

# Practical 1 - Bayesian Term 2

Guy Roberts

2023-02-08

## My main section

Here's some maths:

$$\log(x^3) \neq \frac{\exp(3x)}{x}.$$

## Align subsection

Adding maths in an “align” environment makes it easier to line things up.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\sim N(0, 1), \\ X_i | \mu &\sim N(\mu, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

## R section

Here's some very simple R code.

```
x <- rnorm(100)
y <- runif(100) + x
```

## 3. First Stan Models

```
library(rstan)
```

```
## Loading required package: StanHeaders
```

```
##
```

```
## rstan version 2.26.13 (Stan version 2.26.1)
```

```
## For execution on a local, multicore CPU with excess RAM we recommend calling
## options(mc.cores = parallel::detectCores()).
```

```
## To avoid recompilation of unchanged Stan programs, we recommend calling
## rstan_options(auto_write = TRUE)
```

```
## For within-chain threading using 'reduce_sum()' or 'map_rect()' Stan functions,
## change 'threads_per_chain' option:
```

```
## rstan_options(threads_per_chain = 1)
```

```
## Do not specify '-march=native' in 'LOCAL_CPPFLAGS' or a Makevars file
```

```
setwd('C:/Users/guyro/OneDrive/Y3/bayesian-modelling/practicals')
```

```
our_model <- stan_model('conjugate_1.stan')
```

```
our_sample <- sampling(our_model,  
  data = list(n = 7, X = c(1.20, 1.21, 3.06, 7.89, 5.67, 6.10, 3.90)))
```

```
##
```

```
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
```

```
## Chain 1:
```

```
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 2.8e-05 seconds
```

```
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.28 seconds.
```

```
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
```

```
## Chain 1:
```

```
## Chain 1:
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1:
```

```
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.019 seconds (Warm-up)
```

```
## Chain 1:           0.014 seconds (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 1:           0.033 seconds (Total)
```

```
## Chain 1:
```

```
##
```

```
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
```

```
## Chain 2:
```

```
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 7e-06 seconds
```

```
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.07 seconds.
```

```
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
```

```
## Chain 2:
```

```
## Chain 2:
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
```

```

## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.015 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2:           0.016 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2:           0.031 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 5e-06 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.05 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.016 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3:           0.016 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3:           0.032 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 5e-06 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.05 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.016 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.017 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           0.033 seconds (Total)

```

```
## Chain 4:
```

```
summary(our_sample)
```

```
## $summary
##           mean      se_mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%
## mu      3.615481 0.008685492 0.3582970  2.915165  3.377522  3.614112
## lp__ -27.387127 0.018972895 0.7388802 -29.371906 -27.541106 -27.106709
##           75%      97.5%    n_eff    Rhat
## mu      3.861638  4.318968 1701.757 1.000521
## lp__ -26.926326 -26.873518 1516.634 1.000022
##
## $c_summary
## , , chains = chain:1
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## mu      3.604697 0.3705729  2.880928  3.358923  3.589009  3.849454
## lp__ -27.424106 0.7733782 -29.702169 -27.600906 -27.131080 -26.922081
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
## mu      4.349045
## lp__ -26.874105
##
## , , chains = chain:2
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## mu      3.629614 0.3473248  2.952619  3.393848  3.620164  3.862268
## lp__ -27.355102 0.6951193 -29.323610 -27.456845 -27.093160 -26.930751
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
## mu      4.315921
## lp__ -26.873340
##
## , , chains = chain:3
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## mu      3.606118 0.3544005  2.932119  3.368342  3.613595  3.860344
## lp__ -27.376989 0.6526949 -29.148714 -27.567946 -27.124294 -26.926887
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
## mu      4.264902
## lp__ -26.873599
##
## , , chains = chain:4
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## mu      3.621493 0.3604078  2.883477  3.409294  3.620448  3.868008
## lp__ -27.392310 0.8219337 -29.522814 -27.503389 -27.086413 -26.926796
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
```

```
##      mu      4.341628
##      lp__ -26.873385
```

## 3.2 A Tricky Conjugate Analysis

```
our_data <- list(n = 5,
                y = c(15,10, 6, 8, 17))

our_model = stan_model('conjugate_2.stan')

our_sample = sampling(our_model, data=our_data)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1: Rejecting initial value:
## Chain 1:   Error evaluating the log probability at the initial value.
## Chain 1: Exception: beta_lpdf: Random variable is 5.08211, but must be in the interval [0, 1] (in 'st
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 2.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.26 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.024 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.017 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.041 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2: Rejecting initial value:
## Chain 2:   Error evaluating the log probability at the initial value.
## Chain 2: Exception: beta_lpdf: Random variable is 3.7669, but must be in the interval [0, 1] (in 'st
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 6e-06 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.06 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
```

```

## Chain 2: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.032 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.025 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 0.057 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 7e-06 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.07 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.034 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.03 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 0.064 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 8e-06 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.08 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)

```

```

## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.029 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4: 0.024 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4: 0.053 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:

```

```
summary(our_sample)
```

```

## $summary
##           mean      se_mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%
## theta  0.2992017 0.001248048 0.0473426  0.2089462  0.2666868  0.2986447
## lp__ -55.1116034 0.017805104 0.7060301 -57.1825932 -55.2636946 -54.8426620
##           75%      97.5%    n_eff    Rhat
## theta  0.3304395  0.3962024 1438.938 1.005073
## lp__ -54.6651848 -54.6191555 1572.380 1.003533
##
## $c_summary
## , , chains = chain:1
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%
## theta  0.2970602 0.04875704  0.2118245  0.2622185  0.2953694
## lp__ -55.1494248 0.72205102 -57.1849058 -55.3213512 -54.8908830
##           stats
## parameter      75%      97.5%
## theta  0.3307511  0.3981604
## lp__ -54.6815735 -54.6191765
##
## , , chains = chain:2
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## theta  0.3013398 0.04634943  0.2172269  0.270068  0.2978345  0.3302126
## lp__ -55.0782388 0.67732918 -56.8286039 -55.223076 -54.8296516 -54.6598232
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
## theta  0.3991576
## lp__ -54.6198022
##
## , , chains = chain:3
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## theta  0.3000848 0.04938163  0.201346  0.2687104  0.3023064  0.3335028
## lp__ -55.1574609 0.76437192 -57.544705 -55.3004980 -54.8542164 -54.6709126
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%

```

```
##      theta    0.3969636
##      lp__    -54.6190061
##
## , , chains = chain:4
##
##          stats
## parameter      mean          sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
##      theta    0.298322 0.04469041  0.2108997  0.2685856  0.2987727  0.3267194
##      lp__    -55.061289 0.65102120 -57.1168032 -55.1824162 -54.8089453 -54.6533808
##          stats
## parameter      97.5%
##      theta    0.3870173
##      lp__    -54.6190529
```

### 3.3 Exploring example 8.3.1 (from notes)

```
data <- list(N = 3 + 10,
            J = 2,
            group = c(rep(1,3),
                      rep(2,10)),
            X = c(0,1,0,
                 2,0,0,1,2,0,0,1,1,0))

our_model = stan_model('stan_3.stan')

fit = sampling(our_model, data=data)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 2.1e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.21 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.048 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.042 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.09 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
```



```

##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 5e-06 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.05 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.078 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2:                0.057 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2:                0.135 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 7e-06 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.07 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.081 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3:                0.065 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3:                0.146 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 7e-06 seconds

```

```
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.07 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.068 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4: 0.059 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4: 0.127 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
summary(fit)
```

```
## $summary
##           mean      se_mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%
## beta      1.001547  0.02577484  1.043279  0.02460425  0.2763697  0.6793347
## lambda[1] 13.210192  5.02890893 166.805205  0.01985648  0.3125798  1.0337656
## lambda[2] 49.002284 40.24803056 1208.155170  0.03099554  0.3496148  1.0040398
## lp__      -4.802664  0.04573600  1.478810 -8.59349863 -5.4757186 -4.4114142
##           75%      97.5%      n_eff      Rhat
## beta      1.414148  3.700666 1638.3585 1.001187
## lambda[1]  3.064183 47.277275 1100.2000 1.005160
## lambda[2]  3.057664 41.323120  901.0651 1.004164
## lp__      -3.714844 -3.111357 1045.4618 1.004201
##
## $c_summary
## , , chains = chain:1
##
##           stats
## parameter      mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
## beta      0.9754311  0.9647098  0.03168704  0.3005369  0.6738632  1.348395
## lambda[1] 13.9242730 168.5071017  0.01975428  0.3133878  0.9495200  2.652733
## lambda[2]  8.0094225  57.6479954  0.03908864  0.3738263  0.9694987  2.997383
## lp__      -4.7377725  1.4435056 -8.50421219 -5.3612671 -4.3340772 -3.686648
##           stats
## parameter      97.5%
## beta      3.702084
## lambda[1] 40.790116
## lambda[2] 36.705922
## lp__      -3.102770
##
## , , chains = chain:2
##
```

```

##          stats
## parameter    mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
##   beta      1.005232  1.122940  0.02827112  0.2631341  0.6568571  1.393425
##   lambda[1]  4.911897 14.392758  0.02241485  0.3063760  1.0761374  3.228670
##   lambda[2]  4.802460 14.182725  0.04019270  0.3296527  0.9817095  3.044323
##   lp__      -4.800914  1.346169 -7.92370458 -5.5967464 -4.4790986 -3.781065
##          stats
## parameter      97.5%
##   beta      3.856415
##   lambda[1] 34.112681
##   lambda[2] 36.203788
##   lp__     -3.133874
##
## , , chains = chain:3
##
##          stats
## parameter    mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
##   beta      1.013449  1.020902  0.02879108  0.2752897  0.7168009  1.456866
##   lambda[1]  4.669405 23.425071  0.02523615  0.3053974  0.9690350  2.887870
##   lambda[2]  5.276758 23.045634  0.02489143  0.3481052  0.9712044  2.853128
##   lp__      -4.789135  1.433923 -8.48150510 -5.4730156 -4.4479281 -3.697601
##          stats
## parameter      97.5%
##   beta      3.603678
##   lambda[1] 27.843749
##   lambda[2] 27.906167
##   lp__     -3.104637
##
## , , chains = chain:4
##
##          stats
## parameter    mean      sd      2.5%      25%      50%      75%
##   beta      1.012076  1.059312  0.01124433  0.2606006  0.670974  1.457716
##   lambda[1] 29.335191 286.052787  0.01441152  0.3321787  1.127875  3.497357
##   lambda[2] 177.920496 2411.782611  0.03019328  0.3474776  1.103181  3.342690
##   lp__      -4.882836  1.670698 -9.27559582 -5.5328718 -4.394362 -3.733466
##          stats
## parameter      97.5%
##   beta      3.568424
##   lambda[1] 95.316027
##   lambda[2] 103.488383
##   lp__     -3.092083

# samples <- extract(fit, pars = c('lambda[1]', 'lambda[2]'))
# print(samples)
# hist(samples)

```