

# Digital Logic Systems

## Recitation 4: Propositional Logic

Guy Even    Moti Medina

School of Electrical Engineering Tel-Aviv Univ.

November 22, 2011

# The Logisim Software

- Can be downloaded from:  
[http://ozark.hendrix.edu/~burch/logisim/.](http://ozark.hendrix.edu/~burch/logisim/)

## Example

The the 3-bit carry function  $c : \{0, 1\}^3 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined as follows.

$$\forall (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \{0, 1\}^3 : c(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (b_1 \wedge b_2) \vee (b_1 \wedge b_3) \vee (b_2 \wedge b_3).$$

- Every gate implements a Boolean function. We compose Boolean functions to “construct” new ones.
- Generate the truth table of a Boolean function.
- Simulating a single input:
  - Light green = ‘1’.
  - Dark green = ‘0’.
- **Substitution.** Using a circuit as a module in another circuit.
- Printing designs and truth tables.
- “Minimization heuristics” ...can be found in the book.

## Substitution: Example #1

Recall that substitution was made using parse trees. Substitution can be applied directly without using a parse tree. Let us consider the following Boolean formulas.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= (X_1 + X_2), \\ \alpha_1 &= (X \cdot 0), \\ \alpha_2 &= (\neg Y).\end{aligned}$$

A substitution of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X, Y\}, \{\cdot, \neg\})$  in  $\varphi \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{+\})$  yields the Boolean formula  $\varphi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X, Y\}, \{\cdot, +, \neg\})$ .

## Substitution: Example #1 (cont.)

We substitute  $\alpha_1$  for  $X_1$ , and  $\alpha_2$  for  $X_2$ , as follows. We apply a simple “find and replace” procedure, i.e., we replace every symbol  $X_1$  in the string  $\varphi$  with the string  $(X \cdot 0)$ , and every symbol  $X_2$  in the string  $\varphi$  with the string  $(\neg Y)$ , as follows:

- ① The original formula:  $\varphi = (X_1 + X_2)$ .
- ② Replacing  $X_1$  with  $\alpha_1$  results with the formula:  $((X \cdot 0) + X_2)$ .
- ③ Replacing  $X_2$  with  $\alpha_2$  results with the formula:  
 $((X \cdot 0) + (\neg Y))$ .

## Substitution: Example #2

Let us consider the following Boolean formula.

$$\alpha = (X_1 \cdot X_2).$$

A substitution of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{\cdot\})$  in  $\alpha \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{\cdot\})$  yields the Boolean formula  $\alpha(\alpha, X_2) \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{\cdot\})$ .

## Substitution: Example #2 (cont.)

We substitute  $\alpha$  for  $X_1$ , as follows:

- ① The original formula:  $\alpha = (X_1 \cdot X_2)$ .
- ② Replacing  $X_1$  with  $\alpha$  results with the formula:  $((X_1 \cdot X_2) \cdot X_2)$ .

Note that we replaced  $X_1$  with  $\alpha$  ONCE and not RECURSIVELY forever...

Substituting again...

- ① Let  $\psi(X_1, X_2)$  denote  $\varphi(\alpha, X_2)$ .
- ② A substitution of  $\alpha$  in  $\psi(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{\cdot\})$  yields the Boolean formula  $\psi(\alpha, X_2) \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{\cdot\})$ , as follows:
- ③ Replacing  $X_1$  with  $\alpha$  results with the formula:  
$$(((X_1 \cdot X_2) \cdot X_2) \cdot X_2)$$

# Tautologies

Prove that the following formulas are tautologies: (i) addition:

$\varphi_1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (X \rightarrow (X + Y))$ , and (ii) simplification:  $\varphi_2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} ((X \cdot Y) \rightarrow X)$ .

Proof.

The proof is by truth tables, The following figure depicts the tables of both formulas. Note that the row that represents  $\hat{\tau}_v(\varphi_i)$  is a constant Boolean function, i.e.,  $\forall v \in \{0,1\}^2 : \hat{\tau}_v(\varphi_i) = 1$ .

Example 1, on page 85 implies that  $\varphi_i$  are tautologies, as required.

X	Y	$X + Y$	$\varphi_1$	X	Y	$X \cdot Y$	$\varphi_2$
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table: The truth tables of the addition and the simplification tautologies.



# Complete Set of Connectives

- Every Boolean formula can be interpreted as Boolean function.
- We deal with the following question: Which sets of connectives enable us to express every Boolean function?

Recall the following definitions.

## Definition

A Boolean function  $B : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is **expressible** by  $\mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \mathcal{C})$  if there exists a formula  $p \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \mathcal{C})$  such that  $B = B_p$ .

## Definition

A set  $\mathcal{C}$  of connectives is **complete** if every Boolean function  $B : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is expressible by  $\mathcal{BF}(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \mathcal{C})$ .

# Complete Set of Connectives (cont.)

## Theorem

*The set  $\mathcal{C} = \{\neg, \text{AND}, \text{OR}\}$  is a complete set of connectives.*

## Proof.

On the whiteboard.

## Theorem

*The set  $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{AND}, \text{OR}\}$  is not a complete set of connectives.*

## Proof.

- We prove that the Boolean function NOT is not expressible by  $\mathcal{BF}(\{X_1\}, \mathcal{C})$ .
- How? we prove that every  $p \in \mathcal{BF}(\{X_1\}, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $B_p$  is either the function 0, 1, or  $I$ , where  $I$  is the identity function.
- Proof by induction on the size of the parse tree of the Boolean formula  $p$  (on the whiteboard).
- Since NOT is not the function 0, 1, or  $I$ , it follows that NOT is not expressible by  $\mathcal{BF}(\{X_1\}, \mathcal{C})$ , as required.

