Citi Digital Assets: A Cross-Chain Tokenization Strategy for Real-World Assets

By: Guy Trichter

Introduction and Vision

Citi Group is formulating a **visionary tokenization strategy** called **Citi Digital Assets**, aiming to become a leading issuer of tokenized real-world assets (RWAs) across multiple blockchains. Unlike some banks that built proprietary blockchains or closed networks for digital assets, Citi's approach is *lean and asset-focused*: **define a token standard for custodial assets** that can operate on any major blockchain, rather than running Citi's own ledger. This Citi token standard will embed **compliance and investor protections** (in line with Ethereum security-token standards like ERC-3643) while remaining broadly compatible with existing ecosystems. The goal is to **issue compliant tokens representing stocks, bonds, funds or other assets held in Citi custody**, without Citi having to maintain a new blockchain or protocol stack. Any blockchain that integrates Citi's on-chain compliance contracts ("compliance agents") could support these tokens, creating a *cross-chain framework* for trusted tokenized assets.

Why this approach? In today's early tokenization market, most tokenized assets function essentially as **"depositary receipts"** – digital tokens backed 1:1 by an off-chain asset held by a custodian. Citi recognizes that in the near term, tokenized RWAs will rely on off-chain records and custodial trust. By focusing on a *tokenization layer* now, Citi can leverage its strength in custody and compliance to issue tokens on **public or permissioned blockchains (Ethereum, Polygon, Avalanche, etc.)**, rather than fragmenting the market with a new Citi-owned chain. This positions Citi as a neutral *asset provider* to the crypto ecosystem – much like how Circle issues USDC stablecoins across chains – while **leaving the surrounding DeFi and trading infrastructure to others**. In the long run, as finance *fully migrates on-chain*, the **Citi Digital Asset standard** could serve as a foundational blueprint for tokenized financial instruments, giving Citi a strategic foothold in the multi-chain future of value transfer.

Citi's Cross-Chain Token Standard

At the heart of the strategy is **Citi's own token standard** for digital assets, which will be *chain-agnostic* and enforce compliance at the token-contract level. This standard is envisioned as an extension or custom implementation compatible with known regulated token frameworks like **ERC-3643** (the Ethereum standard for security tokens). In practice, the Citi token contracts

on each supported chain would include built-in controls to **restrict transfers to authorized participants, check identity credentials, and enable regulatory oversight**.

Key characteristics of the **Citi Digital Asset (CDA) token standard**:

- **Interoperability**: CDA tokens would be ERC-20 compatible for broad compatibility, but with added functions/hooks to check compliance on transfers. This ensures any Ethereum-compatible application can recognize the tokens, but transfers will **fail or be denied if the receiving party isn't approved**. By aligning with ERC-20 baseline, Citi's tokens remain usable in existing wallets and DeFi apps, while additional rules maintain control.

- **Compliance Enforcement**: The token standard will embed logic to check with Citi's on-chain **compliance agent** contract before any transfer. For example, the ERC-3643 "T-REX" standard uses an *automatic validator* that references on-chain identity registries and transfer rules ([ERC-3643 vs ERC-1400: Smart Contract Standards for Security Tokens](#)). Similarly, a Citi token might call a **transfer validation function** that only allows the movement if both sender and receiver have proof of Citi approval. If counterparties fail a KYC/AML check or other rule, the token contract will **deny the transaction**. This means compliance is *programmed into the token itself*, rather than relying purely on off-chain monitoring.

- **Cross-Chain Portability**: The standard is not tied to one network. Citi could deploy the same token blueprint on **multiple blockchains**, each time integrating with that chain's identity/compliance system. In essence, any blockchain that can run smart contracts (Ethereum, Layer-2s, consortium chains, etc.) could host Citi's tokens *provided Citi's compliance agent is deployed there*.

In summary, Citi's cross-chain token standard serves as the **unifying "language" for Citi-backed assets across blockchains**, embedding KYC/AML compliance natively into tokens and enabling broad usage. Next, we detail how a user would interact with this system end-to-end.

User Flow: From Custody to Token and Back

Under this model, the **lifecycle of a Citi Digital Asset token** involves several steps that mirror traditional custody processes, enhanced with blockchain issuance. The user journey would be as follows:

1. **Asset Custody with Citi** – A client (individual or institutional) deposits a real-world asset or security with Citi or buys an asset that Citi holds as custodian. For example, a client might hold 100 shares of a stock, a bond, or a portion of a private fund in their Citi custody account. These assets remain recorded in Citi's traditional systems (e.g. as

custodial entries or in a sub-account for the client). Citi ensures all legal ownership and custodial requirements are in place off-chain.

2. **Tokenization Request** – The client uses Citi's digital asset platform interface to **request tokenization** of some or all of their custodied asset into a Citi Digital Asset token. They would specify which blockchain they want the token on (depending on where they plan to use it). For instance, a user might choose Ethereum for broad DeFi access, or a faster, cheaper network like Polygon for transactional efficiency. At this stage, Citi verifies the user's eligibility (e.g. is the asset allowed to be tokenized? Are there any regulatory restrictions on transferring this asset type?).

3. **KYC Approval & Wallet Whitelisting** – Before any token is issued, the user must have an **approved blockchain wallet address**. The client would provide a wallet address (or Citi provides one via an integrated custody wallet service) which will serve as the on-chain recipient of the tokens. Citi's compliance system then **performs KYC/AML checks on the owner** and links their verified identity to the provided blockchain address. This address is effectively *whitelisted* in Citi's on-chain registry or granted a digital certificate proving compliance. *Only* approved addresses will be able to receive or hold Citi's tokens. This step ensures the on-chain recipient corresponds to a known customer who has passed all checks. (In practice, the smart contract may call an identity registry where Citi has added the user's address, or require a signed approval proof from Citi's compliance key.) As one industry guide notes, after investors undergo KYC/AML, **their wallet addresses are whitelisted, allowing them to receive and trade the tokenized assets**.

4. **Token Minting on Chosen Blockchain** – Once the address is cleared, Citi's issuing smart contract on the chosen blockchain is triggered to **mint the tokenized asset**. The token contract creates the specified number of Citi Digital Asset tokens (fungible tokens representing the asset; e.g. 100 tokens for 100 shares) and **assigns them to the user's whitelisted address**. This on-chain transaction is recorded publicly (or on the permissioned ledger, if using one), and the tokens are now in the user's wallet. The smart contract's code ensures that tokens can only be minted by Citi (or its designated agent role) to preserve control. The off-chain record at Citi is simultaneously updated (for example, marking those 100 shares as "encumbered for tokenization" to avoid double counting). Citi essentially acts as the transfer agent moving the asset into its digital form.

5. **On-Chain Transfer & Trading (Compliance-Gated)** – Now the user holds the Citi tokens in their blockchain wallet. They can **transfer or use these tokens on-chain, but only within the limits of compliance rules**. If the user wants to send some tokens to another person or smart contract, the token's code will invoke Citi's **compliance agent** to check the receiver. For a peer-to-peer transfer, the receiver must also be a Citi-approved (whitelisted) address; otherwise the transfer will be blocked by the token contract. This is analogous to how regulated security tokens work – *only authorized investors can hold or transact them*. The compliance agent could be a combination of

on-chain logic (identity registry lookups) and off-chain oracles. Additionally, **transfer conditions can enforce securities laws** – e.g. no transfers during a lock-up period, or limits on investor counts – by programming these rules into the token smart contract. On-chain, the token will behave like a normal crypto asset with the major distinction that unauthorized attempts to transfer will fail (with an error message), keeping the asset in compliance.

- ○ **Trading on Exchanges/DeFi**: Users may also move tokens into exchanges or DeFi protocols *that support Citi's compliance checks*. For instance, a decentralized exchange (DEX) or lending platform could integrate Citi's compliance agent or ask users to prove credentials before allowing the token to enter a liquidity pool. If the user wants to sell some tokenized bonds on a marketplace, that platform must ensure the buyer's wallet is Citi-approved. This effectively creates **permissioned liquidity pools or order books** restricted to KYC'ed participants. Notably, the DEX smart contracts themselves might need to be **pre-approved** by Citi (added to the whitelist) if they are holding tokens in a pool. Citi can choose to approve certain smart contract addresses (like a specific liquidity pool contract) if they trust its KYC gating mechanism. This way, *B2B integrations* are enabled – more on that in a later section.

6. **Burning & Redemption** – At any time, the token holder can choose to **redeem the token for the underlying real asset**. The redemption process likely involves sending the tokens back to Citi (either to a designated burn address or by calling a redeem function in the smart contract). When Citi receives the tokens on-chain, it validates the request and then **"burns"** (destroys) the tokens, removing them from circulation. Concurrently, Citi updates the off-chain custody records to release the real asset back to the client. For example, if the user returns 100 tokenized shares, Citi's custody system will mark those 100 shares as no longer encumbered by tokens and available in the client's traditional account. The client can then, say, sell those shares through normal brokerage or withdraw the asset. Redemption could be subject to standard settlement times depending on the asset (some assets might not be instantly deliverable, though Citi would aim to streamline this). The key is that there is always a **1:1 correspondence** between tokens in circulation and assets held by Citi in custody. If a token is burned, the corresponding asset is released; if new assets are deposited, new tokens can be minted. Citi acts as the **bridge between off-chain and on-chain ownership** throughout the lifecycle.

This user flow ensures a **controlled yet flexible experience**: clients maintain the benefits of Citi's custodial safekeeping and compliance, while gaining the ability to hold and transact their assets on blockchain networks. The on-chain tokens carry all necessary transfer restrictions, which are **"always on" via smart contracts"**, providing continuous compliance enforcement even as tokens move peer-to-peer. From the user's perspective, they can engage in

near-instant, 24/7 trading or decentralized finance with traditionally illiquid assets, all under the assurance that Citi stands behind the token's value and legality.

On-Chain Compliance Agents and AML Controls

A cornerstone of Citi's strategy is the deployment of **on-chain compliance and AML (Anti-Money Laundering) agents** on each supported blockchain. These "agents" are essentially smart contracts (or connected off-chain services triggered by smart contracts) that **manage identity verification, whitelist statuses, and transaction approvals** in line with Citi's compliance policies. In effect, they represent Citi's role as a gatekeeper on the blockchain, ensuring that **only vetted participants and permissible actions occur with Citi's tokenized assets**.

Design and Role of Compliance Agents:

- **Identity Registry / Whitelist**: The compliance agent contract maintains a registry of approved addresses or identity credentials. For example, Citi might deploy an **Identity Registry smart contract** on Ethereum containing a list of all blockchain addresses that belong to Citi clients who have passed KYC/AML. The registry can store an identity ID and a flag if the address is authorized to hold certain assets. Citi's off-chain compliance systems would update this registry in real time: when a new client is approved, their address gets added; if a client's status changes (e.g. they become a restricted party or fail a refresh check), the address can be removed or flagged to prevent further transfers.

- **Regular Policy Updates**: Citi would retain the ability to **update compliance rules** and the status of identities as regulations evolve. The compliance agent contract might have administratively controlled lists or could be upgradeable (with proper security/governance measures). For example, if a certain country's investors must be barred due to sanctions, Citi can update the compliance contract's data to disallow those addresses or credentials immediately. Similarly, periodic AML reviews could lead to some addresses being suspended from transacting until they provide additional information. All these changes would **propagate on each blockchain** where Citi tokens exist. In practice, Citi might automate updates to multiple chains via a back-end system. The consistency of the Citi standard across chains means policies are enforced uniformly everywhere.

In essence, these on-chain compliance agents act as **Citi's digital compliance officers embedded in code**. They bridge Citi's rigorous off-chain compliance standards with the on-chain execution of transactions. An approved user experiences no friction (their transactions go through seamlessly), but if any unauthorized attempt occurs, the blockchain will simply not process it. By operating these agents on each chain, Citi also creates a **consistent compliance perimeter**. No matter if the token is on Ethereum, Avalanche, or a private chain, the same Citi policies apply. This is far more efficient than expecting every external application to handle

KYC/AML separately. It centralizes the compliance function under Citi's control – appropriate since Citi is the regulated entity responsible for these assets – while distributing the tokens themselves across the decentralized ecosystem. As an additional benefit, on-chain enforcement provides a **full audit trail** of token movements and compliance actions. Every allowed transfer, every blocked transfer, and every address approval is recorded, creating immutable logs that regulators or auditors could inspect if needed (with appropriate arrangements, since identities are pseudonymous on-chain). Citi could even use analytics on these on-chain logs to strengthen monitoring (though actual identity mapping would be done off-chain).

In summary, Citi's on-chain compliance agents ensure that **Citi Digital Asset tokens behave in a controlled, compliant manner regardless of where they travel**. They marry off-chain trust with on-chain execution. This setup is crucial for regulators to get comfortable with tokenized securities – demonstrating that **blockchain transactions can be as compliant as traditional ones**. It also forms a service that Citi could potentially offer to others in the future (monetizing their compliance framework, as discussed later).

A Lean Asset-Layer Strategy vs. Competitors' Full-Stack Approaches

Citi's strategy starkly contrasts with other major banks' digital asset initiatives. While Citi is focusing only on the **asset tokenization layer** (issuance and custody of tokens on existing networks), some peers have built **entire blockchain networks or platforms** from the ground up. Citi limits itself to asset creation and compliance on public networks, whereas competitors like JPMorgan and SIX built closed blockchain ecosystems (Onyx, SDX) to encompass the entire transaction pipeline. Citi's strategy prioritizes interoperability and broad adoption, in contrast to the more siloed (though tightly controlled) systems of its peers.

Citi is effectively choosing not to "reinvent the wheel" of a blockchain network. This avoids the challenge others have faced of convincing participants to join a new isolated network. By *remaining blockchain-neutral*, Citi can engage with whatever platforms prevail – whether public L1s, Layer-2 networks, or other bank-led chains – ensuring Citi-issued assets can flow wherever liquidity and innovation exist.

Critically, this approach can accelerate adoption: a tokenized Citi asset on a public chain could immediately plug into the thriving crypto liquidity pools (with KYC gating) and benefit from <mark>composability</mark> (e.g. being used as collateral in a lending protocol). By contrast, assets on a closed network like SDX or Onyx only transact within that network's confines unless complex interoperability is arranged.

Another advantage of Citi's lean strategy is **focus**. Citi sticks to what it does best – <mark>custody</mark>, compliance, and financial structuring – and leaves technology-heavy operations (like maintaining a distributed ledger, or running global node infrastructure) to others. This is more scalable for Citi as it tokenizes potentially hundreds of asset types; the bank doesn't become bogged down with running a global IT network for each asset – it deploys smart contracts on

networks maintained by the broader community. In effect, Citi *outsources decentralization to the blockchain industry*, while providing the trust layer on top.

In summary, **Citi Digital Assets aims to be the *asset layer* that others build upon**, rather than a full-stack solution. Citi will custody and issue tokens, but **not run the exchange, not run the settlement layer of the blockchain, and not build a new protocol**. This contrasts with peers like JPM Onyx and SDX that pursued vertical integration (creating bespoke networks/protocols). Citi's lean approach could allow it to *scale faster globally* (by tapping into existing networks' user bases) and focus on monetizing its core competencies in the tokenized economy.

Owning the Tokenization Standard: Strategic Importance

One of the most profound long-term motivations for Citi's strategy is the **desire to own and define the tokenization standard for real-world assets.** By establishing a widely used "Citi Digital Asset" token format now, Citi positions itself at the **foundation of a future where all assets live on-chain**. This has both short-term and long-term strategic implications:

- **Near-Term (Hybrid Reality)**: In the immediate future, tokenized assets will typically reference off-chain ownership records. As noted, a token today often functions as a **representation or receipt** for an asset held by a custodian. The legal ownership is still tracked in traditional databases or through legal agreements, with the token as a secondary record. For example, owning a tokenized bond might mean you have a token, but the definitive record is a custodial account statement from Citi. During this phase, **Citi's role as custodian is indispensable** – the trust in the token stems from trust in Citi holding the real asset. By creating its own token standard, Citi ensures that whenever a client or partner wants to tokenize an asset, *Citi's framework is the default choice*. It anchors the off-chain to on-chain linkage under Citi's control. Citi effectively becomes to tokenized assets what Cede & Co. (the DTCC's nominee) is to U.S. equities: the primary record-keeper that every digital representation ties back to.

- **Long-Term (On-Chain Native Future)**: Looking ahead 5-10 years, the vision (shared by many in the industry) is that **eventually real-world assets will be recorded and transacted *directly* on blockchain ledgers**, with no separate off-chain ledger needed. Legal frameworks are gradually evolving to recognize digital ledgers as sources of truth for securities and contracts. In such a future, the token itself *is* the asset – for instance, a bond could be originally issued as an ERC-3643 token and that token is the legal evidence of ownership. When this future arrives, whoever controls the prevailing token standards will have significant influence. If Citi succeeds in getting its token format widely used, it could become **the de facto standard for tokenized stocks/bonds** across multiple networks. That means as the world shifts to on-chain records, Citi's model (initially built for custodial tokens) could evolve into the native issuance format. **By defining the tokenization layer now, Citi can carry its authority into a fully on-chain**

world.

- **Network Effects and First-Mover Advantage**: Strategically, standards benefit from network effects. Consider how SWIFT messaging became a global standard in banking, or how ERC-20 became the standard for ICO tokens. If Citi's token format gains traction among institutions (perhaps other banks or issuers adopt it for their own tokenization efforts due to its robust compliance features), Citi could enjoy a **first-mover standard advantage**.

In summary, **owning the Citi Digital Asset standard is about future-proofing Citi's role in finance**. In the short run, it allows Citi to comfortably tokenize assets under existing laws (as tokens being a kind of receipt). In the long run, it means Citi's DNA is embedded in the way assets live on-chain, potentially making Citi indispensable in the plumbing of tomorrow's financial system. As more value moves on-chain – from currencies to stocks to derivatives – being the *source of the token standards and compliance mechanisms* could be even more powerful than being a traditional custodian. It ensures Citi remains the *"first link in the digital value chain"* for a huge spectrum of assets.

Revenue and Monetization Models for Citi Digital Assets

Citi's innovative approach to tokenizing assets through **Citi Digital Assets** leverages key revenue streams primarily driven by compliance services and custodial capabilities. Below is the refined and focused monetization strategy:

1. Compliance Agent Subscription Model

- **Monthly Subscription for Compliance**: Entities or individuals wishing to hold or transact Citi Digital Assets must subscribe monthly to Citi's compliance services. This subscription directly funds Citi's compliance agents deployed on each supported blockchain.

  - Subscribers receive continuous address verification and compliance maintenance.

  - Citi compliance agents perform real-time, automated compliance checks to authorize asset transfers and enforce regulatory compliance.

- **Non-payment Consequences**:

  - If subscribers stop paying the subscription fee, Citi compliance agents cease authorizing asset transfers from their addresses.

- Non-compliant addresses retain tokens but lose the ability to transfer them, effectively freezing the asset's liquidity.

- Subscribers can opt to burn tokens and redeem the underlying physical or financial assets off-chain via Citi's traditional custodial channels, preserving their asset value and offering a straightforward exit path.

2. On-Chain Coupon and Yield Payments

- **Stablecoin-based Payments**: All coupons, dividends, or other periodic payments associated with tokenized assets are paid directly on-chain using Citi's stablecoin (e.g., CitiToken USD).

  - Payments occur seamlessly and automatically through smart contracts, delivering payments directly to token holders or liquidity pools according to predefined terms.

  - Citi can earn marginal transaction fees from these stablecoin payments, monetizing the recurring coupon or dividend payment flow.

3. Custodial and Key Management Services

- **Secure Private Key Custody**:

  - Citi provides secure storage and management of private keys as a premium service, generating a stable, recurring fee revenue.

  - Institutional or individual investors who prefer not to self-custody can pay Citi for highly secure private key management services, ensuring asset security while preserving the ease of use.

- **Emergency Access and Recovery**:

  - Citi may charge additional fees for emergency recovery or access services in case of lost or compromised keys, providing an additional revenue stream.

4. Integration with DeFi and Liquidity Pools

- **Compliance Integration Fees**:

  - Platforms (DEXs, lending protocols) integrating Citi's compliance agents to facilitate trades or collateral use of Citi Digital Assets pay integration and service

fees.

- ○ Citi compliance agents enable seamless KYC-compliant interactions within decentralized finance environments, monetizing the broader ecosystem integration.

Benefits of the Refined Monetization Strategy

- **Predictable Revenue Stream**: Monthly subscriptions provide predictable and stable revenue.

- **Enhanced Compliance Enforcement**: Direct financial incentives ensure ongoing compliance.

- **Scalable Adoption**: Subscription-based compliance simplifies onboarding new institutional and retail users, promoting scalability.

- **Increased Client Value**: Offering secure custodial and key management services enhances Citi's value proposition, appealing particularly to risk-averse institutional clients.

This refined monetization model strategically positions Citi Digital Assets at the intersection of regulatory compliance, blockchain innovation, and institutional-grade custody services, establishing Citi as a key player in the tokenized asset ecosystem.

Conclusion and Outlook

Citi's proposal for a cross-chain tokenization standard – **Citi Digital Assets** – is a bold strategic move that aligns with the accelerating convergence of traditional finance and blockchain technology. By focusing on a **visionary yet pragmatic approach** – issuing compliant tokens of real assets on existing networks – Citi is leveraging its strengths (custody, compliance, global client base) to stay ahead in the digital asset era, while avoiding the pitfalls of building isolated tech silos.