibble: 9 x 3
   year m
                           d
  <int> <list>
                           st>
   2012 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
2 2013 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
  2014 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] >
  2015 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
  2016 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
  2017 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
  2018 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
  2019 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
   2020 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
```

```
> d %>%
    rowwise() %>%
   mutate(i = list(
      index distance (m = m, d = d, long = FALSE)
   )) %>%
    unnest(i)
# A tibble: 9 x 6
   vear m
                           d
                                           mean median
                                                        decav
  <int> <list>
                           st>
                                           <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
   2012 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > 108.
                                                   76
                                                       -0.784
  2013 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                          107.
                                                   76
                                                       -0.795
3
  2014 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > 108.
                                                  76
                                                       -0.816
  2015 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                          108.
                                                  76
                                                       -0.828
  2016 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                          107.
                                                  76
                                                       -0.820
   2017 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                           108.
                                                  75.8 -0.839
   2018 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                          107.
                                                 74.8 -0.839
  2019 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]> 107.
                                                 75.8 -0.836
8
   2020 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]>
                                           105.
                                                  68.7 -0.852
```

2020 GHA

2020 GHA

2020 CHA

- There are a number of functions to a calculate distance matrices in R
- Require a set of longitude and latitudes

288 Ghana

288 Ghana

288 Chana

- If population weighted centriods are not available from national statistics offices, a number of research centers provide estimates
 - POPGRID Data Collaborative https://www.popgrid.org/
- Example for Ghana using WorldPop 2020 population weighted centroids
- CSV from https://www.worldpop.org/doi/10.5258/SOTON/WP00703

```
>
  g <- read_csv("../data/PWD_2020_sub_national_100m.csv") %>%
    filter(ISO == "GHA")
> g
 A tibble: 10 x 25
               ISO No Country N Adm N GID 1 HASC PWC Lat PWC Lon
    year ISO
                                                                     Pop Density
   <dbl> <chr>
                <dbl> <chr>
                                <chr> <chr> <chr>
                                                    <dbl>
                                                            <db1> <db1>
                                                                           <dbl>
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                Asha~ GHA.~ GH.AH
                                                     6.69
                                                           -1.60 6.43e6
                                                                           258.
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                Bron~ GHA.~ GH.BA
                                                     7.50
                                                           -2.04 2.73e6
                                                                            70.6
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                Cent~ GHA.~ GH.CP
                                                     5.47
                                                           -0.986 2.87e6
                                                                           296.
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                East~ GHA.~ GH.EP
                                                     6.21
                                                           -0.517 3.12e6
                                                                           188.
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                Grea~ GHA.~ GH.AA
                                                     5.64
                                                           -0.159 5.18e6
                                                                          1414.
    2020 GHA
                  288 Ghana
                                Nort~ GHA.~ GH.NP
                                                     9.48
                                                           -0.569 3.25e6
                                                                            47
```

Uppe~ GHA.~ GH.UE

Uppe~ GHA.~ GH.UW

Volta CHA ~ CH TV

10.9

10.4

-0.680 1.11e6

-2.44 8.02e5

6 90 0 504 2 6666

129.

144

42.2

```
> g <- g %>%
   filter(ISO == "GHA") %>%
   select(Adm_N, PWC_Lon, PWC_Lat)
> g
# A tibble: 10 x 3
  Adm_N
              PWC_Lon PWC_Lat
  <chr>
                 <dbl>
                        <dbl>
 1 Ashanti
              -1.60 6.69
2 Brong Ahafo -2.04 7.50
 3 Central
              -0.986 5.47
4 Eastern
             -0.517
                      6.21
5 Greater Accra -0.159
                      5.64
6 Northern
                -0.569
                         9.48
7 Upper East -0.680
                        10.9
8 Upper West
                -2.44
                        10.4
9 Volta
               0.504
                       6.90
                         5.45
10 Western
                -2.16
```

 The distm() function in the geosphere package provides great circle distance estimates in meters between centroids

```
> library(geosphere)
> ghana_dist <- g %>%
   select(PWC_Lon, PWC_Lat) %>%
   distm()
>
> ghana_dist
               [,2]
                            [,3]
                                   [,4] [,5]
                                                     [,6]
 [1.]
          0.0 102992.7 151088.53 130977.06 197276.08 329699.1 471774.1
 [2,] 102992.7
                   0.0 254060.16 221397.05 293464.70 272448.3 399289.5
 [3.] 151088.5 254060.2
                            0.00 97220.64 93573.16 446740.6 596619.3
 [4.] 130977.1 221397.1 97220.64 0.00 74652.44 362233.8 513803.4
 [5,] 197276.1 293464.7 93573.16 74652.44
                                               0.00 427847.5 579597.5
 [6.] 329699.1 272448.3 446740.58 362233.78 427847.51
                                                         0.0 151789.3
 [7,] 471774.1 399289.5 596619.34 513803.44 579597.52 151789.3
 [8,] 422969.2 325054.7 570615.97 511378.47 585433.81 229629.3 198393.6
 [9.] 233944.5 289137.3 229081.18 136579.93 158124.96 308917.8 455693.6
[10,] 149904.5 227534.2 130136.28 200358.81 222698.68 479309.9 619145.3
          [.8]
                  [,9]
                          [,10]
 [1.] 422969.2 233944.5 149904.5
 [2,] 325054.7 289137.3 227534.2
 [3,] 570616.0 229081.2 130136.3
 [4 ] 511378 5 136579 9 200358 8
```

- Add the origin and destination names to the matrix row and columns using dimnames()
- Allows to combine with migration data
- Divide by 1000 to get to kilometers

dest

orig Ashanti

Brong Ahafo

Central

```
> dimnames(ghana_dist) <- list(orig = g$Adm_N, dest = g$Adm_N)</pre>
> round(ghana dist/1000)
                dest.
                  Ashanti Brong Ahafo Central Eastern Greater Accra Northern
orig
  Ashanti
                        0
                                    103
                                             151
                                                      131
                                                                     197
                                                                                330
  Brong Ahafo
                      103
                                      0
                                             254
                                                      221
                                                                     293
                                                                                272
  Central
                      151
                                    254
                                               0
                                                       97
                                                                      94
                                                                               447
                                    221
                                              97
                                                                                362
  Eastern
                      131
                                                        0
                                                                      75
                      197
                                    293
                                              94
                                                       75
                                                                                428
  Greater Accra
                                                                        0
                                    272
                                                      362
  Northern
                      330
                                             447
                                                                     428
                                                                                  0
                      472
                                    399
                                             597
                                                      514
                                                                     580
                                                                                152
  Upper East
  Upper West
                      423
                                    325
                                             571
                                                      511
                                                                     585
                                                                                230
  Volta
                      234
                                    289
                                             229
                                                      137
                                                                                309
                                                                     158
  Western
                      150
                                    228
                                             130
                                                      200
                                                                     223
                                                                                479
```

Upper East Upper West Volta Western

Migration connectivity

- The size of migration flows in different migration corridors vary due to many reasons other than population sizes and distance.
 - · Also reflect the strength of many other factors linking regions such as the strength of historical, cultural, social and economic ties, between regions.
- Bell et al. (2002) note a fragmentation in the literature on measures of connections in a migration system and the use of a range of terms including spatial connectivity, spatial concentration, spatial inequality and spatial focusing
- There are many indices on migration connectivity. See the migration.indices package for example.
- The index_connectivity() function provides 12 different measures, that can broadly be placed into 5 groups.
 - Requires a matrix or data frame of migration flows
 - When providing a data frame, function is assuming flows are in a column with name flow; change with flow_col if not.

Migration connectivity

```
> korea_reg %>%
    filter(year == 2020) %>%
    index_connectivity()
 A tibble: 11 x 2
                             value
   measure
                             <dbl>
   <chr>>
 1 connectivity
 2 inequality_equal
                            0.541
 3 inequality_sim
                            0.281
 4 gini_total
                            0.709
 5 gini_orig_standardized
                            0.0493
 6 gini_dest_standardized
                            0.0517
                            0.0370
  mwg_orig
 8 mwg_dest
                            0.0389
                            0.0379
 9 mwg_mean
10 cv
                           17.9
                            3.43
11 acv
```

- The connectivity measure evaluates the proportion of the flows (excluding within region flows) that are non-zeros
 - More useful when many regions where populations are smaller
- Bell et al. (2002) inequality measures are based on a distributions of flows compared to distributions of expected flows
 - inequality_equal measures the distance of the observed flows to an expected distribution where all flows are equal
 - inequality_sim measures the distance of the observed flows to an expected distribution from a spatial interaction model equivalent to a Poisson regression mode for an independence fit

$$\widehat{\log(m_{ij})} = \beta_0 + \beta_{1i} \text{origin} + \beta_{2j} \text{destination}$$

 In both cases, a value of 0 shows the observed flows match the expected values (some form of equality) and 1 shows the maximum distance between the observed flows and the expected flows, i.e. maximum inequality.

Gini measures

- The Gini measures provide a value for the spatial focusing in a set of migration flow - i.e. how much of the migration is focused on a particular set of migration corridors
- Compares each flow with every other flow in the migration matrix.
- A gini_total value of zero indicates all flows are of equal size (no spatial focusing) to 1, only one single flow (maximum focusing).
- The gini_orig_standardized values provide a similar measure but compare every outflow from each origin with every other outflow from that origin.
 - Measures the extent to which the destination choices of out-migrants are spatially focused.
 - The gini_dest_standardized does the same but for the spatial focusing of origins of in-migrants.
 - The standardized values ensure a range of 0 and 1 zero is no focusing

Migration totals Gini and Coefficient of Variation

- The migration weighted Gini indexes provide a measure of the focusing for the in-migration and out-migration totals (mwg_orig and mwg_dest)
- The mwg_mean is a simple average of mwg_orig and mwg_dest to provide a system wide measure of focusing for all migration totals.
 - As with the gini_ measures from index_connectivity() values vary between zero (no focusing) and 1 (all migration goes through a single origin or destination)
- Rogers and Raymer (1998) proposed a coefficient of variation, provided by cv which compares the mean of the flows to the standard deviation of the flows.
 - Is not limited to 0 and 1
- The acv provides a similar measures of variation but based on the aggregate of coefficient of variations of in- and out-migration totals (based on the means and standard deviations of in- and out-migration totals)
 - Again, not limited to 0 and 1, but useful for comparisons across time or countries, where rising cv or acv would indicate greater inequality in migration flows or flow totals

• The impact of migration measures the extent to which migration acts to transform the pattern of human settlement

Impact

- Migration is already or becoming predominant mechanism leading to the redistribution of population in many regions of the world
- Descriptive studies tend to focus on regional net migration patterns
- Additional measures exist that summarize the overall effect of migration in redistributing a population across the entire system of regions

Migration effectiveness index

 The migration effectiveness index (MEI) of Shryock and Siegel (1976) compares the sum of net migration as a proportion of migration turnover, measuring the amount asymmetry or equilibrium in the migration network

$$\texttt{MEI} = 100 \frac{\sum_{i} |\texttt{net}|}{\sum_{i} \texttt{turnover}_{i}} = 100 \frac{\sum_{i} |m_{+i} - m_{i+}|}{\sum_{i} m_{+i} + m_{i+}}$$

- MEI range between 0 and 100.
- High values indicate migration is an efficient mechanism of population redistribution, based on large net totals for the given turnover.
- Values closer to zero are generated from more balanced migration systems with less population redistribution

Aggregate net migration rate

- The aggregate net migration rate (ANMR) of Bell et al. (2002) attempts to measure the overall effect of migration on the population settlement patterns by replacing the denominator of MEI with the each regions population P_i
- Index measures the net shift of population between regions per 100 residents in the country
 - No upper limit

$$\text{ANMR} = 100\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_{i}|\text{net}_{i}|}{\sum_{i}P_{i}} = 100\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_{i}|m_{+i}-m_{i+}|}{\sum_{i}P_{i}}$$

Product of the CMI and MEI

Preference and velocity

- The manual by United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
 Population Division (1983) provides two other impact measures, that seem to
 have fallen out of favor
- The preference index is based on an expected model of migration intestines based on population shares and the overall level of migration $M_{\overline{P}}^{\underline{p_i}} P_{\overline{P}}^{\underline{p_i}}$, where M is the total migration flow and P is the total population based on the sum of populations in each region (p_i)
- Index compares the observed flows to an expected model where migration rates in all populations are the same
 - No upper limit

$$ext{preference} = \sum_{ij} rac{m_{ij}}{Mrac{p_i}{P}rac{p_j}{P}}$$

- The velocity index is based on a migration velocity measure $\frac{m_{ij}}{p_i p_j}$, multiplied by the total population and summed
- Index compares observed flows to an expected models where flows sizes are determined by population sizes alone
 - No upper limit

$$\texttt{velocity} = \sum_{ii} \frac{m_{ij}}{p_i p_j} P$$

- The index_impact() function in *migest* calculates all four measures given a set of migration flows and population sizes in each region
 - The p parameters assumes column names region and pop for region and population. Change from defaults using reg_col and pop_col

 Multiple years require nesting the migration and population data bases besides each other

```
> d <- d %>%
    left_join(korea_pop) %>%
    nest(p = c(region, population))
Joining, by = "year"
> d
# A tibble: 9 x 4
   year m
                           d
  <int> <liist>
                           st>
                                            st>
1 2012 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
2 2013 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
3 2014 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble [17 x 2]>
  2015 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
  2016 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble [17 x 2]>
  2017 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
  2018 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
  2019 <tibble [289 x 3] > <dbl [17 x 17] > <tibble [17 x 2] >
  2020 <tibble [289 x 3]> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble [17 x 2]>
```

Apply the index_impact() function to each row and unnest

```
> d %>%
   rowwise() %>%
   mutate(i = list(
      index_impact(m = m, p = p, pop_col = "population", long = FALSE)
   )) %>%
   unnest(i)
# A tibble: 9 x 8
                                          effectivness
                                                        anmr preference velocity
   year m
                 d
                                 р
  <int> <liist>
               ist>
                                 st>
                                                 <dbl> <dbl>
                                                                  <dbl>
                                                                            <dbl>
  2012 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  6.07 0.300
                                                                    409.
                                                                             20.2
2 2013 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  5.72 0.271
                                                                    371.
                                                                             17.6
  2014 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  5.36 0.262
                                                                   434.
                                                                             21.2
  2015 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  7.73 0.383
                                                                   459.
                                                                             22.7
  2016 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  8.47 0.402
                                                                   401.
                                                                             19.0
  2017 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  7.99 0.372
                                                                   417.
                                                                             19.4
  2018 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  9.29 0.435
                                                                    398.
                                                                             18.7
  2019 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                                   391.
                                                                             18.0
                                                  6.94 0.319
  2020 <tibble> <dbl [17 x 17]> <tibble>
                                                  7.67 0.375
                                                                    375.
                                                                             18.3
```

Exercise (ex3.R)

```
# 0. a) Load the KOSTAT2022.Rproj file.
# Run the getwd() below. It should print the directory where the
# KOSTAT2022.Rproj file is located.
getwd()
# b) Load the packages used in this exercise
```

```
library(tidyverse)
library(migest)
library(geosphere)
##
##
```

```
# 1. Run the code below to read in the bilateral data in brazil_census_tidy.csv
# from the 1991, 2000 and 2010 Brazilian censuses
br <- read_csv("./data/brazil_census_tidy.csv")</pre>
```

br
2. Run the code below to read in the WorldPop population data for Brazil in

br_pop

check names match
unique(br\$orig) %in% br pop\$Adm N

References I

- Bell, Martin, Marcus Blake, Paul Boyle, O. Duke-Williams, Philip H. Rees, John Stillwell, and Graeme John Hugo. 2002. "Cross-national comparison of internal migration: issues and measures." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 165 (3): 435–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-985X.00247.
- Bell, Martin, Elin Charles-Edwards, Dorota Kupiszewska, Marek Kupiszewski, John Stillwell, and Yu Zhu. 2015. "Internal Migration Data Around the World: Assessing Contemporary Practice." *Population, Space and Place* 21 (1): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.1848.
- Bell, Martin, Elin Charles-Edwards, Philipp Ueffing, John Stillwell, Marek Kupiszewski, and Dorota Kupiszewska. 2015. "Internal Migration and Development: Comparing Migration Intensities Around the World." Population and Development Review 41 (1): 33–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2015.00025.x.
- Bernard, Aude, Martin Bell, and Elin Charles-Edwards. 2014. "Improved measures for the cross-national comparison of age profiles of internal migration." *Population Studies* 68 (2): 179–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/00324728.2014.890243.
- Courgeau, Daniel. 1973. "Migrations et découpages du territoire." *Population (French Edition)* 28 (3): 511. https://doi.org/10.2307/1530704.
- Edwards, Robin, Maksym Bondarenko, Alessandro Sorichetta, and Andrew J. Tatem. 2021. "Unconstrained subnational Population Weighted Density in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 and 2020 (100m resolution)." Southampton, UK: WorldPop, University of Southampton, UK. https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00703.
- Rees, Philip H., Martin Bell, Marek Kupiszewski, Dorota Kupiszewska, Philipp Ueffing, Aude Bernard, Elin Charles-Edwards, and John Stillwell. 2016. "The Impact of Internal Migration on Population Redistribution: an International Comparison." *Population, Space and Place*, no. April. https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.2036.
- Rogers, Andrei, and James Raymer. 1998. "The Spatial Focus of US Interstate Migration Flows." International Journal of Population Geography 4 (1): 63–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1220(199803)4%3A1%3C63%3A%3AAID-IJPG87%3E3.0.CO%3B2-U.
- Shryock, Henry S., and Jacob S. Siegel. 1976. *The Methods and Materials of Demography.* Edited by Edward G. Stockwell. Condensed. San Diego, California: Academic Press.

References II

- Stillwell, John, Martin Bell, Philipp Ueffing, K. Daras, Elin Charles-Edwards, Marek Kupiszewski, and Dorota Kupiszewska. 2016. "Internal migration around the world: comparing distance travelled and its frictional effect." Environment and Planning A 48 (8): 1657–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X16643963.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division. 1983. Methods of measuring internal migration. New York, New York, USA: United Nations Publication. https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/manual/migration/measuring-

https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/manual/migration/measuring-migration.asp.