Goals as Reward-Generating Programs Domain Specific Language

March 7, 2022

A game is defined by a name, and is expected to be valid in a particular domain, also referenced by a name. A game is defined by four elements, two of them mandatory, and two optional. The mandatory ones are the $\langle constraints \rangle$ section, which defines gameplay preferences, and the $\langle scoring \rangle$ section, which defines how gameplay preferences are counted to arrive at a score for the player in the game. The optional ones are the $\langle setup \rangle$ section, which defines how the environment must be prepared before gameplay can begin, and the $\langle terminal \rangle$ conditions, which specify when and how the game ends.

```
\langle game \rangle ::= (\text{define } (\text{game } \langle name \rangle) \\ (:\text{domain } \langle name \rangle) \\ (:\text{setup } \langle setup \rangle) \\ (:\text{constraints } \langle constraints \rangle) \\ (:\text{terminal } \langle terminal \rangle) \\ (:\text{scoring } \langle scoring \rangle) \\ ) \\ \langle name \rangle ::= /[\text{A-z}] + ([\text{A-z0-9}] +)^* / \text{\# a letter, optionally followed by letters, numbers, and underscores}
```

We will now proceed to introduce and define the syntax for each of these sections, followed by the non-grammar elements of our domain: predicates, functions, and types. Finally, we provide a mapping between some aspects of our gameplay preference specification and linear temporal logic (LTL) operators.

0.1 Setup

The setup section specifies how the environment must be transformed from its deterministic initial conditions to a state gameplay can begin at. Currently, a particular environment room always appears in the same initial conditions, in terms of which objects exist and where they are placed. Participants in our experiment could, but did not have to, specify how the room must be setup so that their game could be played.

The initial $\langle setup \rangle$ element can expand to conjunctions, disjunctions, negations, or quantifications of itself, and then to the $\langle setup\text{-}statement \rangle$ rule. $\langle setup\text{-}statement \rangle$ elements specify two different types of setup conditions: either those that must be conserved through gameplay ('game-conserved'), or those that are optional through gameplay ('game-optional'). These different conditions arise as some setup elements must be maintain through gameplay (for example, a participant specified to place a bin on the bed to throw balls into, it shouldn't move unless specified otherwise), while other setup elements can or must change (if a participant specified to set the balls on the desk to throw them, an agent will have to pick them up (and off the desk) in order to throw them).

Inside the $\langle setup\text{-statement} \rangle$ tags we find $\langle setup\text{-predicate} \rangle$ elements, which can again resolve into logical conditions and quantifications of other $\langle setup\text{-predicate} \rangle$ elements, but also to function comparisons ($\langle f\text{-comp} \rangle$) and predicates ($\langle p\text{-redicate} \rangle$). Function comparisons usually consist of a comparison operator and two arguments, which can either be the evaluation of a function or a number. The one exception is the case where the comparison operator is the equality operator (=), in which case any number of arguments can be provided. Finally, the $\langle p\text{-redicate} \rangle$ element expands to a predicate acting on one or more objects or variables. We assume the list of predicate existing in a domain will be provided to any models as part of their inputs rather than hard-coded into the grammar. For a full list of the predicates we found ourselves using so far, see ?? (??).

```
\langle setup \rangle ::= (\text{and } \langle setup \rangle \langle setup \rangle^{+})
| (\text{or } \langle setup \rangle \langle setup \rangle^{+})
| (\text{not } \langle setup \rangle)
| (\text{exists } (\langle typed \ list(variable) \rangle) \langle setup \rangle)
| (\text{forall } (\langle typed \ list(variable) \rangle) \langle setup \rangle)
| \langle setup\text{-statement} \rangle
| \langle setup\text{-statement} \rangle ::= (\text{game-conserved } \langle setup\text{-predicate} \rangle)
| (\text{game-optional } \langle setup\text{-predicate} \rangle)
```

```
\langle setup\text{-}predicate \rangle ::= (and \langle setup\text{-}predidcate \rangle^+)
        (or \langle setup\text{-}predicate \rangle^+)
         (not \langle setup\text{-}predicate \rangle
         (exists (\langle typed\ list(variable)\rangle)\ \langle setup-predicate\rangle)
         (forall (\langle typed \ list(variable) \rangle) \langle setup-predicate \rangle)
         \langle f\text{-}comp \rangle
         \langle predicate \rangle
\langle f\text{-}comp \rangle ::= (\langle comp\text{-}op \rangle \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle)
      (= \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle^+)
\langle comp - op \rangle ::= \langle | \langle = | = | \rangle | \rangle =
\langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle ::= \langle function\text{-}eval \rangle \mid \langle number \rangle
\langle function\text{-}eval \rangle ::= (\langle name \rangle \langle function\text{-}term \rangle^+)
\langle function\text{-}term \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle variable \rangle \mid \langle number \rangle \mid \langle predicate \rangle
\langle variable\text{-}list\rangle ::= (\langle variable\text{-}type\text{-}def\rangle^+)
\langle variable\text{-}type\text{-}def \rangle ::= \langle variable \rangle^+ - \langle type\text{-}def \rangle
\langle variable \rangle ::= /?[a-z][a-z0-9]^* # a question mark followed by a letter, optionally followed by additional letters or numbers
\langle type\text{-}def \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle either\text{-}types \rangle
\langle either-types \rangle ::= (either \langle name \rangle^+)
\langle predicate \rangle ::= (\langle name \rangle \langle predicate-term \rangle^*)
\langle predicate\text{-}term \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle variable \rangle
```

0.2 Gameplay Preferences

The gameplay preferences specify the core of a game's semantics, capturing how a game should be played by specifying temporal constraints over predicates. The name for the overall element, $\langle constraints \rangle$, is inherited from the PDDL element with the same name, but we may rename it in the future.

The $\langle constraints \rangle$ elements expands into one or more preference definitions, which are defined using the $\langle pref-def \rangle$ element. A $\langle pref-def \rangle$ either expands to a single preference ($\langle preference \rangle$), or to a $\langle pref-forall \rangle$ element, which specifies variants of the same preference for different objects, which can be treated differently in the scoring section. A $\langle preference \rangle$ is defined by a name and a $\langle preference-quantifier \rangle$, which expands to a quantification (exists or forall), inside of which we find the $\langle preference-body \rangle$.

A $\langle preference-body \rangle$ expands into one of two options: The first is a set of conditions that should be true at the end of gameplay, using the $\langle at\text{-}end \rangle$ operator. Inside an $\langle at\text{-}end \rangle$ we find a $\langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle$, which like the $\langle setup\text{-}predicate \rangle$ term, can expand to logical operations over predicates, quantifications over predicates, a function comparison, or a predicate.

The second option is specified using the $\langle then \rangle$ syntax, which defines a series of temporal conditions that should hold over a sequence of states. Under a $\langle then \rangle$ operator, we find two or more sequence functions ($\langle seq\text{-}func \rangle$), which define the specific conditions that must hold and how many states we expect them to hold for. We assume that there are no unaccounted states between the states accounted for by the different operators – in other words, the $\langle then \rangle$ operators expects to find a sequence of contiguous states that satisfy the different sequence functions. The operators under a $\langle then \rangle$ operator map onto linear temporal logic (LTL) operators, see ?? (??) for the mapping and examples.

The $\langle once \rangle$ operator specifies a predicate that must hold for a single world state. If a $\langle once \rangle$ operators appears as the first operator of a $\langle then \rangle$ definition, and a sequence of states S_a, S_{a+1}, \dots, S_b satisfy the $\langle then \rangle$ operator, it could be the case that the predicate is satisfied before this sequence of states (e.g. by S_{a-1}, S_{a-2} , and so forth). However, only the final such state, S_a , is required for the preference to be satisfied. The same could be true at the end of the sequence: if a $\langle then \rangle$ operator ends with a $\langle once \rangle$ term, there could be other states after the final state $(S_{b+1}, S_{b+2}, \text{ etc.})$ that satisfy the predicate in the

 $\langle once \rangle$ operator, but only one is required. The $\langle once-measure \rangle$ operator is a slight variation of the $\langle once \rangle$ operator, which in addition to a predicate, takes in a function evaluation, and measures the value of the function evaluated at the state that satisfies the preference. This function value can then be used in the scoring definition, see ?? (??).

A second type of operator that exists is the $\langle hold \rangle$ operator. It specifies that a predicate must hold true in every state between the one in which the previous operator is satisfied, and until one in which the next operator is satisfied. If a $\langle hold \rangle$ operator appears at the beginning or an end of a $\langle then \rangle$ sequence, it can be satisfied by a single state, Otherwise, it must be satisfied until the next operator is satisfied. For example, in the minimal definition below:

```
(then
    (once (pred_a))
    (hold (pred_b))
    (once (pred_c))
)
```

To find a sequence of states S_a, S_{a+1}, \dots, S_b that satisfy this $\langle then \rangle$ operator, the following conditions must hold true: (1) pred_a is true at state S_a , (2) pred_b is true in all states $S_{a+1}, S_{a+2}, \dots, S_{b-2}, S_{b-1}$, and (3) pred_c is true in state S_b . There is no minimal number of states that the hold predicate must hold for.

The last operator is $\langle hold\text{-}while \rangle$, which offers a variation of the $\langle hold \rangle$ operator. A $\langle hold\text{-}while \rangle$ receives at least two predicates. The first acts the same as predicate in a $\langle hold \rangle$ operator. The second (and third, and any subsequent ones), must hold true for at least state while the first predicate holds, and most occur in the order specified. In the example above, if we substitute (hold (pred_b)) for (hold-while (pred_b) (pred_d) (pred_e)), we now expect that in addition to ped_b being true in all states $S_{a+1}, S_{a+2}, \cdots, S_{b-2}, S_{b-1}$, that there is some state $S_d, d \in [a+1,b-1]$ where pred_d holds, and another state, $S_e, e \in [d+1,b-1]$ where pred_e holds.

```
\langle constraints \rangle ::= \langle pref-def \rangle \mid (and \langle pref-def \rangle^+)
\langle pref-def \rangle ::= \langle pref-forall \rangle \mid \langle preference \rangle
```

 $\langle pref-forall \rangle ::= \text{(forall } \langle variable-list \rangle \langle preference \rangle) \text{ # this syntax is used to specify variants of the same preference for different objects, which differ in their scoring. These are specified using the <math>\langle pref-name-and-types \rangle$ syntax element's optional types, see scoring below.

```
\langle preference \rangle ::= (preference \langle name \rangle \langle preference-quantifier \rangle)
\langle preference-quantifier \rangle ::= (exists (\langle variable-list \rangle) \langle preference-body \rangle
| (forall (\langle variable-list \rangle) \langle preference-body \rangle)
| \langle preference-body \rangle ::= \langle then \rangle \mid \langle at-end \rangle
\langle preference-body \rangle ::= \langle then \rangle \mid \langle at-end \rangle
\langle at-end \rangle ::= (at-end \langle pref-predicate \rangle)
\langle then \rangle ::= (then \langle seq-func \rangle \langle seq-func \rangle^+)
\langle seq-func \rangle ::= \langle once \rangle \mid \langle once-measure \rangle \mid \langle hold \rangle \mid \langle hold-while \rangle
\langle once \rangle ::= (once \langle pref-predicate \rangle) \text{ # The predicate specified must hold for a single world state}
```

 $\langle once\text{-}measure \rangle ::= (once \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle \langle function\text{-}eval \rangle) \#$ The predicate specified must hold for a single world state, and record the value of the function evaluation

 $\langle hold \rangle ::= (hold \langle pref-predicate \rangle)$ # The predicate specified must hold for every state between the previous temporal operator and the next one

 $\langle hold\text{-}while \rangle ::= (hold\text{-}while \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle^+)$ # The predicate specified must hold for every state between the previous temporal operator and the next one. While it does, at least one state must satisfy each of the predicates specified in the second argument onward

```
\langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle ::= (\text{and } \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle^+)
         (or \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle^+)
         (\text{not } \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle)
         (exists \langle variable\text{-}list \rangle \langle pref\text{-}predicate \rangle)
         (forall \langle variable-list \rangle \langle pref-predicate \rangle)
         \langle predicate \rangle
         \langle f\text{-}comp \rangle
\langle f\text{-}comp \rangle ::= (\langle comp\text{-}op \rangle \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle)
       (= \langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle^+)
\langle comp - op \rangle ::= \langle | \langle = | = | \rangle | \rangle =
\langle function\text{-}eval\text{-}or\text{-}number \rangle ::= \langle function\text{-}eval \rangle \mid \langle number \rangle
\langle function\text{-}eval \rangle ::= (\langle name \rangle \langle function\text{-}term \rangle^+)
\langle function\text{-}term \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle variable \rangle \mid \langle number \rangle \mid \langle predicate \rangle
\langle variable\text{-}list\rangle ::= (\langle variable\text{-}type\text{-}def\rangle^+)
\langle variable\text{-}type\text{-}def \rangle ::= \langle variable \rangle^+ - \langle type\text{-}def \rangle
\langle variable \rangle ::= /?[a-z][a-z0-9]^* # a question mark followed by a letter, optionally followed by additional letters or numbers
\langle type\text{-}def \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle either\text{-}types \rangle
\langle either\text{-}types \rangle ::= (either \langle name \rangle^+)
\langle predicate \rangle ::= (\langle name \rangle \langle predicate-term \rangle^*)
\langle predicate\text{-}term \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \mid \langle variable \rangle
```

0.3 Terminal Conditions

Specifying explicit terminal conditions is optional, and while some of our participants chose to do so, many did not.

The terminal conditions expand from the $\langle terminal \rangle$ element, which can expand to logical conditions on nested $\langle terminal \rangle$ elements, or to a terminal comparison. The terminal comparison ($\langle terminal - comp \rangle$) compares two scoring expressions ($\langle scoring - expr \rangle$; see ?? (??)), where in most cases, the scoring expressions are either a preference counting operation or a number literal.

```
 \langle terminal \rangle ::= (\text{and } \langle terminal \rangle^+) 
 | (\text{or } \langle terminal \rangle^+) 
 | (\text{not } \langle terminal \rangle) 
 | \langle terminal\text{-}comp \rangle 
 \langle terminal\text{-}comp \rangle ::= (\langle comp\text{-}op \rangle \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle) 
 \langle comp\text{-}op \rangle ::= \langle | \langle = | = | \rangle | \rangle =
```

For a full specification of the $\langle scoring-expr \rangle$ element, see the scoring section below.

0.4 Scoring

Scoring rules specify how to count preferences (count once, once for each unique objects that fulfill the preference, each time a preference is satisfied, etc.), and the arithmetic to combine preference counts to a final score in the game.

The $\langle scoring \rangle$ tag is defined by the maximization or minimization of a particular scoring expression, defined by the $\langle scoring-expr \rangle$ rule. A $\langle scoring-expr \rangle$ can be defined by arithmetic operations on other scoring expressions, references to the total

time or total score (for instance, to provide a bonus if a certain score is reached), comparisons between scoring expressions $(\langle scoring\text{-}comp\rangle)$, or by preference evaluation rules. Various preference evaluation modes can expand the $\langle preference\text{-}eval\rangle$ rule, see the full list and descriptions below.

```
\langle scoring \rangle ::= (maximize \langle scoring-expr \rangle)
       (minimize \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle)
\langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle ::= (\langle multi\text{-}op \rangle \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle^+)
       (\langle binary-op \rangle \langle scoring-expr \rangle \langle scoring-expr \rangle)
       (-\langle scoring-expr\rangle)
       (total-time)
       (total-score)
       \langle scoring\text{-}comp \rangle
       \langle preference-eval \rangle
\langle scoring\text{-}comp \rangle ::= (\langle comp\text{-}op \rangle \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle \langle scoring\text{-}expr \rangle)
     (=\langle scoring\text{-}expr\rangle^+)
\langle preference\text{-}eval \rangle ::= \langle count\text{-}nonoverlapping \rangle
       \langle count\text{-}once \rangle
       ⟨count-once-per-objects⟩
       \langle count\text{-}nonoverlapping\text{-}measure \rangle
       \langle count\text{-}unique\text{-}positions \rangle
       \langle count\text{-}same\text{-}positions \rangle
       \langle count-maximal-nonoverlapping\rangle
       \langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}overlapping \rangle
       ⟨count-maximal-once-per-objects⟩
       \langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}once \rangle
       \langle count\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}external\text{-}objects \rangle
\langle count\text{-}nonoverlapping \rangle ::= \text{(count-nonoverlapping } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) \# \text{count how many times the preference is satisfied}
       by non-overlapping sequences of states
\langle count\text{-}once \rangle ::= (count\text{-}once \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) # count whether or not this preference was satisfied at all
```

- $\langle count\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}objects \rangle ::= \text{(count-once-per-objects } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) \# \text{ count once for each unique combination of}$ objects quantified in the preference that satisfy it
- $\langle count\text{-}nonoverlapping\text{-}measure \rangle ::= (count\text{-}nonoverlapping\text{-}measure \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle)$ # Can only be used in preferences including a (once-measure) modal, maps each preference satistifaction to the value of the function evaluation in the $\langle once\text{-}measure \rangle$
- $\langle count\text{-}unique\text{-}positions \rangle ::= (count\text{-}unique\text{-}positions \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle)$ # count how many times the preference was satisfied with quantified objects that remain stationary within each preference satisfication, and have different positions between different satisfactions.
- $\langle count\text{-}same\text{-}positions \rangle ::= \text{(count-same-positions } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) \# \text{count how many times the preference was satis-}$ fied with quantified objects that remain stationary within each preference satisfication, and have (approximately) the same position between different satisfactions.
- (note): # All of the count-maximal.... operators refer to counting only for preferences inside a (forall ...), and count only for the object quantified externally that has the most preference satisfactions to it. If there exist multiple preferences in a single (forall ...) block, score for the single object that satisfies the most over all such preferences.
- $\langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}nonoverlapping \rangle ::= (count\text{-}maximal\text{-}nonoverlapping } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) \#$ For the single externally quantified object with the most satisfactions, count non-overlapping satisfactions of this preference

- $\langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}overlapping \rangle ::= \text{(count-maximal-overlapping } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) # For the single externally quantified object with the most satisfications, count how many satisfactions of this preference with different objects overlap in their states$
- $\langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}objects \rangle ::= (count\text{-}maximal\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}objects } \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle)$ # For the single externally quantified object with the most satisfications, count this preference for each set of quantified objects that satisfies it
- $\langle count\text{-}maximal\text{-}once \rangle ::= (count\text{-}maximal\text{-}once \langle pref\text{-}name\text{-}and\text{-}types \rangle) \# For the externally quantified object with the most satisfications (across all preferences in the same (for all ...) block), count this preference at most once$
- $\langle count\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}external\text{-}objects \rangle ::= (count\text{-}once\text{-}per\text{-}external\text{-}objects } \rangle$ # Similarly to count-once-per-objects, but counting only for each unique object or combination of objects quantified in the (forall ...) block including this preference
- $\langle pref-name-and-types \rangle ::= \langle name \rangle \langle pref-object-type \rangle^* \#$ the optional $\langle pref-object-type \rangle$ s are used to specify a particular variant of the preference for a given object, see the $\langle pref-forall \rangle$ syntax above.

 $\langle pref-object-type \rangle ::= : \langle name \rangle$

1 Non-Grammar Definitions

1.1 Predicates

The predicates are not defined as part of the grammar, but rather, we envision them is being specific to a domain and being specified to any model as part of the model inputs. Predicates can act on any number of arguments, and return a Boolean value.

The following enumerates all predicates currently found in our game dataset:

```
(= <arg1> <arg2>) [7 references]; Are these two objects the same object?
(above <arg1> <arg2>) [5 references] ; Is the first object above the second object?
(adjacent <arg1> <arg2>) [76 references]; Are the two objects adjacent? [will probably be
   implemented as distance below some threshold]
(adjacent_side <3 or 4 arguments>) [14 references] ; Are the two objects adjacent on the sides
   specified? Specifying a side for the second object is optional, allowing to specify <obj1> <
   side1> <obj2> or <obj1> <side1> <obj2> <side2>
(agent_crouches ) [2 references] ; Is the agent crouching?
(agent_holds <arg1>) [327 references] ; Is the agent holding the object?
(between <arg1> <arg2> <arg3>) [7 references] ; Is the second object between the first object
   and the third object?
(broken <arg1>) [2 references]; Is the object broken?
(equal_x_position <arg1> <arg2>) [2 references]; Are these two objects (approximately) in the
   same x position? (in our environment, x, z are spatial coordinates, y is the height)
(equal_z_position <arg1> <arg2>) [5 references] ; Are these two objects (approximately) in the
   same z position? (in our environment, x, z are spatial coordinates, y is the height)
(faces <arg1> <arg2>) [6 references] ; Is the front of the first object facing the front of the
    second object?
(game_over ) [4 references] ; Is this the last state of gameplay?
(game_start ) [3 references] ; Is this the first state of gameplay?
(in <2 or 3 arguments>) [121 references]; Is the second argument inside the first argument? [a
    containment check of some sort, for balls in bins, for example]
(in_motion <arg1>) [311 references]; Is the object in motion?
(is_setup_object <arg1>) [10 references] ; Is this the object of the same type referenced in
   the setup?
(object_orientation <arg1> <arg2>) [15 references] ; Is the first argument, an object, in the
   orientation specified by the second argument? Used to check if an object is upright or
(on <arg1> <arg2>) [165 references]; Is the second object on the first one?
(open <arg1>) [3 references] ; Is the object open? Only valid for objects that can be opened,
   such as drawers.
```

```
(opposite <arg1> <arg2>) [4 references] ; So far used only with walls, or sides of the room, to
    specify two walls opposite each other in conjunction with other predicates involving these
    walls
(rug_color_under <arg1> <arg2>) [11 references] ; Is the color of the rug under the object (
    first argument) the color specified by the second argument?
(same_type <arg1> <arg2>) [3 references] ; Are these two objects of the same type?
(toggled_on <arg1>) [4 references] ; Is this object toggled on?
(touch <arg1> <arg2>) [49 references] ; Are these two objects touching?
(type <arg1> <arg2>) [9 references] ; Is the first argument, an object, an instance of the type
    specified by the second argument?
```

1.2 Functions

Functions operate similarly to predicates, but rather than returning a Boolean value, they return a numeric value or a type. Similarly to predicates, functions are not a part of the grammar, and may vary by problem domain.

The following describes all functions currently found in our game dataset:

```
(building_size ) [2 references] ; Takes in an argument of type building, and returns how many
   objects comprise the building (as an integer)
(color ) [26 references] ; Take in an argument of type object, and returns the color of the
   object (as a color type object)
(distance ) [114 references] ; Takes in two arguments of type object, and returns the distance
   between the two objects (as a floating point number)
(distance_side ) [5 references] ; Similarly to the adjacent_side predicate, but applied to
   distance. Takes in three or four arguments, either <obj1> <side1> <obj2> or <obj1> <side1> <
   obj2> <side2>, and returns the distance between the first object on the side specified to
   the second object (optionally to its specified side)
(type ) [2 references] ; Takes in an argument of type object, and returns the type of the
   object (as a string)
(x_position ) [4 references] ; Takes in an argument of type object, and returns the x position
   of the object (as a floating point number)
```

1.3 Types

The types are also not defined as part of the grammar, and we envision them operating similarly to the predicates and functions, varying by domain and provided to any models as part of its inputs.

The following describes all types currently found in our game dataset:

```
game_object [33 references]; Parent type of all objects
agent [87 references]; The agent
building [21 references]; Not a real game object, but rather, a way to refer to structures the
    agent builds
----- Blocks
block [27 references]; Parent type of all block types:
bridge_block [11 references]
cube_block [40 references]
blue_cube_block [8 references]
tan_cube_block [1 reference]
yellow_cube_block [8 references]
flat_block [5 references]
pyramid_block [14 references]
blue_pyramid_block [3 references]
red_pyramid_block [2 references]
triangle_block [3 references]
yellow_pyramid_block [2 references]
cylindrical_block [12 references]
tall_cylindrical_block [7 references]
----- Balls -----
ball [40 references]; Parent type of all ball types:
beachball [23 references]
```

```
basketball [18 references]
dodgeball [110 references]
blue_dodgeball [6 references]
red_dodgeball [4 references]
pink_dodgeball [18 references]
golfball [28 references]
green_golfball [2 references]
----- Colors -----
color [6 references]; Likewise, not a real game object, mostly used to refer to the color of
   the rug under an object
blue [1 reference]
brown [1 reference]
green [5 references]
pink [14 references]
orange [3 references]
purple [4 references]
red [8 references]
tan [1 reference]
white [1 reference]
yellow [14 references]
----- Other moveable/interactable objects ------
alarm_clock [8 references]
book [11 references]
blinds [2 references] ; The blinds on the windows
chair [17 references]
cellphone [6 references]
cd [6 references]
credit_card [1 reference]
curved_wooden_ramp [17 references]
desktop [6 references]
doggie_bed [26 references]
hexagonal_bin [124 references]
key_chain [5 references]
lamp [2 references]
laptop [7 references]
main_light_switch [3 references] ; The main light switch on the wall
mug [3 references]
triangular_ramp [10 references]
green_triangular_ramp [1 reference]
pen [2 references]
pencil [2 references]
pillow [12 references]
teddy_bear [14 references]
watch [2 references]
----- Immoveable objects -----
bed [48 references]
corner [N/A references] ; Any of the corners of the room
south_west_corner [2 references] ; The corner of the room where the south and west walls meet
door [9 references]; The door out of the room
desk [40 references]
desk_shelf [2 references]; The shelves under the desk
drawer [5 references] ; Either drawer in the side table
top_drawer [6 references] ; The top of the two drawers in the nightstand near the bed.
floor [24 references]
rug [37 references]
shelf [10 references]
bottom_shelf [1 reference]
top_shelf [5 references]
side_table [4 references] ; The side table/nightstand next to the bed
sliding_door [2 references] ; The sliding doors on the south wall (big windows)
east_sliding_door [1 reference] ; The eastern of the two sliding doors (the one closer to the
   desk)
```

2 Modal Definitions in Linear Temporal Logic

2.1 Linear Temporal Logic definitions

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) offers the following operators, and using φ and ψ as the symbols (in our case, predicates). I'm trying to translate from standard logic notation to something that makes sense in our case, where we're operating sequence of states S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n .

- Next, $X\psi$: at the next timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $S_{i+1} \vdash \psi$
- Finally, $F\psi$: at some future timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : S_i \vdash \psi$
- Globally, $G\psi$: from this timestep on, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i, then $\forall j: j \geq i: S_j \vdash \psi$
- Until, $\psi U \varphi$: ψ will be true from the current timestep until a timestep at which φ is true. If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_i \vdash \varphi$.
- Strong release, $\psi M \varphi$: the same as until, but demanding that both ψ and φ are true simultaneously: If we are at timestep i, then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k \leq j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$.

Aside: there's also a **weak until**, $\psi W \varphi$, which allows for the case where the second is never true, in which case the first must hold for the rest of the sequence. Formally, if we are at timestep i, $if \exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$, and otherwise, $\forall k \geq i : S_k \vdash \psi$. Similarly there's **release**, which is the similar variant of strong release. We're leaving those two as an aside since we don't know we'll need them.

2.2 Satisfying a (then ...) operator

Formally, to satisfy a preference using a (then ...) operator, we're looking to find a sub-sequence of S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n that satisfies the formula we translate to. We translate a (then ...) operator by translating the constituent sequence-functions (once, hold, while-hold)¹ to LTL. Since the translation of each individual sequence function leaves the last operand empty, we append a 'true' (\top) as the final operand, since we don't care what happens in the state after the sequence is complete.

```
(\text{once } \psi) := \psi X \cdots(\text{hold } \psi) := \psi U \cdots
```

(hold-while $\psi \alpha \beta \cdots \nu$) := $(\psi M\alpha)X(\psi M\beta)X\cdots X(\psi M\nu)X\psi U\cdots$ where the last $\psi U\cdots$ allows for additional states satisfying ψ until the next modal is satisfied.

For example, a sequence such as the following, which signifies a throw attempt:

```
(then
   (once (agent_holds ?b))
   (hold (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b)))
   (once (not (in_motion ?b)))
)
```

¹These are the ones we've used so far in the interactive experiment dataset, even if we previously defined other ones, too.

If we translate each predicate to the letter appearing in blue at the end of the line, this translates to: $\alpha X(\beta M\gamma)X\beta U\delta X\top$

2.3 Satisfying (at-end ...) operators

Thankfully, the other type of temporal specification we find ourselves using as part of preferences is much simpler to translate. Satisfying an (at-end ...) operator does not require any temporal logic, since the predicate it operates over is evauated at the terminal state of gameplay.