

Goals as Reward-Generating Programs Domain Specific Language

May 14, 2024

BODY

1 Modal Definitions in Linear Temporal Logic

1.1 Linear Temporal Logic definitions

We offer a mapping between the temporal sequence functions defined in ?? (??) and linear temporal logic (LTL) operators. As we were creating this DSL, we found that the syntax of the $\langle \text{then} \rangle$ operator felt more convenient than directly writing down LTL, but we hope the mapping helps reason about how we see our temporal operators functioning. LTL offers the following operators, using φ and ψ as the symbols (in our case, predicates). Assume the following formulas operate sequence of states S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n :

- **Next**, $X\psi$: at the next timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i , then $S_{i+1} \vdash \psi$
- **Finally**, $F\psi$: at some future timestep, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i , then $\exists j > i : S_j \vdash \psi$
- **Globally**, $G\psi$: from this timestep on, ψ will be true. If we are at timestep i , then $\forall j : j \geq i : S_j \vdash \psi$
- **Until**, $\psi U \varphi$: ψ will be true from the current timestep until a timestep at which φ is true. If we are at timestep i , then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$.
- **Strong release**, $\psi M \varphi$: the same as until, but demanding that both ψ and φ are true simultaneously: If we are at timestep i , then $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k \leq j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$.

Aside: there's also a **weak until**, $\psi W \varphi$, which allows for the case where the second is never true, in which case the first must hold for the rest of the sequence. Formally, if we are at timestep i , if $\exists j > i : \forall k : i \leq k < j : S_k \vdash \psi$, and $S_j \vdash \varphi$, and otherwise, $\forall k \geq i : S_k \vdash \psi$. Similarly there's **release**, which is the similar variant of strong release. We're leaving those two as an aside since we don't know we'll need them.

1.2 Satisfying a $\langle \text{then} \rangle$ operator

Formally, to satisfy a preference using a $\langle \text{then} \rangle$ operator, we're looking to find a sub-sequence of S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n that satisfies the formula we translate to. We translate a $\langle \text{then} \rangle$ operator by translating the constituent sequence-functions ($\langle \text{once} \rangle$, $\langle \text{hold} \rangle$, $\langle \text{while-hold} \rangle$)¹ to LTL. Since the translation of each individual sequence function leaves the last operand empty, we append a 'true' (\top) as the final operand, since we don't care what happens in the state after the sequence is complete.

(once ψ) := $\psi X \dots$

(hold ψ) := $\psi U \dots$

(hold-while $\psi \alpha \beta \dots \nu$) := $(\psi M \alpha) X (\psi M \beta) X \dots X (\psi M \nu) X \psi U \dots$ where the last $\psi U \dots$ allows for additional states satisfying ψ until the next modal is satisfied.

For example, a sequence such as the following, which signifies a throw attempt:

```
(then
  (once (agent_holds ?b))
  (hold (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b)))
  (once (not (in_motion ?b)))
)
```

Can be translated to LTL using $\psi := (\text{agent_holds } ?b)$, $\varphi := (\text{in_motion } ?b)$ as:

$\psi X (\neg \psi \wedge \varphi) U (\neg \varphi) X \top$

Here's another example:

¹These are the ones we've used so far in the interactive experiment dataset, even if we previously defined other ones, too.

```

(then
  (once (agent_holds ?b))     $\alpha$ 
  (hold-while
    (and (not (agent_holds ?b)) (in_motion ?b))  $\beta$ 
    (touch ?b ?r)  $\gamma$ 
  )
  (once (and (in ?h ?b) (not (in_motion ?b))))  $\delta$ 
)

```

If we translate each predicate to the letter appearing in blue at the end of the line, this translates to:

$\alpha X(\beta M \gamma) X \beta U \delta X \top$