

# Goals as Reward-Generating Programs Domain Specific Language

March 7, 2022

BODY

## 1 Modal Definitions in Linear Temporal Logic

### 1.1 Linear Temporal Logic definitions

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) offers the following operators, and using  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  as the symbols (in our case, predicates). I'm trying to translate from standard logic notation to something that makes sense in our case, where we're operating sequence of states  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n$ .

- **Next**,  $X\psi$ : at the next timestep,  $\psi$  will be true. If we are at timestep  $i$ , then  $S_{i+1} \vdash \psi$
- **Finally**,  $F\psi$ : at some future timestep,  $\psi$  will be true. If we are at timestep  $i$ , then  $\exists j > i : S_j \vdash \psi$
- **Globally**,  $G\psi$ : from this timestep on,  $\psi$  will be true. If we are at timestep  $i$ , then  $\forall j : j \geq i : S_j \vdash \psi$
- **Until**,  $\psi U \varphi$ :  $\psi$  will be true from the current timestep until a timestep at which  $\varphi$  is true. If we are at timestep  $i$ , then  $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$ , and  $S_j \vdash \varphi$ .
- **Strong release**,  $\psi M \varphi$ : the same as until, but demanding that both  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are true simultaneously: If we are at timestep  $i$ , then  $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k \leq j : S_k \vdash \psi$ , and  $S_j \vdash \varphi$ .

*Aside:* there's also a **weak until**,  $\psi W \varphi$ , which allows for the case where the second is never true, in which case the first must hold for the rest of the sequence. Formally, if we are at timestep  $i$ , if  $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$ , and  $S_j \vdash \varphi$ , and otherwise,  $\forall k \geq i : S_k \vdash \psi$ . Similarly there's **release**, which is the similar variant of strong release. We're leaving those two as an aside since we don't know we'll need them.

### 1.2 Satisfying a (then ...) operator

Formally, to satisfy a preference using a (then ...) operator, we're looking to find a sub-sequence of  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n$  that satisfies the formula we translate to. We translate a (then ...) operator by translating the constituent sequence-functions (once, hold, while-hold)<sup>1</sup> to LTL. Since the translation of each individual sequence function leaves the last operand empty, we append a 'true' ( $\top$ ) as the final operand, since we don't care what happens in the state after the sequence is complete.

(once  $\psi$ ) :=  $\psi X \dots$

(hold  $\psi$ ) :=  $\psi U \dots$

(hold-while  $\psi \alpha \beta \dots \nu$ ) :=  $(\psi M \alpha) X (\psi M \beta) X \dots X (\psi M \nu) X \psi U \dots$  where the last  $\psi U \dots$  allows for additional states satisfying  $\psi$  until the next modal is satisfied.

For example, a sequence such as the following, which signifies a throw attempt:

```
(then
  (once (agent_holds ?b))
  (hold (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b)))
  (once (not (in_motion ?b)))
)
```

Can be translated to LTL using  $\psi := (\text{agent\_holds } ?b)$ ,  $\varphi := (\text{in\_motion } ?b)$  as:

$\psi X (\neg \psi \wedge \varphi) U (\neg \varphi) X \top$

Here's another example:

---

<sup>1</sup>These are the ones we've used so far in the interactive experiment dataset, even if we previously defined other ones, too.

```

(then
  (once (agent_holds ?b))  $\alpha$ 
  (hold-while
    (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b))  $\beta$ 
    (touch ?b ?r)  $\gamma$ 
  )
  (once (and (in ?h ?b) (not (in_motion ?b))))  $\delta$ 
)

```

If we translate each predicate to the letter appearing in blue at the end of the line, this translates to:

$\alpha X(\beta M \gamma) X \beta U \delta X \top$

### 1.3 Satisfying (at-end ...) operators

Thankfully, the other type of temporal specification we find ourselves using as part of preferences is much simpler to translate. Satisfying an (at-end ...) operator does not require any temporal logic, since the predicate it operates over is evaluated at the terminal state of gameplay.