Goals as Reward-Generating Programs Domain Specific Language

February 1, 2022

BODY

1 Modal Definitions in Linear Temporal Logic

1.1 Linear Temporal Logic definitions

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) offers the following operators, and using φ and ψ as the symbols (in our case, predicates). I'm trying to translate from standard logic notation to something that makes sense in our case, where we're operating sequence of states S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n .

- Next, $X\psi$: at the next timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $S_{i+1} \vdash \psi$
- Finally, $F\psi$: at some future timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : S_j \vdash \psi$
- Globally, $G\psi$: from this timestep on, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $\forall j: j \geq i: S_j \vdash \psi$
- Until, $\psi U \varphi$: ψ will be true from the current timestep until a timestep at which φ is true. If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_i \vdash \varphi$.
- Strong release, $\psi M \varphi$: the same as until, but demanding that both ψ and φ are true simultaneously: If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k \leq j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_i \vdash \varphi$.

Aside: there's also a **weak until**, $\psi W \varphi$, which allows for the case where the second is never true, in which case the first must hold for the rest of the sequence. Formally, if we are at timestep i, $if \exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$, and otherwise, $\forall k \geq i : S_k \vdash \psi$. Similarly there's **release**, which is the similar variant of strong release. We're leaving those two as an aside since we don't know we'll need them.

1.2 Satisfying a (then ...) operator

Formally, to satisfy a preference using a (then ...) operator, we're looking to find a sub-sequence of S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n that satisfies the formula we translate to. We translate a (then ...) operator by translating the constituent sequence-functions (once, hold, while-hold)¹ to LTL. Since the translation of each individual sequence function leaves the last operand empty, we append a 'true' (\top) as the final operand, since we don't care what happens in the state after the sequence is complete.

```
(\text{once } \psi) := \psi X \cdots
```

 $(\text{hold } \psi) := \psi U \cdots$

(hold-while $\psi \alpha \beta \cdots \nu$) := $(\psi M\alpha)X(\psi M\beta)X\cdots X(\psi M\nu)X\psi U\cdots$ where the last $\psi U\cdots$ allows for additional states satisfying ψ until the next modal is satisfied.

For example, a sequence such as the following, which signifies a throw attempt:

```
(then
```

```
(once (agent_holds ?b))
  (hold (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b)))
  (once (not (in_motion ?b)))
)
```

Can be translated to LTL using $\psi :=$ (agent_holds ?b), $\varphi :=$ (in_motion ?b) as:

 $\psi X(\neg \psi \wedge \varphi)U(\neg \varphi)X\top$

Here's another example:

¹These are the ones we've used so far in the interactive experiment dataset, even if we previously defined other ones, too.

```
(then  (\text{once (agent\_holds ?b)}) \quad \alpha \\ (\text{hold-while} \\ (\text{and (not (agent\_holds ?b)) (in\_motion ?b)}) \quad \beta \\ (\text{touch ?b ?r)} \quad \gamma \\ ) \\ (\text{once (and (in ?h ?b) (not (in\_motion ?b)))}) \quad \delta \\ )
```

If we translate each predicate to the letter appearing in blue at the end of the line, this translates to: $\alpha X(\beta M\gamma)X\beta U\delta X\top$

1.3 Satisfying (at-end ...) operators

Thankfully, the other type of temporal specification we find ourselves using as part of preferences is much simpler to translate. Satisfying an (at-end ...) operator does not require any temporal logic, since the predicate it operates over is evauated at the terminal state of gameplay.