

MCAT® Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review ONLINE + BOOK Edited by Alexander Stone Macnow, MD Medical Colleges, which neither sponsors nor endorses this product. Editor-in-Chief, 2023–2024 Edition M. Dominic Eggert Contributing Editors, 2023–2024 Edition Christopher Durland; Charles Pierce, MD; Jason Selzer Prior Edition Editorial Staff: Brandon Deason, MD; Christopher Durland; M. Dominic Eggert; Tyler Fara; Elizabeth Flagge; Adam Grey; Jason Selzer; Lauren K. White sponsors nor endorses this product. is publication is designed to provide accurate information in regard to the subject matter covered as of its publication date, with the understanding that knowledge and best practice constantly evolve. e publisher is not engaged in rendering medical, legal, accounting, or other professional service. If medical or legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought. is publication is not intended for use in clinical practice or the delivery of medical care. To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the Editors assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of the material contained in this book. Published by Kaplan North America, LLC dba Kaplan Publishing 1515 West Cypress Creek Road Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309 All rights reserved. e text of this publication, or any part thereof, may not be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission from the publisher. 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 The Kaplan MCAT Review Team Getting Started Checklist About Scientific American About the MCAT How This Book Was Created Using This Book Studying for the MCAT CHAPTER 1: ABOUT CARS The CARS Section CHAPTER 2: USING YOUR TEST DAY TOOLS Test Day Tools CHAPTER 3: RHETORIC AND ARGUMENTS Key Components of Rhetoric Key Components of Arguments Analyzing Passages with Rhetoric and Arguments CHAPTER 4: PREVIEWING THE PASSAGE Timing in the CARS Section Previewing CARS Passages Determining Passage Order CHAPTER 5: READING THE PASSAGE Reading Strategically with Keywords CHAPTER 6: DISTILLING PASSAGE INFORMATION Choosing Your Approach Highlighting the Passage Outlining the Passage Interrogating the Passage CHAPTER 7: APPLYING THE KAPLAN PASSAGE STRATEGY The Kaplan Passage Method Social Sciences

Passages CHAPTER 8: QUESTION AND ANSWER STRATEGY The Kaplan Method for CARS
Questions Wrong Answer Pathologies Signs of a Healthy Answer CHAPTER 9: QUESTION TYPES
I: FOUNDATIONS OF Main Idea Questions CHAPTER 10: QUESTION TYPES II: REASONING
WITHIN THE 10.1 Function Questions 10.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) Questions
10.3 Other Reasoning Within the Text Questions CHAPTER 11: QUESTION TYPES III:
REASONING BEYOND THE 11.1 Apply Questions 11.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the
Passage) Questions 11.3 Other Reasoning Beyond the Text Questions CHAPTER 12: EFFECTIVE
REVIEW OF CARS 12.1 Learning from Your Mistakes 12.2 Thinking Like the Testmaker:
Post-Phrasing 12.3 Improving Your Timing 12.4 Building Endurance 12.5 Enhancing Your
Vocabulary Alexander Stone Macnow, MD Áine Lorie, PhD Derek Rusnak, MA Kaplan MCAT
Faculty Laura L. Ambler Kaplan MCAT Faculty Krista L. Buckley, MD Kaplan MCAT Faculty
Kristen L. Russell, ME Pamela Willingham, MSW Melinda Contreras, MS Kaplan MCAT Faculty
Kaplan MCAT Faculty Jason R. Pfeleger Kaplan MCAT Faculty M. Dominic Eggert Faculty
Reviewers and Editors: Elmar R. Aliyev; James Burns; Jonathan Cornfield; Alisha Maureen
Crowley; Nikolai Dorofeev, MD; Benjamin Downer, MS; Colin Doyle; Christopher Durland; M.
Dominic Eggert; Marilyn Engle; Eleni M. Eren; Raef Ali Fadel; Elizabeth Flagge; Paul Forn; Adam
Grey; Tyra Hall-Pogar, PhD; Mary Halton; Scott Huff; Samer T. Ismail; Ae-Ri Kim, PhD; Elizabeth
A. Kudlaty; Kelly Kyker-Snowman, MS; Ningfei Li; John P. Mahon; Brandon McKenzie; Matthew
A. Meier; Nainika Nanda; Caroline Nkemdilim Opene; Aishwarya Pillai; Kaitlyn E. Prenger;
Uneeb Qureshi; Kristen Russell; Bela G. Starkman, PhD; Michael Paul Tomani, MS; Lauren K.
White; Nicholas M. White; Allison Ann Wilkes, MS; Kerranna Williamson, MBA; MJ Wu; and Tony
Yu. Thanks to Eric Chiu; Tim Eich; Tyler Fara; Owen Farcy; Dan Frey; Robin Garmise; Rita
Garthaffner; Joanna Graham; Allison Harm; Beth Hoerg; Aaron Lemon-Strauss; Keith Lubeley;
Diane McGarvey; Petros Minasi; Camellia Mukherjee; Denise Pangia; John Polstein;
Deeangelee Pooran- Kublall, MD, MPH; Rochelle Rothstein, MD; Larry Rudman; Sylvia Tidwell
Scheuring; Carly Schnur; Karin Tucker; Lee Weiss; and the countless others who made this
project possible. Getting Started Checklist Register for your free online assets—including full-

length tests, Science Review Videos, and additional Create a study calendar that ensures you complete content review and sufficient practice by Test Day! As you finish a chapter and the online practice for that chapter, check it off on the table of contents. Register to take the MCAT at www.aamc.org/mcat. Set aside time during your prep to make sure the rest of your application—personal statement, recommendations, and other materials—is ready to Take a moment to admire your completed checklist, then get back to the business of prepping for this And now it starts: your long, yet fruitful journey toward wearing a white coat. Proudly wearing that white coat, though, is hopefully only part of your motivation. You are reading this book because you want to be a healer. If you're serious about going to medical school, then you are likely already familiar with the importance of the MCAT in medical school admissions. While the holistic review process puts additional weight on your experiences, extracurricular activities, and personal attributes, the fact remains: along with your GPA, your MCAT score remains one of the two most important components of your application portfolio—at least early in the admissions process. Each additional point you score on the MCAT pushes you in front of thousands of other students and makes you an even more attractive applicant. But the MCAT is not simply an obstacle to overcome; it is an opportunity to show schools that you will be a strong student and a future leader in medicine. We at Kaplan take our jobs very seriously and aim to help students see success not only on the MCAT, but as future physicians. We work with our learning science experts to ensure that we're using the most up-to-date teaching techniques in our resources. Multiple members of our team hold advanced degrees in medicine or associated biomedical sciences, and are committed to the highest level of medical education. Kaplan has been working with the MCAT for over 50 years and our commitment to premed students is unflagging; in fact, Stanley Kaplan created this company when he had difficulty being accepted to medical school due to unfair quota systems that existed at the time. We stand now at the beginning of a new era in medical education. As citizens of this 21st-century world of healthcare, we are charged with creating a patient-oriented, culturally competent, cost-conscious, universally available, technically

advanced, and research-focused healthcare system, run by compassionate providers. Suffice it to say, this is no easy task. Problem-based learning, integrated curricula, and classes in interpersonal skills are some of the responses to this demand for an excellent workforce—a workforce of which you'll soon be a part. We're thrilled that you've chosen us to help you on this journey. Please reach out to us to share your challenges, concerns, and successes. Together, we will shape the future of medicine in the United States and abroad; we look forward to helping you become the doctor you deserve to be.

Alexander Stone Macnow, MD
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania
BA, Musicology—Boston University, 2008
MD—Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, 2013

As the world's premier science and technology magazine, and the oldest continuously published magazine in the United States, Scientific American is committed to bringing the most important developments in modern science, medicine, and technology to our worldwide audience in an understandable, credible, and provocative format. Founded in 1845 and on the "cutting edge" ever since, Scientific American boasts over 175 Nobel laureate authors including Albert Einstein, Marie Curie, Francis Crick, Christiane Nüsslein-Volhard, Stanley Prusiner, and Richard Axel. Scientific American is a forum where scientific theories and discoveries are explained to a broader audience. Scientific American published its first international edition in 1890, and in 1979 was the first North American magazine published in the People's Republic of China. Today, Scientific American is published in 14 additional language editions. Scientific American is also a leading online destination (www.ScientificAmerican.com), providing the latest science news and exclusive features to millions of visitors each month. The knowledge that fills our pages has the power to spark new ideas, paradigms, and visions for the future. As science races forward, Scientific American continues to cover the promising strides, inevitable setbacks and challenges, and new medical discoveries as they unfold.

Anatomy of the MCAT

Here is a general overview of the structure of Test Day:

- Chemical and Physical Foundations of Biological Sciences
- Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS)
- Lunch Break (optional)
- Biological and Biochemical Foundations of Living

Psychological, Social, and Biological Foundations of Satisfaction Survey (optional) e structure of the four sections of the MCAT is shown below. Chemical and Physical Foundations of Biological Systems 44 questions are passage-based, and 15 are discrete (stand-alone) Score between 118 and 132 What It Tests General Chemistry: 30% Organic Chemistry: 15% Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) All questions are passage-based. There are no discrete (stand-alone) Score between 118 and 132 What It Tests Social Sciences: 50% Foundations of Comprehension: 30% Reasoning Within the Text: 30% Reasoning Beyond the Text: 40% Biological and Biochemical Foundations of Living Systems 44 questions are passage-based, and 15 are discrete (stand-alone) Score between 118 and 132 What It Tests General Chemistry: 5% Organic Chemistry: 5% Psychological, Social, and Biological Foundations of Behavior Chemical and Physical Foundations of Biological Systems 44 questions are passage-based, and 15 are discrete (stand-alone) Score between 118 and 132 What It Tests 375 minutes (6 hours, 15 minutes) 447 minutes (7 hours, 27 minutes) 472 to 528 Scientific Inquiry and Reasoning Skills (SIRS) e AAMC has defined four Scientific Inquiry and Reasoning Skills (SIRS) that will be tested in the three science sections of the MCAT: 1. Knowledge of Scientific Concepts and Principles (35% of questions) 2. Scientific Reasoning and Problem-Solving (45% of questions) 3. Reasoning About the Design and Execution of Research (10% of questions) 4. Data-Based and Statistical Reasoning (10% of questions) Let's see how each one breaks down into more specific Test Day behaviors. Note that the bullet points of specific objectives for each of the SIRS are taken directly from the Official Guide to the MCAT Exam; the descriptions of what these behaviors mean and sample question stems, however, are written by Kaplan. Skill 1: Knowledge of Scientific Concepts and Principles is is probably the least surprising of the four SIRS; the testing of science knowledge is, aer all, one of the signature qualities of the MCAT. Skill 1 questions will require you to do the following: Recognize correct scientific principles Identify the relationships among closely related concepts Identify the relationships between different representations of concepts (verbal, symbolic, graphic) Identify examples of observations that illustrate scientific principles Use mathematical equations to solve problems

At Kaplan, we simply call these Science Knowledge or Skill 1 questions. Another way to think of Skill 1 questions is as “one-step” problems. The single step is either to realize which scientific concept the question stem is suggesting or to take the concept stated in the question stem and identify which answer choice is an accurate application of it. Skill 1 questions are particularly prominent among discrete questions (those not associated with a passage). These questions are an opportunity to gain quick points on Test Day—if you know the science concept attached to the question, then that’s it! On Test Day, 35% of the questions in each science section will be Skill 1 questions. Here are some sample Skill 1 question stems: How would a proponent of the James-Lange theory of emotion interpret the findings of the study cited in the passage? Which of the following most accurately describes the function of FSH in the human menstrual cycle? If the products of Reaction 1 and Reaction 2 were combined in solution, the resulting reaction would form: Ionic bonds are maintained by which of the following forces?

Skill 2: Scientific Reasoning and Problem-Solving

The MCAT science sections do, of course, move beyond testing straightforward science knowledge; Skill 2 questions are the most common way in which they do so. At Kaplan, we also call these Critical Thinking questions. Skill 2 questions will require you to do the following:

- Reason about scientific principles, theories, and models
- Analyze and evaluate scientific explanations and predictions
- Evaluate arguments about causes and consequences
- Bring together theory, observations, and evidence to draw conclusions
- Recognize scientific findings that challenge or invalidate a scientific theory
- Determine and use scientific formulas to solve problems

Just as Skill 1 questions can be thought of as “one-step” problems, many Skill 2 questions are “two-step” problems, and more difficult Skill 2 questions may require three or more steps. These questions can require a wide spectrum of reasoning skills, including integration of multiple facts from a passage, combination of multiple science content areas, and prediction of an experiment’s results. Skill 2 questions also tend to ask about science content without actually mentioning it by name. For example, a question might describe the results of one experiment and ask you to predict the results of a second experiment without actually telling you what underlying

scientific principles are at work—part of the question’s difficulty will be figuring out which principles to apply in order to get the correct answer. On Test Day, 45 percent of the questions in each science section will be Skill 2 questions. Here are some sample Skill 2 question stems:

Which of the following experimental conditions would most likely yield results similar to those in Figure 2? All of the following conclusions are supported by the information in the e most likely cause of the anomalous results found by the experimenter is: An impact to a person’s chest quickly reduces the volume of one of the lungs to 70% of its initial value while not allowing any air to escape from the mouth. By what percentage is the force of outward air pressure increased on a 2 cm² portion of the inner surface of the compressed lung?

Skill 3: Reasoning About the Design and Execution of e MCAT is interested in your ability to critically appraise and analyze research, as this is an important day-to-day task of a physician. We call these questions Skill 3 or Experimental and Research Design questions for short. Skill 3 questions will require you to do the following:

- Identify the role of theory, past findings, and observations in scientific
- Identify testable research questions and hypotheses
- Distinguish between samples and populations and distinguish results that support generalizations about populations
- Identify independent and dependent variables
- Reason about the features of research studies that suggest associations between variables or causal relationships between them (such as temporality and random assignment)
- Identify conclusions that are supported by research results
- Determine the implications of results for real-world situations
- Reason about ethical issues in scientific research

Over the years, the AAMC has received input from medical schools to require more practical research skills of MCAT test takers, and Skill 3 questions are the response to these demands. This skill is unique in that the outside knowledge you need to answer Skill 3 questions is not taught in any one undergraduate course; instead, the research design principles needed to answer these questions are learned gradually throughout your science classes and especially through any laboratory work you have completed. It should be noted that Skill 3 comprises 10 percent of the questions in each science section on Test Day. Here are some sample Skill 3 question stems: What is the

dependent variable in the study described in the passage? e major flaw in the method used to measure disease susceptibility in Experiment 1 is: Which of the following procedures is most important for the experimenters to follow in order for their study to maintain a proper randomized sample of research subjects? A researcher would like to test the hypothesis that individuals who move to an urban area during adulthood are more likely to own a car than are those who have lived in an urban area since birth. Which of the following studies would best test this hypothesis? Skill 4: Data-Based and Statistical Reasoning Lastly, the science sections of the MCAT test your ability to analyze the visual and numerical results of experiments and studies. We call these Data and Statistical Analysis questions. Skill 4 questions will require you to do the following: Use, analyze, and interpret data in figures, graphs, and tables Evaluate whether representations make sense for particular scientific observations and data Use measures of central tendency (mean, median, and mode) and measures of dispersion (range, interquartile range, and standard deviation) to Reason about random and systematic error Reason about statistical significance and uncertainty (interpreting statistical significance levels and interpreting a confidence interval) Use data to explain relationships between variables or make predictions Use data to answer research questions and draw conclusions Skill 4 is included in the MCAT because physicians and researchers spend much of their time examining the results of their own studies and the studies of others, and it's very important for them to make legitimate conclusions and sound judgments based on that data. e MCAT tests Skill 4 on all three science sections with graphical representations of data (charts and bar graphs), as well as numerical ones (tables, lists, and results summarized in sentence or paragraph form). On Test Day, 10 percent of the questions in each science section will be Skill 4 questions. Here are some sample Skill 4 question stems: According to the information in the passage, there is an inverse correlation What conclusion is best supported by the findings displayed in Figure 2? A medical test for a rare type of heavy metal poisoning returns a positive result for 98% of affected individuals and 13% of unaffected individuals. Which of the following types of error is most prevalent in this test? If a fourth trial of Experiment 1 was run

and yielded a result of 54% compliance, which of the following would be true? Discussing the SIRS tested on the MCAT is a daunting prospect given that the very nature of the skills tends to make the conversation rather abstract. Nevertheless, with enough practice you'll be able to identify each of the four skills quickly, and you'll also be able to apply the proper strategies to solve those problems on Test Day. If you need a quick reference to remind you of the four SIRS, these guidelines may help: Skill 1 (Science Knowledge) questions ask: Do you remember this science content? Skill 2 (Critical thinking) questions ask: Do you remember this science content? And if you do, could you please apply it to this novel situation? Could you answer this question that cleverly combines multiple content areas at the same time? Skill 3 (Experimental and Research Design) questions ask: Let's forget about the science content for a while. Could you give some insight into the experimental or research methods involved in this Skill 4 (Data and Statistical Analysis) questions ask: Let's forget about the science content for a while. Could you accurately read some graphs and tables for a moment? Could you make some conclusions or extrapolations based on the information presented? Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) e Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section of the MCAT tests three discrete families of textual reasoning skills; each of these families requires a higher level of reasoning than the last. These three skills are as follows: 1. Foundations of Comprehension (30 percent of questions) 2. Reasoning Within the Text (30 percent of questions) 3. Reasoning Beyond the Text (40 percent of questions) These three skills are tested through nine humanities- and social sciences-themed passages, with approximately 5 to 7 questions per passage. Let's take a more in-depth look into these three skills. Again, the bullet points of specific objectives for each of the CARS are taken directly from the Official Guide to the MCAT Exam; the descriptions of what these behaviors mean and sample question stems, however, are written by Kaplan. Foundations of Comprehension Questions in this skill will ask for basic facts and simple inferences about the passage; the questions themselves will be similar to those seen on reading comprehension sections of other standardized exams like the SAT® and ACT®. Foundations of Comprehension questions will require you to do the following: Understand the

basic components of the text Infer meaning from rhetorical devices, word choice, and text structure is admittedly covers a wide range of potential question types including Main Idea, Detail, Inference, and Definition-in-Context questions, but finding the correct answer to all Foundations of Comprehension questions will follow from a basic understanding of the passage and the point of view of its author (and occasionally that of other voices in the passage). Here are some sample Foundations of Comprehension question stems: Main Idea—the author’s primary purpose in this passage is: Detail—Based on the information in the second paragraph, which of the following is the most accurate summary of the opinion held by Schubert’s (Scattered) Detail—According to the passage, which of the following is FALSE about literary reviews in the 1920s? Inference (Implication)—Which of the following phrases, as used in the passage, is most suggestive that the author has a personal bias toward narrative records of history? Inference (Assumption)—In putting together the argument in the passage, the author most likely assumes: Definition-in-Context—the word “obscure” (paragraph 3), when used in reference to the historian’s actions, most nearly means:

Reasoning Within the Text While Foundations of Comprehension questions will usually depend on interpreting a single piece of information in the passage or understanding the passage as a whole, Reasoning Within the Text questions require more thought because they will ask you to identify the purpose of a particular piece of information in the context of the passage, or ask how one piece of information relates to another. Reasoning Within the Text questions will require you to: Integrate different components of the text to draw relevant conclusions In other words, questions in this skill oen ask either How do these two details relate to one another? or What else must be true that the author didn’t say? e CARS section will also ask you to judge certain parts of the passage or even judge the author. ese questions, which fall under the Reasoning Within the Text skill, can ask you to identify authorial bias, evaluate the credibility of cited sources, determine the logical soundness of an argument, identify the importance of a particular fact or statement in the context of the passage, or search for relevant evidence in the passage to support a given conclusion. In all, this category includes

Function and Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, as well as a smattering of related—but rare—question types. Here are some sample Reasoning Within the Text question stems: Function—the author’s discussion of the effect of socioeconomic status on social mobility primarily serves which of the following functions? Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage)—Which of the following facts is used in the passage as the most prominent piece of evidence in favor of the Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage)—Based on the role it plays in the author’s argument, the Possessed can be considered: Reasoning Beyond the Text

The distinguishing factor of Reasoning Beyond the Text questions is in the title of the skill: the word *Beyond*. Questions that test this skill, which make up a larger share of the CARS section than questions from either of the other two skills, will always introduce a completely new situation that was not present in the passage itself; these questions will ask you to determine how one influences the other. Reasoning Beyond the Text questions will require you to: Apply or extrapolate ideas from the passage to new contexts Assess the impact of introducing new factors, information, or conditions to ideas from the passage

Reasoning Beyond the Text skill is further divided into Apply and Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions, and a few other rarely appearing Here are some sample Reasoning Beyond the Text question stems: Apply—If a document were located that demonstrated Berlioz intended to include a chorus of at least 700 in his *Grande Messe des Morts*, how would the author likely respond? Apply—Which of the following is the best example of a “virtuous rebellion,” as it is defined in the passage? Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage)—Suppose Jane Austen had written in a letter to her sister, “My strongest characters were those forced by circumstance to confront basic questions about the society in which they lived.” What relevance would this have to the passage? Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage)—Which of the following sentences, if added to the end of the passage, would most WEAKEN the author’s conclusions in the last paragraph?

rough the Foundations of Comprehension skill, the CARS section tests many of the reading skills you have been building on since grade school, albeit in the context of very challenging doctorate-level passages. But through the two other skills (Reasoning Within the

Text and Reasoning Beyond the Text), the MCAT demands that you understand the deep structure of passages and the arguments within them at a very advanced level. And, of course, all of this is tested under very tight timing restrictions: only 102 seconds per question—and that doesn't even include the time spent reading the passages. Here's a quick reference guide to the three CARS skills: Foundations of Comprehension questions ask: Did you understand the passage and its main ideas? What does the passage have to say about this particular detail? What must be true that the author did not say? Reasoning Within the Text questions ask: What's the logical relationship between these two ideas from the passage? How well argued is the author's thesis? Reasoning Beyond the Text questions ask: How does this principle from the passage apply to this new situation? How does this new piece of information influence the arguments in the Each of the four sections of the MCAT is scored between 118 and 132, with the median at approximately 125. This means the total score ranges from 472 to 528, with the median at about 500. Why such peculiar numbers? The AAMC stresses that this scale emphasizes the importance of the central portion of the score distribution, where most students score (around 125 per section, or 500 total), rather than putting undue focus on the high end of the scale. Note that there is no wrong answer penalty on the MCAT, so you should select an answer for every question—even if it is only a guess. The AAMC has released the 2018–2020 correlation between scaled score and percentile, as shown on the following page. It should be noted that the percentile scale is adjusted and renormalized over time and thus can shift slightly from year to year. Percentile rank updates are released by the AAMC around May 1 of each year. Source: AAMC. 2021. Summary of MCAT Total and Section Scores. Accessed December 2021. Further information on score reporting is included at the end of the next section (see After Your Test). MCAT Policies and Procedures We strongly encourage you to download the latest copy of MCAT® Essentials, available on the AAMC's website, to ensure that you have the latest information about registration and Test Day policies and procedures; this document is updated annually. A brief summary of some of the most important rules is provided here. The only way to register for the MCAT is online. You can access AAMC's

registration system at www.aamc.org/mcat. You will be able to access the site approximately six months before Test Day. The AAMC designates three registration “Zones”—Gold, Silver, and Bronze. Registering during the Gold Zone (from the opening of registration until approximately one month before Test Day) provides the most flexibility and lowest test fees. The Silver Zone runs until approximately two to three weeks before Test Day and has less flexibility and higher fees; the Bronze Zone runs until approximately one to two weeks before Test Day and has the least flexibility and Fees and the Fee Assistance Program (FAP) Payment for test registration must be made by MasterCard or VISA. As described earlier, the fees for registering for the MCAT—as well as rescheduling the exam or changing your testing center—increase as one approaches Test Day. In addition, it is not uncommon for test centers to fill up well in advance of the registration deadline. For these reasons, we recommend identifying your preferred Test Day as soon as possible and registering. There are ancillary benefits to having a set Test Day, as well: when you know the date you’re working toward, you’ll study harder and are less likely to keep pushing back the exam. The AAMC offers a Fee Assistance Program (FAP) for students with financial hardship to help reduce the cost of taking the MCAT, as well as for the American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS®) application. Further information on the FAP can be found at www.aamc.org/fap. On Test Day, you will be required to present a qualifying form of ID. Generally, a current driver’s license or United States passport will be sufficient (consult the AAMC website for the full list of qualifying criteria). When registering, take care to spell your first and last names (middle names, suffixes, and prefixes are not required and will not be verified on Test Day) precisely the same as they appear on this ID; failure to provide this ID at the test center or differences in spelling between your registration and ID will be considered a “no-show,” and you will not receive a refund for the exam. During Test Day registration, other identity data collected may include: a digital palm vein scan, a Test Day photo, a digitization of your valid ID, and signatures. Some testing centers may use a metal detection wand to ensure that no prohibited items are brought into the testing room. Prohibited items include all electronic devices, including

watches and timers, calculators, cell phones, and any and all forms of recording equipment; food, drinks (including water), and cigarettes or other smoking paraphernalia; hats and scarves (except for religious purposes); and books, notes, or other study materials. If you require a medical device, such as an insulin pump or pacemaker, you must apply for accommodated testing. During breaks, you are allowed access to food and drink, but not to electronic devices, including cell phones. Testing centers are under video surveillance and the AAMC does not take potential violations of testing security lightly. The bottom line: know the rules and don't break them. Students with disabilities or medical conditions can apply for accommodated testing. Documentation of the disability or condition is required, and requests may take two months—or more—to be approved. For this reason, it is recommended that you begin the process of applying for accommodated testing as early as possible. More information on applying for accommodated testing can be found at www.aamc.org/students/applying/mcat/accommodations.

After Your Test When your MCAT is all over, no matter how you feel you did, be good to yourself when you leave the test center. Celebrate! Take a nap. Watch a movie. Get some exercise. Plan a trip or outing. Call up all of your neglected friends or message them on social media. Go out for snacks or drinks with people you like. Whatever you do, make sure that it has absolutely nothing to do with thinking too hard—you deserve some rest and relaxation. Perhaps most importantly, do not discuss specific details about the test with anyone. For one, it is important to let go of the stress of Test Day, and reliving your exam only inhibits you from being able to do so. But more significantly, the Examinee Agreement you sign at the beginning of your exam specifically prohibits you from discussing or disclosing exam content. The AAMC is known to seek out individuals who violate this agreement and retains the right to prosecute these individuals at their discretion. This means that you should not, under any circumstances, discuss the exam in person or over the phone with other individuals—including us at Kaplan—or post information or questions about exam content to Facebook, Student Doctor Network, or other online social media. You are permitted to comment on your “general exam experience,” including how you

felt about the exam overall or an individual section, but this is a fine line. In summary: if you're not certain whether you can discuss an aspect of the test or not, just don't do it! Do not let a silly Facebook post stop you from becoming the doctor you deserve to be. Scores are typically released approximately one month after Test Day. The release is staggered during the afternoon and evening, ending at 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. This means that not all examinees receive their scores at exactly the same time. Your score report will include a scaled score for each section between 118 and 132, as well as your total combined score between 472 and 528. These scores are given as confidence intervals. For each section, the confidence interval is approximately the given score ± 1 ; for the total score, it is approximately the given score ± 2 . You will also be given the corresponding percentile rank for each of these section scores and the total score. AAMC Contact Information For further questions, contact the MCAT team at the Association of American Medical Colleges.

The Kaplan MCAT Review project began shortly after the release of the Preview Guide for the MCAT 2015 Exam, 2nd edition. Through thorough analysis by our staff psychometricians, we were able to analyze the relative yield of the different topics on the MCAT, and we began constructing tables of contents for the books of the Kaplan MCAT Review series. A dedicated staff of 30 writers, 7 editors, and 32 proofreaders worked over 5,000 combined hours to produce these books. The format of the books was heavily influenced by weekly meetings with Kaplan's learning-science team. In the years since this book was created, a number of opportunities for expansion and improvement have occurred. The current edition represents the culmination of the wisdom accumulated during that time frame, and it also includes several new features designed to improve the reading and learning experience in these texts. These books were submitted for publication in April 2022. For any updates after this date, please visit www.kaptest.com/pages/retail-book. If you have any questions about the content presented here, email KaplanMCATfeedback@kaplan.com. For other questions not related to content, email booksupport@kaplan.com. Each book has been vetted through at least ten rounds of review. To that end, the information presented in these books is true

and accurate to the best of our knowledge. Still, your feedback helps us improve our prep materials. Please notify us of any inaccuracies or errors in the books by sending an email to KaplanMCATfeedback@kaplan.com. Kaplan MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review, and the other six books in the Kaplan MCAT Review series, bring the Kaplan classroom experience to you—right in your home, at your convenience. This book offers the same Kaplan strategies and practice that make Kaplan the #1 choice for MCAT prep. This book is designed to help you review the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills section of the MCAT. Unlike other books in this MCAT series, there is no content to review for the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills section. The questions are written in such a way that they do not presume any prior fund of knowledge. In other words, all the support that is needed to answer the questions correctly is found in the corresponding pages. At the beginning of each chapter, you'll find a short list of objectives describing the skills covered within that chapter. Learning objectives for these texts were developed in conjunction with Kaplan's learning science team. They have been designed specifically to focus your attention on tasks and concepts that are likely to be relevant to your MCAT testing experience. These learning objectives will function as a means to guide your review of the chapter and indicate what information and relationships you should be focused on within each chapter. Before starting each chapter, read these learning objectives carefully. They will not only allow you to assess your existing familiarity with the content of the chapter, but also provide a goal-oriented focus for your studying experience. The following is a guide to the five types of sidebars you'll find in Kaplan MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review: Bridge: These sidebars create connections between science topics that appear in multiple chapters throughout the Kaplan MCAT Review Key Concept: These sidebars draw attention to the most important takeaways in a given topic, and they sometimes offer synopses or overviews of complex information. If you understand nothing else, make sure you grasp the Key Concepts for any given subject. MCAT Expertise: These sidebars point out how information may be tested on the MCAT or offer key strategy points and test-taking tips that you should apply on Test Day. Mnemonic: These sidebars present memory devices to help

recall Real World: ese sidebars illustrate how a concept in the text relates to the practice of medicine or the world at large. While this is not information you need to know for Test Day, many of the topics in Real World sidebars are excellent examples of how a concept may appear in a passage or discrete (stand-alone) question on the MCAT. In the end, this is your book, so write in the margins, draw diagrams, highlight the key points—do whatever is necessary to help you get that higher score. We look forward to working with you as you achieve your dreams and become the doctor you deserve to be! In addition to the resources located within this text, you also have additional online resources awaiting you at www.kaptest.com/booksonline. Make sure to log on and take advantage of free practice and access other resources! Please note that access to the online resources is limited to the original owner of this book.

e first year of medical school is a frenzied experience for most students. To meet the requirements of a rigorous work schedule, students either learn to prioritize their time or else fall hopelessly behind. It's no surprise, then, that the MCAT, the test specifically designed to predict success in medical school, is a high-speed, time-intensive test. e MCAT demands excellent time-management skills, endurance, and grace under pressure both during the test as well as while preparing for it. Having a solid plan of attack and sticking with it are key to giving you the confidence and structure you need.

Creating a Study Plan

e best time to create a study plan is at the beginning of your MCAT preparation. If you don't already use a calendar, you will want to start. You can purchase a planner, print out a free calendar from the Internet, use a built-in calendar or app on one of your smart devices, or keep track using an interactive online calendar. Pick the option that is most practical for you and that you are most likely to use consistently. Once you have a calendar, you'll be able to start planning your study schedule with the following steps:

1. Fill in your obligations and choose a day off. Write in all your school, extracurricular, and work obligations first: class sessions, work shis, and meetings that you must attend. en add in your personal obligations: appointments, lunch dates, family and social time, etc. Making an appointment in your calendar for hanging out with friends or going to the movies may seem strange at first,

but planning social activities in advance will help you achieve a balance between personal and professional obligations even as life gets busy. Having a happy balance allows you to be more focused and productive when it comes time to study, so stay well-rounded and don't neglect anything that is important to you. In addition to scheduling your personal and professional obligations, you should also plan your time off. Taking some time off is just as important as studying. Kaplan recommends taking at least one full day off per week, ideally from all your study obligations but at minimum from studying for the MCAT.

2. Add in study blocks around your obligations. Once you have established your calendar's framework, add in study blocks around your obligations, keeping your study schedule as consistent as possible across days and across weeks. Studying at the same time of day as your official test is ideal for promoting recall, but if that's not possible, then fit in study blocks wherever you can. To make your studying as efficient as possible, block out short, frequent periods of study time throughout the week. From a learning perspective, studying one hour per day for six days per week is much more valuable than studying for six hours all at once one day per week. Specifically, Kaplan recommends studying for no longer than three hours in one sitting. Within those three-hour blocks, also plan to take ten-minute breaks every hour. Use these breaks to get up from your seat, do some quick stretches, get a snack and drink, and clear your mind. Although ten minutes of break for every 50 minutes of studying may sound like a lot, these breaks will allow you to deal with distractions and rest your brain so that, during the 50-minute study blocks, you can remain fully engaged and completely focused.

3. Add in your full-length practice tests. Next, you'll want to add in full-length practice tests. You'll want to take one test very early in your prep and then spread your remaining full-length practice tests evenly between now and your test date. Staggering tests in this way allows you to form a baseline for comparison and to determine which areas to focus on right away, while also providing realistic feedback throughout your prep as to how you will perform on When planning your calendar, aim to finish your full-length practice tests and the majority of your studying by one week before Test Day, which will allow you to spend that final week completing a final review of

what you already know. In your online resources, you'll find sample study calendars for several different Test Day timelines to use as a starting point. The sample calendars may include more focus than you need in some areas, and less in others, and it may not fit your timeline to Test Day. You will need to customize your study calendar to your needs using the steps above. The total amount of time you spend studying each week will depend on your schedule, your personal prep needs, and your time to Test Day, but it is recommended that you spend somewhere in the range of 300–350 hours preparing before taking the official MCAT. One way you could break this down is to study for three hours per day, six days per week, for four months, but this is just one approach. You might study six days per week for more than three hours per day. You might study over a longer period of time if you don't have much time to study each week. No matter what your plan is, ensure you complete enough practice to feel completely comfortable with the MCAT and its content. A good sign you're ready for Test Day is when you begin to earn your goal score consistently in practice.

How to Study the MCAT covers a large amount of material and studying for Test Day can initially seem daunting. To combat this, we have some tips for how to take control of your studying and make the most of your time. To take control of the amount of content and practice required to do well on the MCAT, break the content down into specific goals for each week instead of attempting to approach the test as a whole. A goal of "I want to increase my overall score by 5 points" is too big, abstract, and difficult to measure on the small scale. More reasonable goals are "I will read two chapters each day this week." Goals like this are much less overwhelming and help break studying into manageable pieces. As you go through this book, much of the information will be familiar to you. After all, you have probably seen most of the content before. However, be very careful: Familiarity with a subject does not necessarily translate to knowledge or mastery of that subject. Do not assume that if you recognize a concept you actually know it and can apply it quickly at an appropriate level. Don't just passively read this book. Instead, read actively: Use the free margin space to jot down important ideas, draw diagrams, and make charts as you read. Highlighting can be an excellent tool, but use it

sparingly: highlighting every sentence isn't active reading, it's coloring. Frequently stop and ask yourself questions while you read (e.g., What is the main point? How does this fit into the overall scheme of things? Could I thoroughly explain this to someone else?). By making connections and focusing on the grander scheme, not only will you ensure you know the essential content, but you also prepare yourself for the level of critical thinking required by the MCAT.

Focus on Areas of Greatest Opportunity If you are limited by only having a minimal amount of time to prepare before Test Day, focus on your biggest areas of opportunity first. Areas of opportunity are topic areas that are highly tested and that you have not yet mastered. You likely won't have time to take detailed notes for every page of these books; instead, use your results from practice materials to determine which areas are your biggest opportunities and seek those out. After you've taken a full-length test, make sure you are using your performance report to best identify areas of opportunity. Skim over content matter for which you are already demonstrating proficiency, pausing to read more thoroughly when something looks unfamiliar or particularly difficult. Consider starting with the Practice Questions at the end of each chapter. If you can get all of those questions correct within a reasonable amount of time, you may be able to quickly skim through that chapter, but if the questions prove to be more difficult, then you may need to spend time reading the chapter or certain subsections of the chapter more thoroughly.

Practice, Review, and Tracking Leave time to review your practice questions and full-length tests. You may be tempted, after practicing, to push ahead and cover new material as quickly as possible, but failing to schedule ample time for review will actually throw away your greatest opportunity to improve your performance. The brain rarely remembers anything it sees or does only once. When you carefully review the questions you've solved (and the explanations for them), the process of retrieving that information reopens and reinforces the connections you've built in your brain. This builds long-term retention and repeatable skill sets—exactly what you need to beat the MCAT. While reviewing, take notes about the specific reasons why you missed questions you got wrong or had to guess on, perhaps by using a spreadsheet like the one below. Keep adding to

the same Why I Missed It Sheet (WIMIS) as you complete more practice questions, and periodically review your WIMIS to identify any patterns you see, such as consistently missing questions in certain content areas or falling for the same testmaker traps. As you move through your MCAT prep, adjust your study plan based on your available study time and the results of your review. Your strengths and weaknesses are likely to change over the course of your prep. Keep addressing the areas that are most important to your score, shifting your focus as those areas change. For more help with reviewing and making the most of your full-length tests, including a Why I Missed It Sheet template, make sure to check out the videos and resources in your online syllabus.

Why I missed it Confused electron absorption and Need to memorize equation Didn't read "not" in answer choice; Out of Scope Forgot to research answer Where to Study One often-overlooked aspect of studying is the environment where the learning actually occurs. Although studying at home is many students' first choice, several problems can arise in this environment, chief of which are distractions. Studying can be a mentally draining process, so as time passes, these distractions become ever more tempting as escape routes. Although you may have considerable willpower, there's no reason to make staying focused harder than it needs to be. Instead of studying at home, head to a library, quiet coffee shop, or another new location whenever possible. This will eliminate many of the usual distractions and also promote efficient studying; instead of studying off and on at home over the course of an entire day, you can stay at the library for three hours of effective studying and enjoy the rest of the day off from the MCAT. No matter where you study, make your practice as much like Test Day as possible. Just as is required during the official test, don't have snacks or chew gum during your study blocks. Turn off your music, television, and phone. Practice on the computer with your online resources to simulate the computer-based test environment. When completing practice questions, do your work on scratch paper or noteboard sheets rather than writing directly on any printed materials since you won't have that option on Test Day. Because memory is tied to all of your senses, the more test-like you can make your studying environment, the easier it will be on Test Day to recall the information

you're putting in so much work to learn. In This Chapter 1.1 The CARS Section 1.3 Question Categories Foundations of Comprehension Reasoning Within the Text Reasoning Beyond the Text Concept and Strategy Summary After Chapter 1, you will be able to: Recite the major structural features of the CARS section of the MCAT Recall the two major passage topic categories Explain the major differences between Foundations of Comprehension, Reasoning Within the Text, and Reasoning Beyond the Text question categories Congratulations! You are about to embark upon an exciting journey down the path to medical school to achieve your goal of becoming a doctor. As you might expect, this particular journey will require thorough preparation. Fortunately, you don't have to prepare on your own: we are here to help! As a pre-medical student, you have already seen at least some of the wide variety of science topics that will be tested in the three science sections of the exam. In contrast, the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section will present you with a variety of passages from myriad disciplines to which you may have never been exposed. You could see a musicological analysis of Johannes Brahms's 1868 masterpiece *Ein Deutsches Requiem*, a philosophical diatribe criticizing Immanuel Kant's *Metaphysics*, or a dissection of the political underpinnings of the development of the US Medicare system. For the CARS section, you will be expected to read, understand, and apply the knowledge you gain from these passages. Students often feel ill-equipped for the CARS section of the test, but Kaplan is here to help! This book will help you understand what is expected of you in CARS and will teach you the Kaplan strategies that have paved the way for many thousands of students to become the doctors they deserve to be. In this chapter, we will go over the structure of the CARS section of the MCAT, as well as the diverse disciplines encountered in CARS passages. We'll provide a brief overview of the question categories identified by the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC). Finally, we'll discuss how to use this book and how it can guide you in preparing for your MCAT and the journey beyond. The journey to becoming a physician may be long, but it is extremely rewarding. Someday in the future, you'll find yourself putting on your white coat and changing patients' lives, and having the right plan for success is what will make that future possible. 1.1

The CARS Section In some ways, the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section of the MCAT will be nothing new to you; it is similar to many of the standardized tests you may have taken throughout your academic career, presenting you with passages to read and multiple-choice questions to gauge your understanding. In 90 minutes, you will be presented with 9 passages, each of which will be followed by approximately 5 to 7 questions, for a total of 53 questions. The passages you encounter will be relatively short (but lengthier than the science passages on the test), typically ranging from 500 to 600 words. Unlike reading comprehension sections you have come across previously, such as those in the SAT® or ACT®, the CARS section of the MCAT has been designed to assess analytical and reasoning skills that are required in medical school. The passages you will face in CARS will be multifaceted, incorporating advanced vocabulary, presenting varied writing styles, and requiring higher-level thought. To answer the accompanying questions, you will have to go beyond merely comprehending the content of a CARS passage: you will need to analyze its rhetorical and logical structure and assess how it impacts (or is impacted by) outside information. The types of passages chosen for CARS consist of multiple paragraphs that require active, critical reading to answer the questions that follow. The passages included in the section are from an array of disciplines in the social sciences and humanities, as listed in Table 1.1. Approximately half of the passages (and questions) that you encounter on Test Day will fall in the realm of the humanities, while the other half will be in the social sciences. All of the passages that appear in CARS are selected from books, journals, and other publications similar to those you have come across in academic Table 1.1 Humanities and Social Sciences Disciplines in the CARS Studies of Diverse Cultures* Studies of Diverse Cultures* * Note: Studies of Diverse Cultures can be tested in both humanities and social sciences For students who have exclusively focused on the sciences, information for the fields used in the CARS section may be presented in a strikingly different way that can sometimes seem overwhelming. This book will review the writing styles used for the passages in CARS and explain how to read these passages with purpose, which will ultimately make them much less intimidating and significantly more

manageable. 1.3 Question Categories e AAMC has identified three categories of questions in CARS that will assess your critical thinking skills: Foundations of Comprehension, Reasoning Within the Text, and Reasoning Beyond the Text. Foundations of Comprehension ese questions tend to be straightforward. ey will ask about the main idea of a passage, specific details from within the passage, inferences that can be drawn from the passage, or the likely meaning of a word or phrase based on context. ese questions are the most similar to those you have seen in previous standardized tests because they ask only for reading comprehension (understanding what you have read). Questions in Foundations of Comprehension will make up approximately 30 percent of the questions in CARS, or about 16 questions. In Chapter 9 of MCAT CARS Review, we will further dissect the four question types within Foundations of Comprehension: Reasoning Within the Text Reasoning Within the Text questions require greater thought than Foundations of Comprehension questions because they will ask you to identify the purpose of a particular piece of information in the context of the passage, or ask how one piece of information relates to another (as a piece of evidence that supports a conclusion, for example). Questions in Reasoning Within the Text will also make up approximately 30 percent of the questions in CARS, or 16 questions. In Chapter 10 of MCAT CARS Review, we will further dissect the two main question types within Reasoning Within the Text and a few other, rare questions that fit into this category: Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) Reasoning Beyond the Text Reasoning Beyond the Text questions focus on two specific skills: the capacity to extrapolate information from the passage and place it within new contexts, and the ability to ascertain how new information would relate to and affect the concepts in the passage. Questions in Reasoning Beyond the Text will make up approximately 40 percent of the questions in CARS, or 21 In Chapter 11 of MCAT CARS Review, we will further dissect the two main question types within Reasoning Beyond the Text and a few other, rare questions that fit into this category: Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) is chapter is only the beginning. Now that we have covered the structure of the CARS section, we will dive into the tools and strategies that will help you score points on Test Day. In Chapter

2, we will begin with a thorough explanation of the tools (both physical and within the testing interface) that will be available to you on Test Day. In Chapter 3, we begin with an examination of rhetoric and its application in the CARS section, then move into analysis of arguments. Chapter 4 explains the Kaplan CARS Passage Strategy, with guidance and tips on previewing CARS passages. Chapter 5 continues the strategy with a discussion of the use of keywords to extract valuable information as you read the passage. Chapter 6 describes the three ways in which you can choose to Distill information from the passage in order to best tackle questions. Chapter 7 integrates the contents of Chapter 8 introduces the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, and this method is then applied in the three following chapters to each of the different AAMC categories. Finally, we end with a look at how to review your practice tests to find your personal test-taking pathologies and keep improving that score.

Concept and Strategy Summary

The CARS Section e Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section lasts 90 minutes and contains 53 questions, divided among 9 passages. Passages range from 500 to 600 words. Each passage has approximately 5 to 7 questions. CARS requires going beyond merely comprehending the content: you must analyze a passage's rhetorical and logical structure, and even be able to assess relationships between information given in the passage and new outside information. Half of the passages will be in the humanities (architecture, art, dance, ethics, literature, music, philosophy, popular culture, religion, studies of diverse cultures, and theater). Half of the passages will be in the social sciences (anthropology, archaeology, economics, education, geography, history, linguistics, political science, population health, psychology, sociology, and studies of diverse cultures).

Foundations of Comprehension questions ask about the main idea of a passage, specific details from within the passage, inferences that must be true based on the passage content, or the likely meaning of a word or phrase based on context. **Reasoning Within the Text** questions ask you to identify the function of a particular piece of information within the context of the passage or ask how one piece of information relates to another (as a piece of evidence that supports a conclusion, for example). **Reasoning Beyond the Text** questions ask

you to extrapolate information from the passage and place it within a new context or to ascertain how new information would relate to and affect the concepts in the passage. 1

Adapted from AAMC, *The Official Guide to the MCAT 2015 Exam* (Washington, D.C.: Association of American Medical Colleges, 2014), 311–322.

Using Your Test Day Tools

Using Your Test Day Tools In This Chapter

2.1 Test Day Tools

2.2 Navigation Tools

Section Review Tool

Timer and Question Progress

2.3 Interface Tools

The Periodic Table

Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 2, you will be able to:

- Describe the computer-based nature of the MCAT and the writing tools available on Test Day
- Apply Test Day tools to expertly navigate and review an MCAT section
- Leverage Test Day tools while approaching MCAT passages and questions

“10 blade,” “forceps,” “suction”—if you’ve ever had the opportunity to observe a surgery or just marathoned your favorite medical drama, you’ve likely heard these tools called for by a surgeon or an actor playing a surgeon. Even if Hollywood’s portrayal of these events can be exaggerated, the truth remains that the tools of a surgeon are vital for a successful surgery. To this end, surgeons must not only be experts in their anatomical and procedural knowledge, but also experts on the tools at their disposal and their capabilities. In this way, the MCAT is much like surgery. Not only does the MCAT require science knowledge and critical reasoning, but also a thorough understanding of the tools provided on Test Day. In this chapter, we will explain the tools available to you on Test Day and explore best practices for their usage.

2.1 Test Day Tools

The MCAT has been administered as a computer-based test (CBT) since 2007. In the years since, many adjustments have been made to the CBT interface, with the latest changes (as of the writing of this textbook) occurring in January 2018. For many students beginning their MCAT studies, learning that the MCAT is administered via computer can come with feelings of uncertainty or even anxiety. But there is good news: like all aspects of the MCAT, once the nature of the challenge is understood it can be prepared for and ultimately leveraged in your favor. In fact, compared to a standardized pencil-and-paper test, the tools offered on the CBT MCAT will allow your Test Day experience to go far more smoothly! All that’s needed on your end is a little knowledge and some practice with the testing interface. Before jumping into the

specifics, let's discuss a few key factors to keep in mind. Inputting commands on the MCAT can be done two ways. One input method is via on-screen buttons using the mouse, and the second is via keyboard-based commands, also known as hotkeys Table 2.1. e MCAT aims to be as versatile as possible, so while all functions on Test Day can be accomplished with the mouse and on-screen buttons, those functions have associated hotkeys as well. As a general rule, the use of hotkeys is more efficient than on-screen controls, thus, it is recommended that test takers become comfortable with the hotkey system for the MCAT prior to Test Day. If you find yourself using a function only once or twice per section, then the keyboard shortcut may not be worth incorporating into your Test Day habits.

Alt + N	Advance to Next;
Alt + E	End Review of
Alt + P	Return to Previous
Alt + W	Return to Section Review
Alt + V	Alt + A Review All Questions
Alt + H	Alt + I
Alt + S	Alt + R
Alt + T	Open Periodic Table
Alt + Y	Alt + C Close Navigation/Close Periodic
Alt + O	Alt + F Flag for Review

Selecting an Answer e most important feature on Test Day is the ability to select an answer. is can be done in two ways. First, you can select an answer by clicking on the answer choice (either the letter or the answer). Alternatively, pressing the A, B, C, or D key on the keyboard selects the corresponding answer choice. Upon selecting an answer, the circle beside the answer choice will fill in. To deselect an answer choice, select the same answer choice again or select a different answer choice. Despite being a computer-based test, on Test Day you will have the opportunity to use a test-center provided noteboard booklet. e noteboard booklet will be 8" by 14" in size, contain 9 white laminated pages, and come with a black fine point marker. e pages themselves are made of wet-erase material preventing any written work from being accidentally erased. If additional scratch-work space is needed, raise your hand and the proctor will exchange your booklet for a clean one. Strategically, it is best to exchange your noteboard booklet during the breaks in order to avoid losing your previously done scratch work and valuable test time. e marker and noteboard booklet should be used just like a pen and paper booklet. Use of a similar noteboard booklet during practice, particularly a full-length simulation, will prevent any unforeseen frustrations on Test Day. As a general note, it is

good practice to keep your written work relatively neat and organized in case you find yourself needing to revisit a question. In later chapters, we will go into greater detail about the types of information that are most useful to write down when navigating MCAT passages and questions.

2.2 Navigation Tools

Compared to a standard pencil-and-paper exam, where you can glance at upcoming questions or even flip pages back and forth, the computer-based MCAT may seem like an unnecessary nuisance. In reality, the navigation tools available on the MCAT provide time-saving opportunities not possible with a standard pencil-and-paper exam. Let's discuss the tools that will allow you to expertly navigate each section of the MCAT.

Section Interface

The section interface is the main interface you will use on Test Day (Figure 2.1), displaying both a passage and one associated question. On Test Day you will use this interface both to analyze passages and to answer questions. The features of this interface allow efficient navigation between questions and passages as you work through the section.

Figure 2.1 Section Interface

To navigate the section, use the three on-screen buttons at the bottom right of the screen, or their associated hotkeys: Next (Alt + N) brings up the next question. Previous (Alt + P) brings up the previous question. Navigation (Alt + V) brings up the Navigator window. The Navigator window lists the questions, displays question status, and displays flagged status (Figure 2.2). Understanding how to use this tool is vital for the passage strategies discussed in later chapters!

Figure 2.2 Navigator Window

The table column lists question numbers and can be used to navigate to specific questions. To do so, left-click on the question number. The center column displays question status, which updates automatically as you progress through the test: Completed—the question has an answer selected. Incomplete—the question has been seen but no answer has been selected. Unseen—the question has not been seen. In the right column, the question's flagged status is shown. As you take the exam, you can flag a question to remind you to return to it at the end of the section. We'll expand upon this strategy later in the chapter. The Navigator window itself can be moved by clicking and dragging the top bar and resized by clicking and dragging the borders. To close the navigation window without jumping to a different question, click Close or press Alt + C. With the ability to jump to any question in

the section, the Navigator window can allow a savvy MCAT tester to skip difficult passages (saving them for last) and quickly return to them near the end of the section. To skip a passage, note the title of a passage, such as "Passage 1 (Questions 1–5)," then use the Navigator window to bring up the first question of the next passage (e.g., Question 6). Returning to any previously seen passage, including passages skipped due to their difficulty, requires a bit of foresight. Reserve a space in your noteboard booklet for passage navigation, a place where you can jot down each passage number and the number of the first question associated with each passage (e.g., "P6: Q31"). When you wish to return to a skipped passage, simply bring up the Navigator window and click on the first question of the passage (e.g., Question 31). We will discuss these strategies further in Chapter 4: Previewing the Passage.

Section Review Tool Transition from the general section interface (seen above) to the Section Review interface (Figure 2.3) occurs when the section is finished (more specifically, when the Next button is clicked on the last question of the section). The purpose of the Section Review interface is to allow the tester to return to any lingering questions and to ensure no questions remain unanswered. Toward this end, the Section Review provides three ways to review the section. Figure 2.3 Section Review 1. Review All (Alt + A)—brings up Question 1 and allows for navigation to every question with the next/previous buttons. 2. Review Incomplete (Alt + I)—allows for review of incomplete questions only. The next and previous button only navigate to incomplete questions, skipping completed questions. 3. Review Flagged (Alt + R)—allows for review of flagged questions only. The next/previous buttons will only navigate to flagged questions, skipping non- Similar to the Navigator window, Section Review allows you to review individual questions by clicking the question number on the screen. When viewing questions through the Section Review interface, the Navigation button is replaced with the Review Section button (Alt + V), which returns to the Section Review screen. Most testers will have limited time remaining when they enter the Section Review interface, so it's important to use its functions strategically. We recommend using the Review Incomplete function to ensure no questions remain unanswered and then Review Flagged to return to those questions you've

marked for further review. To end the section, click the End Review (Alt + E) button in the bottom right of the screen. Timer and Question Progress e time remaining and question progress are displayed at the top right of all interfaces within a section of the MCAT. Although these items can be hidden by le-clicking on them, it is highly recommended to keep both visible—they are important tools for managing your section pacing, as discussed in Chapter 4: Previewing the Passage.

2.3 Interface Tools

In addition to the tools available to navigate throughout the sections of the MCAT, the testmaker has made several other tools available via the CBT interface (Figure 2.4). Just as it takes practice to become comfortable adapting from reading books and articles on paper to reading text on a screen, so too do these interface tools require a bit of practice. But with a little dedication, using these tools will become second nature by Test Day. When you master these tools, you can efficiently highlight text, strike out text, flag questions, and call up the periodic table in the Figure 2.4 Interface Tools

Much like its everyday counterpart, the highlight function highlights text in yellow. Strategically, highlighting text should stress the text's importance. To

1. Ensure the Highlight option is selected in the top le corner, indicated by a yellow box (this is the default setting).
2. Use the cursor to le-click and hold while dragging over the desired text.
3. Press Alt + H or alternatively le-click the Highlight button in the top le of MCAT

highlighting also allows a feature that ordinary highlighting does not: erasing. Unfortunately, this process is somewhat cumbersome. To remove highlighting from text:

1. Select the Remove Highlight option by le-clicking the box beside the word "Highlight" in the top le corner. Alternatively, pressing Alt + H (with no text selected), then pressing the down arrow key twice, and then the space bar will also select the remove highlight option.
2. Le-click and hold while dragging over the highlighted text using the cursor.
3. Press Alt + H or le-click the Highlight button, ensuring that the remove highlight option is selected.

When highlighting, we highly recommend using the hotkey, Alt + H, rather than clicking the highlight button. In addition, due to the cumbersome nature of switching between the highlighting and remove highlighting modes, use the highlight tool carefully to avoid ever needing to use the remove highlight function.

And if you do highlight something you didn't intend to, consider just leaving it on screen unless you're sure it would distract you.

Highlighting in Passages

If you choose to highlight within the passage, we recommend that you do so sparingly to avoid creating more work for yourself when referring back to the passage later. In general, "less is more" when it comes to highlighting. In the sciences, it can be useful to highlight key terms or given values within passages. We will discuss highlighting within CARS in greater detail in later chapters.

Highlighting in Questions

Highlighting in question stems should be used as needed. To clarify, many students will find highlighting question stems unnecessary, while others may find highlighting helps them avoid careless mistakes. For example, if you find yourself often overlooking phrases such as "is not to be expected" in question stems, spending a moment highlighting the phrase can help ensure it stays in your mind while you are answering the question. Highlighting in the answer choices, although possible, is not recommended.

Strikethrough

The strikethrough function crosses out selected text, as seen here. Typically, this is used to indicate that the crossed out text is not important.

To strikethrough text:

1. Left-click and hold while dragging over the desired text using the cursor.
2. Press Alt + S or alternatively left-click the Strikethrough button in the top left of the screen.

To remove strikethrough from text,

click and drag to select only the strikethrough text, then press Alt + S. If non-strikethrough text is accidentally selected along with the strikethrough text, then the Alt + S function will strikethrough all.

Strikethrough in Passages

Generally speaking, a limited use of the Strikethrough tool during passage reading is best. Although it is no secret that each passage on the MCAT has its own amount of "fluff" (irrelevant) text, the Strikethrough tool should be used sparingly, since it's often more efficient to simply read past the fluff than to stop and strikethrough the text. It can be difficult to determine when text is actually irrelevant in CARS passages, so we recommend using the Strikethrough tool more on passages in the science sections (where it is easier to determine when material won't be targeted by questions) than in CARS.

Strikethrough in Questions

Like highlighting, the Strikethrough tool should be used as needed in questions. Most testers find little value using the Strikethrough tool in the question

stem, but find it useful to eliminate answer choices. The key here is to keep use of the tool purpose driven; do not strikethrough answer choices simply because they are wrong, as these extra seconds add up over a section! Rather, aim to use the Strike-through tool in a way that reduces your chance of error. For instance, let's say you begin working on a question, then ultimately choose to triage it. Before moving on to the next question, first use Strikethrough on any choices you managed to eliminate. When you return to the triaged question later, those eliminated choices will remain crossed out, even if you don't remember why! The Flag (Alt + F) function allows testers to flag a specific question, usually to remind them to return to it at the end of the section. Flagged questions can be seen at a glance in the Navigator window and the Section Review screen. To flag a specific question, click the flag icon at the top right corner or use the hotkey Alt + F. Once flagged, the flag icon will turn yellow. In order to make the most of this function, it's important to use it sparingly. Remember, the MCAT is a timed test: if there is extra time at the end of a section, it is best spent reviewing questions you could feasibly answer. In other words, do not flag every difficult question or every question that you guess on; rather, flag only those questions that you know you could answer correctly if you just devoted some extra time to them. It is worth pointing out that the Flag function loses much of its usefulness in the CARS section. CARS questions are completely passage based and therefore best answered shortly after analyzing the passage. Thus, you should aim to answer every question in a CARS passage before moving on to the next one, and save the Flag function for the science sections. The Periodic Table The periodic table of elements is made available in both the Chem/Phys and Bio/Biochem sections of the MCAT and provides for each element its symbol, atomic number, and atomic weight. To bring up the periodic table, click the Periodic Table (Alt + T) button at the bottom left. This will bring up a centered window displaying the periodic table (Figure 2.5). Similar to the Navigator window discussed previously, the Periodic Table window can be manipulated by clicking and dragging the top bar and window borders. To close the periodic table click Close (Alt + C). Figure 2.5 Periodic Table Strategically, the periodic table should be used only when needed. Keep in mind that the

periodic table always displays the same information and that bringing it up obscures the view of the question and passage. us, you should use the periodic table only when seeking a specific piece of information, e.g., an element's group or an element's atomic weight. In other words, do not bring up the periodic table simply to check if there is anything useful for a particular question. Instead, when opening up the periodic table, you should know exactly what you are Well done! You've taken your first step toward mastering the computerized tools, that allow for smooth navigation of the interface on Test Day. Now you're ready to practice with them! If you're learning how to swim, it's not enough to read a book about different swim strokes; you're better off finding a shallow body of water where you can practice those strokes safely. So too with these CBT tools: to truly master them, practice using them whenever you use a test-like MCAT interface. During your practice, you will likely find some tools are more useful to you than others, and you might even find yourself disregarding some of the recommendations in this chapter. is is perfectly acceptable: the tools are yours to make use of (or not) based on your preferences. e key is to ask yourself, before your test-taking habits become too entrenched, "Is my usage of the tools helping or hurting?" As long as it helps you, you're using the tools in the right way

Concept and Strategy Summary

Test Day Tools

e MCAT

is a computer-based test (CBT) with unique tools to master. Interacting with the CBT MCAT can be done via on-screen buttons or keyboard shortcuts, listed in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Keyboard Shortcuts	
Alt + N	Advance to Next; Answer No
Alt + E	End Review of
Alt + P	Return to Previous
Alt + W	Return to Section
Alt + V	Alt + A Review All Questions
Alt + H	Alt + I
Alt + S	Alt + R
Alt + T	Alt + O
Alt + Y	Alt + C
Alt + F	Flag for Review

On Test Day, you will be provided with a 9-page noteboard booklet and fine-point marker to use for scratchwork. If you need additional space, you may exchange your booklet for a clean one with the test administrator. Section Interface displays the passage and associated questions one at a time. Use the buttons listed below to navigate the section. Next brings up next question. Previous brings up previous question. Navigation brings up the Navigator window, which displays the status of all questions at a glance and allows the tester to jump to any specific Use the

Navigator window like an expert. Jot down in your notebook booklet the passage number and first question number for each passage (e.g., "P6: Q31"). When you wish to return to a skipped passage, simply bring up the Navigator window and click on the first question of the passage (e.g., e Section Review interface shares most of the functions of the Navigator interface, but allows for targeted review of questions, including: Review Incomplete allows for review of incomplete questions only. Review Flagged allows for review of flagged questions only. Use the Review Incomplete function first, then the Review Flagged before ending your section (Alt + E). Timer and Question Progression functions are located at the top right of the screen and should be kept visible to assist in your pacing strategy. Highlight keywords or phrases, as needed. Use the cursor to select text and the keyboard shortcut, Alt + H, to Reserve highlighting for important terms or phrases, while avoiding the need to remove highlighting. Highlight key phrases in question stems that have been missed on e less text that is highlighted, the more effective it is. Strikethrough irrelevant text, as needed. Use the cursor to select text and then the keyboard shortcut, Alt + S, to Strikethrough should be used for wrong answer choices, in particular when a question may be returned to later. Strikethrough with purpose! Do not simply strikethrough wrong answers because they are wrong; this will use too much time. Flag questions that you want to return to at the end of the section. Be conservative in your question flagging because there will be limited time at the end of the section. You can navigate through only flagged items from the Section Review e periodic table is available in the Chem/Phys and Bio/Biochem sections. e periodic table contains an element symbol, atomic number, and atomic weight for each element. When using the periodic table, you should know what you are looking for. Do not use the periodic table for inspiration.

Rhetoric and Arguments Rhetoric and Arguments In This Chapter 3.1 Key Components of Rhetoric Author and Tone Audience and Genre 3.2 Key Components of Arguments Strengthening and Weakening 3.3 Analyzing Passages with Rhetoric and Arguments Using Rhetoric to Analyze Passages Using Arguments to Analyze Passages Concept and Strategy Summary After Chapter 3, you will be able to: Describe the key components of

rhetoric and their impact on passage reading on Test Day Use rhetorical and argument analysis to understand the central ideas of CARS By now you've learned about the CARS section in outline and about the CBT tools at your disposal on Test Day, and perhaps you've even attempted a couple CARS passages yourself. During all this, you've seen that CARS passages can explore almost any topic, which may have led to the question, "How can the CARS section be standardized if each passage discusses something unique? Isn't that the opposite of standardized?" Well, not quite. The standardization of the CARS section is achieved not through a shared set of content topics, but rather by means of how the passages are written (as well as through the common types of questions accompanying each passage). Thus, rather than trying to familiarize yourself with all the topics that could show up in CARS passages on Test Day, your aim should be to recognize the common patterns authors use to construct their passages. By Test Day, whether you see passages discussing the workings of ancient Greek currency, the appeal of a mystery novel, or even the ramifications of an obscure philosophical theory, you will be comfortable analyzing these passages by identifying their basic rhetorical and argumentative patterns. Toward that end, this chapter will focus on two nearly universal aspects of CARS passages, rhetoric and arguments, with the ultimate goal of introducing you to their most common (and most testable) patterns. A strong command of rhetoric and argumentation will allow you to navigate any passage on Test Day.

3.1 Key Components of Rhetoric

It is safe to assume that the authors of CARS passages are competent writers. In fact, we could even go so far as to say they are effective writers. Therefore, it makes sense to begin our discussion of how CARS authors construct their passages by examining rhetoric, the art of effective communication. The theory of rhetoric is a wide-ranging topic that can literally fill a university-level writing course but, fortunately, only a couple of key components of rhetoric are necessary to gain proficiency in CARS. Most of us are familiar with the device known as a rhetorical question. Although it ends in a question mark, a rhetorical question tends to have only one plausible and obvious answer. What makes it rhetorically

effective is that it forces readers to reach the conclusion themselves, so that readers are more convinced of the intended conclusion than if the author had simply stated it. However, effective use of rhetoric is much more nuanced than simply asking questions that aren't really questions. Considered broadly, rhetoric is the art of effective communication, both in speech and in text. Because the MCAT is a written exam (as opposed to oral), we will discuss only the textual side of rhetoric throughout this chapter. While language may serve many purposes, the study of rhetoric tends to focus on persuasion—the attempt to influence others to adopt particular beliefs or to engage in certain behaviors. Rhetorical analysis, then, is an examination of speech or writing that goes beyond what the author is saying (the content) to consider how and why the author is saying it. Discussion of the art of rhetoric often begins by describing the rhetorical situation: an interplay between author, audience, message, purpose, genre, exigence, and context. However, discussion of the rhetorical situation as a whole is not needed for the MCAT. Many other standardized tests focus predominantly on understanding the details of what the author has said. However, the MCAT takes it a step further, sometimes asking you to use the text to infer characteristics about the author, the audience, and the goal of the passage. To that end, we will define these fundamental aspects of rhetoric (author, audience, and goal) and demonstrate how Distilling them will translate to points on Test Day.

Author and Tone e author, in the most basic sense, is the individual or group that wrote the text. Authors can be distinguished by how much expertise they have on the topic at hand and by how passionate or vested in the topic they are. Authors who are experts in a topic—and who know that their intended audience is also knowledgeable in the topic—tend to use a lot of jargon in their writing. Jargon refers to technical words and phrases that belong to a particular field. For example, transcriptional repression, zwitterion, and anabolism are all biochemical jargon; homunculus, Gesellschaften, and negative symptoms are all behavioral sciences jargon. Authors who are less expert, or who are writing to a less-informed audience, tend to use more common terminology and provide more explicitly detailed descriptions of their ideas. Authors who consider themselves less expert than their audiences may use an

abundance of Moderating keywords, described in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review. The more knowledgeable authors are in a topic, the more jargon may appear in their writing. This may make for a challenging passage to read, but recognize that the MCAT does not expect you to know any field-specific terminology in CARS. Any important jargon will be defined in the passage—or the definition will be strongly implied. Tone reflects the author's attitude toward the subject matter. When an author is passionate about a topic, this emotion often manifests as strong language. Extreme keywords, also described in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review, may suggest that an author is emotionally invested in the piece. Less-invested authors may use more emotionally neutral words to describe the same ideas. In addition to an author's word choice, what the author chooses to discuss about a subject matter can also reveal the author's tone. For instance, an author may use neutral language, but use that neutral language to describe disadvantages of a particular activity and to list suitable alternatives. Despite the lack of Negative keywords (also discussed in Chapter 5), the author would still have a negative attitude toward the subject matter. On Test Day, aim to identify whether, and to what extent, the author's attitude toward the subject matter is positive or negative.

Determining Author Tone Identifying the author's tone can help you keep track of the author's opinions while reading the passage, but more importantly it will pay off when answering questions. Not only will you be asked questions directly focused on the attitudes and opinions of the author, but you will also see questions where simply knowing the author's tone will allow you to narrow down the answer choices. To determine the author's tone in a passage, examine the words that are used while considering the question: What imagery or feelings do these words convey? Let's try an example! On Test Day, be on the lookout for descriptive words, such as adjectives and adverbs. These words were specifically chosen by the author and can convey tone. Consider this passage excerpt: One of the first examples of the ascendance of abstraction in 20th-century art is the Dada movement, which Lowenthal dubbed "the groundwork to abstract art and sound poetry, a starting point for performance art, a prelude to postmodernism, an influence on pop art ... and the movement that laid the

foundation for surrealism.” Dadaism was ultimately premised on a philosophical rejection of the dominant culture, which is to say the dominating culture of colonialist Europe. Not content with the violent exploitation of other peoples, Europe’s ruling factions once again turned inward, reigniting provincial disputes into the conflagration that came to be known by the Eurocentric epithet “World War I”—the European subcontinent apparently being the only part of the world that mattered. It’s clear that the author is discussing the Dada movement, but what is the author’s view of the Dada movement? Notice how the author’s chosen quotation describes the Dada movement: “... groundwork ... starting point ... prelude ... foundation ...” e quotation makes it clear that the Dada movement is one of the first instances of artistic abstraction, but why did the author choose a quotation that seems to be saying the same thing in four different ways? e answer is because the author wants to stress the influential nature of the Dada movement, implying that the author’s opinion of Dadism is positive! In contrast, notice the author’s word choice to describe the “dominating culture” of Europe: “violent exploitation.” is is clearly negative. Furthermore, if you read the last sentence of the excerpt and thought it sounded sarcastic, you’re right. is echoes the author’s negative view of early twentieth-century European culture. All this provides further evidence of the author’s would again support the positive view of Dadaism because the author claims Dadaism is based on a “philosophical rejection of the dominant culture.” In short, there are two opinions being put forward in this excerpt: the author’s positive view of the Dada movement and his negative view of the European culture of the time. Using the author’s tone, let’s tackle this Inference question (Inference questions will be further discussed in Chapter 1. It is reasonable to infer that the author believes that: (A) the rejection of Dadaism in Europe was a cause of World War I. (B) the Dada movement was an insignificant blip in art history. (C) the Dada movement was a step forward for artistic abstraction. (D) Dadaism should not have gained popularity in Europe. When answering an Inference question on Test Day, you can use the author’s tone to set expectations before reviewing the answer choices. Keeping in mind the author’s opinions toward Dadaism and European culture of the time, let’s evaluate each of the

answer choices. Choice (A) suggests something negative about European culture, that its rejection of Dadaism led to World War I. We know that this author has a negative opinion about European culture, so you can't immediately eliminate this answer. But, there's more we need to unpack with this choice to determine whether it's what we're looking for. So let's see if we can eliminate any of the other choices. Choice (B) portrays Dadaism negatively with the word "insignificant" and is thus wrong. Choice (C), however, paints Dadaism in a positive light with the phrase "a step forward." is consistent with the author's expressed opinions. On the other hand, Choice (D), like (B), represents Dadaism negatively, making (D) incorrect as well. Using the author's tone alone, we were able to get this Inference question down to a 50/50 choice between (A) and (C)! To decide between them, simply return to the passage and verify whether the facts match up as well as the opinions do. The author never suggests that rejecting Dadaism was a cause of World War I—rather, he blames the "inward" turn of "Europe's ruling factions." However, he does describe Dadaism as an important early example of abstract art. That means (A) can be ruled out and (C) is correct.

Audience and Genre The audience is the person, or persons, for whom the text is intended. In daily life, the audience could be a single person with whom you have a dialogue, but publications typically have considerably larger audiences. Many CARS passages address an academic audience—perhaps other specialists in the author's field. Even when writing for the "general public," authors may draw upon idioms, clichés, symbols, and references that may have been recognizable to people of a particular time and place, but are less commonly used today. In CARS, you will rarely be a member of the passage's intended audience, but you can still develop the ability to recognize the audience for whom a passage was originally written. One potential source of information about the author's intended audience is the genre of the passage. The genre is the particular category to which the written work belongs—for example, fiction, nonfiction, drama, poetry, and so on. Genre can also represent the more tangible form of the work: book, scholarly journal article, case study, essay, letter, email, and so forth.

Determining Audience and Genre A passage's audience and genre are tested less frequently in the CARS section than are the author's

opinions and tone. Nevertheless, being able to recognize audience and genre can sometimes yield points on Test Day. To determine the audience, begin by identifying the topic of the passage, then consider how the author presents the major ideas in the passage. Does the author explicitly define key concepts and use simple terms that most readers would recognize? Or does the author use jargon and assume readers will already understand the intended meaning? Generally speaking, an author who writes more accessibly aims for a general audience, while an author who assumes reader knowledge is writing for an expert or specialized Why does the testmaker care so much about your ability to think beyond just understanding the content of an author's writing? This is a skill you'll use every day when talking with patients (to discern what they are really thinking from their body language and tone of voice) and when critically appraising research (to look for potential biases or conflicts of interest on the part of the researcher). To make good choices for your patients, you must be able to move beyond simply reading words on a page and consider the bigger picture, such as the In terms of genre, most of the passages you'll encounter on Test Day will be nonfiction prose, excerpted from books or articles. A piece intended for a general audience is likely to be taken from a popular magazine or book, while journal articles and other scholarly publications are more often associated with specialized audiences. Let's try an example! Consider this excerpt: The most prevalent argument against doctor-assisted suicide relies upon a distinction between passive and active euthanasia—in essence, the difference between killing someone and letting that person die. On this account, physicians are restricted by the Hippocratic oath to do no harm and thus cannot act in ways that would inflict the ultimate harm, death. In contrast, failing to resuscitate an individual who is dying is permitted because this would be only an instance of refraining from help and not a willful cause of harm. The common objection to this distinction, that it is vague and therefore difficult to apply, does not carry much weight. After all, applying ethical principles of any sort to the complexities of the world is an enterprise fraught with imprecision. e MCAT might test your ability to recognize the passage's intended audience or genre with a question like the one below. 1. Which of the

following titles would best be suited for this passage? (A) A Medical Student Handbook: Euthanasia (B) Exploring the Ethics of Doctor-Assisted Suicide (C) A Case Study on Euthanasia (D) Euthanasia, Society's Next Plight To answer this question, consider the author's tone as well as the passage's intended audience. Unlike the previous example, the author's tone is fairly neutral, with few words suggesting strong authorial opinions. Despite this, she does share one opinion in the next-to-last sentence: the common objection to the active vs. passive distinction is weak. The excerpt describes subject matter that might appeal to medical professionals, professors of ethics, or the general public. In this case, considering subject matter alone, it may be difficult to determine the intended audience. But by considering how the author constructs this passage, you can arrive at a more precise answer. The author explicitly defines concepts like active euthanasia, passive euthanasia, and the Hippocratic oath—terms that specialists such as doctors and ethics scholars would already know. Overall, the language is accessible and the amount of jargon minimal, which suggests that this article's audience is the segment of the general public that may have an interest in ethics. Choice (B), "Exploring the Ethics of Doctor-Assisted Suicide," matches the tone of the author, the topic of the passage, and the intended audience. In terms of genre, that title suggests an excerpt from a popular book or magazine, and this makes more sense than (A)'s "handbook" or (C)'s "case study," which are more specialized publications that don't fit the language of the passage. The goal of a passage, sometimes called the purpose, is why the author wrote the passage. In other words, what was the author hoping to accomplish? In some cases, the author's goal may be simply to inform the audience. Passages with an informative goal tend to read like textbooks or encyclopedia entries, providing detailed descriptions nearly devoid of the author's opinion. In the CARS section, however, more often the goal is persuasion, in which the author aims to influence the audience to adopt new beliefs. Persuasive passages are the most common on the MCAT; most passages on Test Day will contain at least one opinion the author tries to get the reader to endorse (with varying degrees of forcefulness). Besides altering beliefs, persuasion can also motivate individuals to take action. Such persuasion is often

encountered in speeches, but it could appear on the MCAT as a set of recommendations for solving a particular problem. Impression management, discussed in Chapter 9 of MCAT Behavioral Sciences Review, focuses on how we present ourselves to accomplish specific goals. Some of the impression management strategies can be employed in writing as well, such as self-disclosure, ingratiation, and alter-casting. On Test Day, you will encounter Main Idea questions, covered in Chapter 9: Foundations of Comprehension, which will directly test your ability to distill the author's goal. Distilling the Goal of a Passage To distill the goal of the passage, consider the major ideas of the passage while keeping the author's tone in mind. Ask yourself, Why did the author write this passage? We recommend beginning your answer an infinitive verb ("to X"), such as "to explain," "to argue," or "to compare." Let's try an Consider this excerpt, which includes and continues the previous excerpt: The most prevalent argument against doctor-assisted suicide relies upon a distinction between passive and active euthanasia—in essence, the difference between killing someone and letting that person die. On this account, a physicians are restricted by her Hippocratic oath to do no harm and thus cannot act in ways that would inflict the ultimate harm, death. In contrast, failing to resuscitate an individual who is dying is permitted because this would be only an instance of refraining from help and not a willful cause of harm. The common objection to this distinction, that it is vague and therefore difficult to apply, does not carry much weight. After all, applying ethical principles of any sort to the complexities of the world is an enterprise fraught with imprecision. Rather, the fundamental problem with the distinction is that it is not an ethically relevant one, readily apparent in the following thought experiment. Imagine a patient who is terminally ill and hooked up to an unusual sort of life support device, one that only functioned to prevent a separate "suicide machine" from administering a lethal injection so long as the doctor pressed a button on it once per day. Would there be any relevant difference between using the suicide machine directly and not using the prevention device? The intention of the doctor would be the same (fulfilling the patient's wish to die), and the effect would be the same (an injection causing the patient's death). The only variance here is the means by which

the effect comes about, and this is not an ethical difference but merely a technical one. Consider the ideas discussed in both paragraphs and determine how they connect to serve the purpose of the passage. In the first paragraph, the author describes the distinction between active and passive euthanasia, providing examples. She then offers the “common objection” to this distinction, but immediately shoots this common objection down. In paragraph 2, the author provides her own objection (“fundamental problem”) with the active and passive distinction: that the distinction is ethically irrelevant. The author then supports her objection with a thought. With the passage’s structure laid out, the goal should be more visible. The author clearly disagrees with those who distinguish between active and passive euthanasia. After describing these terms in paragraph 1, she dedicates the entire second paragraph to debunking this distinction. But more specifically, what reason does this author give for disagreeing with this distinction? In paragraph 1, the author describes one reason why some people object to the distinction between passive and active euthanasia, which is that the distinction is vague. However, notice that the author distances herself from this point of view: she calls this “the common objection” and says it “does not carry much weight.” The author’s own objection comes in paragraph 2, where she claims that the distinction between passive and active euthanasia is not “ethically relevant.” Thus, the author’s goal is broadly persuasive and could be phrased more precisely as: to argue that the distinction between passive and active euthanasia is not ethically relevant. Recognizing with the goal of the passage, let’s consider the following Main Idea question. 1. Which of the following best reflects the central purpose of the passage? (A) To explain how euthanasia is ethically unjustified in all circumstances (B) To suggest there is no distinction between active and passive euthanasia (C) To contend that active and passive are not morally significant categories for (D) To argue that euthanasia is ethical only if it is neither active nor passive Choice (C)’s phrase “morally significant” is just another way of saying “ethically relevant,” so (C) best reflects the goal we distilled previously. 3.2 Key Components of Arguments You may have noticed that we used the verb “to argue” in our formulation of the last passage’s purpose. But

contrary to popular usage, an “argument” in the CARS section is not a heated verbal dispute between people, but a specific kind of logical structure that authors use for persuasive purposes. In this section of the chapter, we will investigate the structure of arguments and prepare you to recognize their components in CARS passages. In the study of logic, an argument is the combination of one claim, known as the conclusion, and one or more other assertions, known as the evidence, explicitly used to support the conclusion. In this way, a mere claim becomes a supported conclusion as long as it’s accompanied by supporting evidence. Evidence is like the friend who vouches for you to get into the VIP area at a nightclub (conclusions only!): it offers a reason to “trust” that the conclusion is what it claims to be. In other words, the evidence is an answer to the question, “Why should I believe that conclusion?” Together, the evidence, the conclusion, and the one-way connection between them constitute the simplest form of an argument. Consider the following statements: Statement A: Cats are great pets. Statement B: Cats are cute. These two statements can create a plausible argument, but only if arranged the proper way. Specifically, you must correctly identify which of the statements is the conclusion and which is the evidence. To determine the relationship between two statements in an argument, we recommend using the One Sentence Test. Phrase a sentence in the following form: “<conclusion> because <evidence>.” The order that makes more sense will reveal which statement is the evidence and which is the conclusion. Let’s try out the One Sentence Test on Statements A and B: Cats are great pets because cats are cute. Cats are cute because cats are great pets. The first arrangement makes sense; it’s logical to think that at least one reason why cats make great pets is their cuteness. That doesn’t have to be the full explanation of why they’re great pets, as long as it gives us some reason to believe that they are. The second arrangement, however, seems confused. An animal’s appearance is based on genetics and environmental conditions, not on their relationships with humans (as pets). Notice that arguments have a one-way connection: evidence supports conclusion and not vice versa. We can summarize the argument and its components as follows: Evidence: Cats are cute. Conclusion: Cats are great pets. Argument:

Cats are great pets because they are cute. (Or, equivalently: Cats are cute and, therefore, make great pets.) An argument is the combination of one claim, known as the conclusion, and one or more other assertions, known as the evidence, explicitly used to support it. While one piece of evidence is the minimum required to support a conclusion, authors typically rely upon multiple sources of support. In fact, authors will often build layers of support for their arguments by providing additional reasons to believe the evidence. In other words, providing evidence for their evidence! So, with respect to our cat example, perhaps you're a dog person, someone who's skeptical that cats are so cute, especially compared to that new puppy your friend just adopted. You might ask, why should I believe that cats are cute? After all, that claim seems like a matter of opinion, one that you might not share. The cat advocate could attempt to address your concern by providing evidence for the original evidence, perhaps by stating that cats are cute because they have large eyes and small mouths. More formally, this argument appears as follows: Evidence: Cats have large eyes and small mouths. Conclusion: Cats are cute. Argument: Cats are cute because they have large eyes and small is may seem peculiar because what was before called the evidence is now the conclusion. Can the exact same statement be both evidence and conclusion? Yes, but only in relation to two different claims. It is nothing special: the same woman can be both a mother and a daughter, but only to two different individuals. Anytime a claim is used to support something else, the claim is acting as evidence; but whenever the claim in turn is supported by something else, the claim is acting as a conclusion. If we treated the second argument as a "subargument" within the first, we could represent it Subevidence: Cats have large eyes and small mouths. Subconclusion/evidence: Cats are cute. Conclusion: Cats are great pets. We can also use arrows to represent the relevant support relationships, simplifying the depiction of the argument further: When authors employ especially difficult arguments, you may find it useful to sketch a diagram on your notepad, using arrows to denote the support connections. Place the author's main conclusion, or thesis, either at the top with arrows pointing up toward it or, as we model here, at the bottom with arrows pointing down to it. Multiple pieces of

evidence for the same conclusion would appear as branches extending from a common stem; thus, we sometimes call these drawings “argument trees.” Cats have large eyes and small mouths. Cats are cute. Cats are great pets. When determining relationships such as these, you can use the textual clues discussed in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review, especially Evidence and Conclusion keywords (the two most important subtypes of Logic keywords). However, as noted there, these keywords are less common than the other two types, and some authors use them quite sparingly. Be alert for hidden

With an understanding of the basic components of an argument, let’s take a moment to consider how this will affect your CARS reading on Test Day. As previously stated, most passages on Test Day will contain at least one argument, but is it necessary to identify its components clearly when you first analyze it? After all, we were able to analyze the euthanasia passage from earlier without applying knowledge of arguments. But even in that analysis, we identified the author’s conclusion (that the active/passive distinction is morally irrelevant) and recognized that the purpose of the passage was to argue for that conclusion. In addition, we noticed that the author supported that conclusion with a thought experiment—in other words, the thought experiment was the evidence in that argument. So, just as a little rhetorical analysis can aid us in better understanding the passage (and in answering more questions correctly), so too can attention to argumentation. On Test Day, you’ll typically find it most worthwhile to identify conclusions because they are more often featured in questions. Often, it’s enough just to note the location of evidence without spelling out exactly what it says (until prompted by a question). While an argument could contain as little as one stated conclusion and one piece of stated evidence, most arguments contain unstated, or implicit, parts as well. The most commonly appearing terms for these are implications, assumptions, and inferences. For the sake of precision, whenever we use the word implication, we specifically will refer to an unstated conclusion, and assumption will be used only for unstated evidence. Inference will be used generally to cover any unstated part of an argument, whether an implication or an assumption. Unstated claims in arguments are known as inferences. Inferences are either assumptions (unstated evidence) or implications

(unstated conclusions). It's important to note that inferences (whether assumptions or implications) are not simply claims that are possibly or probably true given what is said. Rather, inferences must be true or—at the very least—must be highly probable, the most likely option among the alternatives. One way to recognize an inference is by the negative effect it would have on the argument if the inference were denied. An example will help clarify what.

In our previous argument about the cuteness of cats, cats are cute because they have large eyes and small mouths, the connection between the evidence and the conclusion might seem unclear. For that argument to be valid, we need another piece of information that connects those features (big eyes and tiny mouths) to that trait (cuteness). This additional claim is an assumption. In short, an assumption is an unstated piece of evidence that must be true in order for the conclusion to follow from the stated evidence. In this case, we can identify the assumption as follows:

Conclusion: Cats are cute. Evidence: Cats have large eyes and small mouths. Assumption: Animals with large eyes and small mouths are cute. We can be sure that we have correctly identified an assumption by considering what would happen to the conclusion if we negated that suspected assumption. So, for example, if we were to say instead that animals with large eyes and small mouths are NOT cute, then it would make no sense to conclude that cats are cute—because we've already stated as our evidence that they have those very features.

This procedure for identifying inferences by negating them is called the Denial Test, and is explained further in *So where do inferences fit into your Test Day experience?* Well, it's worth mentioning that a common question type in the CARS section is the Inference question type, which will be discussed in detail in Chapter 9. So, you should have the ability to identify an argument's assumptions and implications as necessary. However, spelling out the inferences of every argument that appears in the passage is generally not a good use of your time. Instead, only consider the inferences of an argument if and when a question demands it of you.

Strengthening and Weakening Arguments Although claims or statements can be called true or false, it is a misnomer to say that an argument is true or false. Rather, the validity or strength of arguments varies along a continuum with certain

proof at one end and complete refutation at the other. Most arguments (virtually all of the ones you see on the MCAT) fall somewhere short of either extreme, with evidence making conclusions more probable and refutations making them less probable. Arguments are evaluated on the basis of their strength or validity, which varies along a spectrum. An argument is strengthened whenever the truth of its conclusion is made more probable and weakened whenever it is made less probable. CARS questions, particularly Strengthen–Weaken questions (discussed in Chapters 10 and 11), will often require you to imagine how an argument’s validity might be modified. More supporting evidence will strengthen, bolster, or further an argument. Evidence can come in many forms, and often what counts as compelling evidence will depend on the topic of the CARS passage. For instance, quotations from the novel *Moby Dick* are excellent evidence when an author is advocating for a particular interpretation of *Moby Dick*. But those same quotes—coming from a work of fiction—would not be great evidence in a passage about the history of whaling in the Atlantic ocean. On the other hand, a claim is said to weaken, challenge, or undermine an argument whenever the claim’s truth would make the conclusion more likely to be false. Such claims serve as refutations or counterarguments (discussed more below). Note that most refutations do not come in the form of outright contradictions of the original conclusion or evidence. In other words, while directly attacking a conclusion will definitely weaken an argument, there are many ways to challenge an argument other than to simply state the opposite conclusion. For example, if an author draws a general conclusion about US citizens on the basis of results from a psychological survey, then evidence that the survey used a demographically unrepresentative sample would undermine the author’s argument. In this way, it is not the author’s conclusion that is directly undermined, but rather the evidence (that psychological survey) the conclusion stands on. With an understanding of how claims can strengthen or weaken an argument, let’s revisit our argument on cats as great pets. Subevidence: Cats have large eyes and small mouths. Subconclusion/evidence: Cats are cute. Conclusion: Cats are great pets. This argument could be weakened by a claim that many of the cutest animals of the world make terrible pets, which

would undermine the assumption that cute animals make for great pets. Similarly, we might challenge the assumption that large eyes and small mouths indicate cuteness by pointing to a study that identified other features (say, floppy ears or wagging tails) as being more salient indicators of cuteness. In contrast, this argument could be strengthened by a study that found that most people choose pets based on their apparent cuteness or by a survey showing that a majority of people describe cats as “adorable.” Any argument can be strengthened or weakened with the appropriate evidence! On Test Day, strengthening and weakening arguments should be treated just like making inferences. Don’t bother trying to figure out all the ways an argument could be bolstered or hindered as you read through a passage— the number of possibilities is enormous, but your time is limited. Instead, you should only make such judgments when a particular Strengthen– Weaken question (see Chapters 10 and 11) requires it. A related concept is the counterargument. Counterarguments, also known as refutations, objections, or challenges, are simply claims that weaken a particular conclusion. Counterarguments are often worth noting when they appear in passages, because they’re high yield for questions. Counterarguments are sometimes indicated through the use of Refutation keywords, discussed in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review. A counterargument can take aim at any component of an argument. Consider the original version of our cats as great pets argument: Evidence: Cats are cute. Conclusion: Cats are great pets. Each of the following are possible counterarguments: Refute Evidence: Cats are not cute. Refute Conclusion: Cats are bad pets. Refute Assumption: Cute animals make for poor pets. When you encounter a counterargument in a passage on Test Day, consider its relative strength compared to the original argument and the author’s tone when presenting it, then ask yourself, Why did the author include this? In most cases, authors include counterarguments for one of two reasons: either they’re constructing a counterargument in order to shoot down an argument they oppose, or they’re describing someone else’s counterargument (and probably then refuting it!) as an indirect way of supporting their own point.

3.3 Analyzing Passages with Rhetoric and

Now that you’ve seen the basic elements of rhetorical and argumentative structure, let’s

consider in more detail how to apply this knowledge when reading and analyzing CARS passages. Using Rhetoric to Analyze Passages While analyzing passages, always be on the lookout for clues that reveal the author's tone and attitudes toward the subject matter. Pay attention to opinions suggested by the author's use of adjectives and adverbs. At the start of your CARS journey, this might mean consciously stopping and asking yourself, What is the author's feeling about this? With practice, you will likely begin to identify author attitudes automatically, without the need for conscious effort. In addition to tone and author opinion, the author's goal is also prime material for questions. When you finish reading a CARS passage, you should always mentally answer the question, Why did the author write this? Other rhetorical elements like the intended audience and the genre are really only useful to the extent that they help you to identify the author's goal or to answer a particular question.

Using Arguments to Analyze Passages As with rhetorical analysis, logical analysis is most effective on Test Day if you focus on only some of the elements of arguments. Conclusions, in particular, should be explicitly identified because they most often appear in questions. And whenever you identify a conclusion, you should also be able to locate the evidence that supports that conclusion, but you will typically not need to unpack that evidence further unless a question demands it. Counterarguments are less common but are likely to show up in questions when they do appear, so these are also worth locating. Be particularly careful when arguments are complex, with multiple layers of evidence or counterargument; try to keep clear which particular conclusion is being supported or challenged by a specific claim. As a general rule, inferences, as well as strengthening and weakening conditions, should only be considered when directly featured in questions. See the discussion in Chapter 5 of Logic keywords for more on how to recognize arguments in passages. Now, let's apply these skills of rhetorical and logical analysis to a sample passage. As you read the passage below, try to identify the elements of rhetoric and argument discussed in this chapter. Then compare your thoughts to the expert analysis that follows. Can we truly know anything with certainty? Since the dawn of the so-called "Early Modern" era in Western philosophy, this question has

preoccupied both skeptics and their critics. Perhaps the most noteworthy challenger of the certainty-rejecting skeptics is René Descartes, who constructs in his seminal *Meditations* an elaborate argument that purports to ground all human knowledge on the indubitability of one's own existence. However, in his attempts, Descartes actually bolsters the case for skepticism. Indeed, later thinkers even cast doubt on the supposed surety of self- Dissatisfied with the dogmatism of his scholastic forebears, Descartes sought to clear away all the questionable but typically unquestioned "truths" handed down to him and his contemporaries, expecting that anything that remained after an onslaught of radical doubt would have to be known with certainty. Demolishing accepted opinions one by one would require volumes, so instead Descartes examines the basic categories of belief, rejecting any kind for which he can find plausible reasons for doubt. The first Meditation begins the process by considering empirical knowledge, what is learned from experience by means of our senses. Descartes's most powerful argument relies upon the impossibility of distinguishing waking consciousness from sleeping. Who has not dreamt of "waking up" while still asleep? When I awaken, how do I know the "reality" around me is not just another layer of illusion, a dream-within-a-dream-within-a-dream? Thus, Descartes concludes that all knowledge that derives from sensation cannot be certain. With the certainty of the a posteriori now eradicated, the *Meditations* turn to the a priori, knowledge that is independent of experience, such as mathematics and logic. This proves a more difficult task, so Descartes must introduce the possibility of a Great Deceiver, a malevolent being with godlike powers who deludes us at every turn. If I cannot prove that such an entity does not exist, then "how do I know that I am not deceived every time that I add two and three, or count the sides of a square, or judge of things yet simpler, if anything simpler can be imagined?" Readers of Orwell's 1984 might have an easier time of imagining this, recalling that Winston Smith under torture genuinely comes to believe that $2 + 2 = 5$. If even arithmetic can be cast into doubt, then how could anything be known for sure? Descartes provides an answer in the second Meditation. Even if the Deceiver tricks me about everything else, he cannot delude me about my own existence: "Let him

deceive me as much as he will, he can never cause me to be nothing so long as I think that I am something." Of course, this "I" that exists for certain does not include the physical body, which may just be an illusion, but is simply the thinking self or mind. Even so, Descartes builds on this proposition in the remainder of the *Meditations*, arguing first for the existence of a benevolent God who would not deceive us about anything perceived "clearly and distinctly," subsequently enabling him to claim certainty for all knowledge that results from clear perception and But is Descartes's foundation really so certain? Is it not possible to doubt the existence of one's own mind? In the *Genealogy of Morals*, Friedrich Nietzsche raises the possibility of an even more radical skepticism. Though Nietzsche uses the example of lightning, the same point might be clearer to English speakers with the statement "it is raining." Although "it" seems to suggest some agent independent of the action, the phrase simply means that raining is happening. Rather than saying with certainty that "I think," perhaps Descartes should have merely concluded that "thinking happens." In this example we can see that not all paragraphs are created equal! Here, paragraph 1 lays out three different arguments—that of the so-called "skeptics", that of René Descartes, and that of the author. Most of the rest of the passage is simply supporting evidence for the author's argument in particular. With such a rich first paragraph, let's make sure to spend some serious brain power teasing apart the beliefs of the skeptics, Descartes, and the author herself. e passage opens with a question intended to introduce the reader to the author's central concern: "Can we truly know anything with certainty?" e goal of this passage, as is the case with many passages that open with a single question, will be to answer this question—but at this point it is too early to tell how the author will answer it. As might be suspected, this question could be answered yes or no, and the author presents in the second sentence a contrast between the skeptics (who would say, no, we can be certain about nothing) and their critics (who would say, yes, we can know some things for sure). At this point, the author then introduces the figure who will soon be the star of this passage, René Descartes, and his book, the *Meditations*. In this third sentence, Descartes is clearly identified as a critic ("challenger") of the skeptics, and the author

spells out clearly (in case you were unsure) that the skeptics reject the possibility of certainty, while critics accept it. is explicit identification of the sides of the debate is our first clue that the author is targeting a more generalized audience, though the relatively high difficulty of some of the language suggests the author expects this general audience to be well educated. Aer reading this chapter, you probably paused when you saw the mention of Descartes's "elaborate argument" in the third sentence. We're not told yet precisely what that argument is, but we can get some sense of his conclusion (namely, that human knowledge can be certain) based on the fact that Descartes is described as a critic of skepticism. Remember that an argument is conclusion plus evidence, and all we're told about Descartes's evidence is that it has something to do with "the indubitability of one's own existence," whatever that means. e next-to-last sentence is a crucial one. e use of the transition "However" is a clue; Contrast keywords like this one are discussed in Chapter 5. Despite the relatively neutral tone of this sentence, it reveals an important author opinion: that Descartes's argument doesn't actually do what Descartes wants it to do! Instead, according to this author, Descartes's argument actually strengthens the case of those skeptics he was supposedly criticizing! e final sentence of this paragraph acts as a first piece of evidence for the author's conclusion, suggesting there are even more reasons to doubt Descartes's argument, though they're not yet spelled out. Because the author is challenging Descartes's argument in these last two sentences, we can see that she is making what we have identified as a counterargument. A well-constructed opening paragraph like this one can actually reveal a lot about the passage that follows, allowing you to set expectations that make the remainder of the passage more manageable to read. Not all CARS passages contain such a helpful introduction, but when they do you should try to make the most of them. is paragraph allowed us to get a sense of the topic (the certainty of knowledge), the author's tone (largely neutral, with some notable opinions), the intended audience (educated general public), and even a sense of the author's goal (answering the question about certainty of knowledge in some way that involves Descartes). We were also able to determine the conclusion in Descartes's argument (that some knowledge

can be known with certainty), as well as the conclusion in the author's counterargument (that Descartes's argument actually backfires and supports the anti-certainty position, rather than the pro-certainty position he intended). As we'll see, with so much vital information already extracted, subsequent paragraphs can be analyzed more briefly. Paragraph 2 presents us with some background on Descartes and his argument. The author suggests that Descartes was dissatisfied with the dogmatism that passed for knowledge because he would only be happy with what can be "known with certainty." The upshot of these two dense sentences is that Descartes uses a method that might seem counterintuitive: "radical doubt," which is more or less what "skepticism" is, the view that Descartes is supposedly against! When reading this, we might begin to get the sense of how the author is going to suggest that Descartes helps the anti-certainty position more than the pro-certainty one. Paragraph 3 gives us some details about how Descartes uses his method of doubt, so this paragraph as a whole serves as evidence for Descartes's larger conclusion. Within the paragraph, though, there is a distinctive argument attributed to Descartes (this is a case of a piece of evidence serving as a kind of subconclusion with its own subevidence). The conclusion is that "empirical knowledge," which the author defines as knowledge that comes from sensory experience, can't be known with certainty. To support this, Descartes uses as evidence the claim that we can't really tell whether we're asleep or awake. This is evidence, which might seem pretty controversial by itself, is in turn supported by its own subevidence, namely, the common experience of false awakenings in dreams. The author (channeling Descartes) poses this last evidence in the form of a rhetorical question: "Who has not dreamt of 'waking up' while still asleep?" This allows the author to be more persuasive than if she simply asserted that all people have had this experience, because a claim about all human beings will often seem controversial, while a rhetorical question will look like it has one obvious and simple answer. We can sketch out the argument presented as follows (although it does not appear in this order in the paragraph): We have all dreamt of "waking up" while still asleep. It is impossible to distinguish waking consciousness from dreaming. All knowledge that derives from sensory experience cannot be

certain. On Test Day, you do not have to understand every component of an argument. If pressed for time, focus on identifying the conclusion and simply knowing the location of the Paragraph 4 begins with some potentially frightening Latin terms—a posteriori and a priori. But the author helpfully defines the second one as experience-independent knowledge, and implies that the first term refers to the experience-dependent knowledge discussed in the previous paragraph. From that first sentence, we can expect to see something similar in paragraph 4 as we saw in paragraph 3: a subargument that concludes that experience-independent knowledge is uncertain. If you didn't follow all of the evidence presented in this paragraph, that's okay and not as important as recognizing the conclusion. But if a question required you to delve into it, you'd want to note that the central piece of evidence for paragraph 4's argument is "the possibility of a Great Deceiver," some kind of demon that tricks us whenever we try to do mental math. The author references a much later literary work (1984) to try to make this strange idea more plausible (in other words, to provide subevidence for the Great Deceiver subconclusion). Paragraph 5 opens with a question that also helpfully rehashes the major point of paragraph 4 (that mathematics and other experience-independent knowledge are uncertain). Remembering paragraph 3 too, we've seen Descartes argue that both experience-dependent and experience-independent knowledge are uncertain. So what's left? The author (quoting Descartes) answers: self-knowledge, specifically the knowledge that I, a thinking being, exist. After all, how could some hypothetical Great Deceiver fool me, unless I existed in the first place? So again we have another argument, though presented quite briefly. The conclusion is that knowledge of self-existence is certain, and the evidence is that you can't be fooled about your own existence. The remainder of the paragraph gives us more broad details about Descartes's big argument in favor of certain knowledge (as you can see, real-life arguments can contain many, many pieces of evidence; the passage here only points to a small fraction of the evidence Descartes uses in his book). The author tells us that he argues for the existence of God (without her telling us what evidence Descartes uses) and then asserts that this subconclusion is used to provide

certainty for a lot more knowledge (the references to “perception” and “reasoning” suggest both the categories of knowledge discussed in paragraphs 3 and 4). So, at the end of Descartes’s argument, we see that there is reason to believe that some experience- dependent and some experience-independent knowledge can be known with certainty, despite what earlier steps in his argument seemed to suggest. The questions at the beginning of the final paragraph are truly rhetorical questions (unlike many of the open-ended questions that appear in this passage, all of which the author tries to answer explicitly)—the author’s use of “really” and “not” should be dead giveaways that she wants you to answer by saying no, Descartes’s foundation is not really so certain, and yes, it is possible to doubt the existence of your mind. Unlike paragraphs 2–5, all of which presented Descartes’s argument as he made it (with the author generally trying to make a good case for it, so it’s clear that she’s not being unfair to him), paragraph 6 at last tells us what the author thinks herself. And, in fact, the conclusion she reveals with these rhetorical questions is very much the one we saw at the end of paragraph 1: that Descartes’s argument actually undermines the possibility of certain knowledge. To support this conclusion, the author presents a counterargument against the certainty of self-knowledge, which was the key piece of evidence at the very foundation of Descartes’s argument. To support this counterargument, she draws on a point inspired by Nietzsche: just as there is no “it” that does the raining when you recognize “it is raining,” there may be no “I” that does the thinking when you recognize “I am thinking.” The author finishes the passage with the suggestion that Descartes could only really be sure about the existence of thinking, not about the existence of a self that thinks, a conclusion that follows from the evidence she just presented. We can summarize the author’s counterargument as follows: there is no “it” that rains. There may be no “I” that thinks. Knowledge of even your own existence is uncertain. Descartes’s argument fails to show that certain knowledge is possible. Now that we’ve examined every paragraph and extracted their key rhetorical and argumentative features, we can reflect on the passage as a whole. As we expected, the author maintained a relatively neutral tone but was willing to be critical of Descartes, especially in the first and last

paragraphs. The language was often high level, but the author continued to define abstract terms and break down complex arguments where she could, confirming an intended audience of educated non-experts. And now, we can more exactly formulate the author's goal and understand how she would answer the question that she opens the passage with. Her main purpose seems to be to make a counterargument against Descartes' argument, which was in favor of the possibility of certain knowledge. So she might answer that first question by saying, no, knowledge cannot be certain, because Descartes' argument for certainty fails. In short, we might summarize her goal as: to challenge Descartes' argument that knowledge can be certain. As we saw in this chapter, rhetoric and logic can enhance our understanding of MCAT CARS passages. While CARS questions will seldom explicitly ask you to identify, say, the genre of a given passage, recognizing common rhetorical structures and features can aid your answering of other questions. Arguments, on the other hand, are often explicitly referenced in questions, so there's no debate that recognizing conclusions and their evidence is a vital Test Day skill. Rhetoric and logic are not just ancient disciplines passed down from scholars in classical Greece, nor are they only useful when taking a standardized test like the MCAT. With the expansion of evidence-based medicine, you may find yourself answering clinical questions through a meticulous analysis of the research on the topic. For example, you may find yourself asking, Which breast cancer screening guidelines should I follow? Do I opt for the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), which tends to be more conservative with screening, or the American Cancer Society (ACS), which is more rigorous? Or what about the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), which is somewhere in between? An effective physician would read each group's recommendations with an eye toward the identity of each group (the authors), their arguments, and each group's goals. In short, understanding logic and rhetoric is indispensable to success on the MCAT, in medical school, and beyond.

Concept and Strategy Summary

Key Components of Rhetoric Rhetoric is the art of effective communication through writing and Rhetorical analysis is the examination of a particular work for the sake of identifying its rhetorical elements (the components of a

author is the individual or group writing the piece. Authors who are experts in a topic and are writing for knowledgeable audiences may use jargon, which is vocabulary specific to a particular field. Authors may use more opinionated words if they are passionate about the topic at hand. Authors may use more neutral words if they are less invested or knowledgeable. Tone is a reflection of how the author feels about the subject matter. Identifying the tone can be done by noticing the author's word choice and phrasing. e audience refers to the person or persons the author intended to read or hear the work and is closely related to the genre. e genre is the category to which the written work belongs, such as a book, article, essay, letter, and so on. e goal is the reason why the author wrote the work. e goal of many passages on the MCAT is to be persuasive, that is, to convince the reader to adopt new beliefs or to take action. Other passages may have a goal of evoking an emotional response. Authors may write with more than one goal in mind.

Key Components of Arguments

At a minimum, arguments contain three parts: a conclusion, its evidence, and the one-way path of support between them. Inferences are unstated parts of arguments. ey are claims that must be true given what else is said in the argument. Assumptions are unstated pieces of evidence. Implications are unstated conclusions. ere are three main ways of strengthening an argument: Provide a new piece of evidence that supports the conclusion. Further support evidence that already exists to support the Conclusion. refutations against the conclusion. ere are three main ways of weakening an argument: Provide a new refutation that challenges the conclusion. Further support (find new evidence for) an existing Conclusion. Directly challenge evidence for the conclusion. Counterarguments, also called refutations, objections, or challenges, are the opposite of evidence because they go against the conclusion.

Analyzing Passages with Rhetoric

Author, tone, and goal should be considered consistently while reading the passages; the intended audience should be considered only when demanded by a question. Rhetorical clues can be used to anticipate what is coming up in a Conclusion and counterarguments should always be explicitly identified because they are highest yield for questions, while evidence should be located and connected to particular conclusions.

Inferences and strengthening and weakening should only be considered as prompted by questions. Previewing the Passage Previewing the Passage In This Chapter 4.1 Timing in the CARS Section 4.2 Previewing CARS Passages 4.3 Determining Passage Order Section Order Strategy Now vs. Later Strategy Concept and Strategy Summary After Chapter 4, you will be able to: Describe the timing in the CARS section Predict the difficulty of a CARS passage Determine the order in which to work the passages in a given CARS section Great writers work with a plan, and so do great test takers. If you've ever had to make a plan to finish a project, you've already utilized this skill. Great doctors make a plan for the best way to approach a problem, be it large or small: whether in a trauma scenario or deciding on a long-term treatment plan for a patient, proper order is equally important for the CARS section of the MCAT. In this chapter, we'll discuss how to take control of the section as a whole, passage by passage, so that you're driving the CARS section rather than letting it drive you. This chapter begins by discussing the timing in the CARS section, common pitfalls in CARS, and how to overcome getting behind on time. Then we discuss how to assess a passage and techniques for knowing how difficult a passage will be for you. Finally, we discuss the order in which you can tackle the passages in the CARS section to maximize your score.

4.1 Timing in the CARS Section

As mentioned in the introduction to this chapter, great test takers work with a plan! In particular, CARS requires that the test taker work against both a heavy cognitive challenge and a time challenge. This chapter will discuss the best ways to meet those challenges head on, starting with the time challenge. The CARS section is the second section that you will encounter on Test Day. In the CARS section, you have 90 minutes to read nine passages and answer 53 questions. The number of questions per passage is variable, but the goal is generally to spend about one minute per question, just like in the science sections. As you've probably already discovered, there are several important differences between passages from the three science sections and those found in CARS. The most apparent difference is that CARS passages contain considerably more words, as well as having no images to break up the monotony of the text. Under the surface, CARS passages are much more variable, both in their range of topics and

their diversity of writing styles. Moreover, unlike the science passages, which are nearly always impartial, CARS passages are often written by authors who take sides and express their opinions, although not always in a straightforward manner. To account for these essential differences, the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages can be refined, and is shown in Figure 4.1.

This chapter will focus on the very first step in this method. The remaining steps will be addressed in subsequent chapters of this book.

PREVIEW FOR DIFFICULTY Look for the big picture
Assess the relative difficulty
Decide to read now or later

CHOOSE YOUR APPROACH

Highlighting: Best for high-difficulty passages or if low on time
Outlining: Gives a moderate understanding of the passage and allows for more time to work on the questions
Interrogating: Gives a strong understanding of passage but allows for less time to work on the questions

READ AND DISTILL THE MEANING OF Recognize keywords to identify the most important and testable content in each paragraph
Use your approach from the choose step to extract your major takeaways from each paragraph:

- Highlighting**—highlight 1–3 key terms and phrases per paragraph you can use to quickly locate information later
- Outlining**—create a brief label for each paragraph that summarizes the main idea of that paragraph
- Interrogating**—thoroughly examine each major idea presented in the paragraph and determine why and how the author is using the information to build an argument

Identify the reason the passage was written before moving into the

Figure 4.1 The Kaplan Method for CARS Passages

Note: The Kaplan Method for CARS Passages—as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, CARS Question Types, and Wrong Answer Pathologies—are included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book. The CARS section is conveniently timed to take 90 minutes to complete nine passages and their associated questions, which means that, on average, each CARS passage should take 10 minutes to complete. This is not necessarily the case in practice, as some passages will take more than 10 minutes and some will take considerably less. That is why it must be emphasized that 10 minutes per passage is merely an average. The best test takers will organize their approach to the CARS section to deliberately take advantage of those time differences, prioritizing passages with care. Most careful test

takers opt to complete passages that will take less time up front and leave the more involved passages for the end of the section, though some may vary this approach slightly based on their individual strengths. Your time spent within each passage will not be divided evenly, either. As you'll see in Chapter 6, there are three strategies to choose from that you can deploy to Distill information from the passage. e three methods— Highlighting, Outlining, and Interrogating—take different amounts of time to complete and produce distinct outputs. Nevertheless, each method has been designed to minimize the amount of time and effort you must expend overall in approaching the passage and questions, while maximizing the number of questions you can answer correctly. Based upon which passage method you choose, you'll divide your time a bit differently between distilling passage information and answering questions. Distilling information from the passage using these methods will be discussed in Chapter 6 of MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review. So why should you care about timing? Why not just take your time, start from the beginning of the section, go at your own pace, and just focus on getting the questions you encounter correct? Well, consider a common pitfall that occurs before many test takers master timing: you come across a hard passage early and you find yourself spending 15 or 20 minutes on it, but by the time you're done, you're not sure you got many questions right or even understood what you read. Now you have to scramble to finish the section on time, which adds to your stress, detracts from your focus, and leaves you susceptible to mistakes you otherwise wouldn't make. It's far better to avoid such unnecessary stresses by exercising a Your time on Test Day is valuable, so make sure you're using it as efficiently as you can. It is not "against the rules" to change your plan if you realize midway that it isn't working. Getting a high MCAT score is a numbers game: your sole objective is to get as many questions correct as possible in the time allotted. If you had to choose between an easy passage where you'll probably get all six questions correct and a difficult passage where you're more likely to get four out of seven, your best bet is to tackle the easy passage early and get those points before running out of time. Moreover, having those quick points in the bag from tackling easy passages first gives you more confidence and

extra time as you work on the harder passages that remain. The MCAT scoring model specifically rewards this type of strategic 4.2 Previewing CARS Passages As we discussed in the previous section, using your time effectively means prioritizing easy and quick passages over difficult and time-consuming ones. But how do you know in the first place what's hard and what's easy? And how can you make this assessment quickly enough that it doesn't create its own timing problems? This is what the Preview step of the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages is all about! When you Preview a passage, you'll be looking out for a few specific factors that you can assess quickly, enabling you to make a swift, sound judgment about the passage's difficulty. Let's consider each of these factors in turn. The first indication of the difficulty of a passage on the MCAT will be the topic. As shown in Chapter 1, passages on the CARS section come in two basic types: social sciences and humanities. Many students find that identifying the broad category for a given passage is a good first filter to determine roughly when in the 90 minute section they should approach the passage. Social sciences passages are more likely to rely upon empirical research and data, even if they don't share the heavy focus on controlled experimentation seen in the natural science sections of the MCAT. Also, social sciences passages are more likely to focus on cause and effect relationships and are often (but not always) more logically rigorous than their humanities counterparts. In contrast, humanities passages tend to rely on different types of evidence, such as quotations from famous writers and speakers, thought experiments, or interpretations of historical events. While both types contain jargon, the jargon in the humanities can seem more daunting to many pre-med students, with references to obscure thinkers, terms borrowed from other languages, and boatloads of "ism"s. In addition to the broad humanities vs. social sciences distinction, the specific discipline (from among those listed in Table 1.1) of a passage can also be useful information. As you practice with more CARS passages, you might discover some disciplines come more easily for you, perhaps because of your educational background or interests, while other disciplines are more of an ordeal. For example, if you find yourself always struggling with philosophy passages but breezing through literature passages, then

your default should be to assume a philosophy passage is hard and a literature passage is easy, at least as a first guess. We recommend compiling and refining your own list of strong and weak topics as you do more CARS practice. Use caution when approaching a CARS passage on a topic with which you are familiar. Relying upon outside information that may be true, but is not in the passage, is a surefire way to pick an Out of Scope wrong answer. A second important influence on passage difficulty is sentence structure. Some sentences are short. Others are very long and often seem needlessly wordy with the author repeating information over seemingly for no reason at all! Most sentences are somewhere in between these two extremes. Unlike passage topic, which affects difficulty in a way that depends mostly on your personal interests and strengths, sentence structure tends to have a consistent influence: passages with more complex sentence structure are harder for just about everyone. While the distance between periods (the average sentence length) is one good measure of sentence complexity, rare punctuation marks can also be helpful indicators. A passage strewn with semicolons, dashes, parentheses, or simply an excessive number of commas may suggest an author who is prone to go off on tangents, making the main points harder to follow. Remember on Test Day that you're trying to Preview passages quickly: it should only take you a few seconds to get a gut feeling of complexity based on sentence length and amount of punctuation. Any more effort than that is overthinking it. While most CARS passages are standard academic prose, some authors (perhaps most notably those from earlier in history) adopt distinctive writing styles that can make the passage easier or harder to read. The exact effect usually depends on what you personally like to read, but a particularly archaic writing style can be a challenge even for a history buff. Style is not as easy to gauge as sentence structure, but you should nevertheless limit yourself to a gut-level check: take just a few seconds when assessing writing style. Just because a style is one you don't enjoy reading doesn't mean that you won't be successful reading it on Test Day. Don't avoid passages with simpler structures just because you find their topics or styles boring. The length of the passage is perhaps the most obvious clue that you should use to identify difficulty: longer passages

take more time to read. MCAT CARS passages are typically 500–600 words in length, though apparent length can be distorted based on paragraphing: a passage with a greater number of shorter paragraphs will seem longer than one with the same word count but with fewer, longer paragraphs. Paragraph length, as well, has its own impact on difficulty; it's easier to get lost when in the middle of a long paragraph that never seems to end. Both overall passage length and average paragraph length are quick and easy to assess, but be careful not to let them be the only factors shaping your judgment of a last noteworthy influence on passage difficulty is the number of questions associated with the passage. Remember that questions appear only one at a time in the Test Day interface. However, you can easily determine the number of questions associated with a given passage because each passage will list the range of associated question numbers, for example, "Question 32–37." You may be tempted to think more questions necessarily means a harder, more time consuming passage. But remember: passages with more questions are also worth more. At the top of every passage on Test Day there will be a label that tells you the range of questions associated with that passage. Using that detail makes it easy to flip between passages using the test's navigation tools. It's a good idea to make a note of the question that starts each passage on your noteboard booklet to hop easily between passages in the order that suits you.

4.3 Determining Passage Order

Whether you've worked in a hospital, volunteered in a clinic, served as a medic in the military, or just watched episodes of any medical television show, you have probably heard the word triage. The idea behind triaging patients is that the sickest patients get to "skip the line" so that the physician can address the most urgent needs first, minimizing further damage and fatalities among patients waiting for treatment. The same idea can be applied to the MCAT and the CARS section. But instead of triaging to save lives, you'll be triaging to gain points, prioritizing passages to let you correctly answer as many questions as possible in the time you have. We will examine a few different ways to approach this triaging—and we recommend you experiment with each approach until you find the one that works best for you. There will be stressful points during your exam; but try not to let these moments of stress "get in your

head” and ruin the section as a whole. If a passage was more difficult than you expected, take a moment after you finish it to close your eyes, breathe deeply, and refocus before attacking the Section Order Strategy One proven technique is to order the entire section by difficulty at the start of the section. Every passage on the MCAT will have a label at the top indicating the passage number and range of questions (e.g., Passage 1 [Questions 1–7]). This label can help you to navigate the section efficiently by jumping between the first question of each passage using the Navigator tool, discussed in Chapter 2 of Kaplan MCAT CARS Review. You’ll need to be able to navigate between passages quickly to use the section order strategy effectively. To use this strategy, you’ll need to find a place in your noteboard booklet to list a few pieces of information, as can be seen in Figure 4.2. For each passage, write down the passage number, the number of its first question, and your triaging decision. For your triaging decision, you have a few options for how much information you want to include. You will certainly want to record your perception of the passage’s difficulty. Most students prefer to record difficulty as either Easy, Medium, or Hard. However, some students prefer to simplify the decision by recording only Easy or Hard. After recording the passage’s difficulty, some students also prefer to execute the Choose step by deciding whether to Highlight, Outline, or Interrogate the passage. Because the Choose step often depends heavily on passage difficulty, pairing Choose with Preview is one potential way to increase your efficiency. However, other students prefer to save the Choose decision until they have committed to a passage. Regardless of how much or how little information you prefer to record with your triaging decision, give yourself just a few seconds to decide and jot down your decision. Then use the Navigator tool to jump to the next passage (see Chapter 2), and take a few seconds to record similar information for that passage. Triageing the section in this way should take around two minutes and not more than three. In addition to your triaging decision, you can also write down a one-word clue about the passage’s topic if you’d like a way to help keep the passages distinct in your mind. This can make it easier, for example, to decide which of those Hard passages to tackle first. Once you’ve made your decisions for every passage (and have recorded something on your

noteboard booklet that looks like one of the examples in Figure 4.2), then move in order of increasing difficulty: Easy passages first, then Medium (if any), and finally Hard. This approach lets you complete all of the easiest (and fastest) passages first and maximize the number of questions you answer. Further, starting with the easiest passages and slowly increasing difficulty can help you to manage stress within the section, and ensure you reach the hardest passages still full of energy. You can scratch out each passage as you complete it to have a visual representation of how much is left to do in the section. When reviewing a practice CARS section that you've completed, don't just consider how many points you got, but take some time to evaluate your triaging decisions. Did a passage you thought would be easy turn out to be hard for reasons you didn't originally anticipate? Adjust future triaging decisions in light of your analysis. The section order strategy is a time investment. You'll need to spend a couple minutes upfront, but the payoff will come when you move more quickly between passages as you complete them. The hard decisions primarily come at the start of the section, and then you can just focus on getting things done.

Figure 4.2 Section Order Strategy Scratch Work

From the top: example scratch work with 3 levels of difficulty, passage type, passage, and question number; example scratchwork with 2 levels of difficulty, passage, and question number; example scratch work with 3 levels of difficulty, question number, and Choose step approach; and example scratchwork with 3 levels of difficulty and question number

Now vs. Later Strategy

An alternative to the section order strategy is the Now vs. Later strategy. This is of your triaging upfront. If you use the Now vs. Later strategy, you'll still perform the Preview step (perhaps combined with the Choose step) when you first encounter a passage. You'll still assign a difficulty rating to the passage. And you'll still record the passage number, difficulty, first question number, and other important details in your scratch work. The difference is, with the Now vs. Later strategy, you'll immediately complete any passage you decide is Easy before moving on to the next passage. Those you triage as Hard (or Medium, if you use that label too) you will save for Later. See Figure 4.3 for examples of how your noteboard booklet should look with this strategy. While our default recommendation is to work in order of increasing

difficulty, some students find they prefer to structure the section like a hill, starting and ending with Easy passages and working the Hard passages in the middle. But regardless of which approach you adopt, you should always start with an Easy passage: data indicates that starting with a Hard passage first can negatively affect your performance across the entire section. For students who are particularly concerned about timing, an alternate version of Now vs. Later involves working both the Easy and Medium difficulty passages “now”, and saving only the Hard passages for a second pass. In this version, your scratch work will be minimal, because you will only need to make note of those Hard passages that you’re saving for the end. While this variant of the strategy is a great fit for many students, be warned that it may not be the best fit for everyone; some students might find that they become less efficient and more stressed when they force themselves to work through passages of moderate difficulty too early in In short, experiment with each version of the triaging strategy. You’ll quickly find the version that best suits your own personality. If you like having a detailed plan up front with the Section Order version. On the other hand, if you suffer from decision fatigue trying to assign difficulty ratings to each and every passage, you might prefer to triage as you go with the Now vs. Later version of the strategy. e key is optimizing the triaging strategy to work for you.

Figure 4.3 Now vs. Later Strategy Scratch Work

From the top: example scratch work with 2 levels of difficulty, question number, and topic; example scratch work with 2 levels of difficulty, question number, and Choose method; and example scratch work with 1 level of difficulty and question number

Now let’s practice some of these triaging strategies with some applied examples. e following pages feature two test-like CARS passages. We’ve already set in bold important lines from each passage (ones that should stand out when completing the Preview step) to help aid your decisions. Remember that your goal is only to evaluate the difficulty of the passage for you; don’t bother reading the whole thing! The world of contemporary art is characterized by a growing number of artists experiencing an entrepreneurial venture. Especially in the context of performing arts, this has been lived both as a necessary and a voluntary solution to the severe shortage of funds affecting the world of

public institutions. Thus a new actor emerges, represented by the artist-entrepreneur who lives a hybridization of roles and competences. But who are the artists- entrepreneurs? And how do they live the possible tensions emerging from the encounter of worlds that have been reputed as radically different for so Artists-entrepreneurs' activity can be identified as a particular kind of "cultural entrepreneurship." This practice has been traditionally investigated adopting two main perspectives, based on different meanings of culture. As a first meaning, culture refers to the sociological frame of reference identifying a set of habits, customs, traditions, and beliefs which constitute a shared way of life in a specific historical and political context. As a second meaning, culture identifies a complex set of processes, products, and actors involved in the design, production, and distribution of cultural and artistic goods and services. Descending from the first sociological perspective, cultural entrepreneurship represents "the skill of certain entrepreneurs to use culture as a toolkit for constructing resonant identities and motivating resource-holding audiences to allocate their resources." Therefore, cultural entrepreneurship is instrumentally identified in the process of storytelling that gives shape and legitimates new ventures. Not referring to a specific industry, the adjective cultural is used to identify the process of legitimization that entrepreneurs sustain, giving shape to the story of their personal and professional life. In a second perspective, cultural entrepreneurship identifies a set of processes through which a growing number of artists and cultural professionals assume an entrepreneurial role. Cultural entrepreneurship thus identifies the activity of conceiving, producing and marketing "cultural goods and services, generating economic, cultural and social opportunities for creators while adding cultural value for consumers." The artists- entrepreneurs combine their artistic attitudes with a deep sense of business, economically sustaining the cultural enterprise in coherence with their cultural vision. Upon investigation, what emerges with more emphasis is the tough and complex relationship between the artistic and the entrepreneurial dimensions faced by the artists- entrepreneurs. The interdependence between the two spheres emerges as a matter of fact, emphasizing the dual nature of the cultural enterprise. But duality means adopting an

integral perspective that results from an intense dialogue between the two languages. Adapted from Clacagno, M., Balzarin, L. 2016. The Artist-Entrepreneur Acting as a As discussed earlier, the Preview step should take just a few seconds and should really be a “gut-check” decision. As we Preview this passage, we can see that it’s a humanities passage, specifically one about art. Sentence structure is moderately complex, without tons of unusual punctuation and with an average sentence length of about two lines. e writing style is a bit unusual and the author uses some humanities jargon, but there seems to be a recognizable structure to some of the paragraphs (e.g., paragraphs 4 and 5 each deal with one of a pair of “perspectives”). e passage isn’t extensively long, nor are its paragraphs, so the length is manageable. is is probably a Medium passage for most students, but students who struggle with art passages would likely triage this as Hard. Now try the Preview step with a second passage, also featuring selective use of bold to help focus your assessment. Bauls are a religious group living primarily in the rural areas of West Bengal (India) and Bangladesh. They come from a variety of backgrounds, including Hindu and Muslim, and span the castes, though most are low caste. Although their roots extend more deeply, Bauls have been around at least a century. In practice and belief, they have been influenced by local traditions of the more orthodox Gauriya (Bengali) Vaishnavism, the Tantric-influenced Buddhist and Hindu Sahajiyas, and Sufism. However, unlike many other religious groups, Bauls intentionally reverse a number of orthodox practices. They argue that the Divine is within all humans and thus people should respect and worship humans instead of going to the temple, mosque, or church to worship something that cannot be seen. Bauls sing and compose songs that critique societal divisions and allude to their philosophy and practice. They spread their messages door to door, on trains, and in performances at large public venues. I sought the lived experiences and perspectives of Baul women. In [the] first 18 months of work, I carefully framed how I presented my research to my interlocutors in order to avoid the usual pitfalls of my predecessors, such as having to exchange money or prestige for information, taking initiation, or losing integrity in my research methods in other ways. [My interaction with the

Baul] brings to the forefront the postcolonial predicament concerning the relationship between ethnographers and “informants,” in which the ethnographer collects “data,” which is then analyzed and presented for academic scrutiny. I have argued elsewhere that as they traverse a Baul path, those who take the teachings seriously gradually adopt cognitive and spiritual models that shift their own understanding of the world around them. Thus, they learn (or aim to learn) to recognize the ways in which society creates divisions that lead to discrimination, and to recognize the divine in all human beings, regardless of caste, gender, or religion. By listening to their explanations about the micro- and macrocosmos, by hearing their songs, and by traveling with them, they expected that I, too, would experience these shifts in understanding. The cultural specifics of their experiences may not carry home, but elements of hierarchy, discrimination, and the inherent value of all human beings are as real and important in my American communities as they are in their Bengali ones. For Bauls, issues of hierarchy and domination are religiously meaningful, and knowledge of these realities constitutes important aspects of their religious experiences. In these and other ways, the Baul women I worked with expected me to participate in their world, particularly when they knew I shared their views. Although moments of connection have shifted my own perspectives, [a specific Baul woman’s] critiques ring loudest. In demanding that I (and the many Bengalis and foreigners who enjoy her singing) support her, she refuses to let me be a complacent ethnographer. She demands dignity, and she is right to do so. Several years later, her proclamations are forcing me to rethink my ethnographic work and to reveal, as a tentative step, that I have been moved, that I have taken their words and lifeworlds seriously. Maybe language is inadequate to explain religious experience. Bauls would certainly say so, since they insist that one can only trust and know what is personally experienced. Perhaps, then, I should acknowledge moments of connection, for instance, when [the Baul] and I discussed our views of the world, its beauty and faults, and our struggle to make sense of suffering and find ways to improve the lives of those discriminated against. Maybe it’s enough for me and my interlocutors to share meaningful experiences and conversations, to be open to being

inspired and transformed in the field and also back home, and to be willing to put some of those ideas into action. Knight, L. I. (2016). "I Will Not Keep Her Book in My Home": Representing Religious Meaning among Bauls. *Asianetwork Exchange: A Journal for Asian Studies in the Liberal Arts* is a social sciences passage on studies of diverse cultures, or perhaps anthropology. The topic specifically concerns religion (which is a humanities topic) but references to social sciences jargon like "ethnographers" and "informants" indicate that the passage is not exactly a humanities passage. Sentence structure is again moderately complex, without tons of unusual punctuation and with an average sentence length of about 2 or 3 lines. However, unlike the art passage, the writing style is more conversational, being more of a narrative account of the author's experiences with this culture. Regarding length: both the paragraphs (with a few exceptions) and the passage itself are longer than we saw in Passage 1, but the conversational writing style might make this an Easy passage for many students. If you struggle with studies of diverse cultures, though, you might label this one Medium or even Hard. Previewing and triaging are skills that require practice—to establish mastery, you'll need to repeat this process over and over, any time you work on a CARS variations of both the section order strategy and the Now vs. Later strategy, and reflect on their effectiveness until you find the approach that works best for you. On Test Day, the higher score you're able to earn will be worth the effort! Managing your timing, Previewing effectively, and triaging efficiently are all vital skills for Test Day that can help you "punch above your weight," and get more points on the CARS section. Practice these skills, along with those covered in the remaining chapters of this book, and you'll be well on your way to Test Day. The next three chapters continue the discussion of the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages with a more thorough examination of the Read and Distill steps. Concept and Strategy Summary

Timing in the CARS Section You have, on average, 10 minutes per passage on the CARS Section of the AAMC rewards students who think strategically and approach the passages out of order. Previewing CARS Passages The Preview step is a quick determination of the difficulty of the passage; it should be a gut-level check that takes only a few seconds. Several factors influence

a passage's difficulty: Determining Passage Order There is no single correct way to order a section. The most successful students will experiment with the different strategies and choose the option that is most effective for them. Practice is essential to learn how to triage effectively and efficiently. Here are two basic triaging strategies: Section Order Strategy: Go through the entire section, determining the difficulty of each passage. Then, work the passages from easiest to hardest or in the order most optimal for you. Now vs. Later Strategy: Go through the section, stopping and working any Easy passages you encounter. Write down the difficulty of other passages and return to them later, working the Hard passages last.

Reading the Passage In This Chapter

5.1 Reading Strategically with Keywords

Reading for Content Reading for Purpose Reading for Reasoning Reading and Keywords

5.2 Relation Keywords More Complex Relationships

5.3 Logic Keywords Evidence and Conclusion

5.4 Author Keywords Positive vs. Negative Accounting for Opposition Concept and Strategy

Summary

After Chapter 5, you will be able to:

- Apply keyword strategies within a passage to locate important themes and elements
- Identify the relationship of a sentence to its surrounding context using Relation keywords
- Connect evidence and conclusions within a passage by identifying Logic keywords
- Use Author keywords to associate author tone and opinion with text

One of the biggest mistakes you can make as a student is to think that learning how to read is a one-time occurrence, like a switch that, once flipped, fully illuminates the darkened recesses of illiteracy. It is always possible to improve your ability to read, both by refining your current approach and by broadening your comfort with a range of texts in a variety of settings. Would you read a novel for pleasure the same way you would read a textbook for homework? Once you recognize that there are many ways to analyze the written word, you can learn to customize your reading approach to fit your purpose, whether it be relaxing with a piece of fiction or reaching for that higher score on the MCAT! In Chapter 3, we explored the nature of CARS passages by discussing the key components of rhetoric and argument. In Chapter 5, we'll explore strategies that will leverage keywords as a mechanism for better understanding passage structure and will build toward your ability to complete the

Read and Distill step of the Kaplan Method for CARS passages. First, we will discuss the different modes of reading (reading for content, reading for purpose, and reading for reasoning) and their utility when analyzing a passage. We will then discuss keywords and their ability to clarify complex passages as part of your Read and Distill steps. Keywords are words and phrases commonly employed in CARS passages that serve as valuable clues for answering the accompanying questions. They fall into three broad categories: Relation, Logic, and Author.

5.1 Reading Strategically with Keywords

When it comes to reading dense academic prose—and this describes just about every passage in the CARS section—there are at least three ways to approach the text; that is, there are three distinct levels on which it might be appreciated. The first of these modes, content, is what you're most likely accustomed to looking for, whether reading for work or pleasure. On Test Day, you will want to pay attention to informational content, but you'll also want to broaden your approach to encompass the two other modes of reading: purpose and reasoning. Building on the concepts discussed in Chapter 3: Rhetoric and Arguments, reading for purpose involves identifying rhetorical components, while reading for reasoning involves identifying components of arguments. Any CARS passage can be understood in three different ways, which we call the modes of reading. Each mode answers at least one vital question: Content—What does the text say? Purpose—What does a particular sentence do? Why does the author write? Reasoning—How are claims supported? How are claims challenged?

Reading for Content

Before jumping into new ways to read CARS passages, let's briefly discuss what you are likely already familiar with, reading for content. Reading for content is focused on extracting the information from the text, discovering precisely what is being said. It is important to note that you will never be expected to have preexisting familiarity with the content of a passage in the CARS section; all information necessary to answer the questions is contained within the passage itself. This situation is in stark contrast to passages in the three science sections, in which you are expected to integrate outside knowledge with any new information provided in the passage. When reading for the content, the question you should be trying to answer is What is the

author saying? With that said, reading for content has its limitations for CARS passages, as it is unlikely that you will be a member of the passage's intended audience. Meaning, it's likely that you will not have the content background to fully understand the concepts presented in some of the harder CARS passages on Test Day. When this happens, don't panic! Instead, turn your attention toward rhetoric and arguments by reading for purpose and reasoning.

Reading for Purpose

Although there are many ways to talk about "purpose," we are specifically answering the question, why did the author do that? This general question can be applied to various portions of the passage and consequently reveal different aspects of the passage and author, such as: Why did the author use a particular word or phrase? Why did the author include this sentence? What does this sentence do? Why did the author include this paragraph? What does this paragraph do? Why did the author write this passage? As you can see, we can get very specific when reading for purpose (possibly evaluating every word!), and although reading for purpose provides great insight, exploring each of these questions at every opportunity requires substantial amounts of time. Thus, it's vital to build efficient habits to ensure that reading for purpose will serve you on Test Day. As a general rule, determining the purpose of specific terms and sentences should be done when those components are major parts of the argument being built. Keywords will help you to establish when and where identifying the purpose of a portion of the passage is something you should spend time on. In contrast, determining the purpose of paragraphs and the passage as a whole should be done consistently, and is the focus of each of the Distill options in the Kaplan Method for CARS passages. The amount of time you spend reading for purpose when focused on a CARS passage will depend on the Distill method you've selected for that passage as part of your Choose step. If you're Distilling by Highlighting, you will likely do very little reading for purpose, and largely rely on keywords to identify components to highlight. If you are Distilling by Outlining, you will determine the purpose of each paragraph and write it down as part of your outline. If you are Distilling by Interrogating, you will focus on the purpose of separate chunks throughout the passage on a more granular level than once per paragraph. You will

always consider the purpose of the passage overall, no matter the method you have chosen. The Distill methods, and their application in the Kaplan CARS Passage Strategy, are discussed in Chapters 6 and 7.

Purpose of a Particular Word or Phrase

Each CARS passage contains hundreds of words, so it is not worth your time to consider the author's intentions with every single word. Instead, you should only focus a particular term when the questions require it. Definition-in-Context questions (covered in Chapter 9) require you to focus on particular words and phrases, but they ask you for the meanings of terms (their content), not their purposes. In contrast, Function questions (covered in Chapter 10) focus exclusively on the author's intentions and will sometimes point to a brief phrase or even a single word. When assessing the purpose of a term, move outward, first thinking about how the specific sentence that contains the term functions in the larger paragraph, and then about how that paragraph functions in the passage as whole. If the term is consistent with these larger purposes, you need only look for the answer choice that best reflects the main themes of the passage. However, if something unexpected is introduced with the term, ask yourself why the author seems to be going in a different direction. Pay special attention to language that conveys feeling, whether in the term itself or in the surrounding context. If nothing else, awareness of emotionally-laden language can help you to eliminate answer choices that contain the wrong sentiments.

Purpose of a Particular Sentence

Some question types, such as Function questions, will sometimes ask about specific sentences instead. Again, if you know the larger purpose of the paragraph that contains the sentence, as well as how that paragraph functions to accomplish the author's larger purpose in the passage, then such questions will be more straightforward. When you're confused about the author's larger purposes, though, you can still use context to infer the author's intentions. Consider the The notion of realism in literature is based largely on the implicit belief that writers can accurately transform common objects or ideas from life into words on a page while maintaining an accurate representation of the object or idea. If an author writes a novel which seems believable, meaning that a reader can imagine events in the novel actually happening, then that book is often considered

a “realistic” work of literature. is is a dense paragraph, consisting of two convoluted sentences. But even if you had difficulty unpacking the meaning, you can still look at the general structure of each sentence to gather information about the author’s intentions. e first sentence begins with the phrase, e notion of realism in literature is based largely on ..., with a long string of words following. While it may be difficult to unpack the last two-thirds of the sentence, the first third tells us that the author is explaining the basis of literary realism or, in other words, giving us the definition of that concept. us, the first sentence functions as an introduction and definition of a particular concept. e second sentence, in contrast, is structured as a big if-then statement. You may have noticed the author’s use of quotation marks with “realistic” in the then portion of the statement. is sentence is effectively saying, “if a book’s author does X, then that book is realistic.” In other words, this sentence is again aiming to explain what it means to have realism in literature. Since the author already gave a definition in the first sentence, the second sentence functions as an elaboration upon, or perhaps a clarification of, that definition. While the second sentence doesn’t include any “transition words” (a term you may have learned about in high school or college English), the author could easily have started with a phrase like “to clarify” or “in other words” without changing the meaning or purpose of the Oen authors will include Relation keywords, covered later in this chapter, to emphasize these relationships between sentences. You may have learned about these in high school English or first year composition courses as “transition words” because they facilitate the movement (or transition) between sentences. In the previous example, phrases like To clarify or For example could have been used to start the second sentence. Determining the purpose of a sentence assists with reading strategically, and it also helps provide a clear prediction for Function questions (covered in Chapter 10).

Purpose of a Paragraph Reading for purpose is particularly high yield at the level of paragraphs. While Function questions commonly ask you about the purpose of specific paragraphs, you will generally find it worthwhile to investigate each paragraph’s purpose as you read, irrespective of the questions. Knowledge of how each paragraph fits into the larger

whole can better enable you to eliminate answer choices for a wide variety of question types, which means more points. In Chapter 6, we will explore Kaplan's three distillation approaches to CARS passages: Highlighting, Outlining, and Interrogating. Each of these approaches includes examination of paragraph purposes, but with varying levels of specificity. When determining a paragraph's purpose, try to get a sense of it from the opening sentence. While CARS authors are less likely than many writers to open with a topic sentence, they are occasionally straightforward, and when they aren't, you can still often pick up a few clues from that first sentence. Watch out for keywords (discussed later in this chapter) that suggest a particular transition from the previous paragraph (if there is one). Look out for changes in direction in the sentences that follow, paying particular attention again to the concluding sentence, which may contain its own transition to a following paragraph. If the paragraph goes as expected, then your initial guess at its purpose is likely accurate. But if anything surprises you, take a moment to figure out why the author would include that unexpected element. In the end, find a good answer to one of these questions: Why did the author include this paragraph? What does this paragraph do for the Purpose of the Passage Chapter 3 on Rhetoric and Arguments first introduced the concept of a passage's overall purpose or goal. Indeed, a major focus of rhetoric is the study of author intentions, of what authors hope to accomplish with their writing. Regardless of which approach you choose when reading a CARS passage, it will always be worth your time to seek out the passage's goal. Make a guess about this goal as early in the passage as you can, modifying this guess if you encounter anything you didn't expect. When you finish the passage, be sure to take a moment and reflect on what you read. Ask yourself: Did the passage accomplish what I expected it to? If not, what was the author actually trying to do? Your answers to these questions will enable you to articulate the passage's goal in your own words, which, in turn, will translate to points on Main Idea questions and other CARS question types. Reading for Reasoning the final mode of reading is reading for reasoning, which focuses on the structure of arguments. Chapter 3 introduced the topic of arguments, so return there if you need a more thorough review of the topic. For

present purposes, recall that an argument, at its most basic level, consists of evidence (one or more supporting statements) and a conclusion (a supported statement). When you read for reasoning, you're on the lookout for these support relationships, as well as for refutations (also discussed in Chapter 3) that challenge particular claims. When you read for reasoning, you should ask yourself questions like the following: What is the author trying to convince the audience to believe? Does the author give any reasons to believe this sentence is true? Does this new claim make a previous one more plausible, or does it perhaps challenge an earlier one? What evidence or refutations does the author provide for this opinion? Which claims in the passage are given the most support? Logic keywords, discussed later this chapter, can assist you in identifying the structure of arguments in a passage. In addition to looking out for conclusions, evidence, and refutations within paragraphs, you should also consider whether the author uses a larger argument to structure the entire passage. For example, an author might introduce a controversial claim in the first paragraph, and then provide a few different types of evidence for that claim in each of the paragraphs that follows. Alternatively, an author may introduce a claim in one paragraph, support it in the next with some evidence, and then offer additional support (sub-evidence) for that evidence in a third paragraph. At other times, an author will present a claim, perhaps even give a little evidence for it, and then go on to refute the claim in subsequent paragraphs. Being mindful of these and similar argument structures can help you better understand a wide variety of passages.

Reading and Keywords

Keywords are words and short phrases that reveal a passage's structure and its author's intentions. Keywords are the connective tissue of a text, holding ideas together in a particular way that allows the passage to do what its author wants. Keywords do not refer to a passage's major ideas (its content), but are instead common words that many readers pay little attention to: conjunctions and other transition words, prepositions, adjectives, and adverbs. Paying attention to these often-overlooked words can give you an advantage on Test Day by enhancing your understanding of even the most difficult passages. The following sections of this chapter will introduce Relation, Logic, and Author keywords, as well as advice on using

them to read strategically. At the surface level, keywords can tell you what is important in a passage, letting you know where in a passage you should be focusing your attention. Toward this end, one useful approach is to assign colors to different categories of keywords: green, yellow, and red. In this traffic light system, green keywords indicate that you should keep moving (expecting to see more of the same), yellow keywords indicate that you should slow down and look out for author opinions or shifts in the direction of the passage, and red keywords suggest “Stop! Here is something important to be The way the traffic light system should be applied to different types of keywords is discussed throughout the rest of Chapter 5. Generally speaking, Continuation keywords will be green lights, Contrast and Author keywords will be yellow lights, and Logic keywords will be red lights. However, there are exceptions to these rules, so review the following material carefully.

5.2 Relation Keywords

When tackling a CARS passage, it is essential to recognize how what you’re reading now fits into the text as a whole. Relation keywords are words and phrases that reveal specific kinds of relationships between ideas. While there are many ways in which ideas can be related, the vast majority of Relation keywords will fall into one of two subcategories: Continuation or Contrast. Continuation keywords indicate that the coming material is an extension of a previous statement and include and, also, moreover, furthermore, and so on. In addition, phrases that indicate examples (such as, for instance, and take the case of) fall into this category, as do demonstrative pronouns (this, that, these, and those). Generally speaking, when a sentence or clause begins with a Continuation keyword, it will be continuing in the same vein as what came before. In other words, it won’t be saying anything particularly new. As a consequence, if you understood the preceding material, you can generally read a clause that follows a Continuation keyword quickly, briskly moving through the text until a new keyword signals something different. On the other hand, if you struggled to understand a particular sentence, but see a Continuation keyword at the beginning of the next sentence, keep moving forward—the author is likely to continue with the same idea but may rephrase it in easier words. In our traffic light analogy, Continuation keywords would be green lights,

signaling you to Even though they are not technically keywords, certain punctuation marks can also indicate that a similar idea is coming up. Most notably, colons (:) and semicolons (;) are commonly employed to function as the verbal equivalent of the equals sign (=). The use of dashes—such as the ones surrounding this clause—and parentheses (the marks enclosing this phrase) also tend to indicate elaboration upon the same general theme. Finally, keep an eye out for quotation marks (""): while quotes can serve a variety of functions, one of the most common is to use another person's voice to restate the point the author just made. On the other hand, Contrast keywords will usually merit additional attention when you encounter them, as they tend to suggest more interesting (and therefore testable) relationships than Continuity keywords. Common Contrast keywords such as but, yet, however, although, and otherwise signal a change in the direction of the text. Like Continuation keywords, Contrast keywords serve as transitions between sentences, but they can also indicate deeper conceptual relations—the contrast between two solutions to a political problem, a point of disagreement between various critics of a literary work, or a rapid change in opinion from one time period to another, to give just a few examples. The connections between ideas are among the most commonly tested aspects of CARS passages, so strive to understand these relationships with as much specificity as the given clues allow. To this end, view Contrast keywords as yellow lights in our traffic light analogy. When you come across a Contrast keyword, be prepared to slow down and read carefully to ensure you follow the author's transition of thought. When you encounter a Contrast keyword, slow down your reading or (if needed) even stop! These keywords signify a change in the author's focus or a direct contrast between two things; either way, we need to know how the trajectory of the passage is changing to keep a step ahead of the author. While punctuation symbols often indicate continuation, this is not always true. If a punctuation symbol is accompanied by another type of keyword, the actual word or phrase generally takes precedence in determining the relationship (for example, a semicolon followed by yet usually suggests a point of contrast rather than continuation). This points out the greater importance of anticipating while you

read; a great score in CARS depends on your ability to be a critical reader rather than a passive reader. When we passively read for pleasure, we tend to glide over text and often only understand the superficial message of the written work. In critical reading, we are continuously questioning the text and setting expectations for where the author will go with an idea. Even if they are not met, it is still worthwhile to set these expectations. In fact, when an author takes a starkly different route in a part of the passage than we expect, the testmaker is more likely to ask about the sudden contrast in the text. What is rhetorically unusual in the passage and frustrates expectations becomes excellent material for MCAT.

More Complex Relationships

While the keywords considered under this heading might broadly count as Contrast keywords, they designate special types of difference and are especially ripe for CARS questions. While by no means exhaustive of the types of relations you might see on Test Day, Oppositions, Sequences, and Comparisons are three of the most common. Words and phrases like not, never, on the contrary, and as opposed to indicate not merely a contrast but an outright Opposition or conflict between ideas. Many authors of passages used in CARS like to create dichotomies, which are divisions of entities into two categories. These categories are considered mutually exclusive, meaning that they don't overlap. We use of either ... or, on the one hand ... on the other hand, and similar parallel phrase constructions are good indications of this phenomenon. Often, but not always, these dichotomies will be depicted as exhaustive, meaning that everything falls into one of the two categories. For example, an author writing about human behavior might claim that all actions are either free choices or involuntary reflexes, leaving no space for shades of gray. Because these dichotomies are frequently tested on the MCAT, treat Opposition keywords as yellow lights. Slow down to determine why the author is presenting this opposition and how it affects their overall argument. Some Relation keywords suggest a series of events advancing in time: initially, first, second, third, next, subsequently, before, after, last, and finally. These words will usually be spaced relatively evenly throughout the passage, or at least throughout a paragraph or two, so note how they organize the text into chunks. Sequences are something

of a hybrid between Continuation and Contrast, with each word suggesting not only a connection to a larger process but also a departure from the other steps in the series. Generally, you're better off taking your time with these, at least until you have a good idea of how the sequence will unfold. However, you will often find Sequence keywords require less of a "slow down" than other yellow light keywords. Some sequences can set up a clear contrast between time periods. Historically, traditionally, used to, originally, and—when used in comparison to a later time—initially and before can be used as time-based Contrast keywords when contrasted with words like now, currently, modern, later, and after. Such a setup often implies that new information was learned or discovered in the intervening time: the traditional interpretation vs. a more modern understanding or historically, we thought vs. but now, we know. Sometimes, authors will evaluate ideas and rank them relative to other ideas. More often than not, authors will consider only two concepts at a time, contrasting them through the use of Comparison keywords like more, less, better, and worse. At said, occasionally authors will compare three or more items, or offer vague judgments of superiority (or inferiority) of one item over all others, reflected by superlatives such as most, least, best, and worst. When revealing attitudes, Comparison keywords function more like Author keywords, further explained later in the chapter. In our traffic light analogy, Comparison keywords require more attention than most Contrast keywords and should be viewed as yellow lights. You should slow down and notice what entities are being compared and the author's opinion on them. A common wrong answer trap in CARS is to suggest that the author makes a comparison between two entities from the passage that were not actually directly compared. By taking special note of the comparisons the author does make, you can avoid such traps. Table 5.1 lists examples of Relation keywords in each category. Note that some words can fit into more than one category; for example, not reveals a contrast, but it can also indicate a direct opposition. Table 5.1 Common Relation Keywords either ... or as opposed to on the contrary on the one hand ... on the other hand in other words on the other hand take the case of at the same time as well as () [parentheses] " " [quotes] Simply memorizing lists of

keywords is not sufficient to extract all of the information from a passage. A Contrast keyword can serve slightly different functions depending on the context in which the word is found. Recognize that MCAT CARS success is not about memorizing the fact that but, yet, and however are Contrast keywords, but is instead about understanding how Contrast keywords reveal changes in direction in a passage.

5.3 Logic Keywords

Reading for reasoning is perhaps the most difficult of the reading modes because the one-way support relationship between a conclusion and its evidence is among the most complex you'll encounter on Test Day. Logic keywords are words and phrases that signal these support (and refutation) relationships. Unfortunately, they tend to be relatively rare, occurring less frequently than either Relation or Author keywords in most passages. Notwithstanding these difficulties, Logic keywords are a powerful tool: once you gain proficiency in recognizing them and understanding what they entail, you'll find the many CARS questions on reasoning much less daunting.

Evidence and Conclusion

In Chapter 3: Rhetoric and Arguments, we defined an argument as a relationship between two claims, a conclusion and its evidence. To review, a conclusion is a claim that the author (or whomever the author is speaking for) is trying to convince the audience to believe, while pieces of evidence are the reasons that are given for believing it. Determine whether the conclusion is one that the author would endorse or whether it is intended to represent some other viewpoint by paying attention to nearby Author keywords (discussed later) and other clues. Having trouble figuring out what part of the argument a Logic keyword is indicating? Try this simple substitution test: If you can replace the word or phrase with because or because of, then whatever follows is a piece of evidence. If, instead, therefore would preserve the meaning, the subsequent claim is a conclusion. Typical examples of Evidence keywords are because, since, if, why, the reason is, for example, on account of, due to, as a result of, is justified by, and a few others. There is a bit less variety in Conclusion keywords, which also tend to occur less frequently than Evidence keywords. The most important conclusion words to know are therefore, thus, then, so, consequently, leading to, resulting in, argue, and conclude. Sometimes Evidence and Conclusion keywords are used to signal cause-and-effect

relationships, rather than arguments. This most commonly occurs in scientific and historical explanations of phenomena. Fortunately, while causation differs from argumentation in important ways, they share a consistent relationship to the Logic keyword subcategories. When used for causation, Evidence keywords will always indicate a cause and Conclusion keywords will always indicate an effect. In our traffic light analogy, both Conclusion and Evidence keywords should be viewed as red lights. When you encounter Evidence keywords, stop and ask yourself, What is this supporting? Where is the conclusion? When you encounter Conclusion keywords, take note of whose conclusion it is (the author's or some other view's) and be on the lookout for its evidence (if any is provided). Refutation keywords will not always be included in the presentation of an argument, but they are effectively the opposite of evidence—countervailing reasons for rejecting a conclusion. They include words such as despite, notwithstanding, challenge, object, counter, critique, conflict, and problem. Given the opinionated nature of Refutation keywords, you should consider why the author included the refutation. Was it to attack an idea that the author has a negative view on? Or perhaps the author intentionally put forward a weak refutation only to later refute the refutation, thus supporting the original contention. No matter the purpose of the refutation, it is important that you notice it and consider its purpose—refutations are relatively rare, so if a passage features one or more of them, expect to see questions on them. For this reason, Refutation keywords should be viewed as red lights, as with the other Logic Table 5.2 lists examples of Logic keywords in each category.

Table 5.2 Common Logic Keywords

the reason is as a result of as evident in called into question by

5.4 Author Keywords

Author keywords can be among the most subtle clues that you'll encounter on Test Day, but they are crucial for answering the many questions you'll face that ask about the author's attitudes, whether directly or indirectly. Authors of passages used in CARS rarely say I believe or it seems to me (and if you do find this language, it's more often in the humanities than the social sciences). Instead, they are more likely to hint at their opinions by selecting verbs, nouns, adjectives, and adverbs that carry a particular emotional valence—a connotation of either approval or disapproval. Moreover,

authors will use characteristic words and short phrases to make their claims more extreme (indicating emphasis and strengthening ideas), as well as others that moderate their claims (qualifying or limiting what they are saying and weakening ideas). Given the importance of establishing the author's tone and opinions, it may seem appropriate to make them red lights in our traffic light analogy. However, because Author keywords are so common, they are typically best viewed as yellow lights: slow down and take notice, particularly noting the context of the keyword so you know who feels a certain way and about what topic. In passages with multiple arguments or viewpoints, you may have to treat some Author keywords as red lights, simply because their context is complicated enough to require you to stop and clarify which perspective holds what opinion.

Positive vs. Negative Understanding the author's attitude becomes a much simpler matter if we employ a metaphor taken from the sciences. Just as an atom or molecule might possess an electrostatic charge, so too can a word or phrase contain a kind of emotional charge that may be positive or negative. Because most of the language in CARS passages tends to be "uncharged" or neutral, you'll predominantly pay attention to the exceptions—those cases in which terms have clear positive or negative

Positive keywords include nouns such as masterpiece, genius, and triumph; verbs such as excel, succeed, and know; adjectives such as compelling, impressive, and elegant; and adverbs such as correctly, reasonably, and fortunately. Among Negative keywords would be nouns such as disaster, farce, and limitation; verbs such as miss, fail, and confuse; adjectives such as problematic, so-called, and deceptive; and adverbs such as questionably, merely, and purportedly. Most CARS passages contain strong, but not necessarily extreme, opinions. For example, a typical CARS passage might provide several refutations to challenge a particular theory, but its author likely wouldn't claim that the theory is "utter nonsense." Passages with neutral authors, such as might be found in a typical textbook, are not usually selected for the CARS section because they feature less testable material. Keep in mind that, just as there is a difference between a cation with a +1 charge and one with a +3 charge, so too is there a difference between a moderately positive opinion and an extremely positive one. For instance,

an author probably approves more strongly of a novelist described as a masterful artist than one portrayed merely as a quality writer. Consequently, it may be helpful to think of the author's attitude as varying along a spectrum or continuum, with extremely positive opinions on the one end and extremely negative on the other, as in Figure 5.1. Note that most authors' attitudes in CARS fall in a comfortable middle ground between being too extreme and being too moderate, as implied by the relative widths of the sections in the diagram.

Figure 5.1 The Spectrum of Author Attitudes (Linear)

Note that in addition to positive, negative, or neutral, an author can also be ambivalent. Ambivalence literally means feeling both ways, and it is as different from impartiality—having no strong opinion one way or another—as the set of 1 and -1 is from the number 0. Continuing the analogy with an electrostatic charge, an ambivalent attitude is like an amino acid in its zwitterionic form, with both a positively charged and a negatively charged end, and an impartial attitude is like an uncharged, unpolarized atom. Describing the net zero charge of a zwitterion as merely “neutral” would mean neglecting its distinctive properties. The MCAT won't let you get away with such oversimplifications! While they are both neutral overall, these two attitudes are very different: Ambivalent = having both a positive and negative opinion; Impartial = having neither a positive nor negative opinion.

Placing a particular idea on the author-attitude spectrum above becomes easier by paying attention to Extreme keywords, a type of Author keyword that you can imagine as enhancing the charge of what the author is saying, forcing the author into one or the other extreme. These words and short phrases are functionally equivalent to exclamation points (!), offering insight into what the author feels passionately about and regards as important. Examples of Extreme keywords include indeed, very, really, quite, primarily, especially, obviously, foremost, always, in fact, above all, and it is clear that. Note that words that indicate necessity, like need and must, also serve as Extreme keywords, as do words that indicate value judgments like should and ought—these tend to be rare in CARS passages, so they deserve special consideration when they appear. Authors will sometimes modify the strength of their claims in the other direction by using qualifying language, also known as hedging. Moderating keywords

are those words that set limits on claims in order to make them easier to support (because a stronger statement is always more difficult to prove than a weaker one). For example, it would be an extreme claim to say that human beings are motivated only by greed. Though some might agree with this formulation, the bulk of MCAT authors would sooner water it down by saying something like in many aspects of life, humans are predominantly motivated by greed, or even further limit it to a subset of human beings, such as investment bankers are often motivated by greed. Such modifications transform a controversial claim into one that is much more moderate.

Among the most important Moderating keywords are those that use the language of possibility, such as can, could, may, and might. Claims about what is possible are always weaker than claims about what is definitely true. Other Moderating keywords include limits on time or place, whether stated specifically or in vague phrases such as now, here, at times, in some cases, and in this instance. Still others will impose general constraints on meaning; examples include in this sense, according to this interpretation, and in a manner of speaking.

Accounting for Opposition One final consideration when working with Author keywords is contradiction or opposition, a special type of Contrast keyword mentioned above. It can be particularly tricky to figure out the authors' attitudes in CARS when they use double negatives (or worse!). The key is to remember that the opposite of an extreme statement will typically be a moderate statement of the reverse charge. In the diagram below, which is just a slight rearrangement of the author attitude spectrum presented in Figure 5.2, a word of opposition will typically serve to flip the author's view 180 degrees.

Figure 5.2 The Spectrum of Author Attitudes (Circular) So, if an author were to claim that a particular event is impossible, this statement would fall somewhere in the extremely negative range. On the other hand, suggesting the situation was not impossible would be making a moderately positive claim, effectively saying that it was possible. Be aware, however, that some words retain their strength even when accompanied with not or some other word of opposition—for instance, must is extremely positive in charge, while must not is extremely negative in charge. Table 5.3 lists examples of Author keywords in each category.

Table 5.3 Common Author Keywords in

this case in some sense We began this chapter with a discussion of the modes of reading and finished with a discussion of keywords, but these two topics are closely interrelated. Reading for purpose is aided by close attention to Relation and Author keywords, while reading for reasoning is made simpler when authors use Logic keywords. As you continue your CARS practice, try the reading strategies discussed in this chapter (the modes of reading, the keyword categories, and the traffic light system) until you have a solid grasp of them. In conjunction with the other Kaplan strategies, they'll help you get that higher score in CARS. e next chapter focuses on another CARS strategy: distilling passage information. Concept and Strategy Summary Reading Strategically with Keywords Keywords are words and short phrases that reveal passage structure and When we read for content, we ask, What does the text say? You are never expected to have prior familiarity with the content of a passage in CARS. When reading for content is a struggle, reading for purpose and for reasoning can help you make sense of the passage. When we read for purpose, we ask, Why does the author include this? and Why does the author write? Reading for purpose is guided by Relation keywords and Author When we read for reasoning, we ask, What is the conclusion? How are claims supported? and How are claims challenged? Reading for reasoning is guided by Logic keywords. Differentiating between conclusions, evidence, and refutations can help you make sense of the author's larger argument in the passage. Keywords serve as signs that indicate where you should focus your attention. As an analogy, you can consider different categories of keywords to be different colors of a traffic light (green, yellow, and red). Relation keywords are words and phrases that reveal specific kinds of relationships between ideas. Continuation keywords indicate that the following material continues in the same vein as the preceding material (green light). Contrast keywords signal a change in the trajectory of the passage (yellow Opposition keywords are particularly strong Contrast keywords that create a dichotomy, or divisions of entities into two categories (yellow light). Mutually exclusive categories do not overlap. When dichotomies are exhaustive, all relevant entities fit into one or the Sequence keywords suggest a series of events advancing in time. ey may also be used

to set up a contrast between two time periods (yellow light). Comparison keywords rank ideas relative to each other (yellow light). Logic keywords indicate relationships of support and refutation (all types are red lights). Conclusion keywords signal what the author is trying to convince the audience to believe. Evidence keywords indicate reasons why the audience should believe the Refutation keywords signal reasons for rejecting a conclusion. Author keywords indicate the author's feelings or opinions about a topic Positive and Negative keywords indicate whether an author likes, agrees with, or supports a topic, or dislikes, disagrees with, or opposes a topic. An absence of either type suggests an author is neutral with respect to a topic. An author with an ambivalent attitude has both positive and negative opinions on a topic. An author with an impartial attitude has neither positive nor negative opinions on a topic. Extreme keywords enhance the charge of what the author is saying. Moderating keywords permit the author to qualify a claim, or hedge. e opposite of an extreme statement tends to be a moderate statement with the opposite charge.

Distilling Passage Information Distilling Passage Information In This Chapter 6.1 Choosing Your Approach Triaging the Passage Approaching the Passage 6.2 Highlighting the Passage What Is Highlighting? How to Highlight as a Method When to Choose Highlighting Highlighting Method Example 6.3 Outlining the Passage What Is Outlining? How to Build an Outline When to Choose Outlining Outlining Method Example 6.4 Interrogating the Passage What Is Interrogating? How to Interrogate a Passage When to Choose Interrogating Interrogating Method Example Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 6, you will be able to:

- Differentiate between the Highlighting, Outlining, and Interrogating approaches to Identify the types of passages best suited for each method
- Apply each of the three methods to sample MCAT passages
- Step beyond the text to analyze the underlying themes of the passage

Not all passages on the MCAT are created equal. As we discussed in Chapter 4 of MCAT CARS Review, you will use things like passage topic, sentence structure, writing style, and passage length to triage each passage on the test and decide which ones you want to save for later. Once you decide to attempt a passage, you must have an action plan. Just like you wouldn't treat a five

year old with a cold the same way you would treat an eighty-five year old with chronic heart failure and asthma, you are not necessarily going to approach a long, complex humanities passage the same way you might approach a more concise, clear social sciences passage. Remember, one of our main tasks when working through a CARS passage is to truly understand the big picture of the passage. So, it is essential to read actively and methodically so you do not miss key details or insights. During this chapter, we'll explore different ways to approach passages so that, come Test Day, you will be equipped with both the ability to gauge the best way to handle a passage and the skill to use your chosen method on that passage. This chapter will begin by introducing three different approaches to completing MCAT CARS passages and discussing their respective pros and cons. We will then carry out a more in-depth discussion of each approach, and we will end by seeing how each approach can be applied to a sample passage.

6.1 Choosing Your Approach

Interviewing a new patient can feel like an uphill battle against an onslaught of information, and so can reading through a CARS passage. Having a solid plan for how to distill and organize the most important information from a CARS passage allows you to gather the context you need without getting overwhelmed by extraneous material. In this section, we will introduce the three different methods for distilling a passage (each of these will be covered in depth later in this chapter as well). Note that you should plan to practice all three of these methods throughout your prep so that you can easily choose the best method for each passage on Test Day.

Triaging the Passage

Remember, we're not reading CARS passages for pleasure, the way we might read a novel or a magazine. In CARS, certain parts of the passage will inevitably contain more testable information than others. So, given the tight time constraints, you need to develop strategies to navigate through passages effectively and to identify the most important pieces of information as you read. In Chapter 4, we discussed how to determine the order in which you will work the passages. Once you have decided to commit to and read a passage, use the conclusions you made while triaging to influence the approach you use to work through that passage. For example, you will probably not approach a long, complex philosophy passage the same way you would

approach a more straightforward literature passage. While a long, complex passage is often best met with a quick reading focused on identifying big picture concepts, a straightforward passage is often better handled with a more detailed read and with a greater focus on identifying the rationale behind the author's arguments. One of the best things about having multiple strategies in your toolbox is that you can pick and choose the best approach for each passage rather than sticking to a "one-size-fits-all" approach for every passage in the section; so don't be afraid to change it up as you go. As was referenced in Chapter 4, you can either wait and Choose your approach when you are actually working the passage, or you can preemptively Choose as you triage each passage. In either case, you will want to make an active decision about how you are going to Read and Distill the passage. Chapter 4 focused heavily on Previewing the passage, the process by which you will quickly gain information about the passage and determine difficulty. This allows you to triage each passage (decide whether to work it now or later), but that same information gathered in the Preview step is also used for Choosing your passage approach. Refer to Chapter 4 for more information on the Preview step. A key strategy for identifying where to read thoroughly, as opposed to skimming quickly, is noting the use of keywords and punctuation. For example, since Continuation keywords indicate that more of the same is coming, if you understand what has already been stated, then save yourself time by reading quickly through that portion. Conversely, Contrast keywords indicate that a shift in focus, a new piece of information, an alternative viewpoint, or some other kind of change is up ahead, essentially telling you to slow down and to ensure that you don't miss something important. Furthermore, Conclusion keywords indicate that the major point of an argument is ahead, so it is worth stopping and ensuring that you completely understand the point before moving forward in the passage. Keywords are discussed in depth in Chapter Five. Approaching the Passage The ultimate goal of the Distill step of the CARS passage method is to help you identify what is most critical in each paragraph of the passage. You want to have some concept of the main idea and overall argument of each paragraph. This will save you time on questions, because you will already

have a solid idea of what is contained in each paragraph and how that information fits together. As a result, you will be able to find specific details or supporting evidence in the passage more easily. We can compare this process to the scientific process of distilling a liquid: you are removing the impurities (the extraneous information) from the passage. And you are left with a purified product consisting of only the information relevant to answering the questions associated with the passage. This step can be done using one of three approaches. We'll describe each approach in broad strokes over the next couple pages. Then we'll drill down into each approach and examine how and when to implement that approach, the pros and cons of that approach, and Worked Examples.

Highlighting the Passage

For some situations, and especially in a time crunch, a thorough read of the passage that revolves around taking notes or questioning the purpose of every sentence is going to be too much to accomplish in the limited time allotted. If you are short on time, if you are unable to completely understand the content of a paragraph and you know you won't understand even with more time, or if the passage is simply too long to accomplish with the other methods, then Highlighting can be a great choice. Focus on highlighting a phrase that points to the main idea or purpose of each paragraph, so that when you return to the passage while working through a question, you can easily identify the high-yield information. Highlighting doesn't allow you to create a true paraphrase of the main idea, so you'll need to rely on finding the most helpful phrase or word already located in the paragraph. Note that if you're using the Highlighting method, you should not be highlighting random words that you don't know, or highlighting details. Instead, highlight words that will allow you to quickly relocate where main ideas were found throughout the passage. Finally, when you reach the end of the passage, take a moment to consider why the author wrote the passage. Some examples include: to argue a point, to discuss a topic, to contrast two different ideas. From a timing perspective, the Highlighting approach is the quickest of the three methods we'll discuss. Highlighting allows you to work through the passage in 2–3 minutes. Furthermore, the highlighting you did should allow you to find main themes and search the passage more

efficiently. However, you should be aware that the Highlighting method is going to require that you spend more time on the questions, as compared to the other two methods. is time will be spent returning to the passage for further research. With the Highlighting approach in particular, it is imperative that you work all passage questions immediately following the passage, with no intention of coming back to any questions aer looking at other passages. Your highlighting will make the most sense immediately aer you've done it, and if you look at other materials in the interim, it's unlikely that you'll be able to return to the passage and decipher your Outlining the Passage

When composing a paper for your high school or college English classes, you likely were asked to first create an outline. In this outline, you recorded the purpose of each paragraph you intended to write, and then you used that outline as a template for the full paper. e Outlining strategy for CARS passages is essentially the reverse of that: you will take a full passage and reverse engineer the outline that captures each paragraph's purpose. Like with the Highlighting approach, the goal of Outlining is to identify the main idea and the purpose of each paragraph. However, the Outlining approach goes a step further, asking you to use your own words to summarize the main points and to record these main points on your scratch paper. To Outline, simply jot down a summary of the purpose of each paragraph as you read. You may find a paragraph's purpose toward the beginning, middle, or end of the paragraph. But in any case, read all the way to the end of each paragraph, and only then pause to record your outline of that paragraph— this is, aer all, the Outlining method, not the “note-taking” method! Finally, as with the Highlighting method, when you reach the end of the passage, take a moment to consider why the author wrote the passage. Compared to the fast, lightweight Highlighting method, the Outlining method adds two large pieces of value: first, Outlining allows you to use your own words to clearly and concisely summarize main ideas and themes, meaning you are not relying on the sometimes obtuse language used in the passage. Second, your Outline creates a “table of contents” for the passage, which should make it easier to go back and find information necessary to answer questions. Perhaps surprisingly, you can oen find important information

more rapidly and more precisely using your outline than you could using highlights. From a timing perspective, the Outlining approach will take you 3–4 minutes per passage.

Interrogating the Passage e Interrogating method is based on this principle: if you take the time to fully understand why an author has written a passage, and if you reframe the passage content in a context that makes sense to you, then you will be well prepared to answer questions about that passage. In the Interrogating method, you will approach the passage by questioning why portions of the text were included and how they connect to the rest of the author's argument. Interrogating is active reading. To interrogate the passage is to continually ask "why" and "how" questions as you read. This strategy amounts to a deeper read even than Outlining. When Outlining, you are attempting to capture the main purpose at the paragraph level. But when Interrogating, your goal is to capture the purpose of each individual conclusion, piece of evidence, example, counterexample, and so on. And, as with the other methods, when you reach the end of a passage while Interrogating, you'll stop to consider why the author wrote the passage overall, in light of everything you have read.

e Interrogating method sounds time consuming, and it is. But there are two major ways you'll gain time back when you Interrogate a passage. First, unlike the Outlining method, you won't write anything down while Interrogating. You won't need to. e goal of Interrogating is to generate enough comfort with the author's argument that you rarely need to refer back to the passage at all. You just won't need the reference points that Highlighting or Outlining provide.

This leads to the second way that Interrogating saves time. When you have developed the skill of Interrogating, you'll be able to answer most questions confidently and immediately, usually without referring back to the passage at all. Expect that Interrogating a passage will take 4–6 minutes per passage, or perhaps longer for the toughest/longest passages you could see on Test 6.2

Highlighting the Passage Each of these three approaches comes with its own strengths and weaknesses. It is up to you to determine which approach will best fit each passage.

e first of these approaches is the Highlighting method, which should enable you to work through the passage in as little as 2–3 minutes.

What Is Highlighting? e goal of the

Highlighting approach is to make it easier for you to locate main ideas and high-yield information as you return to the passage to answer questions. As you read the passage, keep an eye out for main themes of each paragraph, as these themes are likely to be the focus of multiple questions. Rather than highlighting as you go, aim to read a few sentences, process the information from them, and then highlight the key phrase or phrases, if there are any. It is important to note that highlighting is for your eyes, not for your brain. You need to fight the impulse to highlight full sentences, long phrases, or every other word, and instead use your highlighting tool sparingly. By highlighting less, your focus will be drawn to keywords and main ideas, making it easier to track down correct answers. Do your best to be stringent with your highlighting, and always remember the mantra "Highlighting everything is like highlighting nothing." One more benefit to targeted and thoughtful highlighting is that it forces you to actively analyze what passage information is worth highlighting, giving you a deeper understanding of the passage as a result. Finally, that although the Highlighting method requires the least amount of time to perform on Test Day, Highlighting does require a good deal of practice beforehand in order to learn how to highlight well. How to Highlight as a Method

Key words and phrases discussed below will be used in passages to depict major changes or unique aspects, which are highly likely to be tested. Remember, in order to easily locate important ideas when you return to the passage, only highlight the first few words of a phrase of interest. As you work through the passage, the following are **MUST** highlights:

- A term that captures the essence of the sentences around it. For example, generosity to stand in for details about the numerous charitable donations made by a famous philanthropist.
- A detail that departs from the central idea of the paragraph. For example, a complete change in subject.
- A word that captures or indicates a position shift. For example, the introduction of a counterargument.
- A word that indicates the start of a new major perspective. For example, the introduction of a new school of thought.

In contrast with the **MUST** highlights, the following types of words have the potential to be tested, but it is up to you to determine the likelihood and highlight or not highlight accordingly. The following are **MAYBE** highlights:

Specific, new terms that are defined within the passage. For example, the word troika to mean a group of three people working Names and Dates. Oen, names and dates are simply included as supplemental details. Names and dates should only be highlighted if they are a key part of an argument in the passage. Finally, the following are things you absolutely do NOT want to highlight in the passage. While these suggestions may depart from what you might typically find yourself highlighting as you work through a textbook or academic paper, remember that the goal of Highlighting on the MCAT is to understand the main idea and to make the passage more searchable once you get to the questions. e following are do NOT highlights: Background information in the first paragraph if it seems likely that this information will be discussed in greater detail through the rest of the passage. is situation occurs most commonly when the first paragraph is short, serving to merely introduce the topic at hand without providing significant analysis. Anything in the first or last line of a paragraph. By nature of its location, information in the first or last line of a paragraph is already easy to find, should you need to return to it. Words that have the same meaning or represent the same concept as a previously highlighted word. Full sentences or more than one highlight per sentence. Note that while keywords like "because," "therefore," "argue," etc. indicate that a transition to new information is imminent, the words that come directly aer such transition words tend to be most important to the passage. So when you identify these transition keywords in the text, consider highlighting the words that follow in order to capture the unique, highly testable passage details. ere may be instances where you are unable to identify main ideas as you read, or you simply may not understand what you are reading. In these instances, the best plan of action is to highlight words that will help you locate information quickly when questions ask about it. As you become a more effective highlighter, you will find yourself able to skim portions of the text and feel confident that your highlighting will allow you to find relevant information without having to reread large parts of the Remember, you can still highlight in the other two approaches, when applicable, but the rules you use to determine what should be highlighted will differ from those listed above. With the

Highlighting approach, your highlights are meant to draw your eye to main ideas and major shifts. In the other two approaches, highlights can be used to help you isolate specific ideas or details that support the information in your outline or analysis. When to Choose Highlighting

ough the Highlighting method can technically be used for any passage, there are certain passages where Highlighting is the preferred approach. For example, this method is particularly suitable for passages that would otherwise take a large amount of time. us, Highlighting is a good choice for passages that are very long. It is oen the best strategy to use if a passage appears to be particularly dense, when an in-depth analysis would take far too much time to carry out. And because Highlighting does not require paraphrasing, it is the perfect choice for passages where you struggle to understand what you are reading. Highlighting also effectively counters passages with unusual formatting. Some passages feature long paragraphs lacking natural breaks or transitions. Because highlights draw your eye back to the information that is worth re- reading, Highlighting can help you navigate such passages. At the other end of the formatting spectrum, Highlighting is also surprisingly effective on passages that feature a large number of unusually short paragraphs. Attempting to Outline every paragraph of a passage that consists of a dozen short paragraphs would be way too time consuming, but Highlighting just the main ideas from the most important of those paragraphs is much In summary, Highlighting allows you to utilize the computer-based testing tools to quickly parse long, dense, or unusually formatted passages. is method does not require in-depth comprehension or extensive note-taking. However, this approach is not without potential pitfalls. If you do opt to use the Highlighting method on a passage, be aware that Highlighting's rapid pace and lack of paraphrasing can lead to limited understanding of the text. So, for passages that require in-depth analysis (more common among humanities passages), a different approach might be more suitable. Additionally, because Highlighting relies on the exact wording from the text, this method can make Faulty Use of Detail answer choices seem exceedingly tempting. erefore, when you use this method, take the time as you work through the questions to investigate the passage and rephrase passage text, before

matching to an answer choice. Keep in mind that this method requires you to frequently refer back to the text when answering questions, so choosing to Highlight a passage does not mean you're totally off the hook for in-depth passage analysis. You will just do the majority of your analysis as part of your investigation, of the questions. Faulty Use of Detail answer choices, as well as other wrong answer pathologies, are discussed in Chapter 8: Question and Answer Strategy.

Highlighting Method Example e passage below has long paragraphs with deep discussions of abstract ideas and is relatively complex in its presentation; as such, the Highlighting method is ideal for this passage. Highlighting will help you to locate major high-yield concepts and to keep track of multiple perspectives. Your aim is to work through the passage as quickly as possible while still capturing some idea of the author's purpose. In this way, you will have time to perform the deep analysis of important sections of the passage that some questions require. Remember that Highlighting goes hand-in-hand with active reading. Do not get lazy and just highlight keywords. Instead, read a couple of sentences and then challenge yourself to highlight the word or phrase that accurately describes the main idea of what you just read. Notice that not every detail is highlighted in the passage below. Rather, only the high-yield, likely-to-be-tested ideas are targeted.

Alienation is a fundamental concept in present-day thought about the human being and his/her spatial and social environment. Alienation depends on transformation; without change-for-the-better, alienation cannot exist, and therefore the concept of transformation—and not mere change—must be understood before alienation can be understood. The concepts of transformation and alienation have only been current since the nineteenth century. The theories that form the original concept of alienation are those of Hegel, Marx, and Nietzsche.

What did the first paragraph reveal about the passage? What are the key terms that we need to know? Alienation is the theme of this paragraph and possibly this entire passage. However, since it is the first word of the passage, there is no need to highlight it. I need to highlight more important details, like "transformation," which according to the paragraph is critical for understanding the passage. More importantly, the end of the paragraph reveals that the passage will discuss three names. This is a cue that I should be

prepared to compare and contrast the three ideas. No need to highlight the names, as the future paragraphs will soon talk about them. To Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, a German philosopher who lived through the French Revolution, alienation was a value-adding process of self-creativity and self-discovery, which is the origin of Hegel's idea of *entfremdung* (alienation and estrangement) as an action to become other than oneself, to enter into what is other than the spirit or to become an alien to oneself. Hegel saw alienation as an experience with positive or value-adding. What can you highlight that can portray the most information about Hegel's definition of alienation? While I wanted to highlight "*entfremdung*" as that is a new term, I know that would not benefit me as much because that term is isolated. A better highlight is the definition: "become other than oneself." I also highlighted "positive" because I know it is important to note the author's perspective. To be invited into a desired social or cultural space, which is not the individual's local culture, requires extreme discipline in courtesy, education, and achievement. Hegel calls the self-sacrifice and strenuous effort it requires alienation. Hegel's alienation is the extra effort needed to raise an individual to another and desired level of culture—like the newly acquired social mobility of the social groupings he observed after the French Revolution. Only a few individuals attain alienation consciousness, because appreciating a foreign culture seems remote and unreachable, and even humiliating for the average individual. However, alienation consciousness bridges the gap(s) between cultures. Hegel's alienation is a conscious and intrinsic choice of an individual with a positive outcome for the individual and his/her society and culture over the long term. It is alienation from fellow human beings, which is different from Marx's iconic response to Hegel's theory of is paragraph is complicated. How can the details of this paragraph be related to the is paragraph shows one application of Hegel's alienation principle. For Hegel, alienation is necessary to move from one culture to another. The phrase "bridges the gap(s)" best captures this main idea, which is why I chose to highlight it. Though other relevant phrases exist, they are too long to concisely capture the same idea. I need the highlights to be efficient so I don't highlight everything and I can quickly locate the information later on. To Karl

Heinrich Marx, philosopher, economist, and sociologist, alienation was a forced separation of things—not other people—that naturally belong together, or antagonism between those who should be in harmony, with damaging long-term consequences. Marx's well-known illustration describes the productionline worker who is alienated—and deprived— from the products of his labour: profit, satisfaction, feedback, and creativity. Marx attributes alienation to the capitalistic economic system where the worker is a puppet in the hand of the capitalists. The individual workers do not have any choice in what to produce and how to produce and are therefore alienated from the product they produce. Marx's alienation is a negative experience of perpetual deficit. What is Marx's definition of I highlighted phrases and words that parallel the highlights in the Hegel paragraph. Unlike Hegel, Marx does not view alienation as positive, and sees it as "forced separation," not a way to evolve as Hegel had. Since I am unfamiliar with Marx's philosophy, I also highlighted the detail "alienated from the product," to identify a practical example of Marx's alienation, similar to my previous paragraph's highlights. While this may be a lot of highlighting in such a short paragraph, I know these highlights will be impactful because it will allow me to quickly compare the three theories directly. Hegel's and Marx's alienation refers to unintentional and gradual processes, whereas Brecht's alienation refers to a deliberate and immediate process. Whether alienation is the result of a gradual or an immediate and deliberate process, the experience is the same: an experience of dissonance and a desire to move toward the old or a new space of comfort. To the playwright, Eugen Berthold Friedrich Brecht, alienation is the distancing and estrangement effect or *Verfremdungseffekt*, when the audience was hindered from simply identifying itself with the actions and utterances of the performers when techniques designed to distance the audience are used or when using innovative theatrical techniques to de-familiarize the familiar in order to provoke a social-critical audience. Here we are introduced to the last Highlight his definition of alienation as compared to the previous two definitions. To follow the pattern of the previous highlights, I quickly found the key characteristic of Brecht's definition of alienation. "Deliberate and immediate" is a characteristic distinct from the previous two. The

Brechtian approach identifies acceptance or reception as the opposite of rejection or alienation. To alienate is, therefore, the deliberate choice of an artist on the reaction of the audience: to receive or to not-receive. He describes it as playing in such a way that the audience was hindered from simply identifying itself with the characters in the play. Acceptance or rejection of their actions and utterances was meant to take place on a conscious plane, instead of in the audience's subconscious. The artist challenges the audience-member therefore to venture into an unfamiliar space and to experience alienation in order to encounter the unknown. Brecht's alienation might be an instantaneous distancing experience, which might have a slow-developing positive or negative long-term effect. To Hegel, Marx, and Brecht, alienation is the process whereby people become foreign to the world they are living in. What new idea is introduced here? is paragraph talked about abstract and complicated topics. To stay focused, I stuck with concrete details. I first highlighted "reception as the opposite" to capture Brecht's view of the relationship between acceptance and alienation. e paragraph seems to suggest that the playwright wants the audience to experience alienation, and I highlighted "venture into a unfamiliar space" to capture that e last sentence of the passage provides a nice summary of what is shared by the three authors in their distinctive definitions of alienation. I did not need to highlight it because it is at the end and therefore easy to Adapted from Smit, E., & Nel, V. (2016). Alienation, reception and participative spatial planning on marginalised campuses during transformational processes. Cogent Arts & Choosing what to highlight and what not to highlight is a learned skill that improves with time and experience. e way to master this skill is to practice frequently, constantly asking yourself, Was this actually worth highlighting? Over time, this habit will fine-tune your ability to gauge what is likely to be tested and what can be written off as "fluff." And this skill will carry over even when you choose a different method for Distilling a passage. 6.3 Outlining the Passage As we saw in the last section, Highlighting is optimal for particularly long or dense passages, or for when you are low on time. Fortunately, most CARS passages do not present these challenges, and for many of these Outlining will be

a better choice. e Outlining approach focuses on identifying the main idea of each paragraph, and of the passage as a whole, before moving on to the questions. is approach lets you see how each paragraph contributes to the author's overall purpose, which enables you to predict answers to many questions more accurately, as well as eliminate wrong answer choices more efficiently. Using the Outlining method, you should take about 3–4 minutes to work through a passage.

What Is Outlining?

e goal of the Outlining approach is to extract the most important information from the passage and to summarize that information on your relationships among the many ideas in a passage, as well as how those ideas interlock to form the bigger picture of the passage. Consequently, before you even get to the questions, you will already have done some of the work required to answer them! A second asset of the Outlining approach is the end result of the process: your outline. e outline you construct for a passage is a vital tool that empowers you to locate specific details necessary to answer the accompanying questions. You can think of the outline as a map for the questions. Your outline helps guide you to the information you need.

How to Build an Outline

As you read, focus on determining the main idea of each paragraph. Once you reach the end of the paragraph, paraphrase the main idea in your own words and write your paraphrase on your notebook booklet. Optionally, you can add key features within the paragraph—major details or examples, key shis in scope or tone, and so on. Just keep these additional notes short, if you add any at all. Also, keep in mind that your outline is for your use only. As long as you can understand it, shorthand and symbols are fair game. And feel free to throw grammar and spelling to the wind, as long as it still makes sense to you! As you Outline, mentally note the existence of details, evidence, and examples, but don't spend too much time trying to understand these features of the passage. Use the red/yellow/green light keyword system (Chapter 5) to guide you quickly through the passage. Should a question ask about one of the passage's details or examples, a quick glance at your passage outline will tell you which paragraph to return to for further research. As you read and outline, look out for information that is more commonly tested, such as author opinions and the conclusions of arguments.

Spotting testable passage content is a skill that requires practice to master. As you complete more passages, you'll have an easier time determining what material is likely to return in the questions and what can be categorized as "fluff." One potential pitfall of Outlining is wasting time by including too much in your outline. Remember that information beyond a paragraph's main idea should only be added if it helps you locate testable content more. Since you won't be writing down minor details, evidence, and examples on your noteboard booklet, consider taking advantage of the highlighting tool to make it easier to find them when you return to the passage.

When to Choose Outlining e Outlining approach forces you to identify main ideas in your own words, which helps you predict answers quickly. As you develop the ability to make your outlines concise yet specific, you will find that you can answer many questions using your outline alone, and this will save you time. Additionally, rephrasing the key points while you construct your outline will make you less likely to select Faulty Use of Detail answer choices. Pausing after each paragraph to construct your outline may sound time consuming, but building an outline actually saves time and lowers stress. Outlining helps you move through the passage quickly, enabling you to skim details and examples, rather than spending time deciphering parts of the passage that may not even be referenced in the questions. e

Outlining strategy asks you to return to the passage as needed, so you don't have to get stressed if you don't understand every detail in every paragraph, provided you write something in your outline (even just a name or theory) that indicates where to go if a question references it. Outlining is especially useful for highly detailed or list-driven passages that require you to return to the passage frequently to identify details in order to answer questions. It can also help you keep different points of view organized, especially in passages that describe, compare, and contrast multiple groups' opinions. Organizing details and opinions in this way is particularly effective in passages with a straightforward structure, where one main theme is covered per paragraph. In short, Outlining is usually quite effective for the typical CARS passage. Finally, if you consider yourself to be a visual person, then having a physical map of the passage can help you organize what you read and keep you from

getting lost in the minutiae. Here are certain instances where Outlining may not be the best strategy to use. For example, some authors do not make their main points obvious. Time spent trying to paraphrase a rambling author is often time wasted, which can lead to stress. Likewise, if a rambling author causes you to ramble in your own outline, that's more wasted time! For these reasons, passages with wordy, dense paragraphs often lead to outlines that are wordy and dense. And getting lost in your own outline is certainly even worse than getting lost in the passage! At the other extreme, Outlining a passage with unusually short paragraphs could result in many trips to your notebook booklet, just to paraphrase a small amount of information per paragraph. As we saw in the Highlighting section, for passages with irregular formatting—very large paragraphs or unusually short paragraphs—both are better handled using Highlighting. Additionally, though some questions can be answered directly from the outline, the Outlining method still requires you to refer back to the text when answering many question types. So, take care to limit the time you spend Outlining and save yourself enough time to investigate those questions that require it. Building an outline, if done carelessly, can eat up a significant amount of time. Before choosing to Outline, ask yourself, "Would this passage be considerably easier to navigate with a table of contents?" Outlining is only good. Practice this and the other two strategies during your prep, and before long you'll learn when Outlining works best for you.

Outlining Method Example The passage in this section is an excellent fit for the Outlining method because it features a simple structure with a relatively straightforward purpose. Each paragraph is organized around a single goal, and we can identify each paragraph's goal from just the first few sentences. A number of paragraphs also contain Conclusion keywords, helping us identify these paragraphs' main ideas. In addition, the author of the passage is relatively light with jargon, which makes it easier to grasp the author's claims. All of these structural clues allow us to read with a focus on the big ideas, which we'll capture directly in our outline. The world of contemporary art is characterized by a growing number of artists experiencing an entrepreneurial venture. Especially in the context of performing arts, this has been lived both as a necessary and a

voluntary solution to the severe shortage of funds affecting the world of public institutions. Thus, a new actor emerges, represented by the artist- entrepreneur who lives a hybridization of roles and competences. But who are these artists-entrepreneurs? And how do they live the possible tensions emerging from the encounter of worlds that have been reputed as radically different for so long? With a lot of passages, the first paragraph is where we want to start looking for the author's main idea. What is being introduced to us e author introduces the idea of Does the author give us a direction of where we will be going with this main idea? If so, how? is comes in the form of two rhetorical questions at the end of the paragraph. We are asked about who these artists-entrepreneurs are and how they manage to fulfill such radically different roles at the same P1: art. - entrep -> who and Artists-entrepreneurs' activity can be identified as a particular kind of "cultural entrepreneurship." This practice has been traditionally investigated adopting two main perspectives, based on different meanings of culture. Paragraphs of this length will typically serve as either a quick transition or a continuation of the previous paragraph. What is the author accomplishing here? e author introduces a new term: "cultural entrepreneurship." e author also mentions two interpretations of this idea coming from different definitions of culture. P2: Cult. Entrep.: -> 2 persp., based on diff defns of culture As a first meaning, culture refers to the sociological frame of reference identifying a set of habits, customs, traditions, and beliefs, which constitute a shared way of life in a specific historical and political context. As a second meaning, culture identifies a complex set of processes, products and actors involved in the design, production and distribution of cultural and artistic goods and services. is paragraph has a pretty clear structure, as indicated by in this paragraph? e author provides two definitions of culture. e first refers to a broad sociological phenomenon, and the second refers to specific factors involved in artistic production. P3: 2 defns of culture Descending from the first sociological perspective, cultural entrepreneurship represents "the skill of certain entrepreneurs to use culture as a toolkit for constructing resonant identities and motivating resource-holding audiences to allocate their resources." Therefore, cultural entrepreneurship is instrumentally

identified in the process of storytelling that gives shape and legitimates new ventures. Not referring to a specific industry, the adjective cultural is used to identify the process of legitimization that entrepreneurs sustain, giving shape to the story of their personal and professional. Using the first sentence of this paragraph as a clue, what is the author introducing to us here? The author discusses how cultural entrepreneurship can be viewed using the first perspective, as a kind P4: 1st perspec. on cult. entrep. In a second perspective, cultural entrepreneurship identifies a set of processes through which a growing number of artists and cultural professionals assume an entrepreneurial role. Cultural entrepreneurship thus identifies the activity of conceiving, producing and marketing "cultural goods and services, generating economic, cultural, and social opportunities for creators while adding cultural value for consumers." The artists-entrepreneurs combine their artistic attitudes with a deep sense of business, economically sustaining the cultural enterprise in coherence with their cultural vision. Continuing from the last paragraph and using the first sentence again as a guide, what new information is the author now looking at cultural entrepreneurship through the second perspective, as a kind of business or How can we outline this without making our statement too long? P5: 2nd perspec. on cult. entrep. -> business Upon investigation, what emerges with more emphasis is the tough and complex relationship between the artistic and the entrepreneurial dimensions faced by the interdependence between the two spheres emerges as a matter of fact, emphasizing the dual nature of the cultural enterprise. But duality means adopting an integral perspective that results from an intense dialogue between the two languages. As we come to the last paragraph, we are typically expecting the author to provide some sort of conclusion to the main idea of the passage. What is the author's Cultural entrepreneurship is best understood by considering both perspectives, the artistic and the Is there any additional information provided by the author? Yes! Seeing both dimensions requires a new perspective that can integrate P6: Author: cult. entrep. has 2 sides, new perspec. integrates Adapted from Clacagno, M., Balzarini, L. 2016. The Artist-Entrepreneur Acting as a Effectively outlining passages on the MCAT is not a skill you master overnight.

Learning to move through the passage and summarize each paragraph is challenging, so you'll need to practice this method. Many passages in this book will have a sample passage outline so that you can compare your work to that of an expert. Another good drill to improve your outlining skills is to review and re-outline each passage after you have completed and reviewed the questions. In this drill, when you re-outline the time you practice this exercise, you will naturally identify important information that you should have included in your original outline, which trains you to spot that type of information the next time you outline a passage.

6.4 Interrogating the Passage

The last of the three Distill methods is the Interrogating approach. With this approach, you consider whether each new piece of information is important as you read and, if it is, you ask why that information was included by the author. The Interrogating process will help you to understand the passage in greater depth, including the elements of the text most likely to be tested. Consequently, when you get to the accompanying questions, you'll be in a better position to answer them with much less rereading of the passage. Interrogating a passage should take you about 4–6 minutes.

What Is Interrogating?

The goal of Interrogating, also referred to as Elaborative Interrogation, is to understand not only what each important piece of information in the passage means, but also how that piece of information fits in with the rest of the passage. This method is valuable not only for CARS, but as a method validated by learning science for strengthening working memory with any content presented in a similar, text-heavy format. In other words, not only is Interrogating valuable for CARS on the MCAT, but it's also useful for any content that you must read and understand, including textbooks, science content, and even MCAT science passages. Interrogating is a step beyond the other two methods. Highlighting and Outlining focus more on what the author said rather than why the author said it. By contrast, while Interrogating a passage, you will constantly ask yourself, Why is this information here? and How does this information connect to what came before?

How to Interrogate a Passage

The biggest thing to remember as you Interrogate a passage is that you have the leeway to spend a lot of time working through the passage, provided that the majority of that time is spent reflecting about

the passage, rather than just reading it. Remember: Interrogation will put you in a position where you are preemptively generating predictions for many of the upcoming questions, so it's okay to take some serious time to Interrogate! Keywords, and the keyword stoplight system, are described in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS. There are two steps involved in the Interrogation approach. In the first step, you identify a "chunk" of information as you read. Ask yourself, Can the sentence I am currently reading be grouped together with the sentence that I just read? Use Continuation keywords to identify chunks of the current paragraph that essentially say the same thing or build on the same concept. When you hit a yellow or red light keyword, it's time to assess whether or not you've just reached the end of a chunk. Be careful though! Sometimes these keywords are false alarms. Many keywords are commonly employed words and phrases that serve a variety of functions, and not every use signals an important transition. Even more dangerous: sometimes an author will transition to a new topic with no warning at all! Traps like these are why you must consistently be asking yourself if it makes sense to group the current sentence with what preceded it, or if you have transitioned to a new chunk. These dangers aside however, a yellow or red light keyword often means you have reached the end of a chunk. Once you have identified a meaningful chunk, the second step when Interrogating is to ask yourself what role that chunk plays in the passage. The reasoning behind this step is two-fold. First, asking and answering questions as you go makes you an active reader, which makes it easier for you to remember the information in the passage. Second, forming an answer to a Why? question helps you contextualize and mentally organize the information in the passage, rather than treating each sentence as isolated from the ones around it. When answering questions in the CARS section, you should always avoid relying on outside knowledge. However, when Interrogating a passage, outside knowledge can help you to connect what you are reading to what you already understand, enabling you to comprehend and remember passage content more effectively. Just be careful when answering questions to stick with only what is in the passage; otherwise you might be lured by an Out of Scope incorrect answer! Listed below are some examples of the types of questions you should

ask yourself as you Interrogate: Why is this piece of information being used in this way in the passage? Why is this piece of information important? How does this relate to other information the author has shared? How does this chunk differ from the previous chunk? Why did the author choose to reference this example? How does this support or refute a claim made previously? How can I relate this content to other related content, or even to the main idea of the passage? When to Choose Interrogating Once you master this approach, you will no longer need to return to the passage to answer most questions. So, even though this strategy consistently requires the most amount of time to work through the passage, it empowers you to answer questions more rapidly as you draw on the critical thinking and analysis you already performed while reading. Questions that may have seemed daunting without this method often become more approachable because Interrogating leaves you with a better understanding of the structure and purpose of every part of the passage. When you understand what the author was trying to accomplish and how each part of the passage contributes to that, you can more readily generate predictions to questions as you encounter them, and skip the time-consuming research you would otherwise do. By asking and answering questions as you Interrogate the passage, you also build a far stronger memory of the passage. To borrow a familiar example from the sciences, the difference between Interrogating and Outlining is similar to the difference between knowing why a nucleophile attacks an electrophile and only memorizing that it occurs. When you understand why phenomena occur, you are much more likely to remember them. And so it goes with CARS. When you understand why the author felt a certain example was needed, you are much more likely to remember that example. As you improve your elaborative interrogation skills, you'll find that you can easily recall major ideas, destructive counterarguments, important examples, and often even small, random details ... at least for a long enough time to answer the passage's questions! Finally, this approach is most ideal for tackling shorter passages, and passages that you triage as Easy or Medium difficulty. Even if they appear more difficult, passages with a single, sustained argument or a collection of several abstract arguments, which is often the case for those on law or philosophy, are

typically good candidates for this approach. Interrogating can help you to better understand how all the pieces of a large argument fit together, as well as how several distinct arguments work together to accomplish the author's larger goal. Some students pick up the Interrogating technique rapidly, or find that it is already similar to their natural style of reading. For most, however, learning to use this approach can be frustrating, especially at first. Undoubtedly, even for experienced test takers, this method takes the longest out of the three Distill approaches, and it also requires the most substantial amount of analysis and critical thinking. So for those first learning this approach, there are many potential pitfalls early on. You may find that the passage is harder to understand than you expected, and now you're trapped in an over-long interrogation. Or, you might get to the questions and realize that you spent too much time focusing on parts of the passage that are not tested. It should come as no surprise, then, that becoming a good interrogator is not a short journey. But remember, the more you practice, the more natural it will feel to constantly ask How? and Why? as you read. And as you practice more with the method, you will develop a better sense for timing and for what is testable. Even an expert interrogator will likely not decide to Interrogate every passage they see. So be sure to practice the Choose step and select the Interrogating approach only when it is your best option. Avoid using this approach on passages that seem especially complex or long, and on passages that appear to be long lists of details or unconnected information. In particular, if you are struggling just to make sense of the sentences you are reading, let alone how they connect or why the author wrote them, then an approach like Highlighting, which relies more upon the questions to guide you to what is important in the passage, is a better bet.

Interrogating Method Example A quick glance at this passage reveals that it is a social sciences passage. Unlike most CARS passages, it uses first-person pronouns like "I," which suggests a description of the author's personal experiences. The language is also relatively straightforward with only a little jargon, so we can expect this passage to be Easy or Medium in difficulty, which makes it a great candidate.

Bauls are a religious group living primarily in the rural areas of West Bengal (India) and Bangladesh. They come from a variety of backgrounds,

including Hindu and Muslim, and span the castes, though most are low caste. Although their roots extend more deeply, Bauls have been around at least a century. In practice and belief, they have been influenced by local traditions of the more orthodox Gauriya (Bengali) Vaishnavism, the Tantric- influenced Buddhist and Hindu Sahajiyas, and Sufism. However, unlike many other religious groups, Bauls intentionally reverse a number of orthodox practices. They argue that the Divine is within all humans and thus people should respect and worship humans instead of going to the temple, mosque, or church to worship something that cannot be seen. Bauls sing and compose songs that critique societal divisions and allude to their philosophy and practice. They spread their messages door to door, on trains, and in performances at large public venues.

S1-8. Why has the author decided to write about and focus on the e author is focusing on the unique aspects of the Baul religious group, and we can infer that she finds the Bauls to be particularly interesting because of those differences. Specifically, this group is unique because, despite significant influences from other religious and ethnic groups, they have opted to reverse a number of traditional practices. e heavy use of detail suggests that the author's purpose is to describe what is distinctive about the Bauls, at least in this first paragraph, but also potentially in the rest of the passage. I sought the lived experiences and perspectives of Baul women. In [the] first 18 months of work, I carefully framed how I presented my research to my interlocutors in order to avoid the usual pitfalls of my predecessors, such as having to exchange money or prestige for information, taking initiation, or losing integrity in my research methods in other ways. [My interactions with the Baul] bring to the forefront the postcolonial predicament concerning the relationship between ethnographers and "informants," in which the ethnographer collects "data," which is then analyzed and presented for S1. Why is the author using "I" and is author is describing her personal experience with people of the Baul religion, so first-person pronouns are appropriate. Within this context, we can infer that the passage will likely contain more tone and opinion than the average CARS passage, and should stay vigilant in looking for Author keywords, as there are likely to be questions about opinion or tone. S2. Why does the author

talk about “avoid[ing] the usual pitfalls”? The phrase suggests that ethnography (the author’s field) suffers from some common problems. By making efforts to avoid these problems, the author can enhance the credibility of her S3. Why does the author bring up the “postcolonial predicament,” and how does it relate to her purpose? The word “predicament” suggests this is connected to the “pitfalls” described in the preceding sentence. The use of scare quotes in the remainder of the sentence suggests that the author wants to distance herself from treating the people she lived with as “informants” presenting her with “data.” In other words, she thinks there are issues with treating ethnographers like natural scientists who are dispassionately observing the world around them. This is an elaboration on how she’s trying to avoid the common problems of ethnography, so it’s a further attempt to increase the credibility of her description of I have argued elsewhere that as they traverse a Baul path, those who take the teachings seriously gradually adopt cognitive and spiritual models that shift their own understanding of the world around them. Thus, they learn (or aim to learn) to recognize the ways in which society creates divisions that lead to discrimination, and to recognize the divine in all human beings, regardless of caste, gender, or religion. By listening to their explanations about the micro- and macrocosmos, by hearing their songs, and by traveling with them, they expected that I too would experience these shifts in understanding. The cultural specifics of their experiences may not carry home, but elements of hierarchy, discrimination, and the inherent value of all human beings are as real and important in my American communities as they are in their Bengali ones. For Bauls, issues of hierarchy and domination are religiously meaningful, and knowledge of these realities constitutes important aspects of their religious S1–5. How did the Baul expect the author to respond to their religion? How did the author actually respond? The Baul expected the author to adopt the same beliefs and values that they explained and demonstrated to her. She suggests that she had trouble relating to the “cultural specifics of their experiences,” but was able to appreciate shared values that overlapped with her experience as In these and other ways, the Baul women I worked with expected me to participate in their world, particularly when they knew I shared

their views. Although moments of connection have shifted my own perspectives, [a specific Baul woman's] critiques ring loudest. In demanding that I (and the many Bengalis and foreigners who enjoy her singing) support her, she refuses to let me be a complacent ethnographer. She demands dignity, and she is right to do so. Several years later, her proclamations are forcing me to rethink my ethnographic work and to reveal, as a tentative step, that I have been moved, that I have taken their words and lifeworlds seriously. S1-4. Why does the author mention the specific Baul woman's is is a continuation of the discussion of Baul expectations and the author's reaction to them. e Baul woman expected the author to change from a passive observer to an active supporter and participant. is pertains directly to the second paragraph, where the author described the problematic role of traditional ethnographers, and her efforts to avoid some of the typical problems. She concludes the paragraph by saying that her critic was right and that she's been moved to change, which suggests that she's further questioning the role of the ethnographer as a dispassionate Maybe language is inadequate to explain religious experience. Bauls would certainly say so, since they insist that one can only trust and know what is personally experienced. Perhaps, then, I should acknowledge moments of connection. For instance, when [the Baul] and I discussed our views of the world, its beauty and faults, and our struggle to make sense of suffering and find ways to improve the lives of those discriminated against. Maybe it's enough for me and my interlocutors to share meaningful experiences and conversations, to be open to being inspired and transformed in the field and also back home, and to be willing to put some of those ideas into S1-4. Why does the author conclude with a discussion of e author discusses religious experience both because it's important to the Baul and because it's a further challenge to the view of the ethnographer as a detached observer. us, it's an appropriate conclusion since it connects the two major themes of this passage (characteristics of the Baul religion and the role of the ethnographer). Her closing sentence suggests that she has developed an alternative vision for what it means to be an ethnographer ("to share meaningful experiences, to be open to being inspired and transformed"), one that was directly

shaped by her experiences with the Baul. Adapted from Knight, L. I. (2016). "I Will Not Keep Her Book in My Home": Representing Religious Meaning among Bauls. *Asianetwork Exchange: A Journal for Asian Studies in the Now* that you've seen all three methods, remember that there is no "one-size-fits-all" when it comes to MCAT passages. As you Preview and Choose, think about which method will allow you to work through the passage and its questions most efficiently and effectively. But don't be afraid of choosing the wrong method as you start out. All things are hard before they are easy. And as you practice choosing a Distill method for each passage, you'll find you often learn as much or more from your wrong strategic decisions as you do from your right ones! The key is to make many mistakes now, while it's safe to make them, so that you have mastered all three approaches, as well as the ability to choose between them, by Test Day. And finally, know that what someone else may consider the best approach for a passage may be different from your judgement. Ultimately, the best choice for you is the one that earns you the most points. So, practice, learn from your mistakes, and watch your CARS mastery gradually improve. Each of the three Distill methods discussed in this chapter provides you with a way to effectively navigate each passage while reading strategically. Perhaps as you read the description of each method, you observed that each trains you in its own way to become a better CARS test-taker overall. Highlighting trains you to rapidly identify testable information, even when you're not Highlighting. Outlining trains you to quickly summarize the main point of each paragraph, even when you're not Outlining. And Interrogating trains you to analyze the author's arguments and rhetorical choices, even when you're not Interrogating. So it is imperative that you do not utilize a "one-size-fits-all" approach. Learning the three different methods will expand your playbook on Test Day, and it will make you a much stronger test-taker along the way. The following chapter will help you further develop your ability to choose the right method for each passage and show you how each strategy can ultimately be leveraged to help you effectively answer the questions. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by completing a test-like passage set in your online resources! Concept and Strategy Summary Choosing Your

Approach As you triage, use things like passage length, topic complexity, and sentence structure to choose your Distill approach. As you work through the passage, aim to identify main ideas and key points using either the Highlighting, Outlining, or Interrogating Use Contrast and Logic keywords to help you identify regions of the passage that contain high-yield, testable information and note them down accordingly, based on your approach.

Highlighting the Passage Highlight the main ideas in each paragraph so they are easier to find as you work through questions. Use your highlight tool sparingly. Avoid highlighting full sentences or Keep an eye out for keywords that indicate structural shifts or the author's opinion and highlight a word or phrase that sums up the ideas that follow. Use this method on: dense or complex passages that you will want to work through quickly (2–3 minutes) passages that you have triaged until the end of the test passages without natural transition points Avoid using this method on passages that require in-depth analysis (usually humanities passages).

Outlining the Passage Determine the main idea of each paragraph, rephrase it, and note it down on your scratch paper. Avoid getting caught up in details, evidence, and examples as you rephrase main ideas helps you better internalize them and read more quickly (3–4 minutes). Use this method for: passages for which having a table of contents would be highly detailed or list-driven passages passages where multiple opinions are expressed and discussed passages that you do not completely understand (simply jot down a relevant name or theme on your scratch paper and move on) Avoid Outlining when the time investment for creating the outline would not pay off, such as when you're low on time, or dealing with a rambling or unusually structured passage.

Interrogating the Passage Identify a "chunk" of information within the text that essentially says the same thing or builds on the same idea. Then ask yourself questions about the role that the chunk plays in the passage. Example questions include: How does this connect to what I have read so far? Why was this detail included here? Answering these questions as you go makes you a more active reader and helps you mentally organize the information in the passage. Use this method for: passages you triage as Easy or Medium difficulty passages with overarching

arguments or collections of several. Since this method takes 4–6 minutes, avoid using it on long or complex passages, and on passages that consist of lists of details. Use the Worked Example below, in tandem with the subsequent practice passages located in Chapters 7–11, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter. The Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) Start by Previewing the following passage (located on the left side of the page in this and in subsequent Worked Examples). Note its overall structure and difficulty, and consider what approach you might Choose if you were working this passage alone. Note that this is the first Worked Example in the book, and for this chapter alone, all three methods have been demonstrated on the same passage to better display how each method could be applied. This is also a reminder that passages on Test Day can be successfully navigated with whichever Distill approach, or combination of approaches, that works best for you. In future chapters, Worked Examples will only apply one of the methods, with an explanation of why that approach was chosen.

One of the more well-known female writers to adopt a pen name was George Sand, born Aurore Dupin, who became one of the most prolific and admired French authors during the nineteenth century. The true identity of George Sand did not remain a secret for long, for the author used this name in her everyday life, and close friends commonly referred to her as George. From the first paragraph, what can you tell about what the rest of the passage will focus on? What should you highlight to capture that? This paragraph is just a short introduction to the subject and doesn't contain any terms that jump out at me. However, I highlighted "not remain a secret" to capture the essence of having an obvious Most portraits of the author as an adult are simply George Sand and make no reference to her given name. Her son, too, adopted this new last name, even though his association with his famous author-mother did not bring him any obvious benefits. Given the name "George Sand" is radically different from Aurore Dupin's birth name, many readers have wondered how the author formulated her masculine What is the purpose of this paragraph? What should you highlight to show that? This paragraph includes several details in the beginning, but I did not

see any as particularly high yield. ese details are included to emphasize how strong the association is between this female author and her masculine pseudonym. I highlighted “wondered” because the question of why she chose this name will likely be explored in the rest of this At least two possible answers spring to mind. The first, as indicated in Curtis Cate’s biography George Sand, is that the pseudonym arose from a collaboration with her first lover, Jules Sandeau, with whom she co-authored several articles as well as a full-length novel entitled *Rose et Blanche*. On the advice of their publisher, the authors signed this latter work under the name “J. Sand.” Once Aurore’s writing began to overshadow that of Jules, she decided to sign her solo works as “Georges Sand,” which eventually became simply “George Sand.” Because her own literary output was a great success, she quickly became known by this name and began to use her pen name on a daily Here, the author mentions two theories. What should you highlight to efficiently capture e first theory revolves around collaboration, so I chose to highlight that term. In addition, I highlighted “overshadow” because it better describes how the collaboration was eclipsed as Dupin started to shine. Also, I know while words like “first” are critical indicators for important ideas, highlighting that word won’t actually provide me with useful information. Finally, while the author mentions “two possible answers,” there’s no indication here of what the second answer might be, so I’ll look out for it to be introduced in a later paragraph.” By continuing to use the name initially assigned to collaborative writings with her lover, perhaps Aurore hoped to maintain their connection. Perhaps she fondly remembered their time together and wished to have a permanent reminder. Or perhaps she simply realized that it would be much more expedient to continue to write under a name which was already familiar to her audience thanks to their What should you highlight in this In this paragraph, the author continues the discussion of the first theory of Dupin’s pseudonym, speculating on why she would keep this name aer becoming independently successful. e author offers two potential motivations, one based on the relationship she had with her lover (remembering their “connection”), the other based on the relationship she was cultivating with her readers (using a name they would find “familiar”).

Given that George Sand began writing under this masculine name around the same time as she began to roam around Paris in pants and a jacket—typically male clothing—it is not hard to understand why she chose a masculine pseudonym because, like her clothes, this male identity gave her more freedom of expression, both literally and figuratively. And once she became known as a successful author under this name, there was no reason to change. Writing under a false name allowed her to distance parts of her character—her roles as wife, mother, and lover—from the creative and literary parts that formed her role as an author. Using a male name set her apart and added to her persona as an unusual and fascinating woman. In the end, the reason why she chose this particular pen name is not nearly as important as the vast quantity of writing—articles, letters, novels, and plays—that forms her legacy to the field of French literature. What is this paragraph about? The paragraph continues the discussion of why it would be advantageous for Dupin to use a masculine pseudonym. The major reasons the author provides are the additional freedom that the name (as well as the male identity she affected in her clothing choices) afforded her, and the distancing it allowed her from traditional feminine roles. The author concludes the paragraph by expressing a favorable opinion of Dupin, as captured by the word “legacy.” Because author opinions are frequently tested, this justifies making a third highlight in this long paragraph. The name could have a more symbolic meaning as well which would give more deserved credit to the author herself. Taking each letter of “SAND” as an allusion to names, places, or people from Aurore’s life, this name can be seen as a representation of Aurore’s childhood and early married life. Even if George created the name, however, she was well aware of the similarity to her lover’s name, and was equally aware that many readers would make this connection. As an intelligent and perceptive woman, she recognized that such an association with a male author would help to validate her early writing career before she had succeeded in establishing her own reputation as a talented and publishable author. What’s new in the last paragraph of the passage? What should you do? In the final paragraph, we finally come to the second of the “two possible answers” that the author alluded to in the third paragraph. The first answer suggested that she took the

name from her lover/collaborator and adapted it, while this answer suggests she had a more active role in its creation, with each letter in "SAND" representing something important from her early life. The author notes, though, that even if she made up the name, she used its masculine associations to enhance her value to potential readers before having established herself as one of the more well-known female writers to adopt a pen name was George Sand, born Aurore Dupin, who became one of the most prolific and admired French authors during the nineteenth century. The true identity of George Sand did not remain a secret for long, for the author used this name in her everyday life, and close friends commonly referred to her as George. The first paragraph will often give you a hint about the author's main idea. What seems to be the author's main idea? The author introduces a writer with the pen name "George Sand" who was born Aurore Dupin. Are there any keywords to tell you how the author feels about Aurore? Yes! "... one of the most prolific and admired French authors ..." How can we express all this information in a concise format? P1: George Sand = Aurore Dupin + tone Most portraits of the author as an adult are simply George Sand and make no reference to her given name. Her son, too, adopted this new last name, even though his association with his famous author-mother did not bring him any obvious benefits. Given the name "George Sand" is radically different from Aurore Dupin's birth name, many readers have wondered how the author formulated her masculine name. While this is another short paragraph, make sure not to gloss over it. How does the author further narrow the main point of the paragraph? The author is wondering how the pen name "George Sand" came to be, as well as why it was more popular than the writer's real name. What would be the best way to briefly express this? Note we can abbreviate names by their initials! P2: G.S. > A.D. question: How was G.S. created? At least two possible answers spring to mind. The first, as indicated in Curtis Cate's biography *George Sand*, is that the pseudonym arose from a collaboration with her first lover, Jules Sandeau, with whom she co-authored several articles as well as a full-length novel entitled *Rose et Blanche*. On the advice of their publisher, the authors signed this latter work under the name "J. Sand." Once Aurore's writing began to overshadow that of Jules, she decided to sign her solo works as "Georges Sand," which

eventually became simply “George Sand.” Because her own literary output was a great success, she quickly became known by this name and began to use her pen name on a daily basis. Following the logic of previous paragraphs, what are you expecting to find in this one? The answer to the question of how G.S. name came to be. The author actually suggests there are “at least two possible answers,” and provides one of them here: it was inspired by a collaboration with her first lover. While there is a lot of detail in this paragraph, you still want to come up with a short statement to outline P3: 1st answer: G.S. inspired by first love. By continuing to use the name initially assigned to collaborative writings with her lover, perhaps Aurore hoped to maintain their connection. Perhaps she fondly remembered their time together and wished to have a permanent reminder. Or perhaps she simply realized that it would be much more expedient to continue to write under a name which was already familiar to her audience thanks to their collaboration. Based on the first line of the paragraph, what can you expect to see in the rest of it? Since there is a Continuation keyword present, I am expecting further development of the idea in the previous paragraph about why the writer kept using the name G.S. P4: G.S. kept name familiar. Given that George Sand began writing under this masculine name around the same time as she began to roam around Paris in pants and a jacket—typically male clothing—it is not hard to understand why she chose a masculine pseudonym because, like her clothes, this male identity gave her more freedom of expression, both literally and figuratively. And once she became known as a successful author under this name, there was no reason to change. Writing under a false name allowed her to distance parts of her character—her roles as wife, mother, and lover—from the creative and literary parts that formed her role as an author. Using a male name set her apart and added to her persona as an unusual and fascinating woman. In the end, the reason why she chose this particular pen name is not nearly as important as the vast quantity of writing—articles, letters, novels, plays—that forms her legacy to the field of French literature. This is another long paragraph, but focus on what new idea is being provided. The author provides further justification for why the writer chose a more masculine pen name. Here are multiple reasons stated and I do not want to try

and write them all down in the outline. Just specifying where I can find them is enough. P5: Further reasons to keep The name could have a more symbolic meaning as well, which would give more deserved credit to the author herself. Taking each letter of "SAND" as an allusion to names, places, or people from Aurore's life, this name can be seen as a representation of Aurore's childhood and early married life. Even if George created the name, however, she was well aware of the similarity to her lover's name, and was equally aware that many readers would make this connection. As an intelligent and perceptive woman, she recognized that such an association with a male author would help to validate her early writing career before she had succeeded in establishing her own reputation as a talented and publishable author.

inking back to paragraph 3, you are still waiting for a second possibility for where the name George Sand came from. And this is exactly what this last paragraph provides. What is the second e writer perhaps picked the name as an homage to people and places from her life.

How can you concisely outline this P6: 2nd answer: SAND = impt ppl. & places One of the more well-known female writers to adopt a pen name was George Sand, born Aurore Dupin, who became one of the most prolific and admired French authors during the nineteenth century. The true identity of George Sand did not remain a secret for long, for the author used this name in her everyday life, and close friends commonly referred to her as S1-2. Why is the author discussing the different names of the writer e writer, born Aurore Dupin, is female, but used a male pen name (George Sand) in the 19th century. I know it was common for women writers to use male pen names at this time because it was unusual for women to be published writers. S2. Why is it important to note that her true identity wasn't a secret? If her true identity was no secret, then she must not have been using it to mask her gender. I expect the author will go on to explain why she used this pen name. Most portraits of the author as an adult are simply George Sand and make no reference to her given name. Her son, too, adopted this new last name, even though his association with his famous author- mother did not bring him any obvious benefits. Given the name "George Sand" is radically different from Aurore Dupin's birth name, many readers have wondered how the author formulated her

masculine S1-2. Why does the author use the example of Sand's son taking her e passage says that the son gained no benefit from taking her name and that the author is almost never referred to by her birth name, so it seems that this pen name was more of an identity to the writer than an attempt to disguise her gender. is makes sense based on the last S3. Why does the author mention that many readers wonder about the origin of Sand's pen name? e last two paragraphs discuss how this pen name was part of the writer's identity and was clearly important to her. I expect that in the next few paragraphs, the author will discuss possible explanations of how Sand came up with her pen name that might explain why the name was so important to her. At least two possible answers spring to mind. The first, as indicated in Curtis Cate's biography *George Sand*, is that the pseudonym arose from a collaboration with her first lover, Jules Sandeau, with whom she co-authored several articles as well as a full-length novel entitled *Rose et Blanche*. On the advice of their publisher, the authors signed this latter work under the name "J. Sand." Once Aurore's writing began to overshadow that of Jules, she decided to sign her solo works as "Georges Sand," which eventually became simply "George Sand." Because her own literary output was a great success, she quickly became known by this name and began to use her pen name on a daily S1. Why is it important to pay attention to the fact that there are two possible answers? is indicates that I can anticipate the structure of the remainder of this passage as it will likely be based around providing these two answers as to why she adopted a male S2-5. Why does the author discuss Jules Sandeau was Dupin's former lover, with whom she coauthored some works. e author explains how the pseudonym they used together evolved into her own personal pen name. By continuing to use the name initially assigned to collaborative writings with her lover, perhaps Aurore hoped to maintain their connection. Perhaps she fondly remembered their time together and wished to have a permanent reminder. Or perhaps she simply realized that it would be much more expedient to continue to write under a name which was already familiar to her audience thanks to their S1-3. How do the claims in this paragraph link to the previous paragraph? Why is the author not sure about the reasons? ere

are three possible reasons (each signaled by a “perhaps”) for why Dupin kept using that specific name. It’s clear that Dupin did not state the reasons for maintaining the pen name, so the author is using historical and contextual clues to come up with reasons. Given that George Sand began writing under this masculine name around the same time as she began to roam around Paris in pants and a jacket—typically male clothing—it is not hard to understand why she chose a masculine pseudonym because, like her clothes, this male identity gave her more freedom of expression, both literally and figuratively. And once she became known as a successful author under this name, there was no reason to change. Writing under a false name allowed her to distance parts of her character—her roles as wife, mother, and lover—from the creative and literary parts that formed her role as an author. Using a male name set her apart and added to her persona as an unusual and fascinating woman. In the end, the reason why she chose this particular pen name is not nearly as important as the vast quantity of writing—articles, letters, novels, plays—that forms her legacy to the field of French literature.

S1–5. Why would having a male identity allow for more freedom? Aer Dupin adopted the pen name, she also wore male clothing, further adopting a male persona. Given that this is the 19th century, women typically had less freedom of expression and movement. Additionally, the male name allowed her to separate her creative life from her other roles. The name could have a more symbolic meaning as well which would give more deserved credit to the author herself. Taking each letter of “SAND” as an allusion to names, places, or people from Aurore’s life, this name can be seen as a representation of Aurore’s childhood and early married life. Even if George created the name, however, she was well aware of the similarity to her lover’s name, and was equally aware that many readers would make this connection. As an intelligent and perceptive woman, she recognized that such an association with a male author would help to validate her early writing career before she had succeeded in establishing her own reputation as a talented and publishable author.

S1–4. How does this information structurally fit with the earlier paragraphs? Why does the author spend so little time on this is is the second of the “two possible answers” (P3) for how Dupin came to use

George Sand for her pen name. The author speculates that SAND might be an acronym, but doesn't discuss what the letters stand for. The author grants that, even if the name was an acronym, it still had the connections to a masculine identity, which afforded Dupin a number of advantages (discussed in earlier paragraphs too). The lack of detail here suggests that the first possible answer may have better support.

Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) Peter Gay's book, *The Education of the Senses*, reexamines Victorian bourgeois attitudes about sensuality and sexuality in an attempt to discredit the pervasive and negative view of the Victorian bourgeois as repressed and repressive people whose outward prim public appearances often hypocritically masked inner lascivious thoughts and private behaviors. One of the most interesting facets of Gay's study is his discussion of the necessary, yet taboo, issue of birth control during the latter part of the nineteenth century. Gay points out that the very process of giving birth was dangerous to both the newborn and the mother—that most women suffered greatly during the birth, that many children and new mothers died within five years of a birth, and that many women approached the child-bearing process with trepidation even though they believed that producing offspring was a woman's ultimate fulfillment. Advice or assistance from the medical profession—whether licensed doctors or self-trained midwives—was sorely lacking and inconsistent, hardly capable of reassuring the expectant mother and father about the safety of woman and baby. In fact, the medical profession itself was largely responsible for promulgating myths and rumors about the dangers of attempting to limit family size through use of some forms of birth control, regardless of the fact that so many women and children died each year due to complications of pregnancy or birth. Some medical, religious, and social experts did acknowledge that the continual cycle of birth was not only detrimental to the health of the mother, but also could take a toll on the quality of life of the family because multiple children increased the financial burden and responsibility of the father. Furthermore, women were often caught in this cycle of pregnancy and childbirth well into their late 40s, greatly increasing the health risks and mortality rate of mother and child alike. It seemed appropriate and even necessary, then, to make efforts to limit the number of

offspring in order to benefit the family unit and thus the greater good of society itself. Nonetheless, open discussion of birth control methods, both natural and device-assisted, was rare, even between a doctor and his patient. Most information was passed along by word-of-mouth, which inevitably led to a great deal of unchecked misinformation that was, at times, deadly. Gay maintains that a primary motivation for this reticence was deeply ingrained in the Victorian bourgeois mindset that emphasized the value of family and traditional roles and thus encouraged women to be productive—in the very literal, procreative sense. Though concerned husbands certainly did take steps to assure that their wives and families were not jeopardized by an overabundance of offspring, a widespread effort to limit family size was not firmly rooted in society until the advent of a strong women's movement, which did not make many real and meaningful strides toward changing public attitudes and behaviors until the early twentieth century. Thus, Victorian bourgeois women were obliged to fulfill their societal role as child bearers despite very real fears about the toll this could take on their health and on the well being of their family.

1. Which of the following is NOT, according to the author, a reason that most Victorian women did not use any form of birth control?

A. The medical profession did not offer any methods of birth control, but only vague suggestions for limiting the number of pregnancies a woman had during her lifetime.

B. Although the risks to women were widely recognized, women were still held responsible for continuing the family line.

C. Accurate information about safe forms of birth control was difficult to ascertain, even from doctors or midwives.

D. Many medical experts were reluctant to discuss birth control with their patients because they themselves were not well informed about the options.

2. Which of the following, if true, would most seriously WEAKEN Gay's argument that deeply ingrained social attitudes were responsible for the lack of open discussion about birth control?

A. The majority of Victorian women were satisfied with their role as wives and mothers.

B. Limiting family size was inconsistent with bourgeois perceptions about social status.

C. Bourgeois Victorians believed the ideal family consisted of fewer than five children.

D. Many Victorian physicians actually knew that family planning would improve women's overall health.

3. The

passage suggests that which of the following was commonly associated with childbirth during the Victorian era? I. Death of the child II. The need for additional family income III. Appreciation of the mother's suffering A. I only B. I and II only C. I and III only D. II and III only

4. Which of the following general theories would be most consistent with Gay's arguments as presented in the passage? A. Examination of sociohistorical context offers the modern historian little useful information about prevailing attitudes and behaviors of a certain time period. B. Understanding the psychological basis for social actions can help to explain apparently contradictory behavioral patterns. C. Social historians can best analyze past cultures by applying modern theories to the work of earlier critics. D. Critics should not attempt to rework prior studies of social classes because they cannot properly understand the historical context of their predecessors. 5. The passage suggests that Peter Gay is LEAST likely to agree with which of the

A. Social critics should occasionally reexamine existing beliefs to make sure that they B. The prevailing views of Victorian bourgeois society were based on accurate C. The women's movement of the early twentieth century helped to bring the issue of birth control into the public consciousness. D. Sometimes, common mindsets must be altered before real social change can be

6. Based on the passage, which of the following does the author consider was the most important factor that contributed to the high danger associated with Victorian childbirth? A. False and misleading information about the risks of childbirth B. Unsanitary conditions in the birthing rooms C. Successive cycles of pregnancy and childbirth D. Oppressive social attitudes that forced women to procreate For this and all following sets of

Practice Questions, examples of the text you should be Highlighting or writing down in your Outline will be included in the explanation for each passage. Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) P1.

"discredit"; P2. "trepidation"; P3. "responsible" and "efforts to limit"; P4. "unchecked misinformation" and "obliged." P1. Introduces Gay's reexamination of Victorian bourgeois attitudes; will focus on birth control P2. Describes dangers to women and their babies;

Victorian women: childbirth = "ultimate fulfillment" P3. Doctors exacerbated the misinformation that women received, but recognized some issues with constant cycle of birth

P4. Discussion of birth control remained hidden in Victorian society— women had to fulfill their roles (until women's movement) is is a Scattered Detail question asking for what is not stated as a reason women did not use birth control. We will need to use the process of elimination to eliminate the answers discussed in the passage. Starting with (A), the medical profession's attitude about birth control is mentioned in paragraph 3. Doctors did not provide even vague advice for limiting family size, but rather "promulgat[ed] myths and rumors about the dangers of attempting to limit family size." us, (A) is the correct answer. (B) is mentioned at the end of paragraph 4. (C) is mentioned in detail in paragraph 2 and briefly mentioned again in paragraph 4. (D) is discussed in paragraph 3 and again at the beginning of paragraph 4. is is a Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question focusing on what would weaken the author's argument. e author argues that deeply ingrained social attitudes were responsible for the lack of open discussion of birth control. We will need to consider what the social attitudes were at the time, as the right answer will contradict them. e author stated that women "believed that producing offspring was a woman's ultimate fulfillment" and that "a widespread effort to limit family size was not firmly rooted in society." A prediction for the answer would likely be something that implies that women felt that they had a different role or wanted to limit the size of the family. (C) best matches this prediction. (A) is consistent with Gay, reflecting on the idea that Victorian women "believed that producing offspring was a woman's ultimate fulfillment," and does not significantly weaken Gay's argument. (B) would strengthen the author's argument, as it gives an additional reason why women would not aim to limit family size— and would likely not discuss birth control openly, by extension. Finally, (D) would also strengthen the author's argument because it removes one of the alternative explanations why birth control was not discussed—if doctors actually knew that limiting family size was beneficial, and yet still did not discuss it openly, then it increases the likelihood that prevailing social attitudes limited discussions of birth control. Because the question asks what the passage suggests, this is an Inference question; the Roman numeral answer choices make it a Scattered Inference question. We will need to evaluate the Roman numerals one by one to

arrive at the answer. Starting with Statement I, the process of childbirth and the consequences of it were mentioned in paragraph 2. The author stated that many “children ... died within five years,” so Statement I is true, and we can eliminate (D). For Statement II, the author mentioned in paragraph 3 that additional children “increased the financial burden and responsibility of the father.” This suggests that the family would need a larger income to support a larger family, so Statement II is also true. When we look at the answer choices, there is only one answer that has both Statements I and II, so (B) is the correct answer. For reference, Statement III is false because while some husbands were concerned about their wives during childbirth, it was not. This is an Apply question in which we need to use our understanding of the author’s argument to determine which statement would be most consistent with it. The author mentioned the problems associated with childbirth in the second paragraph and the lack of action by medical professionals in paragraph 3. The author then explained that the reason birth control was not discussed was due to the current social mindset in which women were expected to be productive. This argument structure is most consistent with (B). (A) and (D) are Opposites; Gay uses sociohistorical context and reworks prior studies about Victorian bourgeois attitudes—so these statements would be inconsistent with Gay’s argument in the passage. (C) is Out of Scope as Gay does not apply modern theories to earlier critics, but rather reevaluates information and research on the Victorian bourgeoisie. This is an Apply question (specifically of the Response subtype) asking us what Gay would be least likely to agree with. Gay’s study was a reexamination of the stereotypical beliefs about Victorian bourgeois attitudes and behaviors with regard to sexuality. We can predict that if Gay found it necessary to reevaluate attitudes toward the Victorian bourgeoisie, he must have found the existing studies and opinions to be inaccurate. (B) best matches this prediction. (A) is an Opposite because this is exactly what Gay did—so he would definitely agree with reexamining existing beliefs. (C) and (D) both pertain to the end of the passage, where the author states that widespread attempts to limit family size did not occur until a strong women’s movement made meaningful strides in changing public attitudes. This is

another Inference question asking what the author thought was the most important factor contributing to the danger of childbirth in the Victorian era. Without an explicit statement of which factor the author thought most important, we can look at how the argument was structured for insight. The predominant focus of the passage, which plays a role in paragraphs 2, 3, and 4, is the misinformation from the medical field. This matches closely with (A). (B) is Out of Scope because sanitation was never discussed. (C) is indeed mentioned in the passage but merely as an example of one of the few things doctors recognized was problematic—it is too narrow and restricted of an answer when compared to the author's overall argument in the passage. Finally, (D) is Extreme; social attitudes certainly encouraged women to procreate, but the word forced is simply too strong.

Applying the Kaplan Passage Method

In This Chapter

7.1 The Kaplan Passage Method Steps of the Method

7.2 Humanities Passages Applying the Method to Humanities Passages

7.3 Social Sciences Passages Applying the Method to Social Sciences Passages

Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 7, you will be able to:

- Categorize MCAT passages by type and difficulty
- Choose the best Distill approach based on passage features
- Predict questions that are likely to be asked about a given passage

Now that we have examined how to Preview, Choose, and Read and Distill passages in the CARS section, it's time to put these strategies into action. All of the strategies will come together in this chapter. We'll start with a summary of the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages. Then we'll work through the Method with examples from the two categories of passages. The chapter concludes with a Worked Example and two practice passages. This chapter is broken down as follows. First, we'll revisit the method in combination, and discuss how it will be applied to passages. We'll also revisit the major categories of passage type, and review their major features. Then, we will apply the Method to passages of both major types, walking through all steps of the Method and providing guided examples of applying the Method to three example passages.

7.1 The Kaplan Passage Method

The Kaplan Passage Method is a set of passage strategies that you will apply to every passage you encounter on Test Day. It begins with a scan of the passage and a determination of the order

in which the passages will be handled. As you dive into a passage you'll pick an approach from the three Distill options. Then, using keywords, you will read the passage and extract the most question-relevant information. As discussed in previous chapters, there are a variety of passage topics you will encounter on the MCAT. In fact, the AAMC lists eleven different fields in the humanities and a dozen in the social sciences (as shown in Table 7.1) that most CARS passages can be classified into. Preview, Choose, and Read and Distill (PCRD for short) is designed to address the variety of passages you will see on Test Day: Previewing enables you to work the passages in an efficient manner, Choosing ensures you match your approach to the demands of the passage, and Reading and Distilling will focus your attention on the information most likely to show up in the questions. According to the AAMC, 50 percent of the questions in the CARS section will come from the humanities, and 50 percent will come from social sciences.

Table 7.1 Humanities and Social Sciences Disciplines in the CARS Studies of Diverse Cultures*

* Note: Studies of Diverse Cultures can be tested in both humanities and social sciences

The goal of determining the passage subtype is to help you set your expectations appropriately, just as you would in the science section. For example, you would expect a science passage on rate kinetics to discuss rate laws, rate-of-formation tables, and reaction mechanisms. You would likely also anticipate that the associated questions will ask you to calculate a rate law using the tables and to consider topics like rate-limiting steps and catalysis. Similarly, you can set expectations about the trajectory of a CARS passage and predict what topics are more likely to be tested than others. In the following two sections, we will work through three different CARS passages together, breaking down our approach as we go, in order to give you a more comprehensive understanding of how to apply the Kaplan CARS Think about trends you notice in passages that discuss similar topics or fall into similar disciplines. Applying these trends to future passages will give you insight into both their structure and the questions you will likely be asked, allowing you to become more efficient in navigating and distilling the passage and helping you predict and answer questions more rapidly and accurately.

Steps of the Method As stated previously, this

method can be applied to every CARS passage you encounter, so it is important to make a habit of automatically executing it as you begin each passage. Figure 7.1 shows the steps of the method. **READ AND DISTILL THE MEANING OF EACH** Figure 7.1 The Kaplan CARS Passage Method The steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages are covered in greater detail in Chapters 4, 5, and 6. The first step of the Kaplan Passage Method is to Preview the passage. As described in Chapter 4, you will look at the topic, sentence structure, writing style, length, and the first question to determine whether you want to attack the passage now or save it for later. Remember, there is a lot of variety among test takers in how they make those triage decisions and how they track those decisions on their noteboard. A topic that may be interesting to you may be kryptonite for the person sitting next to you, and as such, your preview is always going to be a very personal assessment. Triageing and saving harder passages for the end provides two key benefits. First, it ensures that you are spending your time on the questions that you are more likely to answer correctly. It's unwise to spend excessive amounts of time on harder passages only to run out of time while working on an easier one. Second, it allows you to build confidence and a time buffer, both of which are key for when you do finally work on the harder passages. Once you have decided to move forward with a passage, it's time to choose your approach to Distilling that passage. As discussed in Chapter 6, you can choose to Highlight, Outline, or Interrogate the passage. Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages. Rely on the analysis from your Preview step to help make this decision. In fact, many students will make their decision as they preview and note their choice on their noteboard. Should you choose to save a passage for later, you can either determine the approach you want to use before moving on to the next passage, or make that call when you return to the passage. Choosing the first option removes one of the steps you will have to perform when you return to the passage; however, you should remain open to changing your decision based on the time you have left. The Highlighting approach is the quickest method for Distilling. When using this method, you should take about 2–3 minutes to work through a passage. As you read, plan to highlight important pieces of information, major

this, and central ideas. This approach tends to work best for passages that appear to be overly dense and confusing or otherwise time consuming during your Preview step. You will typically use this strategy on passages you save until the end, because it enables you to move through the passage quickly. It is also a good option for passages that don't follow a clear structure, that is, those that lack distinctive transition points and breaks.

The Outlining approach is best used to summarize the central ideas of each paragraph, while also noting the location of important pieces of information. You should be able to work through a passage in 3–4 minutes using this method. Your outline will be especially helpful in forming predictions for questions that test your understanding of the main ideas discussed in the passage, but you will need to return to the passage to answer questions asking about specific details. This is a useful approach for passages that appear to contain a large number of examples or details.

The Interrogating approach takes the most time but allows you to carry out a deep analysis of the passage as you read, enabling you to answer most questions with little rereading of the passage. However, at 4–6 minutes per passage, this is the most time consuming approach. In general, it is best used on passages you have triaged as Now and on shorter passages, to avoid timing becoming an issue. Passages that have complex central arguments or several distinct lines of argument are also well-suited for this approach because their questions will often ask about the logical relationships established in the passage.

Read and Distill After deciding which approach to use, it is finally time to begin reading the passage. Note that the Read and Distill steps are grouped together because, functionally, they happen at the same time: you read with keywords, and use those keywords to guide your distillation of passage information. This is an active process of thinking and analyzing as you read. By staying engaged with the text, you help prevent a loss of focus and the need for rereading. In Chapter 5, we discussed the importance of identifying and evaluating keywords in the passage. As you work your way through the passage, use keywords to help you identify notable things or key points in the text, along with author intentions and parts of arguments. The goal of this step is to combine your knowledge of the passage types (and the questions commonly associated with

them) with the most applicable passage approach in order to efficiently extract the major takeaways of each. As you read, aim to highlight 1–3 key terms and phrases per paragraph that you think are likely to show up in the questions. Since the goal is to read quickly while still capturing the main points, your Distill step will primarily be limited to highlighting the main idea (or, in some cases, ideas) of each paragraph, and highlighting words that describe, support, or refute that idea. The keywords discussed in Chapter 5 will help guide you toward words worth highlighting, but remember that keywords typically indicate connections between ideas, rather than the content of the ideas themselves. So instead of highlighting keywords, focus on the concepts surrounding the keywords and note the progression of ideas through the passage. As you read, actively predict (and adjust your prediction as you encounter new ideas) the main idea of each paragraph. When you reach the end of a paragraph, take a moment to rephrase this main idea in your own words and write it on your noteboard. By identifying and rewording the main idea of each paragraph, you are already performing some of the analysis required to answer the questions. Plus, Outlining increases the accuracy of your predictions and saves you time on the questions. Because you are writing these main ideas on your noteboard with the Outlining method, you can use the highlighting tool to emphasize Relation, Logic, and Author keywords that you'd typically ignore when using the Highlighting method, making it easier to see important relationships and author intentions in the text. Remember that a major benefit of this method is that it allows you to read without having to memorize details. Once your paragraph label is on your noteboard, you can move on to the next paragraph without being concerned about forgetting the main idea of the previous one. It is important to remember that as you outline, you don't necessarily want to rephrase the entire paragraph. Rather, you want to select the information that answers questions like What new information was added here? and What is the most testable information in this paragraph? to include in your passage label. As you read, actively examine the major idea presented in each paragraph to determine why and how the information was used by the author. Remember, this step goes beyond the Outlining method

because instead of simply asking, What information is new?, you also want to understand the reasons for its inclusion. Use keywords to help you identify the information most likely to be tested. Also be sure to take advantage of the “Chunking” method. Don’t only work through this method sentence by sentence. Rather, group similar ideas, often linked by Continuation keywords, into a chunk, before asking yourself questions about each chunk and why it was included. This method has the most involved Distill step, as your goal is to do the majority of the work analyzing and understanding the passage before you even get to the questions. Once you’ve done this deep analysis, though, you won’t have to spend a lot of time referring back to the passage. In all three of the methods, the underlying goal is to help you identify the most important and testable content in each paragraph. As part of that, regardless of the method you choose to use, by the time you reach the end of the passage, you should be able to identify the reason the passage was written (the overall purpose or goal of the passage, as was discussed in the rhetoric portion of Chapter 3). If it is not clear, take a moment to consider it before jumping into the questions. In the next section, you will get to see the Kaplan Passage Strategy applied to several examples. Use them as guides for understanding the advantages and disadvantages of each method.

7.2 Humanities Passages

Now that we have discussed the components of the Kaplan CARS Passage Method, let’s apply it to a passage similar to the ones you will see on Test Day. As previously mentioned, the two broadest passage categories are humanities and social sciences. The next section will discuss the unique challenges of humanities passages and will demonstrate how the Kaplan Passage Method can be applied to these passage types. Passages in the humanities tend to fall into two broad categories. The first category, which includes most of the passages from architecture, art, dance, literature, music, popular culture, and theater, can broadly be considered Arts passages. Many arts passages are characterized by the heavy use of quotations from other artists, writers, or critics, in addition to strong Author opinions and the use of descriptive language when discussing artistic examples. Often, each paragraph is structured with a claim, followed by evidentiary support. While not every Arts passage will contain these elements—literature

passages, in particular, tend to have a lot of variation—the majority do, making them easy to identify and triage. Most of the other passages in the humanities fall into the second category, which includes ethics, philosophy, religion, and studies of diverse cultures. These are broadly categorized as philosophical passages. Philosophical passages tend to be very abstract, with an emphasis placed on logic. They focus on concepts and the relationships between them, and they often appeal to the reader's memory or imagination, drawing on common experiences or thought experiments (asking a question with no definite answer to help you delve deeper into the nature of things). Keep in mind that there will be plenty of humanities passages that mix characteristics of arts and philosophical passages, as well as some passages that don't properly fit in either category. Nevertheless, this distinction is useful for setting expectations about the kind of support that a passage will use as well as the types of questions that will accompany it. Passages with a lack of support or argumentation are likely to include Reasoning Beyond the Text questions, which bring in a new element of information and ask you to apply the information in the passage to a new scenario (Apply questions) or ask how the new information would impact the passage (Strengthen–Weaken [Beyond the Passage] questions). These question types are discussed in Chapter 11.

Applying the Method to Humanities Now, let's take a look at how to apply the Kaplan CARS Passage Method to a humanities passage, keeping the unique challenges of this passage type in mind. Preview the passage below (do not read through it completely; there will be an opportunity for that later in the chapter), then read the analysis that follows to assess what you are already noticing and what other factors you could have considered. *The Monkey Kid*, written and directed by Xiao-Yen Wang, is probably one of the best Chinese feature films ever made but few Americans have seen it. Released in 1995 by the Beijing-San Francisco Film Group, the film "was an Official Selection at the 1995 Cannes International Film Festival and received the Grand Prize at the 1996 Aubervilliers International Children's Film Festival, awards for Best Film and Best Director at the 1995 Danube Film Festival, Best Foreign Film at the 1995 Fort Lauderdale International Film Festival, the Young Jury Award at the 1996 International

Women's Film Festival at Créteil, and the Critic's Prize at the Cinestival 97 at Marseille." The film is the autobiographical account of director Xiao-Yen Wang's childhood in China during the Cultural Revolution, the great political upheaval that gripped Chinese society and politics from 1966–1976. Ms. Wang is not just a casual observer of the times. She is an accomplished writer and director who graduated from the Beijing Film Academy in 1982. That same class of directors came to be called the famed Fifth Generation of Chinese filmmakers and included Chen Kaige (*Farewell My Concubine*, *The Emperor and the Assassin*) and Zhang Yimou (*Curse of the Golden Flower*, *Hero*, *House of Flying Daggers*, *Ju Dou*, *Raise the Red Lantern*, *Red Sorghum*, *The Story of Qiu Ju*, and *To Live*). Ms. Wang has also written and directed two other films, *The Blank Point* (1991) and *I'm Seducible*. At first glance, *The Monkey Kid* may seem like a simple slice-of-life story about one family during the Cultural Revolution, but it is much more than that. This feature film shows just how much Maoist ideology affected everyone in China, especially mothers, fathers, and children. Every family felt the turmoil in some way. What makes this film about the Cultural Revolution different from all others is that it offers a way to understand revolutionary society from a child's perspective. The main character of the film is Shi-Wei. For much of the film, Shi-Wei and her sister, Shao-Qiong, are alone in the family's apartment. Her parents live apart in two different locations in rural China as part of the campaign to have intellectuals learn from the peasants. During the film, both the father and the mother return home for short periods of time. Otherwise, Shi-Wei and Shao-Qiong must take care of themselves. They do their homework, keep the apartment tidy, shop for vegetables from street vendors, and even cook the way their parents have taught them, without any adult supervision. The film depicts Shi-Wei as a model child. She takes on a lot of the responsibility at home while her parents are away. She also does well in school and serves as a class leader. Yet even Shi-Wei is not perfect. In one of the first scenes of the film, Shi-Wei arrives at school late because she and her friends were playing in the snow. Other students would have been punished, but Shi-Wei counts on the fact that she is a "teacher's pet." Shi-Wei just whispers some excuse to the teacher and all is forgiven. *The Monkey Kid* is not a new film,

but educators should not be afraid to use it in the classroom. It is especially helpful for illustrating the many ways in which Mao's cult of personality dominated this era. It offers a view of the Cultural Revolution that is both compelling and entertaining. I have used the film with great success in both undergraduate and graduate classes on modern China. Students report that more than any other film about the Cultural Revolution, *The Monkey Kid* stays with them long after its showing in class because of the story line, the acting of the children, and the effective direction. The film always generates much discussion about class divisions, ideological education, and mass mobilization. Adapted from Andrew, A.M., 2011. *The Monkey Kid: A Personal Glimpse into the Cultural Revolution*. *ASIANetwork Exchange: A Journal for Asian Studies* in the A quick peek at the first sentence indicates that this is likely an arts passage, since it begins by discussing a movie. The second sentence is easily identifiable as a list of the movie's accolades. Glancing at the first sentence of the next two paragraphs further supports the idea that we will be spending the passage learning more about the movie and its origins. Looking down the passage, the sentence structure appears to be generally simple. Since this passage appears relatively straightforward and does not contain long, complex sentences, advanced vocabulary, or highly abstract ideas, this is an excellent passage to do now, rather than later, and should rank as Easy or Medium for most readers. None of the paragraphs are extremely long, and many appear to focus on examples and specific details. Therefore, the Outlining method is ideal for this passage, as it will allow us to boil down to the simple main idea of each paragraph in a way that will facilitate answering questions with ease. Furthermore, the language and the structure are not overly complex, so an in-depth reading is not required to determine the author's goal. Read and Distill Given the simplicity of the wording and sentence structure, you should be able to create a well-structured outline while reading through the details more quickly. Expect to work quickly through the passage, labeling the key points and returning back to it as needed to revisit specific details and examples if asked about them in a question. *The Monkey Kid*, written and directed by Xiao-Yen Wang, is probably one of the best Chinese feature films ever made but few Americans have

seen it. Released in 1995 by the Beijing-San Francisco Film Group, the film “was an Official Selection at the 1995 Cannes International Film Festival and received the Grand Prize at the 1996 Aubervilliers International Children’s Film Festival, awards for Best Film and Best Director at the 1995 Danube Film Festival, Best Foreign Film at the 1995 Fort Lauderdale International Film Festival, the Young Jury Award at the 1996 International Women’s Film Festival at Créteil, and the Critic’s Prize at the Cinestival 97 at Marseille.” Ideally, the first paragraph will let us know the general direction for the rest of the passage. What is being introduced to us here? The author introduced a Chinese film, *The Monkey Kid*. Does the author have an opinion on this film? Absolutely! The phrase “one of the best” clearly indicates the author’s high opinion of the film. Notice also that the bulk of the paragraph is dedicated to describing why the author considers this one of the best films. But we don’t need to outline that because it’s just evidence for the conclusion we already identified. P1: *The Monkey Kid* = one of the best films. The film is the autobiographical account of director Xiao-Yen Wang’s childhood in China during the Cultural Revolution, the great political upheaval that gripped Chinese society and politics from 1966– 1976. Ms. Wang is not just a casual observer of the times. She is an accomplished writer and director who graduated from the Beijing Film Academy in 1982. That same class of directors came to be called the famed Fifth Generation of Chinese filmmakers and included Chen Kaige (*Farewell My Concubine*, *The Emperor and the Assassin*) and Zhang Yimou (*Curse of the Golden Flower*, *Hero*, *House of Flying Daggers*, *Ju Dou*, *Raise the Red Lantern*, *Red Sorghum*, *The Story of Qiu Ju*, *To Live*). Ms. Wang has also written and directed two other films, *The Blank Point* (1991) and *I’m Seducible* (2006). Based on the previous paragraph, we can expect further description of the film to come next. What information are we given? The author states that the film is an autobiography of the director. We are also told further information about the director. Does the author express an opinion about the director? Yes! Words like “accomplished” show that the author feels positive. This paragraph contains a lot of details that simply support the author’s opinion and shouldn’t be included in the outline. P2: Author: Director = At first glance, *The Monkey Kid* may seem like a simple slice-of-life story about one

family during the Cultural Revolution, but it is much more than that. This feature film shows just how much Maoist ideology affected everyone in China, especially mothers, fathers, and children. Every family felt the turmoil in some way. What makes this film about the Cultural Revolution different from all others is that it offers a way to understand revolutionary society from a child's perspective. The first sentence provides us with a contrast. What is being contrasted? The author states that the film may seem simple at first but shows how Maoist ideology affected families. In addition, it is done from a child's perspective. P3: Film = Maoist ideol. from The main character of the film is Shi-Wei. For much of the film, Shi-Wei and her sister, Shao-Qiong, are alone in the family's apartment. Her parents live apart in two different locations in rural China as part of the campaign to have intellectuals learn from the peasants. During the film, both the father and the mother return home for short periods of time. Otherwise, Shi-Wei and Shao-Qiong must take care of themselves. They do their homework, keep the apartment tidy, shop for vegetables from street vendors, and even cook the way their parents have taught them, without any adult supervision. The beginning of this paragraph quickly tells us that the author is about to provide more information on what the film is about. Look out for contrasts or anything else unexpected, but otherwise don't spend too much time on this P4: Main char description The film depicts Shi-Wei as a model child. She takes on a lot of the responsibility at home while her parents are away. She also does well in school and serves as a class leader. Yet, even Shi-Wei is not perfect. In one of the first scenes of the film, Shi-Wei arrives at school late because she and her friends were playing in the snow. Other students would have been punished, but Shi-Wei counts on the fact that she is a "teacher's pet." Shi-Wei just whispers some excuse to the teacher and all is forgiven. At first glance, this paragraph may seem like a continuation of the previous one. However, we do see a contrast made in the middle of it. What has changed? The author states that even though main character is depicted as a model child, she is not perfect. P5: Main char = model child but The Monkey Kid is not a new film, but educators should not be afraid to use it in the classroom. It is especially helpful for illustrating the many ways in which Mao's cult of personality dominated this era. It offers a view of the

Cultural Revolution that is both compelling and entertaining. I have used the film with great success in both undergraduate and graduate classes on modern China. Students report that more than any other film about the Cultural Revolution, *The Monkey Kid* stays with them long after its showing in class because of the story line, the acting of the children, and the effective direction. The film always generates much discussion about class divisions, ideological education, and mass mobilization. Since this is the last paragraph, we expect the author to come up with a conclusion and perhaps a reason why this film was discussed. What is the author's conclusion? The author is advocating for this film as a great educational tool on Maoist ideology. In addition, there is evidence provided to back up the conclusion. We do not need to outline the evidence but we can make note of it. P6: Film = great educ tool on is passage has a lot of details about the movie and its accolades, but our outline allows us to stay focused on the big ideas while being confident that we can find those details if a question requires it. Overall, the author wrote this passage to argue that this excellent movie can be used to teach students about the Maoist era in China. Given all of the details, we should certainly expect to see some Detail questions, but the CARS section is usually more interested in your understanding of the author's reasons for writing. us, we should also expect to see Function questions about particular details, such as why the author describes the main characters in such depth. Now, let's apply the Kaplan method to another humanities passage. Pay particular attention to how we might vary our approach to this passage from that of the previous passage. Preview (don't read) the passage below, then read the following analysis to assess what you are already noticing and what other factors you could have. The poet lives by words as the musician by sounds. One or other of the threads with which both build, investigate, decipher and seek to express wonderment refers back to the order of the originary. In the beginning was what? The network of ancient cosmogonies and the imbricate lexical and semantic web of documents and sources that give shape to our concepts bear witness to the universal operative power of both sound and syllables. The word is the purest symbol of the manifestation of being, of the being that thinks himself and expresses himself or the being

that is known of, and communicated by another. Expressing the person, the word is part of its dynamism. Even if by analogy, does the same claim not apply to Nothing authorises us to say that Guerra Junqueiro (1850–1923) knew music or was able to read music beyond the most elementary level—even though, from a certain point he lived in a house inhabited by music. Both his daughters played piano and the youngest, Julia, excelled at the violin, to the point that, for example, in a public concert in 1898, she played the allegro from Mozart's 3rd Quintet with Gilhermina Suggia on cello; and even if he openly, to write some poetry, sought inspiration in certain pieces by Beethoven, which reminded him of those "immortal melodic souls of great epic tales that have died..." Guerra Junqueiro has been a unique case in Portuguese literary history. No other poet, whatever the period being analyzed, attained such popularity. The effectiveness of the poetry-reader communication meant a mighty power of persuasion, able to seduce, charm, convince of its truth. This happened in a society marked by a high level of illiteracy in which music was the fundamental language and collective means of communicating feelings and meanings. Many, not knowing how to read, learned by ear and recited by rote extensive compositions of Guerra Junqueiro (such as "O Melro" from *A Lágrima*) or even entire books. And if knowing by heart implies an appropriation and has something of possession, it is also knowledge of the heart. It would, therefore, be useless and demeaning to ascribe his seduction to specific audiences. The work of the poet served audiences differentiated by taste, social status, culture and political persuasion. Words, like music, are liable to various modulations; they are, or can be, singing material. Guerra Junqueiro knew it and demanded that it was so: what "doesn't have music ... is useless!" or what "doesn't sing, doesn't vibrate, is no good!" Based on this evaluation criterion, the verse of Junqueiro's work is stretched, loiters, stops, dances or sets off running like giddily rolling waves. Rich rhymes, vocal strata, ostensive use of metaphor, visually and aurally expressive images, pauses that are eloquent silences, sequences, reiterative structures, synaesthetic and onomatopoeic processes, a vast range of nuances, of technical-compositional, rhetorical-stylistic, ideothematic aspects, interwoven in a harmonious, seamless music, concur

both for the modulation of what is the music of Junqueiro, and for direct communication with the reader or listener, easily consigning the verses to It is not, however, about reestablishing the prestige of Guerra Junqueiro, since this task would be impossible and an improbable anachronism, so intimate is the relation between text and society in the work of the poet and so disproportionately resounding was his reception. The academic project, *Revisiting/Discovering Guerra Junqueiro*, is rather an attempt to rescue him from the fog of oblivion and from the cultural ambiguity in which troubled circumstances, orthodoxies and conflicting powers bound him, freezing the reading and interpretation of his work and action.

Adapted from Pereira, H. (2010). From Singing Material to Intangible Poetry: The Music of Junqueiro. *Journal of Science and Technology of the Arts*, 2(1), 58-60. A quick look at the first sentence indicates that this is likely an arts passage, since it begins by discussing poetry and music. The second sentence contains flowery language and abstract concepts and is followed by a question. The first sentences of the next two paragraphs discuss a poet named Guerra Junqueiro. As a result, we can assume that this passage will discuss music and poetry, specifically the work of Guerra Junqueiro. The first paragraph may seem intimidating, but it also looks like it's just an introduction to a more straightforward piece, so this passage is likely not as challenging as the first paragraph appears. Since this passage contains advanced vocabulary and abstract ideas, this is certainly a passage that many would triage for later, likely assigning a difficulty of Hard or Medium. This is a difficult passage to choose an approach for, and the passage is likely to wind up being time consuming regardless of method chosen. The first paragraph is exceptionally verbose, with unnecessarily convoluted language. Subsequent paragraphs indicate that the passage is primarily focused on a single individual and his poetic work. Main ideas, for the purposes of outlining or highlighting, will be difficult to determine and require additional time regardless of method chosen. Furthermore, the passage is filled with strongly-worded, abstract claims. This abstraction and complexity suggest that the Interrogation method will be challenging to apply to this passage, but, if done correctly, will hugely facilitate answering questions. As a further benefit, Interrogating will

likely allow a reader to connect the abstractions of the first paragraph with the rest of the passage, promoting If insufficient time existed to approach this passage with Interrogating, Highlighting or Outlining could be used. Specifically, the difficulty and length of the passage point to Highlighting as a way to save time. e lack of clear focus and main idea in each paragraph mean that it may be difficult to find terms to highlight as main ideas, and may even be hard to find words to Read and Distill As you read, locate sentences that discuss or elaborate on a single theme. Ask yourself why the author chose to include that information and how it contributes to the author's overall purpose before moving to the next "chunk" of information. It's normal to take longer to work through a passage when you use this approach as compared to the other two, but be mindful of time and don't get lost in the minutiae. Remember, the goal is to think about the big picture, so avoid interrogating sentence by sentence. If you're struggling to generate questions in order to interrogate passages, consider going through this example by reading the questions on the right, then trying to answer them yourself. Follow up by reading the expert response and evaluating how well you did. Interrogating is a challenging method to learn and master, so if you're just starting out with it, focus on building one skill at a time! If you encounter a tough passage like this on Test Day, don't lose your cool! The majority of passages you encounter will not be this challenging. A passage like this one should be saved for later as part of your Preview step, and when you do come back to it at the end of the section, after completing other passages, you will have a clear idea of how much time you have available to devote to the passage. Remember, when in a time crunch, Highlighting is a great approach for challenging passages. If you have sufficient time, Interrogating is the best way to clearly identify all major themes and ideas in a passage and prepare yourself for the questions to come. Choose the approach that's right for you based on the time left on the clock when you tackle difficult passages at the end of your CARS

The poet lives by words as the musician by sounds. One or other of the threads with which both build, investigate, decipher and seek to express wonderment refers back to the order of the originary. In the beginning was what? The network of ancient cosmogonies and the

imbricate lexical and semantic web of documents and sources that give shape to our concepts bear witness to the universal operative power of both sound and syllables. The word is the purest symbol of the manifestation of being, of the being that thinks himself and expresses himself or the being that is known of, and communicated by another. Expressing the person, the word is part of its dynamism. Even if by analogy, does the same claim not apply to music?

S1-7. Why does the author build an analogy between words and music? The author uses a lot of obtuse language here, but the first sentence makes a comparison between words and music that is reiterated with the rhetorical question at the end of the paragraph. It's tough to pin down exactly what the author is saying, but there's a general theme about words and music both having a "universal operative power," a suggestion that both are means for people to express themselves and communicate with each other. Thus, the author draws this analogy between words and music in order to highlight their similarities, specifically the way that both are used for self-expression and communication. Nothing authorizes us to say that Guerra Junqueiro (1850-1923) knew music or was able to read music beyond the most elementary level—even though, from a certain point he lived in a house inhabited by music. Both his daughters played piano and the youngest, Julia, excelled at the violin, to the point that, for example, in a public concert in 1898, she played the allegro from Mozart's 3rd Quintet with Gilhermina Suggia on cello; and even if he openly, to write some poetry, sought inspiration in certain pieces by Beethoven, which reminded him of those "immortal melodic souls of great epic tales that have

S1-2. Why does the author shift to talking about one man's experience with music and poetry? The first paragraph drew parallels between music and words, and now the author is describing an example of a poet who is familiar with, but untrained in, music. She also mentions specific pieces of music that inspired the poet. Thus, the author uses Junqueiro as an example to reinforce her analogy between music and language from paragraph 1. In addition, as you may recall from previewing the passage, the remaining paragraphs focus on Junqueiro, so we can see that this paragraph serves to introduce us to the main topic of the passage: Junqueiro's musicality. Guerra Junqueiro has been a unique case in Portuguese literary history. No other

poet, whatever the period being analyzed, attained such popularity. The effectiveness of the poetry-reader communication meant a mighty power of persuasion, able to seduce, charm, convince of its truth. This happened in a society marked by a high level of illiteracy in which music was the fundamental language and collective means of communicating feelings and meanings. Many, not knowing how to read, learned by ear and recited by rote extensive compositions of Guerra S1-2. Why does the author emphasize Junqueiro's popularity? So far, there have been a lot of words in this passage, but only a few key ideas: the analogy between music and words/poetry as modes of expression, and the fact that Junqueiro's poetry was inspired by music (despite no formal musical Junqueiro (such as "O Melro" from A Lágrima) or even entire books. And if knowing by heart implies an appropriation and has something of possession, it is also knowledge of the heart. It would, therefore, be useless and demeaning to ascribe his seduction to specific audiences. The work of the poet served audiences differentiated by taste, social status, culture and political persuasion. training). We also know from our preview that the passage primarily focuses on Junqueiro's work. From this, it is reasonable to conclude that the author emphasizes Junqueiro's popularity both to justify why she decided to write about him in particular and to give her claims about the connection between music and poetry more force. After all, if she spoke about some obscure poet that nobody read anymore, then her audience would be less likely to take what she has to say seriously. S3-8. Why does the author talk about the audience of Junqueiro? The author presents a few key facts about Junqueiro's audience: they came from a society with limited education and literacy, they primarily communicated with music, and they learned to recite Junqueiro's poetry by listening to it (instead of reading it). Based on these facts, we can conclude that the author is suggesting that a Junqueiro poem functions exactly like a piece of music (at least for his audience), providing more support for the analogy between words and music as forms of expression. Words, like music, are liable to various modulations; they are, or can be, singing material. Guerra Junqueiro knew it and demanded that it was so: what "doesn't have music ... is useless!" or what "doesn't sing, doesn't vibrate, is no good!" Based on this evaluation

criterion, the verse of Junqueiro's work is stretched, loiters, stops, dances or sets off running like giddily rolling waves. Rich rhymes, vocal strata, ostensive use of metaphor, visually and aurally expressive images, pauses that are eloquent silences, sequences, reiterative structures, synaesthetic and onomatopoeic processes, a vast range of nuances, of ideothematic aspects, interwoven in a harmonious, seamless music, concur both for the modulation of what is the music of Junqueiro, and for direct communication with the reader or listener, easily consigning the verses to memory. S1-4. Why does the author use such long strings of descriptive terms for Junqueiro's poetry? The paragraph begins with a few shorter sentences, but its lengthy concluding sentence spans more than half of the paragraph and contains more than a dozen commas. Rather than attempting to make sense of every single descriptor, you should focus on the general theme: most of the descriptors use language associated with music or sound (as opposed to the written word). Thus, this long list is really just evidence for the claim that Junqueiro's poetry is musical by nature, which in turn supports the author's contention that words and music are closely related as means of expression. It is not, however, about reestablishing the prestige of Guerra Junqueiro, since this task would be impossible and an improbable anachronism, so intimate is the relation between text and society in the work of the poet and so disproportionately resounding was his reception. The academic project, *Revisiting/Discovering Guerra Junqueiro*, is rather an attempt to rescue him from the fog of oblivion and from the cultural ambiguity in which troubled circumstances, orthodoxies and conflicting powers bound him, freezing the reading and interpretation of his work and S1-2. Why is the academic project NOT about reestablishing the prestige of Junqueiro? Why is the author writing about this project? The author focused in previous paragraphs on the appeal of Junqueiro's work among those who primarily used music and spoken word to express themselves. However, contemporary audiences tend to inhabit different historical circumstances (e.g., they are more likely to be literate), so the author suggests that his work would not be received in the same way today. This serves to anticipate a potential criticism of the project, namely, that more literate and less musically-inclined

audiences would not appreciate Junqueiro's poetry. Rather than restoring the former popularity of his work, the project instead aims to introduce this forgotten poet to contemporary audiences ("rescue him from the fog of oblivion") and help those audiences better interpret his work (namely, as a type of expression analogous to music, as established in previous paragraphs). Despite difficult language and complicated sentence structure, a deep interrogation of this passage shows us that the author actually has a fairly simple goal—one we honestly might have missed had we used a less in-depth passage method. The author uses the relationship between music and words to illustrate why Junqueiro was such a popular poet in his time and to suggest that his works deserve more attention today. Based on our analysis of the passage, we might expect to see Function questions asking why the author discussed the relationship between music and words, or an Inference question asking you why the author feels that Junqueiro's work is so important. The most challenging questions you might see with a passage of this type would ask you to expand on ideas from the passage: extending the analogy from the first paragraph or applying it to new examples.

7.3 Social Sciences Passages

Now that we have seen how the Kaplan Passage Method can be used to work through humanities passages, let's look at how it can also be applied to social sciences. The next section will discuss the unique challenges of these passages and walk you through how the Kaplan Passage Method can be applied to a social sciences passage.

Social Sciences Passages

As in the humanities, passages in the social sciences tend to fall into two broad categories. The first category, which includes most of the passages in anthropology, education, linguistics, population health, psychology, and sociology, has a scientific form. Many scientific passages are characterized by the presence of numbers and reference to empirical studies as evidence. The author's opinion tends to be less obvious than in arts passages, but the presence of Author keywords can still be used to guide you to it. In some cases, a scientific passage may even look like a passage you might find in the Psychological, Social, and Biological Foundations of Behavior section, so it is especially important that you not bring any outside knowledge into your analysis of these types of passages. While psychology and sociology can

be tested in both the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills section and the Psychological, Social, and Biological Foundations of Behavior section, the former will not require outside knowledge. In fact, bringing in outside knowledge to answer any question in the CARS section can lead you astray, drawing you toward Out of Scope answer choices. The remaining passages tend to fall into the second category, which includes archaeology, economics, geography, history, political science, and studies of diverse cultures. These types of passages are best categorized as historical passages. Note that these types of passages are far more variable than the clear-cut scientific passages and may even resemble humanities passages at times. Historical passages tend to draw on historical events and quotations from sources alive at the time of the events discussed. They are rarely heavily opinionated, but the nature of the subject matter itself allows authors to express strong viewpoints if they so desire. Passages dealing with history, economics, and political science often contain empirical studies, bringing in some elements of scientific passages, or heavy theoretical discussions, making them more like philosophical humanities passages. Nevertheless, though some social sciences may appear to cross categorical boundaries, distinguishing them broadly as social science and more specifically as scientific or historical is useful for making judgments about what approach is best and what questions will likely be asked.

Applying the Method to Social Sciences Now, let's take a look at how to apply the Kaplan method to a social sciences passage, keeping the unique challenges of this passage type in mind. Preview the passage below, then read the following analysis to assess what you are already noticing and what other factors you could have considered. The issue of climate change is undoubtedly one of the most important that humankind has faced. Yet as important as it is, it is merely one of hundreds of news items that may or may not be regularly reported in the news media. How the issue is treated in the press is a relevant inquiry to make, as research clearly indicates that an issue's salience in the media can translate directly into relevance in people's minds. We also know that media coverage is also linked to more than just awareness of a topic; it can also have an effect on policy debates and attitudes among audiences, for example. We know that climate change is difficult for one

person to openly observe, and specific weather events are not always directly linked to the larger issue of climate change. The ways that media stories on climate change are written or produced vary considerably and depend largely on media workers making explicit connections and reporting such through their outlets. However, the business of mediated news tends to favor certain kinds of coverage over others in the media. News producers look for specific crises, or spectacular events, often utilizing an episodic lens through which stories may be relayed to audiences. If there is no specific event, then the topic will be less likely to receive coverage. The issue of climate change may be important in the long term, but it may not receive ample coverage in the immediate short term. As a result, regardless of Al Gore's and Leonardo DiCaprio's efforts, the salience of global climate change may be reduced for the public, who are likely dealing with more pressing issues or things that are more immediately impactful on their lives; even the shift of presidential attention to issues such as jobs and the economy over climate change highlights this situation. The media's attention to the problem of climate change varies considerably. One study found that coverage was events-based in France, whereas in the United States, "conflicts between scientists and politicians" received more emphasis. Coinciding with this, other studies have suggested that over the years, scientists were quoted less, and politicians more, as the topic became politicized. Another suggested that the issue of climate change was too abstract, that journalists did not have the proper background to assess the details, that there was a false sense of balance in the reports, and that scientists were not providing jargon-free language so that journalists and the public might easily understand the issue. The topic may become relevant to news outfits when addressed in some way by government or supranational body officials, who may be dealing with incidences or policies that are linked to climate change. Besides having actual events occurring naturally in the environment, which is likely to be covered, we may see elites or organizations raising the issue and thus drawing news attention (e.g., by commissioning and issuing an environmental impact report). Research indicated that international climate summits and efforts of NGOs had a stronger impact on issue attention than weather events.

This is likely also the case in the Gulf region where newspapers engage in a type of protocol journalism practicing “non- adversarial forms of journalism common in Gulf states, relying heavily on protocol news and content supplied by government and corporations,” which emphasizes the importance of covering official activities and government-driven pronouncements. At the same time, the public relations literature indicates that actors are recognizing that getting out in front of an issue allows potentially for greater control of the narrative surrounding any story. That is to say, if an official of the Gulf Cooperation Council issues a press release, they are able to address the issue, while at the same time encourage a specific kind of coverage on the subject. While a given country may not see a need to address or take immediate action on climate change, another country may be suffering the consequences of inaction. As a result of the potential for one country’s policies to impact another, supranational organizations are becoming increasingly relevant in dealing with matters of regional and international dealings, including climate change. Adapted from Freeman, B. C. (2016). Protecting the Gulf: Climate change coverage in GCC print media. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 3(1), 1212690. e reference to climate change and its representation in the media indicates that this is likely a social sciences passage. Since the first sentences of the next three paragraphs build on the idea of how climate change is portrayed in the media, we can further categorize this as a historical passage, perhaps Since this passage appears straightforward, with simple sentence structure and vocabulary, this would be an Easy passage for many readers. e passage has a straightforward topic and doesn’t appear to contain significant changes in trajectory, meaning it is only of about medium difficulty. However, the length of the passage, and the fact that most of its paragraphs are long and cover multiple ideas, makes Highlighting an excellent method to use. Remember, generally Highlighting is best applied to difficult passages, but can also be a way to save time on Reading and Distilling long passages, so long as you are prepared to spend additional time answering questions! Read and Distill As you read, try to identify big picture topics in each paragraph. en, use your highlighting tool to highlight one or two words that summarize

those main ideas or will help you remember key points when you refer back to them. Aim to work through the passage as quickly as possible so that you have more time to come back and perform a deep analysis of part of the passage if a question specifically requires you to. If used efficiently, highlights will help you quickly locate the details needed to answer these

The issue of climate change is undoubtedly one of the most important that humankind has faced. Yet as important as it is, it is merely one of the hundreds of news items that may or may not be regularly reported in the news media. How the issue is treated in the press is a relevant inquiry to make, as research clearly indicates that an issue's salience in the media can translate directly into relevance in people's minds. We also know that media coverage is also linked to more than just awareness of a topic; it can also have an effect on policy debates and attitudes among audiences, for example. What did the first paragraph reveal about the theme and the purpose of the passage will likely talk about climate change and its relationship with the media. More specifically, the passage will investigate how the media treats, and perhaps should treat, climate change. We can highlight "treated in the press" to capture the main idea of this

We know that climate change is difficult for one person to openly observe, and specific weather events are not always directly linked to the larger issue of climate change. The ways that media stories on climate change are written or produced vary considerably and depend largely on media workers making explicit connections and reporting it through their outlets. However, the business of mediated news tends to favor certain kinds of coverage over others in the media. News producers look for specific crises, or spectacular events, often utilizing an episodic lens through which stories may be relayed to audiences. If there is no specific event, then the topic will be less likely to receive coverage. The issue of climate change may be important in the long term, but it may not receive ample coverage in the immediate short term. As a result, regardless of Al Gore's and Leonardo

How can we use highlighting to tackle long paragraphs? What types of detail should we focus on? We need our highlighting to break down this long paragraph. The first part of the paragraph seems to focus on how reports on climate change are heavily dependent on the media producing it. We can highlight "depend"

to remind us of this, and to draw attention to the sentence surrounding it. We would not want to highlight the full phrase as it is wordy and would lower the efficiency of the highlight. e next DiCaprio's efforts, the salience of global climate change may be reduced for the public, who are likely dealing with more pressing issues or things that are more immediately impactful on their lives; even the shift of presidential attention to issues such as jobs and the economy over climate change highlights this situation. idea is about how the media has a bias for immediate, singular events rather than focusing on the big picture. We should highlight "look for specific crises" to represent this idea. e last important idea is how this short-term focus lowers the salience of climate change significantly for the public. Here, we can highlight "reduced for the public" rather than "salience of global climate change" because the former better captures the action of the idea. e key is that the salience is reduced, and simply highlighting the word "salience" will not convey that information as well as "reduced for the public." The media's attention to the problem of climate change varies considerably. One study found that coverage was events- based in France, whereas in the United States, "conflicts between scientists and politicians" received more emphasis. Coinciding with this, other studies have suggested that over the years, scientists were quoted less, and politicians more, as the topic became politicized. Another suggested that the issue of climate change was too abstract, that journalists did not have the proper background to assess the details, that there was a false sense of balance in the reports, and that scientists were not providing jargon-free language so that journalists and the public might easily understand the issue. In a paragraph with a lot of details and examples, what should we Since we know highlighting every detail will result in over- highlighting, which is not very productive, we need to focus on the main theme of these details. e first line already captures the "variability" aspect, so we won't highlight terms like "many factors." It seems that this is due to the "conflicts between scientists and politicians," which can be quickly summarized by the word The topic may become relevant to news outfits when addressed in some way by government or supranational body officials, who may be dealing with incidences or policies that are linked to climate change. Besides having

actual events occurring naturally in the environment, which is likely to be covered, we may see elites or organizations raising the issue and thus drawing news attention (e.g., by commissioning and issuing an environmental impact report). Research indicated that international climate summits and efforts of NGOs had a stronger impact on issue attention than weather events. This is likely also the case in the Gulf region where newspapers engage in a type of protocol journalism practicing “non-adversarial forms of journalism common in Gulf states, relying heavily on protocol news and content. Another long paragraph with a lot of detail and examples. How do we use good highlighting to quickly digest this paragraph? is paragraph introduces new actors in the climate change conversation: government and supranational bodies. We need to highlight a phrase that shows how this new idea elaborates on previous ones. Since the paragraph seems to focus on how governments and other groups can create additional media attention on the topic of climate supplied by government and corporations,” which emphasizes the importance of covering official activities and government-driven pronouncements. At the same time, the public relations literature indicates that actors are recognizing that getting out in front of an issue allows potentially for greater control of the narrative surrounding any story. That is to say, if an official of the Gulf Cooperation Council issues a press release, they are able to address the issue, while at the same time encourage a specific kind of coverage on the subject. change, we can highlight “organizations raising the issue.” e next part introduced the Gulf region’s journalism habits, which certainly stands apart from the rest of the passage. However, the general message is the same: government actions impact media activity on climate change and therefore awareness. We can also highlight “protocol journalism” to capture the idea that the key nature of the Gulf states’ media is more government driven. Finally, the end of the paragraph introduces a new idea— that specific actors can not only increase media exposure but also “control the narrative” about the action as well. While a given country may not see a need to address or take immediate action on climate change, another country may be suffering the consequences of inaction. As a result of the potentials for one country’s policies to impact

another, supranational organizations are becoming increasingly relevant in dealing with matters of regional and international dealings, including

What does this last paragraph have to conclude? What is the final is paragraph shows how one country's actions can impact others. So, we can highlight "supranational organizations" to capture the idea that institutions that go beyond nation states (what "supranational" really means) will be necessary to adequately address climate change.

The goal of the Highlighting method is to make it easy to locate the key points of the passage, while not becoming distracted by superfluous details, examples, and digressions. In this case, our highlighting allows us to easily identify the author's main points, the structure of the passage, and key details. If a question requires it, we should feel confident that we could quickly locate where in the passage to research an answer.

As a final step before moving on to the questions, always stop to consider the author's overall goal in writing the passage. In this case, the author uses the passage to discuss media attention to climate change and how that attention can be manipulated by various actors. And so our discussion of how to approach passages draws to a close.

The skills we've covered—understanding rhetorical elements, using keywords, reading critically, using the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, analyzing argumentation and logic, recognizing varieties of passages, and anticipating questions—will serve you well not only on the MCAT but also in medical school and as a physician. When you read a CARS passage, you use keywords to guide your reading and determine how information is put together. You then draw inferences and set expectations for where the author is likely to go with a given argument in order to anticipate the questions you'll be asked. Similarly, in medicine, you will listen actively to your patients, assessing whether various aspects of their chief complaint and background information are likely to help guide you to a diagnosis. By forming predictions about their diagnosis as you take their history, you can then set expectations for some of the other signs and symptoms the patient may exhibit, which then informs your physical exam. You can also anticipate the questions the patient will ask you —What does this mean for me? Do I have to take medication for this? Does this put me at risk for anything else?—and answer them in

advance, to paint as comprehensive a picture as possible. us, it should come as no surprise that the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills section gets its name because it tests your ability not to comprehend dance theory, musicology, archaeology, or linguistics, but to understand how to analyze and reason through Passages are only a part of the picture, though. While we need to read the passage to gain information, the real points come from the questions. In the next four chapters, we will shift our focus to these questions, starting with the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions and then focusing on the three major question type categories, as identified by the AAMC: Foundations of Comprehension, Reasoning Within the Text, and Reasoning Beyond the Text. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by completing a test-like passage set in your online resources!

Concept and Strategy Summary

The Kaplan Passage Method

PREVIEW FOR DIFFICULTY Look for the big picture Assess the relative difficulty Decide to read now or later

CHOOSE YOUR APPROACH

Highlighting: Best for high-difficulty passages or if low on Outlining: Gives a moderate understanding of the passage and allows for more time to work on the questions

Interrogating: Gives a strong understanding of passage but allows for less time to work on the questions

READ AND DISTILL THE MEANING OF Recognize keywords to identify the most important and testable content in each paragraph Use your approach from the choose step to extract your major takeaways from each paragraph:

Highlighting—highlight 1–3 key terms and phrases per paragraph you can use to quickly locate information later

Outlining—create a brief label for each paragraph that summarizes the main idea of that paragraph

Interrogating—thoroughly examine each major idea presented in the paragraph and determine why and how the author is using the information to build an argument Identify the reason the passage was written before moving into the questions

Figure 7.2 The Kaplan Method for Passages in CARS Humanities passages include topics from architecture, art, dance, ethics, literature, music, philosophy, popular culture, religion, studies of diverse cultures, and theater. Many passages in the humanities can be considered arts passages or philosophical passages. Arts passages tend to include strong opinions, quotations, and

descriptive language to illustrate examples. Philosophical passages tend to be abstract and heavy on logic, focusing heavily on concepts and relations between them; they often appeal to the reader's memory or imagination.

Social Sciences Passages Social sciences passages include topics from anthropology, archaeology, economics, education, geography, history, linguistics, political science, population health, psychology, sociology, and studies of diverse cultures. Many passages in the social sciences can be considered scientific passages or historical passages. Scientific passages tend to include empirical studies and more subtle author opinions. Remember not to use any outside knowledge when working through these passages in the CARS.

Historical passages tend to draw on historical events and quotations from sources alive at the time; they may include empirical studies or theoretical evidence, which can make them similar to the other passage varieties. Use the following Worked Example, in tandem with the following practice passages, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter.

The Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passage. Take a few moments to quickly glance over the passage in order to Preview and Choose your approach.

The Outlining approach is a strong method for use with this passage. There are only two arguments to keep track of, and both are clearly laid out in terms of paragraph structure. In addition, paragraph length, sentence, and language complexity make it possible to create a short outline and still capture the main idea of the passage. As with all passages, any approach could be chosen and work for this passage, so, remember to practice all approaches as you start your CARS prep in order to determine which work best for you and in what situations!

In *Prisoners of Men's Dreams*, published in 1992, Suzanne Gordon argues that American feminism has lost sight of its original goal of transforming the world into a kinder, gentler place. Gordon deplores the sort of feminism that has triumphed instead: a cold, ruthless, "equal-opportunity" feminism, which aims for women's entrance into the masculine public world and their achievement by male standards of excellence. As with most passages, the first paragraph is where the author will give us at least a hint of the main idea. What is being introduced the author mentions

Suzanne Gordon, who we can abbreviate as S.G., and her argument on how American feminism has changed from kind and gentle to cold and Are there indications of opinions Yes. e words used to describe S.G.'s position clearly indicate that she favors the "kind" form of feminism over the "cold" form. However, it's not yet clear whether the author endorses S.G.'s view. P1: S.G.: Amer femin change = bad, kind ruthless The heart of the book consists of excerpts from a hundred interviews with career women, who do a lot of complaining about fatigue and disillusion. Gordon's subjects comprise an unsurprising lot, given her presupposition of modern feminism's focus on successful women as products of overcoming male-centric and male-infused social and business structures. At the end, Gordon calls for a National Care Agenda that would make "caregiving" rather than competition the ultimate American value. Based on the previous paragraph, what are we expecting to logically Since we were introduced to a conclusion without any support, we would expect some evidence for that conclusion to come next. And this is exactly what this paragraph Is there any change in S.G.'s point of view or any indication of the No. S.G. continues to emphasize the "caregiving" or kind idea of feminism, and the author's opinion P2: Support for S.G.'s femin, Nat Care Agenda Suzanne Gordon is obviously an intelligent, sympathetic, and well-meaning person, but Prisoners of Men's Dreams is a good example of the kind of sentimental, unlearned effusion that has become a staple of some types of contemporary feminism and that most people rightly ignore. And who could blame them? Rallying for the propulsion of women in the public and private spheres through carefully played attempts at the pity point are bound to be met with stolid expression and silenced ears. e last two paragraphs discussed S.G.'s conclusion and the supporting evidence for it, but we still have not seen where the author stands on the issue. What is the author's opinion on the issue and what can help us determine this? e author starts out the paragraph with a positive tone toward S.G. However, the Contrast keyword "but" precedes a change in tone: the author harshly criticizes S.G.'s idea Does the author provide any evidence to reinforce this point of e sentence following the question provides an answer to it, a justification for why S.G.'s feminism should be ignored. e

language is dense, but the author suggests some kind of problem with appealing to “pity.” However, this is a detail we don’t need to include in our outline. P3: Auth: S.G. = well-meaning Like a number of other American feminists, Gordon is completely out of her depth as a social analyst. Awkward, unintegrated quotes from Adam Smith and Woodrow Wilson are waved around to disguise her lack of familiarity with economics, history, and political science. Gordon’s quote appropriations smack of the same short-sighted social phenomena that lead to “Keep Calm and Carry On” paraphernalia being plastered on the walls with complete disregard of manifest intent of the message. The first sentence of this paragraph continues along the same line that the previous one did. This means that we are expecting more support for the author’s stance unless we see a Contrast keyword. Does the author change the stance at any No. The entire paragraph is dedicated to explaining why S.G. is a poor social analyst. P4: Auth: S.G. = bad social Well, let me tell you: as a child of Italian immigrants, I happen to think that America is the most open, dynamic, creative nation on God’s green earth. As a scholar, I also know that it is capitalist America that produced the modern, independent woman. Never in history have women had more freedom of choice in regard to dress, behavior, career, and sexual orientation. In the first sentence, we see a colon (:), which indicates that we have an example coming up and a continuation of the discussion. We only need to be on the lookout for a Contrast keyword if there is one. Does the author shift direction No, the author continues to refute a view that she ascribes to S.G. by arguing for American capitalism. P5: Auth: America = best for And yet, Gordon’s insistence on defining women as nurturant and compassionate drove me up the wall. My entire rebellion as a child in the Fifties was against this unctuous, preachy stuff coming from teachers, clergy, and Girl Scout leaders. This drivel was not the path to supporting and empowering this woman. In this paragraph, we actually see the author showing strong emotion, which is uncommon in MCAT passages. Even though we see a Contrast keyword in the first line, it is there to signify the difference between S.G.’s and the author’s opinions, which is not new P6: Auth = upset with S.G.’s This “transformative feminism” is just as repressive and reactionary as the “patriarchy” it claims to attack. Minerva save us

from the cloying syrup of coercive compassion! What feminism does not need, it seems to me, is an endless recycling of Doris Day Fifties clichés about In the last paragraph, we see further strong opinion from the author. Did that opinion change compared to previous paragraphs? No, it did not. P7: Auth: S.G.'s "transform fem" Here's a sample outline for this passage: P1. S.G.: Amer femin change = bad, kind P2. Support for S.G.'s femin, Nat Care P3. Auth: S.G. = well-meaning but unlearned P4. Auth: S.G. = bad social analyst P5. Auth: America = best for women now P6. Auth = upset with S.G.'s feminism P7. Auth: S.G.'s "transform fem" = bad

Passage 1 (Questions 1–5) In recent years, extensive media attention has been given to enormous damages awarded in the US civil litigation tort system. In 1996, 79-year-old Stella Liebeck was awarded \$2.7 million in punitive damages from McDonald's after sustaining third-degree burns from spilled coffee. The system awarded Michael Gore nearly \$4 million in 1994 after BMW sold him a car that had been repainted and sold as new. Awards such as these spurred businesses, insurance companies, and lobbyists to claim an "explosion" of legal liability. In response, many legislators called for tort system reform that included limiting the amount of damages, controlling legal fees, and redefining the concept of "fault" administered by the judges. Jury verdicts that appear, on superficial inquiry, to be blatantly excessive seem to challenge our system of compensation. Some claim that juries find negligence in order to provide compensation for victims who have large medical bills and lost wages, at the expense of "deep pocket" defendants. In his seminal article in the Maryland Law Journal, "Real World Torts: An Antidote to Anecdote," Marc Galanter examines the issue. As the title suggests, in order to investigate the tort system, Galanter used empirical data to examine whether, on the whole, these "anecdotes" truly represented how the system compensates injured parties. Galanter found that all tort claims form a dispute pyramid charting the progress from an injury to a jury verdict. Injuries form the broad base of the pyramid. On the next level, approximately 8 percent of injuries become grievances (events for which an injury was noticed). Of these grievances, 85 percent become claims (where the injured brings the problem to the alleged wrongdoer), and 23.5 percent of claims become disputes (having failed

to reach an informal agreement). Next, 58 percent of plaintiffs with claims contact a lawyer, and 32.8 percent of these result in a court filing. Of all court filings, only 7 percent result in a verdict, and only 34.7 percent of these are decided in favor of the plaintiff. This means that injured people gets a jury verdict in their favor only 0.007 percent of the time. For example, medical malpractice results in approximately 100,000 deaths a year. At the tip of Galanter's pyramid, only 21 of the 100,000 deaths will result in a verdict. Finally, only 7 people will receive damage awards from a jury. Galanter concludes that the system is hardly unbalanced in favor of plaintiffs. The proposed tort reform would actually increase insurance company profitability and reduce payments to the most seriously injured tort victims. Punitive damage awards are extremely rare, only applied in the most egregious cases, and always subject to judicial review. The awards discourage businesses from releasing harmful products into the stream of commerce. Moreover, according to Galanter, court filings in the law division of the circuit court of Cook County have actually declined during the period from 1980 to 1994. His observations are consistent with a 1999 study by the National Center for State Courts, which found that tort filings have decreased by 9 percent since 1986. By looking at existing empirical data instead of isolated, inflammatory cases, legislators will be able to do a better job of deciding if the system is in need of reform and, if so, what type of reform is appropriate.

1. The author primarily mentions Liebeck's award (paragraph 1) in order to:

- A. give an example to support the author's overall claim.
- B. give an example of a verdict that is blatantly excessive.
- C. give an example of a verdict that has caused legislators to call for tort reform.
- D. introduce evidence for a conclusion made later in the passage.

2. Which of the following situations would be most analogous to the situation faced by a potential tort plaintiff, based on the information in paragraph 4?

- A. A young basketball prospect trying to make it to the NBA
- B. A group of children picking sides for a baseball game
- C. A young, qualified woman looking for a job
- D. An injured woman trying to reach an emergency room

3. Based on the information in the author believes that Galanter's pyramid:

- I. is applicable to medical claims.
- II. should compel legislators to change their views.
- III. is biased against "deep pocket" companies.

A. I

only B. II only C. I and II only D. I, II, and III 4. Which of the following, if true, would most WEAKEN the conclusion implied in A. Galanter's article was published in 1995. B. A study showed that filings for divorce followed a much different pattern. C. Galanter's study dealt with only product liability cases. D. Most doctors carry medical malpractice insurance. 5. Based

on the information in the passage, the author would argue for all of the following A. juries should not be so compassionate toward victims at the expense of wealthy B. legislators should examine all data. C. the media spotlight does not necessarily clarify problems. D. courts might award damages as a way to ensure that businesses practice in the public's best interest.

Passage 2 (Question 6–12) ...[post-World-War-II Director of Policy Planning George F.] Kennan's strategy had been to try to bring about changes, over time, in the Soviet concept of international relations: to convince Russian leaders that their interests could be better served by learning to live with a diverse world rather than trying to remake it in their own image. Kennan had rejected both war and appeasement to accomplish this; it could only be done, he thought, through a long- term process of "behavior modification"—responding positively to whatever conciliatory initiatives emanated from the Kremlin, while firmly countering those that were not... Kennan took the position that it was as important to reward the Kremlin for conciliatory gestures as it was to resist aggressive ones. This meant being prepared to engage in such negotiations that would produce mutually acceptable results. The [Truman] administration conveyed the appearance of being willing to discuss outstanding issues with Moscow, but Kennan regarded several of its major actions between 1948 and 1950...[among them] the formation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)...would reinforce Soviet feelings of suspicion and insecurity, and hence, to narrow opportunities for negotiations... The initiatives for the North Atlantic Treaty came from the Western Europeans themselves, and reflected the uneasiness they felt over the disparity in military power in Europe: the Russians had thirty divisions in Eastern and Central Europe alone; comparable combined US, British, and French forces came to fewer than ten divisions. Thus, the Western Union countries (Great Britain, France, and Benelux), together with the United States and Canada, agreed on the

outlines of a treaty providing that an attack on any one of them would be regarded as an attack upon all. Kennan had not been involved in the initial discussions, but he made clear his reservations about the course the administration chose to follow. These boiled down to three points: (1) that the Europeans had mistaken what was essentially a political threat for a military one, and that they consequently risked "a general preoccupation with military affairs, to the detriment of economic recovery"; (2) that outside the immediate North Atlantic, "which embraces a real community of defense interest firmly rooted in geography and tradition," any alliance extended to only some countries would render the rest more vulnerable...; (3) that an alliance made up of [Western European] nations would amount to "a final militarization of the present dividing-line through Europe," and that "no alteration, or obliteration, of that line could take place without having an accentuated military significance." Such a development might be unavoidable, "but our present policy is still directed...toward the eventual peaceful withdrawal of both the United States and the U.S.S.R. from the heart of Europe ..." These were not isolated concerns. There was worry in Washington that emphasis on rearmament would delay recovery; indeed, one condition attached to the administration's military assistance program for Western Europe was that economic revival would continue to have first priority. The question of how to include some countries without appearing to write off others also caused a great deal of agonizing: in the end, the administration stretched the concept of "North Atlantic" to encompass Italy, but refused to extend it to Greece, Turkey, Iran, or to form a comparable pact with non-communist countries of the Western Pacific. There was less concern about Kennan's third point simply because most observers already regarded division, by mid-1948, as an accomplished fact...Despite its reservations, the administration went on to conclude a North Atlantic Treaty and initiate a program of military assistance to its members. Kennan came to see, regretfully, that [because of military insecurity of Europeans] there were few alternatives...

6. The passage suggests that Kennan's "behavior modification" approach to changing the Soviet concept of international relations was: A. unlikely to be successful if the Kremlin always made conciliatory gestures. B. moderate in comparison with the approaches

he decided to reject. C. a logical outgrowth of his extensive background in behavioral psychology. D. an extension of American strategy during World War II. 7. The passage suggests that the impetus for the formation of NATO was: A. information that a Russian attack on Western Europe was impending. B. the understanding that no nation could withstand a Russian attack without C. the desire to aid the Western European economic recovery as well as to guarantee D. the fear that the Soviets would try to capitalize on their military advantage. 8. Kennan assumed which of the following in making his first counterpoint against NATO? A. The formation of the military alliance would spur economic growth. B. The presence of the thirty Soviet divisions did not mean they were going to attack. C. The economic recovery in Europe had been progressing slowly. D. It's always a mistake to make military affairs a higher priority than economic affairs. 9. Kennan's reaction to the administration's refusal to extend NATO membership to Greece, Turkey, or Iran was most likely one of: A. understanding, because these countries did not have the same geographic defense interests as the Europeans. B. approval of the fact that the concept of "North Atlantic" was not overextended. C. disappointment that those countries could not now be employed in anti-Soviet D. trepidation that these countries were now more open to potential enemy aggression. 10. Which of the following explains why the Truman administration was not worried about Kennan's objection that NATO would amount to "a final militarization of the present dividing-line through Europe"? A. They believed that it would be possible to alter the line through negotiations of B. They wanted to maintain a strong American military presence in Europe. C. They felt it was too late to prevent the solidification of the dividing line. D. Neither of Kennan's other two objections to NATO had given them cause for 11. The passage suggests that, with regard to the reservations expressed by Kennan about NATO, the administration was: A. often in agreement but ultimately undeterred. B. unresponsive to his proposals for improvement. C. able to counter each of his criticisms. D. forced to carefully reexamine its objectives. 12. Which of the following conclusions would be most in accord with the theme of the A. Military alliances invariably have drawbacks that render them ineffective. B. Behavioral modification is

the only way to change a government's concept of C. Coherent international strategy can flounder because of the military situation. D. Negotiations should be conducted between two powers once military equilibrium has Answers follow on next page. Passage 1 (Questions 1–5)

P1. "litigation tort system"; P2. "call for tort system reform"; P3. "truly represent"; P4. "dispute pyramid" and "only 7 percent"; P5. "Medical malpractice"; P6. "damage awards"; P7. "declined" and "empirical data."

P1. Introduction to tort system; examples of extreme damages awarded
P2. Insurance/lobbyists: tort awards too excessive
P3. Galanter examines empirical evidence
P4. Galanter pyramid findings: tiny amount of successful tort claims
P5. Malpractice ex: 7 of 100,000 win damages
P6. Galanter: system not in favor of plaintiffs; awards = good: keeps businesses in line
P7. Overall, tort filings declining without legislation, Auth: legislators should use empirical data is

Function question directs us back to Paragraph 1 which mentions extreme examples the tort system awards. Even without rereading, predict that Liebeck must be one of those very high monetary awards that caused lobbyists to be so aggressive in fighting against the tort system in general and call for reform. is matches (C). (A) is not possible simply because the author does not overtly make any claims. e author is largely neutral and simply sets the facts in front of us to make our own decisions. (B) distorts the author's mention of "jury verdicts that appear, on superficial inquiry, to be blatantly excessive"—the use of the phrase on superficial inquiry implies that the author may not agree that these damages actually are excessive. Finally, (D) is vague enough that it could sound plausible, but there is no later argument or conclusion that requires Liebeck's award specifically. Generally, there isn't any conclusion about coffee, McDonald's, burns, or the elderly, that would depend on this example either. e best description of "the situation faced by a potential tort plaintiff" in paragraph 4 is as follows: Galanter's pyramid findings demonstrated that only a tiny number of tort claims actually result in decisions in favor of the plaintiff. e main point is that there must be a tiny number of success stories from a much larger pool of individuals. is matches (A). In each of the other cases, close to 100 percent success would be expected (or at least a much higher percentage than the number of young

basketball prospects who make it to the NBA). e combination of Based on the information in the passage and Roman numerals in the question stem tells us that this is a Scattered Detail question. In paragraphs 4 and 5, the author brings in the medical example to illustrate the extremely low rate of success that actually is seen in tort cases. is is not a blatant endorsement of Galanter, but coincides with Galanter's pyramid findings. Statement I is exactly the example that is being used, so eliminate (B). Statement II is true because it is the recommended course of action given at the end of the passage, which is primarily supported by Galanter's pyramid argument. With (A) eliminated, we can investigate Statement III. is claim is actually made by lobbyists and insurance companies in paragraph 2—and is part of the larger claim that Galanter ultimately refutes. us, Statement III is untrue, making (C) the correct is is a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question, so let's start by determining the conclusion implied by paragraph 4. e main point of Galanter's argument stems from the assumption that analyzing all of the empirical data will give the fullest picture and not allow anecdotal bias. If it were possible that the data Galanter used was false or incomplete for some reason, this would seriously weaken his argument overall. (C) reflects that prediction; if Galanter's study was only specific to product liability, then it can't be generalized to other similar cases or other tort suits. (A) reflects on the dates given in the passage— Galanter's study seems to investigate data until 1994. As long as Galanter's study was published aer this point, there is no negative effect on his argument, eliminating this answer. e pattern of divorce cases, as described in (B), has no effect on the argument because there is no reason to believe that divorce would (or wouldn't) follow the same patterns as tort cases. Finally, whether or not physicians have malpractice insurance does not appear to be related to the number of cases brought to court or decided in favor of the plaintiff, so (D) would also have no effect on Galanter's argument. We are asked for arguments the author would make based on the ... passage; with the word EXCEPT included, this must be a Scattered Inference question. While the author does not overtly state an opinion, it can be inferred that the author sides overall with Galanter's thesis. With that as a general prediction,

we can eliminate any answer choice that fits with Galanter (and, by extension, the author)—and the one answer that does not fit is correct. This means that (A) is immediately correct. The author would not openly support being more lax to wealthy businesses at the potential detriment to victims. This position is exactly Opposite Galanter's, making it the correct answer. The other claims are all made in the passage: (B) is supported by the claim "By looking at existing empirical data,... legislators will be able to do a better job of deciding if the system is in need of reform." (C) is supported by the "extensive media attention" described in paragraph 1. (D) is supported in paragraph 6, where the author states that "awards discourage businesses from releasing harmful products into the stream of P1. "learning to live with" and "behavior modification"; P2. "willing to discuss" and "feelings of suspicion"; P3. "disparity in military"; P4. "mistaken," "vulnerable," "dividing-line," and "peaceful withdrawal"; P5. "economic revival," "stretched," and "less concern." P1. Kennan: use behavior modification to improve Soviet international P2. Behavior modification requires positive and negative reinforcement, ex: P3. NATO = Western nations uneasy, think Soviet military too big: attack on 1 = attack on all P4. Kennan's reservations about NATO: (1) political vs. military threat, (2) other countries vulnerable, (3) solidifies military line in Europe P5. Administration agrees with Kennan's 1 and 2, assumes 3 is already fact; NATO still goes through Because this question is asking about a conclusion the author implies, this is an Inference question of the Implication subtype. According to paragraph 1, behavior modifications were Kennan's advocated method to improve international relations with Soviets. It relied on consistent positive and negative responses, and not on military or extreme actions. This method is in contrast to the military strategy presented later in the passage, NATO— which Kennan opposed. Predict that Kennan's approach is more subtle and less aggressive than the other option. This matches closely with (B). (A) isn't well-supported by the passage, and it comes across as an Opposite. Conciliatory gestures would mean that the Soviets are taking actions that the Western nations approve of, so this would theoretically mean that great progress (success) was underway. (C) ascribes an extensive background to Kennan that was never mentioned (or even hinted at) in the passage.

Finally, (D) has two issues: first, American World-War-II strategy was not explicitly described in detail (to determine if this could be an offshoot from that), and second, it appears that Kennan's "behavior modification" strategy wasn't actually used by America—against Kennan's reservations. This is another Inference question, so we start by identifying the relevant text in the passage. The most sensible place to go is the paragraph where NATO is first mentioned, paragraph 3. Here, we learned that Western nations were uneasy and felt that the Soviet military was too big. Specifically, Russia had more divisions than combined Western forces, and the West feared a possible (but entirely theoretical) attack. This matches closely with (D). (A) intones a similar idea, but is Extreme and distorts the information. The attack was possible, but there was no evidence that information was available that showed the attack was impending (about to happen). (B) is similarly Extreme; even though certain Western European countries feared an invasion (and the passage hints at the dire fate of even smaller countries), the idea that no nation could withstand a Russian attack is simply too broad. Finally, (C) contradicts both Kennan and the administration, who say in the passage that emphasis on rearmament would delay recovery. Thus, while NATO did guarantee military assistance, it went directly against the principle of aid[ing] Western European economic recovery. The word assumed tells us that this is an Inference question of the Assumption subtype. Our task is to find a missing piece of evidence for Kennan's first conclusion in paragraph 4. Kennan accused "the Europeans [of] mistak[ing] what was essentially a political threat for a military one." One way to attack an Assumption question is the Denial Test, described further in Chapter 9. In the Denial Test, one takes the opposite of each answer choice—whichever answer choice, when turned into its opposite, undermines Kennan's argument will be the correct answer. The opposite of (A) would be: The formation of the military alliance would not spur economic growth. This is a statement Kennan is likely to agree with, given the statement that "emphasis on rearmament would delay recovery" in paragraph 5, so it can be eliminated. The opposite of (B) would be: The presence of the thirty Soviet divisions meant they were going to attack. This undermines Kennan's argument because it means that the Europeans were not just

responding to a political threat—there was a very real military threat as well. Because this negated statement ruins Kennan's argument, this must be the correct answer. Negating (C) would yield: the economic recovery in Europe had been progressing quickly. This assumption does not impact Kennan's argument and can be eliminated. Finally, (D) if negated would suggest that it is not always a mistake to favor military over economic affairs, but this doesn't negatively impact Kennan's argument: Kennan never suggests such an extreme position. This is yet another Inference question, asking for Kennan's likely response. Kennan's second point of contention was that other countries are vulnerable. Kennan is worried that "any alliance extended to only some countries would render the rest more vulnerable." (D) paraphrases that prediction. Both (A) and (B) express a neutral to positive reaction, which does not match Kennan's actual response. (C) might sound reasonable in a real-world scenario, but Kennan was generally against uniting formally against Russia. It's doubtful, then, that he would be disappointed that those countries could not now be employed in anti-Soviet strategy. This is a Detail question, asking for the Truman administration's thoughts on Kennan's final point of contention in paragraph 4. Paragraph 5 is where we learn what the administration's feelings actually are. The end of that paragraph serves as an excellent prediction: "most observers already regarded division, by mid-1948, as an accomplished fact." This matches (C). (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail because it ascribes one of Kennan's opinions from the end of paragraph 4 to the Truman administration. (B) distorts the description of the troops in Europe. The passage discusses the Western European troops that are present, but doesn't mention how many—or whether there even are—American ones there. Further, there is no evidence in the passage that the Truman administration desired a strong American military presence in Europe at all. (D) is an Opposite: the administration agreed with Kennan on the first two points (mostly), giving them cause for concern. This Inference question is quite similar to the Detail question that preceded it. We know from paragraph 5 that the Truman administration agreed with Kennan on two of his three points, but that they still decided to go ahead with the treaty "despite [his and their] reservations." This prediction matches nicely with answer (A): the administration was

oen in agreement with Kennan but ultimately undeterred by the reservations that he had. (B) indicates that Kennan had specific proposals, but they were never mentioned or implied in the passage. Kennan had general strategies like “behavior modification,” but not specific proposals. (C) is patently untrue because the administration actually agreed with the majority (two out of three) of Kennan’s points. Finally, (D) was also never directly discussed, and—if anything—the administration went ahead with actions to further military assistance and economic revival (the only two objectives that we’re told about in the passage). is is an excellent chance to turn the main idea of the passage into a prediction. Kennan begins by providing general suggestions on how to improve relations with Russia, before moving on to a more focused discussion on his reservations about NATO and his expectations that it would negatively impact Soviet relations. Kennan’s “behavior modification” strategy, first introduced in paragraph 1, was hampered by the formation of NATO, and NATO was formed in response to the military imbalance in Europe. us, overall, Kennan clearly believed that the development of NATO was not beneficial to international relations with Russia. is matches closely with (C). (A) and (B) are Extreme: NATO had drawbacks, sure, but was not render[ed]...ineffective by them. And behavioral modification is one way—but not the only way—to change a government’s concept of international relations. (D) is what the members of NATO clearly thought, but it is neither a main theme of the passage nor what Kennan—the primary voice in the passage—thought.

Question and Answer Strategy

Question and Answer Strategy

In This Chapter

8.1 The Kaplan Method for CARS Questions

8.2 Wrong Answer Pathologies

Faulty Use of Detail (FUD)

Out of Scope (OS)

8.3 Signs of a Healthy Answer

Weaker Is Usually Better

Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 8, you will be able to:

Solve questions by applying the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions: Type, Rephrase, Investigate, and Match

Recognize and avoid common wrong answer pathologies

Identify correct answers by focusing on scope, author agreement, and tone

us far, this book has examined the multifarious aspects of Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passages. You’ve learned about their rhetorical and logical characteristics in Chapter 3. You’ve seen how to approach

them with thoughtful Previewing in Chapter 4 and Reading in Chapter 5. Chapter 6 built on these foundations and focused on Distilling the meaning of the passage. Finally in Chapter 7, these threads were brought together as we discussed the Kaplan CARS Passage Strategy. Now, we turn to question stems and answer choices. We'll start by outlining the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions. Subsequently, we'll look at the recurring traps that the testmaker sets for the unwary student, which we call Wrong Answer Pathologies. In the final portion, we'll consider the counterpart to pathologies: patterns common in correct answers.

8.1 The Kaplan Method for CARS Questions

In Chapter 7 of *MCAT CARS Review*, we introduced the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages and explored the ways in which it can be applied to a variety of passage types. In this section, we'll introduce the Kaplan CARS question method, which takes the basic form shown in Figure 8.1.

TYPE THE QUESTION
Read the question, NOT the answers
Identify the question type and difficulty
Decide to attack now or later in the same passage
REPHRASE THE STEM
Determine the task to be accomplished based on the Simplify the phrasing of the original question stem
Translate the question into a specific piece of information you can either locate or infer
Search for the answer in your interrogation, your outline, or Predict what you can about the answer
Be flexible if your initial approach fails: when in doubt, refer back to the back to the passage itself
MATCH YOUR PREDICTION TO AN
Search the answer choices for a response that is synonymous with your prediction
Eliminate answer choices that diverge from the passage
Select an answer and move on

Figure 8.1 The Kaplan Method for CARS Questions

Note: e Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, CARS Question Types, and Wrong Answer Pathologies, are included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book. You might notice that the first step of the question method is similar to the Preview step of the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages. is is not a coincidence, but rather a consequence of the timing constraints posed by the MCAT. Every question is worth the same number of points, which means you want to avoid being derailed by any given question. It is important to approach the MCAT by being realistic about the nature of the exam: at least one or two questions within each section are so difficult that

you're likely to get them wrong no matter how many minutes you spend on them. Though it may be tempting to spend a long time on a challenging question, it is better to recognize which questions those are right away, so you can instead use that time to gain more points by answering a larger number of more. To that end, your first task with any question will be to read the stem, and only the stem, for the sake of deciding either to work on it now or to triage (to use an apt medical metaphor) and save it for later in the passage. In Chapter 4, we discussed the variety of factors used to assess the difficulty of passages during the Preview step of the Kaplan CARS Passage Method. For questions, however, difficulty is best assessed by identifying the question type. Because question type is the main variable to be focused on, this step of the Question Method is referred to as the Type step. The three broad categories of question that the AAMC presents in CARS were introduced in Chapter 1, and these categories can be further separated into more granular question types. Almost all Foundations of Comprehension questions fall into one of four types, while Reasoning Within the Text and Reasoning Beyond the Text categories can each be split into two predominant types, along with a handful of other types that only appear rarely. All of these question types are discussed in greater depth in Chapters 9–11. Starting each question with the Type step not only allows for proper assessment of difficulty but also makes Rephrasing and Investigating a question much easier. We will discuss how question type indicates difficulty and how to apply the Type step most effectively to each question type in Chapters 9–11. Think of question typing as equivalent to diagnosing a question. In medical school, you will learn the appropriate steps to undertake if a patient presents with chest pain, abdominal pain, a severe headache, confusion, and so on. The ten question types should be thought of as the “presentation” of the question, which determines the appropriate steps to take through the rest of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions. Why avoid looking at the answer choices when determining question difficulty? The primary reason is that most of them are wrong. If you glance at just one of them, for instance, it's three times more likely to be incorrect than correct and could seriously mislead you about the question. Inexperienced test takers immediately jump to the answers,

and the AAMC punishes them for it by wording wrong options attractively. Selecting the first answer that looks good without first thinking through the question itself is a recipe for failure. us, in the next steps, Rephrase and Investigate, we will cover how to think through the question before jumping to the answer choices in the Match step. To avoid being enticed by wrong answer choices, cover all answer choices with your hand or your noteboard without touching the screen; leave them out of your field of view until you're ready for them in the Match step. Reading the answer choices can lead to the misinformation effect, discussed in Chapter 3 of MCAT Behavioral Sciences Review. Once you have decided to work on a question, it's time to rephrase it. e Rephrase step goes beyond simply restating the question stem. e purpose of the Rephrase step is to provide you with a clear task and direction that you can use to approach the question. To this end, rephrase the question stem, focusing on the task itself and any relevant context given in the stem, to clearly identify what the question is demanding of you. Simpler question types like Main Idea and Definition-in-Context always involve one specific task (recognizing the big picture and explaining the meaning of part of the text as used in the passage, respectively). Even the most complex question types will have one major task to accomplish, though it may involve multiple steps. For example, almost all questions of the Apply type involve one of three tasks: gauging the author's response, predicting a likely outcome, or finding a good example (as described in Chapter 11 of MCAT CARS Review). Even if you feel you understand the task as phrased in the original question stem, the Rephrase step is an important check to ensure that you have fully read the question and know what you have to do to answer it. One of the most common causes of missed questions in the CARS section is misreading of question stems; taking the additional time to Rephrase will earn you valuable points on Test Day. Rephrasing is a purely mental exercise. You won't want to waste time writing down a fresh question stem for each question. If a question stem is too distracting in its current form, consider using the strikethrough or highlight tools in the stem to focus on the most pertinent. Sometimes rephrasing is more difficult to accomplish, such as when the task is obscured by unclear language or by extraneous information in the stem. In

either of these cases, try working your way backwards, starting with the part of the question directly before the question mark or colon (in a question that requires completing a sentence). Try to simplify the phrasing of that part first, and then connect it to any other relevant information in the stem. If you still struggle to identify the task of the question, consider the question type you found in the Type step, because different instances of the same question type often have similar tasks. Finally, don't waste time on Test Day writing out the rephrased question; simplifying the question in your head is usually sufficient. It's worth pointing out that Rephrasing the stem into a task can be done even without any passage knowledge due to the standardization of CARS questions. Taking notice of common patterns will allow you to devise a plan of action even if you had difficulty with the passage itself.

is skill of Rephrasing will require practice to master, but it's worth it. To help you master this step, here are some example question stems from the passage at the end of the chapter, along with sample rephrasing and identification of the author mentions Knorozov in the third paragraph in order to:

Simplify: Why was Knorozov mentioned (P3)? Task: Identify how Knorozov was used to build the author's argument. Which of the following would most call into question the author's argument about the complexity of Mayan writing?

Simplify: What would weaken the author's argument about the complexity of Mayan writing? Task: Recall or refer to the author's argument on Mayan writing complexity and consider how each answer would affect that argument. The author of the passage would be LEAST likely to agree with which of the Simplify: What would the author disagree with? Task: Recall or refer to the author's central ideas and arguments and identify which answer choice the author would disagree with. Notice that the rephrased question stems are fairly similar to the original wordings. This is often the case, particularly for more straightforward questions. The simplest question stems may not even require a Rephrase: if the task is clearly stated in the stem without extraneous information, you can move on to the Investigate step. You should not need to simplify the phrasing of each question as part of your Rephrase step, but it is an option if you need to. Notice that the third question stem is simplified by converting the clunky "LEAST likely to agree" to disagree. Other

troublesome phrases, such as double negatives, should also be simplified to avoid making careless If you are unable to Rephrase a question and arrive at a series of actionable steps, review the question types and their tasks in Chapters 9, 10, and 11. Aer the Rephrase step you should have a clear understanding of the task(s) to be completed in order to reach the correct answer. How you go about accomplishing those objectives is the focus of the Investigate step. e next step in the question and answer strategy is to Investigate potential solutions using your rephrasing of the question. Specifically, you will follow the directives in your Rephrase to predict what the correct answer should look like. How you use the passage to make this prediction depends on your passage approach (Highlight, Outline, or Interrogate). A Highlighting approach to the passage should provide the location of important pieces of information and central ideas, but will typically lack details. us, the corresponding Investigate step should include rereading specific portions of the passage, as directed by the Rephrase step and the ideas highlighted. Ensure that you are not scanning the entirety of the passage during this step; instead consider the task of the question and your highlighting to determine where you should look. For example, consider the following rephrasing of the second question from above: Highlighting, Outlining, and Interrogating as approaches to CARS passages are covered in Chapters 6 and 7. Rephrase: Understand the author’s argument on the complexity of Mayan writing and consider how the answer choices affect that argument. Investigate: Review what you’ve highlighted to locate where in the passage the complexity of Mayan writing was mentioned, and reread it for deeper understanding in order to form a prediction about what would weaken it. An Outlining approach to the passage should not only note the location of important pieces of information, but also summarize the central ideas of each paragraph. us, you should expect your written outline to be sufficient to form predictions for questions that require understanding of the main ideas. However, questions that ask about a specific detail or require inferences from passage information will oen require returning to the passage. Again, ensure you are not scanning the entirety of the passage to make your prediction—use your outline to determine where to look. Now, consider

the same example from before with outlining: Rephrase: Understand the author's argument on the complexity of Mayan writing and consider how the answer choices affect that argument. Investigate: Review your outline to find the relevant paragraph(s), as well as the conclusion of the argument if you've included it. Use that to predict what would weaken the argument or, if that's insufficient, return to the relevant paragraph(s) and review the argument's evidence to aid you. An Interrogating approach to the passage will usually be in-depth enough to leave you with a solid understanding of the central ideas of the passage and their interconnections. As a result, you'll find you can answer many questions without even referring back to the passage. Of course, a quick double check of the passage is always allowed, but keep in mind that with an Interrogating approach there will not be much time left for passage research during the questions. Let's look at the same example one more time, now with a mind toward interrogating: Rephrase: Understand the author's argument on the complexity of Mayan writing and consider how the answer choices affect that argument. Investigate: Recall from your interrogation the conclusion of the argument and the type of evidence the author used to support it, and then consider how that argument might be weakened. Use that to make a prediction and only return to the passage if you get stuck. If you ever find yourself searching the entirety of the passage during your Investigate step, you could be in trouble! When returning to the passage, you should know what you are looking for and its general location in the passage. If you don't know where in the passage to look, stop and save the question for later in the passage. It's likely that while answering the other questions in the passage, you will become more familiar with the passage and may even stumble upon the information you needed. This problem is most likely to occur when using the Highlighting method for the passage, but fortunately this quick approach should leave you with some extra time to investigate the questions. While investigating your predictions, it's important to be mindful of how specific or in-depth your prediction should be. Predictions lay along a spectrum from focused to general. Focused predictions should be specific enough to allow you to directly match your prediction to an answer choice. In contrast, general

predictions just set broad expectations about what the correct answer should include or exclude. Where your prediction falls on this spectrum primarily depends on the question type and question task. Your mantra for predicting should be predict what you can. Here are some examples the author mentions Knorozov in the third paragraph in order to: Focused prediction: is prediction should refer specifically to the role Knorozov plays in paragraph three. The correct answer choice will likely be a paraphrase of your Which of the following would most call into question the author's argument about the complexity of Mayan writing? General prediction: is prediction begins with a summary of the author's conclusion about the complexity of Mayan writing and the kind of evidence used to support it. You'll be looking for something that weakens this argument. The correct answer will be a statement that weakens the argument by attacking its conclusion, its evidence, or an unstated assumption. The author of the passage would be LEAST likely to agree with which of the General prediction: is prediction should consist of a brief recounting of the major ideas of the passage. You'll be looking for something inconsistent with one or more of these ideas. The correct answer will often be a statement that conflicts with one of the major ideas. However, in some cases, the disagreement may be with one of the more specific details of the passage, so you may need to use process of elimination, ruling out answer choices that are consistent with your summary of the major ideas. Primed with these predictions, you will be better prepared to evaluate the array of misleading answer choices. But perhaps you're wondering: why bother with this Investigation step and with making predictions at all? After all, you've taken multiple choice tests before that included reading comprehension sections, and perhaps you've never needed much strategy to do well on them. Why change when it comes to CARS? Here are several reasons why Investigating is key to success in CARS. For one, the CARS section is quite different from postsecondary reading comprehension exams. CARS passages are more likely to be on topics that you lack familiarity with, so you're less likely to have an intuitive sense of what the answers to questions will be. In addition, the answer choices in the CARS section are designed to lure testers who do not use the passage to answer the question. They often sound

like something you read in the passage, or they appeal to outside information that seems right but is irrelevant. Without a thoughtful prediction you are more likely to fall for these alluring yet incorrect answer. An apt analogy would be going to the grocery store hungry and without a shopping list. As many of us have experienced in this very situation, you are more likely to succumb to the influence of professional marketers, which may be peddling unhealthy food choices. If you had a grocery list, you'd be more likely to leave with healthier options and the items you actually require. Plus, you'd likely finish your grocery shopping faster, as you'll avoid aimlessly walking down the aisles trying to figure out what it was you were intending to bring home. Finally, what should you do if you can't locate relevant information in the passage or don't know where to look? Typically such questions are best to try later, at the very end of a passage set, after you've researched the other questions and already reread some of the text. You may find that by the time you return to it, the effort you put into other questions ended up revealing an unhelpful question stem's correct answer. When you do attempt these questions, a general prediction and process of elimination will usually end up being the best plan. As a final note here, you will always want to return to these questions and at least guess before you leave the passage, since it is more effective in CARS to complete all questions when you work their relevant passages, rather than returning at the very end of the section. The final step of the question method is to Match your prediction to the correct answer. First evaluate the choices, and if you see an item that closely resembles what you expected, reread every word of that answer choice carefully to make sure it says precisely what you think it does. On the MCAT, correct answers are often vague and use synonyms to phrasings from the passage, rather than quoting the passage phrasing verbatim. This can make finding a match challenging. In addition, rarely will you find a word-for-word match for your prediction. Instead, your best bet is to look for a correspondence between ideas, by searching for a choice that shares a similar meaning with your prediction but uses different words. Once you have found such an answer, select it and move on to the next question. At that point, reading the other choices will not be worth your time—be confident that you've

answered the question when you find that conceptual. If you read a question stem and it doesn't give you very much to work with, don't just say I don't know and jump straight to the answer choices. Take a moment to remember the main themes of the passage and then use those themes to help with the process of elimination. This will help you avoid being distracted by answer choices that are tempting but that do not fit with the passage. If you aren't able to find a choice that is synonymous with your prediction, don't feel that you immediately need to resort to process of elimination (although that is a valid strategy). Part of being flexible is being able to revise your initial prediction; to set new expectations if the answer choices point you in that direction. The answer choices could technically be considered an additional source of information for arriving at the correct answer, but keep in mind that they include a lot of misinformation and so should be treated. Sometimes the question stem just doesn't give you very much to work with, and on other occasions you'll search through the answers for your prediction but find no likely match. In these cases, you will have to use the process of elimination, which may require multiple returns to the text as you research each choice individually. If you were able to set expectations during the Investigate step for wrong choices, however, less additional research will be required. Keep in mind that an answer requires only one major flaw for elimination, so the Wrong Answer Pathologies described in the next section can greatly expedite the process. Should I compare answer choices? Your default assumption should be that only one answer choice is flawless and that the others contain at least one flaw each, sufficient for ruling them out. However, you may occasionally find questions containing superlatives (strongest challenge, most supported, best example, and so on) in which you need to compare two or more answers that have the same effect but to different degrees. When making such comparisons, don't assume that an Extreme answer is necessarily wrong, especially if the question stem includes the words if true or similar language. A stronger answer that nevertheless produces the desired outcome would be the correct choice. Thankfully, questions that actually require distinctions of this type are rare. When all else fails, you can fall back on educated guessing. Eliminate whatever you can and

then go with your gut among the remaining options. Never make a blind guess unless you're completely out of time and need to fill in an answer choice. Even crossing off just one wrong answer will increase your chances of randomly choosing the correct one by 33 percent, while crossing off two doubles your chances. If time allows, try working on any unanswered questions for the passage and see if that effort allows you to return to rule out additional incorrect options.

8.2 Wrong Answer Pathologies

The AAMC has designed the CARS section to be a fair test of critical thinking skills. The focus on fairness is great news for test takers because it means that the questions are not designed to unfairly mislead you. There will never be a question with two correct answer choices or one in which all of the options are wrong. Each question you encounter on Test Day will have one right answer and three that are incorrect for at least one reason. Even better, there are only so many ways an answer can be incorrect; in fact, a few of them are found so frequently that you can treat them like recurring signs and symptoms of answer choice "illness." Naturally, we call them Wrong Answer Pathologies. The most frequent patterns found in incorrect answer choices. They are so common that you'll find at least one in just about every CARS question and even in many of the questions in the three science sections! A choice only needs one fatal flaw to be worth eliminating, but often wrong answer options have many issues, so don't necessarily be alarmed if you ruled out a wrong answer for a different reason than the one mentioned in a practice question's explanation. In addition to having some occasional overlap, the following list of pathologies is not meant to be exhaustive; it includes only the four patterns we've identified as the most common through researching all of the released MCAT material. In the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions just detailed, pathologies function as recurring expectations for wrong answers, which you can assume fit for most of the questions you encounter (with a few significant departures noted below). Note: The Wrong Answer Pathologies, as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, and CARS Question Types, are included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book.

Faulty Use of Detail (FUD) The testmaker will often include accurate reflections of passage

details in wrong answers, primarily to appeal to those students who jump at the familiar language. What makes the use of a detail “faulty” is that it simply doesn’t answer the question posed. It may be too specific for a question that requires a general answer, or it may be that the detail comes from the wrong part of the passage. Even if a choice comes from the right paragraph, the detail cited might not be relevant to the question posed, which is often the case in Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions. A thorough prediction made in your Investigate step makes catching these FUDs much easier. Remember, the correct answer must be true to the passage and must answer the question! A Faulty Use of Detail answer choice is like a politician who dodges a question during a Moderator: Candidate X, what will you do to improve the economy? Candidate X: You know, that’s a wonderful question. My platform is to stand for all Americans’ rights and to represent them fairly. If elected, I will help lead our country. Even if the candidate is being honest, the response just doesn’t answer the question posed!

Out of Scope (OS) With the noteworthy exception of Reasoning Beyond the Text questions (for which this pathology does not usually apply), an answer choice that is outside the scope of the passage will inevitably be wrong. Typically, such answers will be on topic but will bring in some element that the text does not discuss. For instance, if an author never makes comparisons when discussing different ideas, an Out of Scope answer choice might involve the author ranking two or more concepts. Another common OS pattern is the suggestion that an entity or idea was the first of its kind or the most influential, when the author entirely avoids discussing its historical origins or impact. Remember that information that is unstated but strongly suggested (such as assumptions and implications) does not count as Out of Scope as will be the case with the correct answers to many Reasoning Within the Text questions, so don’t be too quick to reject a choice as OS just because the author does not explicitly say it. The answers to many Reasoning Within the Text questions will be claims unstated by the passage that can nonetheless be inferred. Remember that inferences include assumptions (unstated evidence) and implications (unstated conclusions). Inferences are discussed in Chapter 3 of MCAT CARS Review. Whenever an answer choice contains

information that directly conflicts with the passage, we call it an Opposite. Often the difference is due simply to the presence (or absence) of a single word like not or except, a prefix like un- or a-, or even a suffix like -less or -free. Be especially careful when stems or choices involve double (or triple) negatives; they're much less difficult to understand if you Rephrase them with fewer negations. Moreover, don't assume that just because two answer choices contradict each other that one of them has to be correct. For example, suppose an author argues that it is impossible to prove whether or not a divine being exists, a variant of the religious view known as agnosticism. If a question accompanying the passage were to ask for a claim the author agreed with, God exists and there is no God would both be Opposites of the correct answer. Extreme answers and other answers that "twist" the ideas in the passage further than the author would prefer are what we call Distortions. Although they do not automatically make a choice incorrect, the following are common signals of distorted claims: Strong words like all, always, none, never, impossible, or only A prefix like any- or every- A suffix like -est or -less MCAT authors typically do not take radical positions on issues, so it's worth noting whenever they do. In those rare cases, extreme choices would not actually be Distortions of the author's view and might be correct. The other major case in which extreme answer choices should not be immediately ruled out is when the question stem tells you that you can treat the answer choices as true and your task is only to gauge which would have the greatest impact on a particular argument. This is often the case with Strengthen- Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions.

8.3 Signs of a Healthy Answer

If you're like most students prepping for the CARS section, you've had a dispute with at least one question explanation. Hey, what about what the author says in the first paragraph? you may have wondered, or perhaps you've said to yourself (or aloud!), But couldn't you think of it like this instead? While you may be in the habit of arguing for points with college professors, it does you no good to try to argue with the MCAT. The AAMC is extremely deliberate about how they word correct answers, always taking care to include exactly one per question. Correct answer choices can vary widely in appearance, but there are patterns in how they are written as well. If the traps

that can lead you astray on Test Day are appropriately called Wrong Answer Pathologies, then these corresponding traits can be thought of as indicators of good health. While the following signs are not enough by themselves to make an answer right, you can generally expect them to correspond to the correct choices in most types of questions. The scope of a text refers to the particular aspects of a topic that the author addresses. Every paragraph in a CARS passage has its own scope, and together you can think of them as constituting the scope of the whole passage. Similarly, each answer choice will have its own scope, which could mimic any part of the author's discussion or depart from the passage entirely. It is essential to note that having the same scope doesn't necessarily mean having identical content. For instance, unstated assumptions in an argument are definitely within the scope of the passage, even though the information they contain is left unsaid by the author. You might say correct answers follow the "Goldilocks principle" when it comes to scope: not too broad, not too specific, but just right. The scope defines the limits of the discussion, or the particular aspects of the larger topic that the author really cares about. Consideration of the purpose of the passage as you Read and Distill should give you an idea of the scope of the passage overall. As a general rule (with an important exception), correct answers to MCAT questions will remain within the scope of the passage, but you can formulate a more precise expectation of what scope the correct answer needs to have by identifying the question's type and task. Main Idea questions will always have correct answers that match the scope of the entire passage. They will typically include at least one wrong answer that is too focused (Faulty Use of Detail) and at least one that goes outside the passage entirely (Out of Scope). In contrast, Detail and Definition-in-Context questions usually require more refined scopes to identify their correct answer choices. If a clue directs you to a particular portion of the passage, the correct answer, more often than not, will have the same scope as the referenced text (or what immediately surrounds it). The important exception to the rule that answers must remain within the scope of the author's discussion applies to the category of Reasoning Beyond the Text questions, addressed in Chapter 11. As their name suggests, these broaden the scope to new contexts, sometimes

appearing to have no connection to the passage whatsoever. Note, however, that some Reasoning Beyond the Text questions will present new information in the stem but have answers that stick to the scope of the passage anyway. So be savvy with the answer choices in Reasoning Beyond the Text questions: while the correct answer choice will tend to lie slightly outside the scope of the passage, don't automatically rule out an answer choice just because it happens to be in. Unless a question stem explicitly asks about an alternative viewpoint or a challenge to the information presented in the passage, a correct answer choice will be consistent with what the author says. is is one reason why considerations of tone (most clearly reflected by Author keywords) are usually important enough to be worth including as you Read and Distill the passage (Highlight, Outline, Interrogate), as was recommended in Chapter 5. Generally, a correct answer should not contradict anything that the author says elsewhere in the passage, with the possible exception of sentences that speak in a different voice than the author's (such as quotes or references to others' opinions). In short, if it doesn't sound like something the author would say, you'll most likely want to rule it out. e correct answer should match your prediction made in your Investigate step, be it a focused or general prediction. When evaluating answer choices using your prediction, keep in mind that the AAMC often phrases their correct answers with different terms than those presented in the passage. is can lead students to mistakenly rule out correct answers as Out of Scope, simply because they contain unfamiliar language. us, when evaluating answer choices, it is key to remember that consistency of meaning is more important than consistency of phrasing.

Weaker Is Usually Better One final consideration is a consequence of the fact that the AAMC tends to select passages by authors who do not take extreme views. You may find one or two passages on Test Day with more radical writers; for them, a stronger claim in the answer choices may actually be a good sign. However, for most of the passages you'll encounter, authors tend to use numerous Moderating keywords to limit the strength of their claims. Because a stronger claim has a higher burden of proof (that is, stronger evidence must be provided to support the claim), most authors avoid them to make what they write seem more

plausible. us, you should generally give preference to answer choices that use weaker language such as can, could, may, might, is possible, sometimes, oen, likely, probably, and in some sense. Exceptions to this tendency are questions that instruct you to consider the answer choices as true and gauge their effect on an argument. ese were addressed earlier in the discussion of the Distortion Wrong Answer Pathology. is chapter is only an introduction to the question method; the three chapters that follow are a necessary supplement for seeing how the method functions in practice. Specific strategy suggestions and Worked Examples are included for each of the most common question types and tasks, together constituting well more than 90 percent of what you'll encounter on Test Day. e explanations accompanying these sample questions will also identify their Wrong Answer Pathologies, giving you some concrete examples to go with the explanations provided here. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by completing a test-like passage set in your online resources!

Concept and Strategy Summary The Kaplan Method for CARS Questions

Type the question Read the question, NOT the answers Identify the question type and difficulty Decide to attack now or later in the same passage Rephrase the stem Determine the task to be accomplished based on the question type Simplify the phrasing of the original question stem Translate the question into a specific piece of information you can either locate or infer Investigate potential solutions Search for the answer in your interrogation, your outline, or the Predict what you can about the answer Be flexible if your initial approach fails: when in doubt, refer back to the passage itself Match your prediction to an answer choice Search the answer choices for a response that is synonymous with Eliminate answer choices that diverge from the passage Select an answer and move on

Wrong Answer Pathologies

Faulty Use of Detail (FUD) answer choices may be accurate statements, but they fail to answer the question posed. e answer choice may be too specific for a question that requires a general answer. e answer choice may use a detail from the wrong part of the e answer choice may be from the right paragraph but still not be relevant to the question posed. Out of Scope (OS) answer choices usually bring in some element that the passage does not discuss

(and that cannot be inferred from the e answer choice may make connections or comparisons that the author did not discuss. e answer choice may make a statement about the significance or history of an idea that the author did not. e answer choice may otherwise bring in information that does not fall within the constraints of the passage. Opposite answer choices contain information that directly conflicts with the passage. e answer choice may contain (or omit) a single word like not or e answer choice may contain a prefix like un- or a- or a suffix like -less or -free. e answer choice may say that a given claim is true, when the author is ambivalent. Distortion answer choices are extreme or twist the ideas in the passage further than the author would prefer. e answer choice may use a strong word like all, always, none, never, impossible, or only. e answer choice may contain a prefix like any- or every- or a suffix like -est or -less. e answer choice is usually more radical than the author because radical positions are hard to support and are rare in MCAT Signs of a Healthy Answer Correct answers tend to have the right scope—not too broad, not too specific, but just right. Correct answers tend to be consistent with the author’s statements and Correct answers use language that differs from the passage but is still consistent with the ideas discussed. Correct answers tend to use Moderating keywords, such as can, could, may, might, is possible, sometimes, oen, likely, probably, and in some Use the Worked Example on the next page in tandem with the subsequent practice passages, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter. e Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passage. Take a few moments to quickly glance over the passage in order to Preview and Choose your approach. e following passage is well suited for the Interrogating method. With a simple structure and four medium-length paragraphs, you will be able to spend more time thinking about the connection between paragraphs and why the author includes specific details. e passage is informative and relatively straightforward, and while there are a lot of details, they are strongly tied to the central argument of the passage, making Interrogating useful for identifying the unique contribution of each major new argument component added. As with all passages, any approach could be chosen and

work for this passage. So, remember to practice all approaches as you start your CARS prep in order to determine the approach that works best for you in different passage types! Mayan signs are by nature highly pictorial, often representing in considerable detail animals, people, body parts, and objects of daily life. The pictorial principle is taken to the extreme in inscriptions composed of “full-figure” glyphs, in which individual signs and numbers become animated and are shown interacting with one another. None of this should be taken to mean that the Mayans had simple picture writing. The Mayans wrote both logographically and phonetically, and within its phonetic system alone, the Mayans had multiple options. All English words are formed from various combinations of only 26 phonetic signs. By contrast, all Mayan words can be formed from various combinations of nearly 800 consonant-vowel glyphs, each representing a full syllable. Sounds are formed by combining a particular consonant with one of the five vowels (hence a syllabary, rather than alphabet).

S1–2. Why did the author emphasize that Mayan signs are e details in the images provide a lot of information, in contrast to the reader’s possible preconception that signs are oen simple and

S3–7. Why is it important to note that the Mayans had two writing systems? Why does the author bring up the English language? e author distinguishes between logographic (or pictorial) signs and phonetic (or syllabic) ones, explaining that the Mayans used both. e author uses the English language as a contrast, demonstrating that its phonetic alphabet is simpler than the Mayan phonetic syllabary, just one of their two writings systems. is is consistent with the first two sentences, providing further evidence that the Mayans had a complex system of writing. The combination of consonant-vowel syllabic glyphs and logographs enabled the scribes a variety of choices to write the words of their texts in detail. For example, one very common honorific title in Mayan texts is ahaw, meaning “lord” or “noble.” Ahaw may be written in logographic form as a head in profile, with the distinctive headband or scarf that marked the highest nobility in Mayan society. But it is also possible to write the word as a combination of three phonetic, syllabic signs: a–ha–wa. Likewise, the word pakal (“shield”) can be indicated by a depiction of a shield or by the combination of syllabic

elements pa-ka-la. S1-5. Why does the author describe how specific words like ahaw work in the Mayan language? These words are used as examples of how logographs and phonetic language can describe the same word. This provides further evidence for the argument the author introduced in the first paragraph: having a variety of ways to depict the same concept adds to the overall complexity and utility of the Mayan. Because many Mayan signs remain undeciphered, it's not possible to state precisely the relative proportions of logographic and syllabic signs. But a significant number of the logograms have been deciphered, and the number of deciphered syllabic signs keeps growing. Epigraphers have filled more than half of the syllabic grid (which plots the consonants of the spoken Mayan language against its vowels and thus represents the totality of signs needed to write the language). Half of the grid may seem a meager proportion, but it must be remembered that the discovery of the structure of the syllabic elements—Knorozov's main contribution—was made only a little more than 30 years ago. Furthermore, the consonant-vowel syllables that are already understood are the common ones. Many of the empty spaces in the syllabic grid remain so because they are linguistically rare; rare signs are more difficult to translate than S1-5. Why has the author turned to discussing undeciphered Mayan signs? Why is it reasonable that approximately half of the possible Mayan signs have not been deciphered? Keep in mind that the author is trying to build an argument that the Mayans had a particularly complex system of writing. The fact that the system is not completely understood could serve as a potential objection to this conclusion, so the author tries to set the record straight here. While a lot of the syllabary is unknown, researchers can at least identify what pieces are missing based on their knowledge of the Mayan language. It makes sense that only half have been deciphered because most of the missing pieces are uncommonly used syllables anyway and because the process of deciphering has only been going on for about 30 years (as the reference to Knorozov serves to highlight). Nonetheless, the pace of phonetic decipherment is bound to increase in the coming years as more resources are trained on it. One aspect of Mayan writing that may complicate this progress is the fact that different signs can have the same value. Two signs that share a

value are known as allographs. Such equivalences are common in Mayan texts (there are at least five different signs that could be chosen to represent the Mayan syllable ba). Each scribe chose from several different signs to convey the sounds. In evaluating a particular phonetic interpretation of a syllable, it's helpful to identify as many of the variant forms as possible; the process of recognizing allographs depends on the slow work of comparing many texts in order to find variant spellings of the same word.

S1–5. Why do allographs possibly hinder deciphering Mayan writing? How does this relate to the bigger idea introduced in P1? Allographs are two signs that share the same value, and the researchers need to compare many texts to find the different variants, slowing down the process. Ultimately, allographs relate to the central argument introduced in P1 because they indicate the complexity of Mayan writing.

After reading the whole passage, the goal should be evident: the author writes in order to argue that the Mayan system of writing is distinctively complex and to explain some of this complexity.

1. The author mentions Knorozov in the third paragraph in order to:

is is a Function question, which is a Foundations of Comprehension question. Because we read the passage noticing its rhetoric, this is a question that we can do right away. The question stem even tells us to go to paragraph 3, which deals with progress in completing the syllabic grid. Knorozov was used to justify why only about half of the syllabic grid has been filled in—he discovered the syllable structure only “a little more than 30 A. prove that the recent discovery of Mayan signs has led to its lack of (A) is a Distortion on two counts: first, the recent discovery is of the syllable structure of the language, not the Mayan signs themselves; second, prove is far too strong a word—the author is not nearly so B. offer an explanation for what may appear to be a relative paucity in the completion of the Mayan syllabic (B) fits and is the correct answer. Knorozov and his recent discovery is part of the justification for why there is so little filled in on the syllabic grid. is also fits with our interrogation of paragraph 3. C. argue that expert linguists have been unsuccessful in their attempts to decipher and understand allographic Mayan signs. (C) is a Faulty Use of Detail; the challenge presented by allographs is described in paragraph 4 and is not associated with Knorozov. D. show how the

understanding of other linguistic structures may improve the comprehension of Mayan syllabic signs. (D) is a classic Out of Scope answer choice as other linguistic structures are never discussed in paragraph 3.

2. As used in the passage, the term “logographic” most closely refers to: is is a Definition-in-Context question. As another Foundations of Comprehension question, we can do this right away. We saw “logographic” in paragraphs 1 and 2. When introduced in paragraph 1, the gist of the word was that it was a picture. Paragraph 2 then describes the writing of the word Ahaw: “Ahaw may be written in logographic form as a head in profile.” Because a picture is used to represent the word in logographic form, they must be pictorial.

A. a written phonetic representation of (A) and (B) are both Opposites that describe the other form of Mayan writing presented in the B. syllabic division of an individual C. representation of an idea as an (C) simply paraphrases the idea of pictorial representation, so it’s the D. a visual picture of an idiomatic (D) is a Distortion; although logographs are visual representations, the author suggests that they represent single words, not entire idiomatic phrase[s].

3. The author of the passage would be LEAST likely to agree with which of the is is an Apply question. Because this is a Reasoning Beyond the Text question type, this is one that we should save for later.

e question asks what the author ... would be LEAST likely to agree with, so the answer should be inconsistent with the author’s beliefs. e author believes that Mayan writing is complex for several reasons but is steadily being deciphered. e correct answer will contradict this or some other point from the A. Languages with writing composed of pictorial signs can demonstrate a remarkable degree of complexity (A) is an Opposite; the author would certainly agree with this statement, having expressed the belief that the language is more than just “simple picture writing”; the description of the “800 ... glyphs,” multiple ways of writing the same word, and allographs support this idea. B. Linguistic signs based on syllabic or phonetic coding may be easier to decipher than those based on visual (B) is Out of Scope; this comparison is never made, so it’s impossible to say whether the author would agree with this statement or not. C. Pictorial languages are restricted to the expression of simple ideas because of their emphasis on e author would certainly disagree with (C),

making it the correct answer. Mayan writing doesn't convey only simple ideas, the author would argue, because it's more than just "simple picture D. The existence of allographs in Mayan signs indicates the complexity of this linguistic system. (D) is an Opposite; this summarizes the point of paragraph 4: allographs are a complication that makes deciphering Mayan writing more difficult. 4. The author discusses the words ahaw and pakal in order to: is is another Function question, so we should do it right away. Where did we see the words ahaw and pakal? e words were in paragraph 2. e purpose of paragraph 2 is to explain that a logograph (picture) and a combination of syllables can both be used to represent the same A. estimate the number of meanings that some common Mayan words (A) Distorts the author's point: the same word can have multiple ways of being represented, not multiple B. compare the flexibility of Mayan logographs to that of consonant- (B) is Out of Scope, as the author does not suggest a comparison between the flexibility of phonetic and logo-graphic writing—just that they can both be used to write C. illustrate the difficulty of filling in the syllabic grid due to words being (C) is a Faulty Use of Detail; even though the syllabic grid has been slow to fill due to linguistically rare words, this is a point from paragraph 3 rather than paragraph 2, where ahaw and pakal are D. demonstrate that Mayan words may appear in both logographic and (D) matches cleanly with the prediction and is the correct 5. According to the author, which of the following would best address some of the decipherment problems associated with Mayan signs? is is an Inference question, asking for a conclusion the author did not explicitly state, or an implication. Because the example is not explicitly stated in the passage, we should save this question until later. Problems with decipherment are mentioned in paragraphs 3 and 4. In paragraph 3, the author noted that the remaining unknown syllables are rarely used and thus harder to translate. Paragraph 4 continued the idea by talking about allographs. e author does say in the last lines of the passage that deciphering allographs depends on comparing many texts. Look for an answer that sounds like the points in either of these two paragraphs. A. Additional financial and scholarly resources should be directed toward this linguistic effort. (A) is a Distortion; the author argues at the beginning of

paragraph 4 that the “pace of phonetic decipherment is bound to increase” as more resources are trained on it, but stops short of saying that more resources should be trained on it, or suggesting what form those resources might take. is answer choice changes a statement of fact to a recommendation, as well as interpreting resources far more specifically than can be justified by B. More attention should be focused on identifying logographic signs than on categorizing syllabic signs. (B) is an Opposite; because allographs are phonetic representations, the author would argue that if anything, there should be more attention given to syllabic signs than logographic signs— many of which have already been C. Scholars should prioritize the completion of the syllabic grid. (C) Distorts the author and is extreme; the author actually seems content with the current, incomplete state of the grid and its slow but steady progress toward being filled in. D. Careful study of comparative texts should continue in order to evaluate phonetic interpretation of each (D) closely reflects statements at the end of paragraph 4 that “the process of recognizing allographs depends on the slow work of comparing many texts in order to find variant spellings of the same word.” at makes it correct.

6. The author implies which of the following about the ratio of logographic to syllabic signs in Mayan writing? is is an Inference question and best saved for later. e question stem references the ratio between two types of signs. Refer back to paragraph 3 where this is discussed. e author says that “it’s not possible to state precisely the relative proportions of logographic and syllabic signs” because many of the signs are undeciphered. e clear implication is that as these signs are deciphered, the ratio will A. Researchers disagree about the correct way to determine it. (A) is Out of Scope; the author suggests that the lack of a ratio is due to a lack of information, not because of disagree[ment]. B. Its practical value has failed to attract serious attention. (B) is also Out of Scope, as the author doesn’t indicate anything about the practical value of knowing this ratio. C. A meaningful ratio will likely never (C) is a Distortion; the author mentions that the number of deciphered signs is growing. So, if anything, the author would argue that a ratio may be established some day in the future. D. More work must be done before the ratio can be determined. (D) matches perfectly. Once all

logographs and syllables are translated, we would know the ratio—but that will require more

7. Which of the following would most call into question the author's argument about the complexity of Mayan writing? is is a Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question, so we should save it until last. We need to call the complexity of Mayan writing into question; this means we need to find that answer that would make the author's conclusion less likely. Where do we see the author's argument about the complexity of Mayan writing? In paragraph 3, the author states that Mayan writing is complex due to the fact that it includes both logographic and syllabic systems. In paragraph 4, the author goes on to state that part of the problem in deciphering Mayan writing is the presence of so many allographs that scribes had to choose from when writing. To make the conclusion about complexity less likely, we need to find a statement that challenges the conclusion, a piece of evidence used to support it, or an unstated assumption.

A. It has recently been discovered that allographs are actually just stylistic differences between scribes. (A) is exactly what we are looking for: if allographs are actually just stylistic differences—and not "different signs that could be chosen to represent" the same syllable, then one key piece of evidence for the author's conclusion is refuted. While this isn't a particularly strong challenge to the argument (because there's a lot more evidence provided for it in the passage), it's stronger than any of the other choices, which makes B.

The syllabic grid is only partially (B) is a statement made by the author in paragraph 3. e author explains in that paragraph why this lack of completion is reasonable, so this choice doesn't challenge the

C. Other pictorial languages, such as Egyptian, have been deciphered. (C) is incorrect because the relative extent of decipherment was shown in paragraph 3 not to be relevant to the author's conclusion.

D. Languages based on logographs are less complicated than modern (D) is incorrect because Mayan is not a purely logographic language, but uses both logographs and a phonetic syllabary. e comparison here is irrelevant to the author's

Passage 1 (Questions 1–7) The rich analysis of Fernand Braudel and his fellow Annales historians have made significant contributions to historical theory and research, not the least of which is a broad expansion of potential routes of scholastic analysis. In a departure from

traditional approaches, the Annales historians assume that history cannot be limited to a simple recounting of conscious human actions, but must be understood in the context of forces and material conditions that underlie human behavior. Braudel was the first Annales historian to gain widespread support for the idea that history should synthesize data from various social sciences, especially economics, in order to provide a broader view of human societies over time (although Febvre and Bloch, founders of the Annales school, originated this approach). Braudel conceived of history as the dynamic interaction of three temporalities. The first of these, the *evenementielle*, involved short-lived dramatic “events,” such as battles, revolutions, and the actions of great individuals, which had preoccupied traditional historians like Carlyle. *Conjonctures* was Braudel’s term for larger cyclical processes that might last up to half a century. The *longue durée*, a historical wave of great length, was for Braudel the most fascinating of the three temporalities. Here he focused on those aspects of everyday life that might remain relatively unchanged for centuries. What people ate, what they wore, their means and routes of travel—for Braudel, these things create “structures” that define the limits of potential social change for hundreds of years at a time. Braudel’s concept of the *longue durée* extended the perspective of historical physical space as well as time. Until the Annales school, historians had generally taken the juridical political unit—the nation-state, duchy, or whatever—as their starting point. Yet, when such enormous timespans are considered, geographical features may well have more significance for human populations than national borders. In his doctoral thesis, a seminal work on the Mediterranean during the reign of Philip II, Braudel treated the geohistory of the entire region as a “structure” that had exerted myriad influences on human lifeways since the first settlements on the shores of the Mediterranean Sea. So, the reader is given such esoteric information as the list of products that came to Spanish shores from North Africa, the seasonal routes followed by Mediterranean sheep and their shepherds, and the cities where the best ship timber could be bought. Braudel has been faulted for the impression of his approach. With his Rabelaisian delight in concrete detail, Braudel vastly extended the realm of relevant phenomena; but this very achievement made it

difficult to delimit the boundaries of observation, a task necessary to beginning any social analysis. Indeed, to identify an appropriate scope of work when all options and directions for academic inquiry are available before one's eyes is a nearly impossible task. Further, Braudel and other Annales historians minimize the differences among the social sciences. Nevertheless, the many similarly designed studies aimed at both professional and popular audiences indicate that Braudel asked significant questions that traditional historians had overlooked.

1. The author refers to the work of Febvre and Bloch in order to:

- A. illustrate the need to delimit the boundaries of observation.
- B. suggest the relevance of economics to historical investigation.
- C. debate the need for combining various social science approaches.
- D. show that previous Annales historians anticipated Braudel's focus on economics.

2. In the third paragraph, the author is primarily concerned with discussing:

- A. Braudel's fascination with obscure facts.
- B. Braudel's depiction of the role of geography in human history.
- C. the geography of the Mediterranean region.
- D. the irrelevance of national borders.

3. The passage suggests that, compared with traditional historians, Annales historians

- A. more interested in other social sciences than in history.
- B. critical of the achievements of famous historical figures.
- C. skeptical of the validity of most economic research.
- D. more interested in the underlying context of human behavior.

4. Which of the following statements would be most likely to follow the last sentence of the

- A. Few such studies, however, have been written by trained economists.
- B. It is time, perhaps, for a revival of the Carlylean emphasis on personalities.
- C. Many historians believe that Braudel's conception of three distinct "temporalities" is
- D. Such diverse works as Gascon's study of the Lyon and Barbara Tuchman's *A Distant Mirror* testify to his relevance.

5. According to the passage, some historians are critical of Braudel's perspective for which of the following reasons?

- A. It seeks structures that underlie all forms of social activity.
- B. It assumes a greater similarity among the social sciences than actually exists.
- C. It fails to consider the relationship between short-term events and long-term social
- D. It rigidly defines boundaries for social analysis.

6. Which of the following historical phenomena would the author most likely consider an example of the *longue durée*?

A. Julius Caesar's crossing of the Rubicon, which led to a four-year civil war in Rome B. The occurrence in ancient Rome of devastating malaria outbreaks roughly every C. A gradual shift toward a drier Mediterranean climate that lasted from 250 to 600 c.e. and impacted food availability and daily life D. The battle of Carrhae in 53 b.c.e., in which the Parthians exterminated a large 7. Suppose Braudel was once quoted as saying, "For me, the most interesting aspects of history are those in which one person's decisions turned the tides of history." What relevance would this new information have for the passage? A. It would weaken the author's claim that Braudel was most interested in the longue B. It would weaken the author's claim that Braudel was not interested in the actions of C. It would strengthen the author's claim that Braudel was most interested in the D. It would strengthen the author's claim that Braudel considered the best historical analysis to focus on the actions of great individuals.

Passage 1 (Questions 1–7) P1. "synthesize data"; P2. "dramatic event," "cyclical processes," and "aspects of everyday life"; P3. "geographical features"; P4. "delimit the boundaries" and "minimizes difference." P1. Annales vs. traditional historians; Braudel popularized P2. Braudel's three temporalities P3. Expansion on longue durée as geohistory P4. Braudel critics: "scope too broad, differences of social sciences blurred"; Author: "but asked new questions" A classic Function question: Why did the author refer to Febvre and Bloch? Go back to the end of paragraph 1 where Febvre and Bloch are mentioned and read the surrounding text: "Braudel was the first Annales historian to gain widespread support of the idea that history should synthesize data from various social sciences ... (although Febvre and Bloch, founders of the Annales school, originated this approach)." In other words, while Braudel popularized this approach, it was actually Febvre and Bloch who came up with it in the first place. is prediction matches closely with (D), which has Febvre and Bloch anticipat[ing] Braudel's approach. (A) and (C) both suggest that Febvre and Bloch could be used to argue against the Annales approach, which is inconsistent with their roles as originators of this same approach. (B) tries to Distort the author's use of Febvre and Bloch: economics is clearly relevant for the Annales approach to historical investigation, but Febvre and Bloch are not

used in the context of supporting the relevance of economics—they are mentioned only because they used economics before Braudel. is is another Function question that essentially asks, What is the role of the third paragraph? Refer back to paragraph 3 and summarize its purpose: Expansion on *longue durée* as geohistory. is prediction matches closely with (B), which reflects on the role of geography in human history. (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail wrong answer; the author does mention Braudel's attention to esoteric information, but this is a minor detail from the paragraph that misses the full purpose of expanding on the *longue durée*. (C) is also a Faulty Use of Detail; the geography of the Mediterranean region is used to support the use of geography in Braudel's approach, but the primary concern is not to discuss the geography of the Mediterranean specifically— rather, it is to show how geography can influence human history. (D) Distorts the author's words. Braudel does shy away from national borders to focus on geographic borders, but that does not mean that all national borders are irrelevant[t]. is is an Inference question. Based on the evidence presented in the passage, we should be able to infer a difference between traditional and Annales historians. From our initial analysis, we know that the two are contrasted in paragraph 1, so this is where we will look for clues. e key to the answer is given in the second sentence: "In a departure from traditional approaches, the Annales historians assume that history ... must be understood in the context of forces and material conditions that underlie human behavior." In other words, the Annales historians are more interested in the underlying context of human behavior than traditional historians, as (D) states. (A) Distorts the author's words: the Annales are interested in incorporating social sciences into historical analysis, but that does not mean that they are more interested in other social sciences than in history— they are historians, aer all! (B) is also a Distortion. e Annales historians propose that history is more than just the actions of famous figures, but this doesn't mean that they are critical of those figure's achievements. Finally, (C) is an Opposite. Annales historians want to incorporate economic research findings into historical analysis and so should not be skeptical of the validity of such approaches. We want to predict what direction the author would go in if the passage were continued in this

Reasoning Beyond the Text question. The purpose of the last paragraph was to mention criticisms of Braudel's approach and respond to those criticisms. The paragraph ends by responding to criticism by citing the contribution of Braudel's work: "studies ... indicate that Braudel asked significant questions that traditional historians had overlooked." If another sentence were added, it should continue along the same lines of highlighting the influence or merits of Braudel. This prediction matches perfectly with (D). (A) would backtrack on the author's support by questioning the professionalism of the authors of such studies. (B) is both Out of Scope and Opposite. Incorporation of the Carlylean approach mentioned in paragraph 2 would come out of nowhere at the end of paragraph 4, and it also represents the traditional approach to historical analysis; the author is unlikely to support a revival of this approach. Finally, (C) is a criticism of Braudel, and because the author is defending Braudel in the last part of the paragraph, this answer choice is an Opposite. This is a Detail question, so we simply need to find the appropriate information in the passage. From our initial analysis, we know that the beginning of paragraph 4 is where the criticisms of Braudel are brought up. In fact, Braudel's critics believed his scope was too broad, and that the differences among social sciences were blurred. This prediction matches (B). (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail. Braudel seeks structures like geohistory that underlie social activity in history; however, this is not in paragraph 4 and is not a criticism of Braudel. (C) is Out of Scope; while Braudel's "three temporalities" are discussed, the relationship between them is never addressed. Finally, (D) is an Opposite answer choice. According to paragraph 4, critics thought Braudel's approach "made it difficult to delimit the boundaries of observation." This statement is at odds with rigidly define[d] boundaries in this answer choice. This is an Apply question of the Example subtype. We must use the author's description of the *longue durée* to identify a similar example. Paragraph 2 defines the three temporalities and defines the *longue durée* as being an "historical wave of great length" on the order of hundreds of years. Only (C) has even remotely that long of a duration, making it the correct answer. (A) and (D) are short-lived events in history just as the *evenementielle* temporality is defined in the passage. (B) describes

a cyclical event that occur[s] ... roughly every half-century, which would fit the definition of the conjonctures temporality described in the passage. The question asks us to suppose new information. Thus, this is a Reasoning Beyond the Text question. If we glance at the answer choices, we can see that this is a Strengthen–Weaken question. The question stem introduces a hypothetical quote from Braudel that emphasizes his interest in the influence of one person’s decisions in history. The focus on one person’s influence is most similar to the *evenementielle* temporality discussed in paragraph 2. We also see in this paragraph that Braudel considered the *longue durée* the "most fascinating of the three temporalities." The quote given in the question stem would go against the information in the passage, so we can immediately cross off (C) and (D), which claim that this quote would strengthen the author’s claim. The logic presented here matches with (A). (B) is a Distortion because the author claims that Braudel is most fascinated by the *longue durée* temporality, but that is not the same thing as saying Braudel is not interested at all in other aspects of history. In fact, Braudel gives the actions of great individuals their own temporality, the *evenementielle*, so Braudel must be at least somewhat interested in these.

Question Types I: Foundations of Comprehension Questions

Question Types I: Foundations of Comprehension Questions

In This Chapter

9.1 Main Idea Questions

Sample Question Stems

Main Idea Questions—Applied Example

9.2 Detail Questions

Sample Question Stems

Detail Questions—Applied Example

9.3 Inference Questions

Sample Question Stems

Inference Questions—Applied Example

9.4 Definition-in-Context Questions

Sample Question Stems

Definition-in-Context Questions—Applied Example

Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 9, you will be able to:

- Identify Main Idea, Detail, Inference, and Definition-in-Context questions
- Solve Foundations of Comprehension questions with strategies based on question
- Recognize common features of Foundations of Comprehension questions

In order to get into college, you likely had to take an exam like the SAT® or ACT®, both of which feature sections that test reading comprehension. Most of the questions in those sections were straightforward, requiring you merely to search the text for a key fact, to define the meaning of a term used in

a passage, or to identify the author's thesis. Some of the more challenging questions may have required you to imagine things from the writer's perspective or to explain why a certain word or phrase was used. Such questions can also be found in the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section of the MCAT, though you will find that they are generally more difficult than the analogous questions found on your precollege exams. Chapters 9, 10, and 11 of this textbook will follow the same general pattern. For each question type, we will briefly describe distinguishing features before examining some sample question stems. Then, after discussing strategic approaches to the question type, we'll attack an example passage excerpt, providing at least one sample question of each type. The question types in this chapter all fall under the Foundations of Comprehension category and will be examined in the following order: Main Idea, Detail, Inference, and Definition-in-Context. Note: The Question Types, as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, and Wrong Answer Pathologies, are all included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book.

9.1 Main Idea Questions

Questions that ask about the big picture or major themes of the passage are what we call Main Idea questions. Only a small number of the questions in the CARS section fall into this type, but they are easy to recognize. The Kaplan Method for CARS Passages will arm you with everything you need to attack Main Idea questions, typically without referring back to the Sample Question Stems.

The author's central thesis is that: Which of the following best characterizes the main idea of the passage?

The primary purpose of the passage is to: Which of the following best captures the main theme of the passage?

The author is primarily interested in: Which of the following titles best captures the main theme of the passage?

The author can best be viewed as a proponent of: Which of the following best describes the language used in the passage?

What makes it clear that the intended audience is: Which of the following best describes the intended audience?

What is the author's central concern? Main Idea questions will often use some variant of the phrase central thesis, primary purpose, or—of course—main idea, or they might make some kind of general reference to the author. On rare occasions, Main Idea questions will bring in a more challenging aspect of the rhetorical situation, such as the audience or the medium. Main Idea questions that ask about the audience or the medium are checking your rhetorical analysis skills. Analysis of the likely author, including the author's

tone and voice, can reveal the intended audience and most likely medium. Rhetoric was discussed in Chapter 3 of MCAT CARS Review. You should decide to work on a Main Idea question as soon as you encounter it, because these questions can get you some quick points. Your best bet for your Investigate step is simply to Go for the goal! As discussed in Chapter 6, no matter which Distill approach you take, you will consider the overarching purpose or goal of the passage before moving on to the questions. If you encounter a Main Idea question, the purpose that you considered during your passage distillation will usually echo the correct answer. When searching for a Match in the answer choices, both the verb and the content of each answer choice should be carefully considered. For Main Idea answer choices, the verb used to describe the author's purpose in writing the passage is just as important as the content of the answer. In the event that none of the choices come close to matching what you thought the author's purpose was, you should use a systematic process of elimination to remove Faulty Use of Detail answers that are too narrow, Out of Scope options that go too far afield, and any answer choice that has the wrong tone (positive, negative, ambivalent, or impartial) or degree (extreme vs. moderate) as discussed in Chapter 8 of Critical Analysis and Reasoning. The wrong answer choices in Main Idea questions are very predictable. One or more tend to be too narrow, reflecting the ideas from only one paragraph. One or more tend to be too broad, becoming Out of Scope. One or more tend to embody the wrong tone (positive, negative, ambivalent, or impartial) or degree (extreme vs. moderate).

Main Idea Questions—Applied Example There is no shortage of evidence for the existence of systemic biases in ordinary human reasoning. For instance, Kahneman and Tversky in their groundbreaking 1974 work proposed the existence of a heuristic—an error-prone shortcut in reasoning—known as “anchoring.” In one of their most notable experiments, participants were exposed to the spin of a roulette wheel (specially rigged to land randomly on one of only two possible results) before being asked to guess what percentage of United Nations member states were African. The half of the sample who had the roulette wheel stop at 65 guessed, on average, that 45 percent of the UN was African, while those with a result of 10 guessed only 25

percent, demonstrating that prior presentation of a random number otherwise unconnected to a quantitative judgment can still influence that judgment. The anchoring effect has been observed on repeated other occasions, such as in Dan Ariely's experiment that used digits in Social Security numbers as an anchor for bids at an auction, and in the 1996 study by Wilson et al. that showed even awareness of the existence of anchoring bias is insufficient to mitigate its effects. The advertising industry has long been aware of this bias, the rationale for its frequent practice of featuring an "original" price before showing a "sale" price that is invariably reduced. Of course, anchoring is hardly alone among the defective tendencies in human reasoning; other systemic biases have also been experimentally identified, including loss aversion, the availability heuristic, and 1.

The author's primary task in the passage is to:

- A. search for evidence of systemic biases in normal human
- B. discuss empirical findings on anchoring and other
- C. show that anchoring is the most commonly occurring error
- D. demonstrate that knowledge of anchoring bias is insufficient to prevent it.

Solution: Utilizing the Kaplan Question Strategy discussed in Chapter 8, start with the Type step. This question asks you to identify the primary task of the author, which is indicative of a Main Idea question. During your Read and Distill of the passage, you should consider the overall goal of the passage, meaning that these questions can be answered quickly. Attempt and answer questions like this one as soon as you encounter them to earn quick points on Test Day. The second step is to Rephrase the question. A simplification in this case might be Why did the author write this passage?, or What was the author trying to convey to the reader? The task in Main Idea questions is usually well defined by the question stem, so the Rephrase step should be minimal, and you may not need to generate alternate phrasing at all. The Investigate step will remain the same for Main Idea questions no matter which method you selected in your Choose step for the passage. Main Idea questions ask you to synthesize all of the information presented into an overall purpose. To solve, you should recall your reasoning about the purpose of the passage from the end of your Distill step, then find a Match in the answer choices. In this case, the author was attempting to present evidence for systemic biases in

reasoning, especially That prediction from your Investigate step should immediately lead you to Match (B). The phrase discuss empirical findings is roughly equivalent in meaning to our present evidence. Also, the scope is right, with the answer focusing on anchoring but mentioning other biases because the author does introduce anchoring as an example with the phrase “For instance.” On Test Day, whenever you find a match for a prediction or a choice that fulfills your expectation for correctness, go with that answer and move on to the next question. For these examples, though, it’s worth discussing what’s wrong with the other options. (A) comes close and has the appropriate scope, but the verb is wrong: in the very first sentence, the author says that “There is no shortage of evidence,” so why would the author’s task be to search for something so readily available? Moving on to (C), we find an Out of Scope choice: while the author says that systemic biases are common to thinking, there is never any comparison among the types mentioned. Just because the author focuses on anchoring does not mean that anchoring is necessarily the most frequently occurring—the author could choose to discuss it for any number of reasons other than its commonness. Finally, (D) is a Faulty Use of Detail because it is too specific. The referenced claim is made in the second paragraph, but it applies only to the study conducted by Wilson and others. This answer does not encompass the focus of the 9.2 Detail Questions Detail questions ask about what is stated explicitly in the passage. ese are probably what you typically think of when you imagine a “reading comprehension” question, as they tend to require searching the text to find the missing piece. While Main Idea questions focus on the big picture, Detail questions zoom in on some of the finer points of the passage. ey are by far the most common question type in the Foundations of Comprehension category, constituting at least half of the questions that fall under this heading. Sample Question Stems According to the author’s account of [topic], [concept] is: e author states that [person] holds the view that: Which of the following, according to the passage, does the author associate with the idea of [concept]? e author’s apparent attitude toward [alternative position] is: Based on the discussion in [paragraph reference], the work of [artist/writer] was widely regarded as: e passage suggests which of

the following about [topic]? [list of e author asserts all of the following EXCEPT: Which of the following claims does NOT appear in the passage? Detail questions tend to contain simple, declarative language (is and are) rather than the subjunctive mood (would and could), oen include phrases like the author states and according to the passage, and can take the form of incomplete sentences ending with a colon. e last three examples listed above fall into what is known as the Scattered subtype. A Scattered question is one that either employs a set of Roman numeral options or uses a word like EXCEPT, NOT, or LEAST. While just about any type of question can be Scattered—from Scattered Function to Scattered Inference—Scattered Detail is perhaps the most common example of the Scattered subtype. It is easy to forget that you are working on a LEAST/NOT/EXCEPT question when you're analyzing the answer choices. Highlighting those words in the question stem can provide a visual clue that you are looking for a false statement as opposed to the three true wrong e only trick to working with Detail questions is that sometimes a seemingly straightforward question can actually require making an inference (which is, notably, another type of question in the Foundations of Comprehension category). If you are dealing with a true Detail question, though, you should follow the clues in the question stem, especially the content buzzwords, and keep these terms as a focus during your Rephrase of the question stem. You should then Investigate by using your Distill step to help you home in on the relevant portion of the passage. If you Highlighted or Outlined, the main idea of each paragraph should help you find the relevant detail. If you Interrogated, you should return to the chunk that contained the detail being asked about in the question stem. Once you find the precise sentence referenced, remember to read at least the sentence before and the sentence aer (unless, of course, you're looking at the first or last sentence of the entire passage!) to get a bit more context. Once you've read the relevant text, complete your investigation by putting the sentence into your own words, and then look for the best match to answer the Sometimes, what appears to be a Detail question will actually require you to make an inference. Inference questions include both Assumption and Implication questions, the strategies for which are discussed later in this chapter. You will

likely want to save the Scattered Detail questions you encounter for later because these will often require researching three or four different parts of the text, rather than just one. When working with these questions, your approach will vary quite a bit depending on which passage strategy you've chosen. Process of elimination is almost inevitable with questions of the Scattered subtype, but that doesn't mean you should immediately jump blindly into the answer choices. As with any question, take what you can from the stem to set some basic expectations. For Detail questions, make sure to paraphrase the relevant text in a "short and sweet" format that will be easy to repeat to yourself while reading the answer choices. Much of the challenge of this type of question can be trying to figure out which answer choice actually matches your prediction—so make your prediction something that's easy to remember!

Detail Questions—Applied Example One of the first examples of the ascendance of abstraction in 20th-century art is the Dada movement, which Lowenthal dubbed "the groundwork to abstract art and sound poetry, a starting point for performance art, a prelude to postmodernism, an influence on pop art ... and the movement that laid the foundation for surrealism." Dadaism was ultimately premised on a philosophical rejection of the dominant culture, which is to say the dominating culture of colonialist Europe. Not content with the violent exploitation of other peoples, Europe's ruling factions once again turned inward, reigniting provincial disputes into the conflagration that came to be known by the Eurocentric epithet "World War I"—the European subcontinent apparently being the only part of the world that mattered. The absurd destructiveness of the Great War was a natural prelude to the creative absurdity of Dada. Is it any wonder that the rejection of reason made manifest by senseless atrocities should lead to the embrace of irrationality and disorder among the West's subaltern artistic communities? Marcel Janco, one of the first Dadaists, cited this rationale: "We had lost confidence in our culture. Everything had to be demolished. We would begin again after the tabula rasa." Thus, we find the overturning of what was once considered art: a urinal becomes the Fountain after Marcel Duchamp signs it "R. Mutt" in 1917, the nonsense syllables of Hugo Ball and Kurt Schwitters transform into "sound poems," and dancers in cardboard

cubist costumes accompanied by foghorns and typewriters metamorphosize into the ballet Parade. Unsurprisingly, many commentators, including founding members, have described Dada as an “anti-art” movement. Notwithstanding such a designation, Dadaism has left a lasting imprint on modern Western art.

2. As stated in the passage, prior to the Great War, the leaders of Europe were primarily focused on:

- A. fighting one another in World War I.
- B. colonizing other parts of the globe.
- C. gazing inward at local problems.
- D. rejecting the dominant culture.

Solution: The first step to the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions is the Type step, and when the stem says as stated in the passage, it usually signifies a Detail question (with some exceptions). You’ll most likely want to work on Detail questions right away, rather than saving them for later. The Rephrase step is next, and this question could be rephrased into a specific task: Find in the passage what the leaders of Europe were focused on prior to the war. There are two buzzwords in the question stem: the Great War and the leaders of Europe. The first comes directly from the start of paragraph 2, where you can judge from the context that it must be another name for what we now call World War I. (They of course didn’t know there was going to be a second one at the time!) The second buzzword does not appear verbatim, but it shows up in the synonymous phrase “Europe’s ruling factions” in the last sentence of paragraph 1. In addition to reading these two sentences to refresh yourself if necessary as part of your investigation, it may be worth reading one before and one after. When we Investigate, the preceding sentence offers a key bit of context, illustrating that the author regards European culture quite negatively, with the phrase “the dominating culture of colonialist Europe.” More of the same follows with the phrase “violent exploitation of other peoples,” as this author highlights Europe’s past as a global colonizer. The phrase “once again turned inward” is noteworthy for mirroring the language of focused on featured in the question stem. Putting this all together sets a thorough expectation for the correct answer: if the turning inward happened with the Great War, then prior to that, Europe’s leaders must have been looking outward, focusing on their colonial acquisitions. This prediction finds a match in (B). Among the wrong options, (A) is based on a misunderstanding of the

terminology. Though World War I is mentioned in the prior sentence, the author calls attention to the fact that it only later came to be known by that “epithet” (name) and then in the following sentence uses another name for it. Because the two terms refer to the same event, European leaders could not be focused on it before it happened. In contrast, (C) is an Opposite because Europeans were actually turning outward at their colonies. Finally, (D) is a Faulty Use of Detail: that phrase appears almost exactly, but it actually describes the founders of the Dada movement, not the European leaders. One of the unique critical thinking challenges on the MCAT is based on the use of synonym. Test questions and answer choices are likely to use synonymous terms, rather than directly quoting the associated passage. Keep this in mind and remain flexible in your thinking when you Investigate a question stem or search for a Match in the answer choices to a particular 3. Based on the passage, which of the following is not a characteristic associated with Dadaism? A. A renunciation of European culture B. A reputation as an “anti-art” movement C. Importance for later 20th-century art D. The embrace of irrational atrocities Solution: Although the testmaker will often put the word not in italics or all caps, sometimes it will appear plainly in a question stem, as seen here. Don’t be fooled as you Type the question: this is still a Scattered Detail question. Because Scattered Detail questions are often time-consuming, you’d likely want to make it the last question you work on in the question set. When you do commit to working through a Scattered Detail question, don’t forget to Rephrase the stem and set some basic expectations. The phrasing a characteristic associated with is vague enough that it could mean something the author says, or it could potentially reflect another view identified in the passage. That means you can’t rule out an answer choice just because it doesn’t sound like something the author would say, so long as it sounds like something someone else in the passage would. Because both paragraphs are chock-full of claims about Dadaism, there’s no point in searching the text to set additional expectations—you’ll have to go with a more minimal prediction as the output of your Investigate step. (A) comes directly from the author’s second sentence: “Dadaism was ultimately premised on a philosophical rejection of the ... culture of colonialist Europe.” The

word renunciation is a synonym of rejection—so cross off this option. Moving on to the next choice, while the author explicitly rejected the idea that Dadaism is “anti-art,” that view is still reflected in the passage in the next-to-last sentence, where the author writes “many commentators, including founding members, have described Dada as an ‘anti-art’ movement.” Another way of saying that many commentators describe it that way is to say that it has a reputation. Hence, (B) should also be eliminated. The next characteristic is found at both the beginning of the passage, with the quotation from Lowenthal, and at the end, with the author’s statement that “Dadaism has left a lasting imprint on modern Western art.” With (C) now off the table, we know that (D) must be the answer—but let’s check it anyway. This choice is very close to being a characteristic; however, the word atrocities prevents it from being associated with Dadaism and makes it the correct choice. The key sentence is worth repeating in full in order to untangle it: “Is it any wonder that the rejection of reason made manifest by senseless atrocities should lead to the embrace of irrationality and disorder among the West’s subaltern artistic communities?” The author is saying that Dadaism embraces irrationality, although not in the same way that irrationality (rejection of reason) manifests itself in warfare (senseless atrocities). The preceding sentence offers some useful clarification: “The absurd destructiveness of the Great War was a natural prelude to the creative absurdity of Dada.” Notice how the word absurd is used to join two concepts that are typically viewed as opposites: creation and destruction. The author is suggesting that, even though both the Great War and Dadaism defied reason, they did so in dramatically different ways. This irrationality or absurdness in Dadaism stood in opposition to the atrocities of World War I; the word embrace in the answer choice makes this statement not a characteristic of Dadaism. That’s why (D) is correct.

9.3 Inference Questions

What makes Inference questions distinctive is that they deal with unstated parts of arguments: information that is not explicitly written by the author but that must be true given what is claimed in the passage. It is crucial to understand that the correct answers to Inference questions are not simply assertions that are possibly true or that could be accurate; rather, they are necessary assumptions or at least

highly probable implications. This is why Inference questions are considered Foundations of Comprehension rather than Reasoning Within the Text questions: the answers are directly implied and must be true based on the written text. We call these Inference questions because, as first noted in Chapter 3 of MCAT CARS Review, it is the common name of the process used to arrive at both assumptions (unstated evidence) and implications (unstated conclusions), collectively known as inferences.

Sample Question Stems In [paragraph reference], it is reasonable to believe that the author Implicit in the discussion of [topic] is the underlying assumption that: e passage suggests [claim] because: On the basis of the author's account of [topic], which of the following might reasonably be inferred? e author implies that [concept] is NOT: It is reasonable to conclude that the author regards [person or e author says [quotation], but also [paraphrase of different claim]. ese beliefs imply: Which of the following inferences is most justified by information provided in the passage? [list of Roman numerals] As these examples indicate, during the first step of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions—in which you Type the question—you can recognize that you might be dealing with an Inference question if you see one or more of the following words (or variations of them): assume, because, conclude, imply, infer, justify, reasonable, or suggest. However, if a question stem contains any of these words and new information of some kind, then it will fall into the Reasoning Beyond the Text category instead (which we will discuss in Chapter 11). If there are no new elements, you are likely dealing with an Inference question. As previously alluded to, there is actually something of a continuum between Detail and Inference questions; the position of questions on that continuum varies based on the complexity of the reasoning used to solve them. A question that tells you to make an inference might require one relatively simple step, such as canceling out a double negative or identifying a paraphrase of lines from the passage. Sometimes you'll even find you were told to look for an item that the passage suggests or the author implies but, as you Investigate, you may discover that the answer was in the text virtually word for word. In cases such as these, consider yourself fortunate, because you've uncovered a Detail question in disguise! e predictions you make

for these questions will likely be more than adequate to match to the correct e downside to this ambiguity is that sometimes a question that uses simple declarative language will require a lot more critical thinking than you expected. Just because a stem includes a phrase like the author asserts or as stated in the passage (common question stems for Detail questions) does not necessarily mean that the answer is stated in a straightforward manner. Notwithstanding such trickery on the part of the AAMC, as long as you recognize the possibility that apparent Detail questions can be disguised as Inference questions and are prepared to apply the Denial Test strategy to those questions, you can avoid being fooled! ere are only two basic tasks with the Inference question type. When you recognize that you need to make an inference, first ask yourself whether you are looking for a missing but essential piece of evidence (an assumption) or for a conclusion that is unstated but highly probable given what is said (an If you Rephrase the stem and your task is to identify an assumption, your Investigation should begin by determining what claim the assumption is supposed to underlie. Sometimes the question stem will say this explicitly, or it may use quoted text or paragraph numbers to refer to a particular claim. If not, depending on which passage strategy you used, you may need to go back and do research in the passage. If you need to go back to the passage as you investigate, you should reread the relevant passage sentences and isolate the specific statement, taking care to see whether there's any existing evidence in the surrounding text that's used to support the statement. Logic keywords are your best friends here, but keep in mind that a lot of authors use them sparsely, so they won't always be there to help. e Match to assumption questions might include words or ideas that are similar to a piece of evidence actually stated, so you can use any that you locate for your prediction, or just go with whatever links the evidence provided to the conclusion when you reread the text—now I see what the author is taking for Inferences are unstated parts of arguments that must be true based on what the passage says. Assumptions are unstated pieces of evidence, while implications are unstated If you Rephrase the stem and your task is to identify an implication, center your Investigation on any particular sentences referenced in the question stem. Watch

out for Logic keywords, just as with assumptions. Now, however, you'll be looking to see whether the particular statements referenced are used to support anything else. If so, use those explicitly supported conclusions to set your expectations for the correct answer. Alternatively, if another implication occurs to you when you reread, you can use that as a prediction. Whenever you can't find a Match for your prediction—if you thought it was a Detail question, if you didn't know where to look because the stem had no paragraph reference clues, or if the answer choices just turned out very differently than you expected—plan B is to use a special version of process of elimination known as the Denial Test. Let's take a look at how it works in The Denial Test

For each answer choice, negate (take the opposite of) what is being said. In question stems that end with a colon (:), you may need to take part of the text from the end of the stem and combine it with the answer choice to create a sentence that can then be denied. Be careful with sentences that already contain negative words, prefixes, and suffixes, because sometimes just removing that text is not enough to change the meaning in the proper way. If nothing else, any claim can be denied by adding It is not the case that to the beginning of the sentence. Once you've denied the claim, think about the effect the denied claim has on the passage. If you're not sure what effect it has, look for clues in the question stem or the answer choice itself to see if it refers to a particular part of the passage that you could reread. If it's clear that the negated claim has no significant impact, then eliminate that answer. While the Denial Test will always reveal the correct answer in an Inference question, it's very time-consuming. If you cannot set good expectations for the right answer during the Execute step, triage the question and return to it later with the Denial Test. When you come across an answer choice that logically conflicts with the text once you've negated it, you've likely found the correct answer. However, sometimes multiple answer choices will have denials that cause problems for the text, so when executing the Denial Test you should always test every answer choice and pick the one for which negation has the most detrimental impact on arguments or assertions from the passage. Keep in mind that this can be time-consuming, which is why it's generally a good idea to save a question for later if you believe the Denial test

will be necessary to answer it. It is hard to understand how the Denial Test works simply by reading about it. Check out the Worked Example below and make sure to practice the Denial Test on Inference questions to get used to using it.

Inference Questions—Applied Example

In 1941, an exuberant nationalist wrote: “We must accept wholeheartedly our duty and our opportunity as the most powerful and vital nation ... to exert upon the world the full impact of our influence, for such purposes as we see fit and by such means as we see fit.” If forced to guess the identity of the writer, many US citizens would likely suspect a German jingoist advocating for Lebensraum. In actuality, the sentiment was expressed by one of America’s own: Henry Luce, the highly influential publisher of the magazines *Life*, *Time*, and *Fortune*. Luce sought to dub the 1900s the “American Century,” calling upon the nation to pursue global hegemony as it slipped from the grasp of warring Old World empires. As a forecast of world history, Luce’s pronouncement seems prescient—but is it justifiable as a Not all of Luce’s contemporaries bought into his exceptionalist creed. Only a year later, Henry Wallace, vice president under FDR, insisted that no country had the “right to exploit other nations” and that “military [and] economic imperialism” were invariably immoral. It is a foundational assumption in ethics that the wrongness of an act is independent of the particular identity of the actor—individuals who pay no heed to moral consistency are justly condemned as hypocrites. So why should it be any different for nation-states? In accord with this principle, Wallace proselytized for “the century of the common man,” for the furtherance of a “great revolution of the people,” and for bringing justice and prosperity to all persons irrespective of accidents of birth. Sadly, Wallace never had the chance to lead the United States in this cosmopolitan direction; prior to Roosevelt’s demise at the beginning of his fourth term, the vice presidency was handed to Harry Truman, a man whose narrow provincialism ensconced him firmly in Luce’s camp. And with Truman came the ghastly atomic eradication of two Japanese cities, the dangerous precedent set by military action without congressional approval in Korea, and a Cold War with the Soviet Union that brought the world to the brink of nuclear destruction.

4. One can most justifiably conclude on the basis of the author’s discussion that Henry Luce

assumed that: A. the United States did not have the right to create a military or economic empire. B. nation-states are never bound by the same ethical principles that persons are. C. the same normative standards should apply to both Americans and Germans. D. moral rules that govern individual behavior do not necessarily apply to countries. Solution: The assumed at the end of the question stem makes the Type step for this question straightforward: it must be an Inference question. Rephrasing the question stem should give you something along the lines of “Based on the passage, what did Henry Luce assume?” Using this rephrase, we know we’re looking for an assumption from a portion of the passage relevant to Luce’s argument, and we’ll want to rely on our Distill technique, possibly in combination with referring back to the passage itself, in order to Investigate. Luce advocated for what the author calls the exceptionalist stance that the United States, as the most powerful country at the time, was free to do as it pleased. This is in contrast to Henry Wallace, who explicitly rebuffed Luce’s view, so watch out for Faulty Uses of Detail answer choices that would actually describe Wallace. Denying (A) yields the United States did have the right to create a military or economic empire, which is completely consistent with Luce’s view. Eliminate it. As written, (A) is actually a view attributed to Wallace by the author, so it’s both a FUD and an Opposite as this was the major point of disagreement between (B) contains never, which is an Extreme keyword, but because Luce seems to have a fairly strong position, don’t jump to dismissing the answer as a Distortion quite yet. It’s a bit trickier to negate: to say that it is not the case that some event never happens is the same as saying the event sometimes occurs. Thus, the proper negation of (B) is nation-states are sometimes bound by the same ethical principles that persons are, which is completely consistent with Luce, who presumably believes that ethical principles do apply to nations sometimes, say on those occasions when the nation in question is not the United States. For (C), the contradiction would be the same normative standards should not apply to both Americans and Germans, which is again consistent with Luce’s “American Century” idea. Hence, we can definitely cross off (C), which, as written, is actually another one of Wallace’s beliefs—a second All that remains is (D), which does indeed

destroy the argument if rejected. Countering it by saying moral rules that govern individual behavior do necessarily apply to countries would mean that they always apply. But this supports the anti-hypocrisy argument that the author makes in favor of Wallace and against Luce at the beginning of paragraph 2. Thus, denying (D) would make Henry Luce's argument fall apart, and so it is an assumption Luce has made. (B) was simply a more extreme version of this claim, rightly rejected as a Distortion because an author is more likely to assume a weak form of a statement than a strong one. Remember that the opposite of an Extreme Negative keyword (like never) is a Moderating Positive keyword (like sometimes). The circular view of Author keywords presented in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review is a great way to visualize this change.

5. It is reasonable to infer that the author believes that:

- A. Harry Truman was the worst US president of the 20th
- B. Franklin Roosevelt did not endorse the idea of the
- C. Henry Wallace would not have approved of the use of
- D. Henry Luce did not provide an accurate historical assessment of the 1900s.

Solution: We can Type this question as an Inference question given the phrase reasonable to infer. With a stem like this, which has no specific clues or references, you may find Rephrasing barely changes anything, and thus choose to dive straight into the Investigate step. With a stem that gives almost no information, we have little choice but to proceed with the Denial Test. It's not entirely clear what kind of inference this is, because an author "believes" both assumptions and implications. While you can guess based on the descriptive language used that the author does not approve of Harry Truman, you do not know the writer's feelings toward any of the other American presidents in the 1900s, so denying that Truman was the worst president would not have too much of an impact. Therefore (A) is a Distortion, too extreme to attribute to the author. For (B), you do not really know what the author believes about Franklin Delano Roosevelt (FDR). We only know that he was the US president serving over both Wallace and Truman. Therefore, we can make no safe inferences about FDR's attitude toward Luce's point of view: this Out of Scope option should be discarded. Negate (C) and you arrive at Henry Wallace would have approved of the use of atomic weapons. If this were so, had he become president, he would have been guilty

of the very misdeeds for which the author blames Truman—and the author would be utterly inconsistent in praising the one and condemning the other. In fact, because the author rails against hypocrisy, it is clear that he or she would have to hold the two men to the same ethical standards. Thus, denying (C) would considerably undermine the author's argument in paragraph 2, and this is almost certainly the answer. To make sure, finish executing the Denial Test: rejecting (D) would have no negative impact—in fact, it would support the author's claim that Luce's view "seem[ed] prescient" as an historical prediction. This assumption suggests that the author indeed views Luce's view as historically accurate, even if ethically questionable. You can now be confident that (C) is the answer.

9.4 Definition-in-Context Questions

Definition-in-Context questions are the final question type to fall into the Foundations of Comprehension category. The task generated from the Rephrase of these questions is always the same: define the word or phrase as it is used in the passage. These questions are infrequent on Test Day, but are straightforward and make for easy points when they do occur.

Sample Question Stems As used by the author, the word [term] most nearly means: In [paragraph reference], what is the author's most likely meaning when stating [quotation]? The author's choice of the phrase [term] is probably intended to As used in the passage, [term] refers to: In [paragraph reference], the author asserts that [claim]. What the author most likely means by this is: Which of the following is most synonymous with [concept] as discussed in the text?

This list of stems makes it clear that Definition-in-Context questions always feature a reference to a word, phrase, or an entire claim from the passage, the meaning of which you are tasked with identifying. Quotation marks and italics are common features used to call attention to the terms, but on occasion a Definition-in-Context question stem may lack these. Although these questions ask about the meanings of words, a dictionary will not help you here, and in some cases it could even lead you astray. Trap answers in these questions are often the common definitions of the word, which are tempting Out of Scope choices that fail to match the use of the term in the context of the passage. These questions tend to be relatively fast to solve because they refer only to small portions of the text. Thus, you should generally

decide to work on these questions as soon as you see them. An author may imbue common words with a special meaning in the passage. Therefore, be sure to check how the author actually uses the word in a Definition-in-Context question, rather than looking for a dictionary definition of the term. Wrong answers in these questions are often accurate definitions of the term that do not match how the term was used in the Your Investigation with a Definition-in-Context question will be to go to the text and surrounding context, if necessary, to see how the word or phrase is used in the passage. With this question type, the question stems will usually contain a paragraph reference, but use your recall of your Interrogation, your Outlining, or your Highlighting if this reference is not given to locate the relevant sentence. For this question type in particular, even if you interrogated the passage, it's likely you will need to go back into the passage and reread the surrounding materials. If reading that sentence doesn't give you enough to work with, look at the sentences before and after the target term or phrase. Wrap up your Investigation with a clear prediction: define the term or phrase in your own words based on how it was used in the passage. Author keywords may be especially helpful, because answer choices with the wrong tone can immediately be ruled out. In 1941, an exuberant nationalist wrote: "We must accept wholeheartedly our duty and our opportunity as the most powerful and vital nation ... to exert upon the world the full impact of our influence, for such purposes as we see fit and by such means as we see fit." If forced to guess the identity of the writer, many US citizens would likely suspect a German jingoist advocating for Lebensraum. In actuality, the sentiment was expressed by one of America's own: Henry Luce, the highly influential publisher of the magazines Life, Time, and Fortune. Luce sought to dub the 1900s the "American Century," calling upon the nation to pursue global hegemony as it slipped from the grasp of warring Old World empires. As a forecast of world history, Luce's pronouncement seems prescient—but is it justifiable as a Not all of Luce's contemporaries bought into his exceptionalist creed. Only a year later, Henry Wallace, vice president under FDR, insisted that no country had the "right to exploit other nations" and that "military [and] economic imperialism" were invariably immoral. It is a

foundational assumption in ethics that the wrongness of an act is independent of the particular identity of the actor—individuals who pay no heed to moral consistency are justly condemned as hypocrites. So why should it be any different for nation-states? In accord with this principle, Wallace proselytized for “the century of the common man,” for the furtherance of a “great revolution of the people,” and for bringing justice and prosperity to all persons irrespective of accidents of birth. Sadly, Wallace never had the chance to lead the United States in this cosmopolitan direction; prior to Roosevelt’s demise at the beginning of his fourth term, the vice presidency was handed to Harry Truman, a man whose narrow provincialism ensconced him firmly in Luce’s camp. And with Truman came the ghastly atomic eradication of two Japanese cities, the dangerous precedent set by military action without congressional approval in Korea, and a Cold War with the Soviet Union that brought the world to the brink of nuclear destruction.

6. The author’s use of the term “provincialism” in paragraph 2 comes closest in meaning to: A. German jingoism. B. economic imperialism. C. nationalistic exceptionalism. D. exuberant cosmopolitanism.

Solution: With the stereotypical Definition-in-Context question structure, Typing this question as Definition-in-Context should be a quick and easy step, with little to no Rephrase needed prior to proceeding with the Investigate step. The clue in the question stem points to the second paragraph, but the reference might still be difficult to find in a paragraph full of -isms. The key sentence is: “Sadly, Wallace never had the chance to lead the United States in this cosmopolitan direction; prior to Roosevelt’s demise at the beginning of his fourth term, the vice presidency was handed to Harry Truman, a man whose narrow provincialism ensconced him firmly in Luce’s camp.” This sentence gives you a lot to work with. You can see that provincialism is a view attributed to Truman and Luce, who are contraposed to the “cosmopolitan” Wallace. In a passage that features a few different perspectives, it’s not surprising to see a question like this that requires keeping straight who holds which view. This passage presents two major sides, and it’s clear that provincialism represents a view that belongs to Truman, whose views align with those of Luce. With these expectations established, look for a match in the answers. Because both

nationalism (at the start of paragraph 1) and exceptionalism (at the start of paragraph 2) are views attributed to Luce, it is evident that (C) must be correct. (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail; a German jingoist was mentioned in the first paragraph, but this phrase is no good because Luce and Truman are both Americans. (B) echoes the quotation from Wallace as he criticizes Luce—"military [and] economic imperialism"—but all of the examples given for Truman show evidence of military action, not economic: "the ghastly atomic eradication of two Japanese cities, the dangerous precedent set by military action without congressional approval in Korea, and a Cold War with the Soviet Union that brought the world to the brink of nuclear destruction." The emphasis in (B) is therefore misplaced. Lastly, (D) uses a variant of cosmopolitan, a characteristic that was attributed to Wallace, so it's an Opposite. Reading comprehension is a skill you've been honing your whole life—most exams you've had in literature and English classes have most likely centered on your ability to understand the text you read. On the MCAT, reading comprehension is important not only for answering Foundations of Comprehension questions, but also for understanding the passage itself. While they take many forms, including Main Idea, Detail, Inference, and Definition-in-Context, all Foundations of Comprehension questions share a few common features. Answering all of these question types is facilitated by a solid understanding of the structure of the passage, which can be used to locate the relevant text for the answer. The answers to all of these questions must be stated, paraphrased, or implied directly in the passage. That said, not all of these questions are asking for the same thing: these question types differ in significant ways. For example, Main Idea and Definition-in-Context questions should usually be answered as soon as you see them, whereas Detail (especially Scattered Detail) and some of the more challenging Inference questions may be more time-consuming and should, therefore, be saved until the end of the question set. Each of these questions can be Typed, Rephrased, and Investigated in predictable ways. We'll continue discussing question types in the next two chapters as we explore Reasoning Within the Text and Reasoning Beyond the Text questions. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by

completing a test-like passage set in your online resources! Concept and Strategy Summary

Main Idea Questions Type: Main Idea questions ask for the author's primary goal or purpose in writing the passage. These questions often contain words like central thesis, primary purpose, or main idea. Less commonly, these questions may ask about a different aspect of the rhetorical situation, such as the audience or the medium. **Rephrase:** Phrase the question in such a way that you have a task for your Investigate step, such as Why did the author write this passage or What clues in the passage tell me who the author's audience is? **Investigate:** Recall the purpose that you constructed during the Distillation step of the Kaplan Passage Strategy, and predict that the correct answer will be synonymous with that purpose. **Match:** Your prediction should closely correlate to the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination. Wrong answer choices may be too narrow (Faulty Use of Detail) or too broad (Out of Scope). Wrong answer choices may have the wrong tone (positive, negative, ambivalent, or impartial) or degree (extreme vs. moderate).

Type: Detail questions ask about what is stated explicitly in the text. Unstated parts of arguments must be true given what is claimed in the text. Detail questions are the most likely to use the scattered format, which uses Roman numeral options or words like EXCEPT, NOT, etc. **Rephrase:** Phrase the question in a way that maintains any buzzwords from the stem that will guide you to where in the passage you need to Investigate. **Investigate:** If you do not recall enough information to make a prediction, reread the relevant sentence, as well as the sentences before and after. Make a concise prediction so you can repeat it to yourself. **Match:** For Scattered Detail questions, locate all three of the wrong answers in the passage so you can eliminate them as options. Your prediction should match the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination.

Type: Inference questions look for unstated parts of arguments. These questions stems are similar to Main Idea questions, although they focus on the purpose of only one portion of the passage (usually one sentence or one paragraph). Assumptions are unstated evidence. Implications are unstated

conclusions. These question stems often contain words like assume, because, conclude, imply, infer, justify, reasonable, or suggest. Rephrase: Determine whether you are looking for an assumption (evidence) or implication (conclusion). Then, rephrase the claim the answer is supposed to support (assumptions) or be supported by (implications). It is helpful to build the directionality of the support into your Rephrase step. Investigate: Reread the relevant sentence if necessary, noting the explicit evidence and conclusions given. For assumption questions, the answer is either similar to the evidence given or links the evidence to the conclusions. For implication questions, the answer is either similar to the conclusions given or is another logical conclusion one could draw from the evidence. Match: Your prediction to an answer choice. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination, removing any answer that conflicts with the author's main argument or the Apply the Denial Test: negate each answer choice. Whichever answer choice—when negated—has the most detrimental effect on the argument made in the passage is the correct answer choice. Type: Definition-in-Context questions ask you to define a word or phrase as it is used in the passage. These questions often call attention to the term to be defined using quotation marks or italics, but not always. Definition-in-Context questions always reference a word, phrase, or an entire claim from the passage. Rephrase: For Definition-in-Context questions, the rephrase will always look the same: What does this word mean in this passage? Investigate: Reread the sentence with the word or phrase, and perhaps the surrounding context, as necessary. Reword the author's definition of the term in your own voice as your prediction. Match: Your expectations should match the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination. Use the Worked Example below, in tandem with the subsequent practice passages, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter. The Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passage. Take a few moments to quickly glance over the passage in order to Preview and Choose your approach.

Highlighting can be beneficial when a passage is complex and the author jumps and pivots from one perspective to the next. The following passage has those qualities, and it also has unusual structural features that don't lend themselves well to Outlining or Interrogating. Thus, the Highlighting method is a strong way to approach this passage, specifically because it can be used to clearly visually indicate the points where major ideas are introduced and the points where the author shifts her focus. This will speed up efforts to find relevant information while answering questions later. As with all passages, any approach could be chosen and work for this passage, so, remember to practice all approaches as you start your CARS prep in order to determine which work best for you and in what situations! ...

Until last year, many people—but not most economists—thought that economic data told a simple tale. On one side, productivity—the average output of an average worker—was rising. And although the rate of productivity increase was very slow during the 1970s and early 1980s, the official numbers said that it had accelerated significantly in the 1990s. By 1994, an average worker was producing about 20 percent more than in 1978. What is the main theme of the passage? What words could be highlighted to demonstrate what this passage and paragraph are? The first sentence hints that the author is about to dispute conventional wisdom. However, this isn't the best phrase to highlight, as it's not the focus of the paragraph. A more informative highlight would be "productivity increase," as it captures what this paragraph is about. On the other hand, other statistics said that real, inflation-adjusted wages had not been rising at the same rate. Some commonly cited numbers showed real wages actually falling over the last 25 years. Those who did their homework knew that the gloomiest numbers overstated the case ... Still, even the most optimistic measure, the total hourly compensation of the average worker, rose only 3 percent between 1978 and 1994 ...

What parallel structure did you notice in this paragraph? What phrase can be highlighted to indicate the new concept introduced in this paragraph? The paragraph's first line is similar to the "On one side" sentence in the previous paragraph. More accurately, this paragraph is a continuation of the first paragraph and completes the thought. Highlight the phrase "real wages actually falling" because it represents

the conclusion of the author's first point. at is, the simple tale is how productivity went up, but real wages ... But now, experts tell us it may have been a figment of our statistical imaginations ... a blue-ribbon panel of economists headed by Michael Boskin of Stanford declared that the Consumer Price Index [C.P.I.] had been systematically overstating inflation, probably by more than 1 percent per year for the last two decades, mainly failing to take account of changes in consumption patterns and product quality improvements ... What pivot point did you notice in this paragraph? What phrase should you highlight to capture this e first word of this paragraph indicates that the author is about to pivot into his second point. However, highlighting the "But now" phrase in the first sentence won't actually indicate what the author's point is when referring back to the passage. Instead, highlight "overstating inflation" to capture the new focus of When using the Highlighting method, do not highlight terms like C.P.I.: although it is a new term, the term is isolated and doesn't match the theme of this passage up to this point. is is the kind of term you might highlight if you were Outlining or Interrogating, as something a Definition-in-Context or Detail question might ask about. ... The Boskin Report, in particular, is not an official document—it will be quite a while before the government actually issues a revised C.P.I., and the eventual revision may be smaller than Boskin proposed. Still, the general outline of the resolution is pretty clear. When revisions are taken into account, productivity growth will probably look somewhat higher than before because some of the revisions will also affect how we calculate growth. But the rate of growth of real wages will look much higher—roughly in line with productivity. In other words, the whole story about workers not sharing in productivity gains will turn out to have been based on a statistical illusion ... How is this paragraph linked to the previous paragraph? What is the most important information in this A new term, "Boskin Report," is introduced, which relates to the mention of Michael Boskin in the previous paragraph. e first half of this paragraph mostly speaks about the technical aspect of the Boskin Report, but the actual main idea is about disproving that real wages are falling while productivity is climbing. Highlight "in line with productivity" or a related phrase to capture this idea. With this theme identified, this

paragraph can be identified as a conceptual extension of the previous paragraph. It is important not to go overboard on this point. There are real problems in America, and our previous concerns were not pure hypochondriasis. For one, economic progress over the past 25 years has been much slower than in the previous 25. Even if Boskin's numbers are right, median family income—which officially has experienced virtually no gain since 1973— has risen by only about 35 percent over the past 25 years, compared with 100 percent over the previous 25. Furthermore, it is likely that if we "Boskinized" the old data—that is, if we tried to adjust the C.P.I. for the 50s and 60s to take account of changing consumption patterns and rising product quality—we would find that official numbers understated the rate of progress just as much if not more than they did in recent decades ... What pivots are in this paragraph? What phrases should you highlight to track the author's perspective? In this paragraph, the author emphasizes that the claims discussed in the first two paragraphs about the "simple tale" are not totally unfounded. This can be represented by highlighting "not pure hypochondriasis". The following sentences dive into the details surrounding concerns about the economy. You could additionally highlight "understated the rate" because it parallels with the previous notion that the Boskin report is used to adjust the old data. ... Moreover, while workers as a group have shared fully in national productivity gains, they have not done so equally. The overwhelming evidence of a huge increase in income inequality in America has nothing to do with price indices and is therefore unaffected by recent statistical revelations. Families in the bottom fifth, who had 5.4 percent of total income in 1970, had only 4.2 percent in 1994; over the same period, the top 5 percent went from 15.6 to 20.1. Corporate CEOs, who used to make about 35 times as much as their employees, now make 120 times as much or more ... What is the main idea for this paragraph? What are some low-yield details not worth the main idea in this paragraph is "income inequality," extending the previous paragraph's idea that the "simple tale" has some merit to it. The second half of this paragraph talks about the details of income inequality in American society. These details do not offer anything ... While these are real and serious problems, however, one thing is now clear: the truth about what is

happening in America is more subtle than the simplistic morality play about greedy capitalists and oppressed workers that so many would-be sophisticates accepted only a few months ago. What final conclusion is drawn in the last paragraph? The last paragraph brings the passage full circle by restating a conclusion found in the first paragraph. The author is arguing against the "simple tale" that real wages are falling, and claims that the actual picture is more complicated. "More subtle" captures that idea. For details and to answer most questions, we will have to refer back to previous paragraphs.

1. According to the passage, "Boskinization" adjusts the C.P.I. by: The words according to the passage tell us this is a Detail question, which should be quick points. The term "Boskinized" appears in paragraph 5, where we find that it means that Boskin adjusted the C.P.I. to take account of changing consumption patterns and rising A. increasing wages and decreasing productivity to reconcile the present (A) may be tempting because Boskin's model did, in the end, increase apparent wages, but the passage makes no mention of decreasing productivity measures, making this choice Out of Scope. This choice also does not match with how the term "Boskinized" is used in the passage. B. taking into account technology's role in an improved efficiency. (B) is also Out of Scope because there is no mention of technology's role in the passage. C. reassessing patterns of consumption and quality of product. This choice is a spot-on match with the passage, making (C) the correct D. evaluating the inequalities in various levels of incomes. (D) gives us an option that discusses wage inequality. However, this idea was a facet of the author's argument, not of Boskin's revisions. This is a Faulty Use of Detail answer choice.

2. The Boskin Report does all of the following EXCEPT shows us that this is a Scattered Detail question—one that might be worth skipping on Test Day until more time is available. To investigate, we must find relevant details and eliminate them systematically, keeping in mind that the correct answer is the one NOT included in the passage. A. reveals that the C.P.I. was Paragraph 3 tells us that the Boskin Report demonstrated that the C.P.I. "had been systematically overstating inflation," eliminating B. reconciles the present disparity between productivity and wage Paragraph 4 shows us that Boskin did reconcile wages and productivity, eliminating (B). C. reveals the

reasons for the increasing disparity between the highest and lowest income earners. (C) is not present in the passage. While the income disparity was discussed, no mention was made of its causes—making this the correct D. provides possible clarification for economic progress in the 1950s and In paragraph 5, we see that Boskin’s work, if applied to the 1950s and 1960s, “could find that official numbers understated the rate of progress,” eliminating (D).

3. In the first paragraph the author e word assumes shows us that this is an Inference question, meaning our first Investigate action is to look back at paragraph one and its themes. A. the total productivity of America has not seen a significant increase since (A) can be eliminated because this is discussed in paragraph 6, rather than paragraph 1. B. productivity is a measure of (B) e unstated evidence in paragraph 1 is that productivity is a measure of economic growth—making this the correct answer. C. each American worker’s productivity is directly proportional to overall national productivity gains. (C) is Opposite, because the author states that “while workers ... have shared ... in national productivity gains, they have not done so equally.” It is also Out of Scope because it comes from paragraph 2. D. Boskin’s report is unable to explain the discrepancy between productivity growth and wage (D) is paragraph focuses on income inequality, not the discrepancy between productivity growth and wage increases. Faulty Use of Detail.

4. The author’s primary purpose in presenting this passage is to: e words primary purpose identify this as a Main Idea question, which usually can be answered quickly. e goal in this passage that we identified when highlighting is to argue that the C.P.I., with or without Boskin’s work, understated real wages; and that the productivity/wage disparity is more complicated than it first appears. A. argue that overreliance on the C.P.I. is insufficient for explaining the current state of the American (A) is correct; the passage primarily addresses the idea that the “C.P.I.... understated real wages” (and therefore does not fully explain the current state of the B. argue that wages actually increased from 1978 to 1994. In (B), the answer is far too specific as it applies only to paragraph 2 and not the entire passage. C. argue that a capitalistic oppression of the worker is the primary cause of the current economic climate. e capitalist oppression of the worker is part of the simplistic model dismissed in paragraph 7.

Because the author does not agree with this model, (C) can be D. suggest that partisan division in Congress would be more adequate for explaining the current economic Congressional divide, while perhaps present in real life, was never mentioned in the passage, making (D) Out of Scope. 5. The author's use of the term "statistical imagination" in paragraph 3 most nearly is is a Definition-in-Context question. A quick scan of paragraph 3 shows us that "statistical imagination" refers to a shortcoming of the traditional C.P.I. model, due to failure to "take account of changes in consumption patterns and product quality improvements." Be on the lookout for any wrong answers that sound like a standard definition for A. wage data for the last 25 years has (A) is Out of Scope because we're never told that data was falsified. B. the pessimistic view of the economy indicated by the C.P.I. is overstated due to underestimation of the significance of key variables. (B) matches closely with the prediction and is the correct C. mathematical models of the economy are less accurate than (C) is Out of Scope because this passage never compares mathematical models to anecdotal reports in terms of validity. D. the C.P.I. is a completely unreliable tool for explaining the economic e C.P.I. certainly has some issues but is not the completely unreliable tool mentioned in (D)—this is Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) The United States has less than half of the 215 million acres of wetlands that existed at the time of European settlement. Wetland conversion began upon the arrival of Europeans with their traditional antipathy to wetlands and with the will and technology to dry them out. In the mid-19th century, the federal government awarded nearly 65 million acres of wetlands to 15 states in a series of Swamp Land Acts. But the most rapid conversion occurred between the mid-1950s and mid-1970s, when an estimated 450,000 acres per year were lost, primarily This conversion has meant the loss of a wide range of important wetland functions. Wetlands inhibit downstream flooding, prevent erosion along coasts and rivers, and help remove or assimilate pollutants. They support scores of endangered birds, mammals, amphibians, plants, and fishes. Wetlands provide aesthetic and open-space benefits, and some are critical groundwater exchange areas. These and other public benefits have been lost to agricultural forestry and development enterprises of all kinds, despite the

fact that most of the conversion goals might have been obtained with far less wetland loss through regional planning, stronger regulation, and greater public understanding of wetland values. At best, existing wetland laws and programs only slow the rate of loss. Despite the growing willingness of government to respond, wetland protection faces significant obstacles. Acquisition as a remedy will always be limited by severe budget constraints. The Emergency Wetlands Resources Act allocates only \$40 million per year in federal funds, supplemented by relatively modest state funds, for wetland purchase. Ultimately, the wetlands that are protected will be a small percentage of the approximately 95 million acres remaining today. Wetland acquisition by private environmental groups and land trusts adds qualitatively important, but quantitatively limited, protection. Government incentives to induce wetland conservation through private initiatives are limited and poorly funded. Some private developers have recognized that business can protect selected wetlands and still profit. Recreational developments in Florida have benefited from wetland and habitat protection that preserves visual amenities. It is doubtful, however, that these business decisions to save wetlands would have occurred without strong government regulation; the marketplace does not generally recognize the public benefits of wetlands for flood control, fish and wildlife, and other long-term values. One possible strategy (and the one presently being implemented) is to protect each and every wetland in threatened areas according to stringent permit guidelines that do not distinguish by wetland types or values. This approach may be environmentally desirable, but it has not worked. About 300,000 acres of wetlands are lost each year. An alternative strategy is to develop a regional management approach focused on valuable wetlands in selected areas that are under intense pressure. Broad regional wetland evaluations could identify critical wetland systems that meet particular local and national needs and avoid abandonment of any wetlands without careful review of the trade-offs. Cooperating federal, state, and local interests can then anticipate and seek ways to prevent wetland losses and can guide future development in areas where alternative options exist. There is no general federal authority to conduct such planning for wetland system protection.

But there are several authorities under which a program to anticipate and prevent wetland losses on an area-wide basis can be developed. 1. In paragraph 1, it is reasonable to believe that the author assumes: A. wetland conservation efforts are cost prohibitive. B. wetland conservation began with the arrival of Europeans to the United States. C. wetlands are a source of tourism income for many areas in the United States. D. wetlands can be converted to agricultural purposes through currently available 2. According to the passage, all of the following contributed to the rapid loss of wetlands in the United States EXCEPT: A. technological innovations implemented by European settlers. B. development of commercial and residential real estate complexes. C. increased rezoning for the purposes of agricultural and industrial operations. D. conversion of wetlands for agricultural uses. 3. As used by the author, the word "authority" (paragraph 4) most nearly means: A. a person with extensive or specialized knowledge of a subject. B. having ascertained something from a reliable source. C. the power to influence others due to one's knowledge about a topic. D. a person or organization having power or control in particular. 4. Implicit in the discussion in this passage is the underlying assumption that the author A. Wetlands under intense pressure should be focused on first. B. Using current policies, wetland losses cannot be fully combated, only minimized. C. The loss of wildlife due to wetland losses is the only reason the government should D. Advocating for increased governmental spending in wetlands conservation will solve the problem of wetland losses. 5. Which of the following does the author state as beneficial functions of the wetlands? I. Supporting communities of endangered amphibians II. Providing renewable forestry options III. Erosion and flood control A. III only B. I and II only C. I and III only D. I, II, and III 6. The author can best be viewed as a proponent of: A. developing new strategies and improving current efforts to prevent wetland losses. B. maintaining the current stringent permit guidelines to protect conservation areas. C. promoting development of wetlands for visual amenities and aesthetic benefits. D. current government incentives to induce wetland conservation through private Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) P1. "wetland conversion"; P2. "wetland function" and "lost to"; P3. "obstacles" and "private initiatives"; P4.

“alternative strategy” and “no general federal authority.” P1. History of wetlands conversion P2. Benefits of wetlands, ways loss could have been minimized P3. Current problems with conservation efforts and ways of minimizing P4. Current solution (save all threatened wetlands) hasn’t worked; proposes new regional management strategy

e word assumes signifies to us that we are approaching an Inference question type. Inference questions ask us to find the necessary connecting information. With a paragraph reference, there is clear direction on where to begin the Investigate step. In paragraph 1 we’re told “Wetland conversion began upon the arrival of Europeans with their traditional antipathy to wetlands and with the will and technology to dry them out ... when an estimated 450,000 acres per year were lost, primarily to agriculture.” For answer choice (A), costs were discussed in paragraph 3 but not paragraph 1. In answer choice (B) Wetland conversion began with the arrival of Europeans, not wetland conservation. Answer choice (C) is an Out Of Scope answer choice. While the passage is about the wetlands and they may be a source of tourism income, that topic is never discussed within the passage. This leaves answer choice (D) as the correct answer, and further, this is a reasonable inference, as we are told that wetlands were converted to For this Scattered Detail question type, we will have to find three answer choices that are mentioned in the passage and eliminate them. For (A), the “technological innovations” of European settlers are mentioned in paragraph 1. “Development enterprises” are listed at the end of paragraph 2, which eliminates (B). (C), however, cannot be found in the passage. No mention is made of increased rezoning of wetlands, so this must be the correct answer. Finally, “conversion of wetlands for agricultur[e]” is listed at the end of the first paragraph, which removes (D). Typing this question is pretty straightforward, as you are given a word from the last paragraph and asked what it means in the passage, making this a Definition-in-Context question. The best thing to do with a question like this is to reread the targeted sentence in the passage, as well as the surrounding sentences. The sentence the word appears in is “There is no general federal authority to conduct such planning for wetland system protection.” This sentence alone doesn’t give a full answer, but the sentence before

gives the necessary context by mentioning “federal, state, and local interests,” or the organizations that are in power to conduct planning which matches (D). Answer choices (A), (B), and (C) are all definitions for authority, but are not the definition that the author was referencing in this passage, which makes them all Out of Scope answers. is Inference question is asking for an inference the author made without a passage reference. is would be a good question to leave for your second pass through the questions. Answer choice (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail choice from paragraph 4 that does not answer the question being asked. In paragraph 3, the passage tells us “At best, existing wetland laws and programs only slow the rate of loss,” which Matches answer choice (B). Answer choice (C) is an Extreme answer choice; unless an author is extreme, which this author is not, an Extreme answer choice will not be correct. e author thinks that the best way to fix this problem is to apply a new regional management strategy, making (D) Out of Scope. e Roman numeral format and the verb state tell us that this is a Scattered Detail question. “Beneficial functions of the wetlands” are mentioned in the first part of paragraph 2. Relevant to this question are the details that “wetlands inhibit downstream flooding, prevent erosion along coasts and rivers, ... and support scores of endangered birds, mammals, amphibians, plants, and fishes.” Statements I and III are listed in this paragraph, whereas renewable forestry options, Statement II, are never mentioned. is is a masked Main Idea question; asking what the author is a proponent of is another way of asking what the author likes. e author’s goal in the passage is to describe problems with current wetland conservation efforts and to propose a new strategy. is prediction matches well with (A). In the final paragraph, the author discusses the flaws of the current permit system, so (B) can be eliminated as an Opposite. (C) can also be eliminated for similar reasons because the author is a proponent of the preservation of—not development of—the wetlands. Finally, the author states in the third paragraph that “government incentives to induce wetland conservation through private initiatives are limited and poorly funded,” so (D) can also be eliminated.

Question Types II: Reasoning Within the Text Questions
Question Types II: Reasoning Within the Text Questions
In This Chapter 10.1 Function Questions Sample

Question Stems Function Questions—Applied Example 10.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) Questions Sample Question Stems Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) Questions—Applied Example 10.3 Other Reasoning Within the Text Questions Concept and Strategy Summary After Chapter 10, you will be able to: Identify Function, Strengthen–Weaken, and Other Reasoning Within the Text Solve Reasoning Within the Text questions with focused strategies Apply the major principles of argument and logical structure to MCAT questions In this chapter, we'll continue the treatment of question types first employed with Foundations of Comprehension, now examining the two types of Reasoning Within the Text questions that Kaplan has identified: Function questions, which ask about why the author included a piece of information or argument in the passage, and Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, which predominantly concern the ways in which arguments are backed by evidence and undermined by refutations. This chapter adopts the same general approach as Chapter 9: after identifying what makes the questions distinctive and offering several common question stems, we discuss strategies for each question type, illustrating them with a few Reasoning Within the Text questions account for approximately 30 percent of what you'll encounter on Test Day, according to both the AAMC's official statements and Kaplan's own extensive research of released AAMC material. In general, Function questions are roughly as common as Detail and Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions, but they are less common than Apply questions. Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions are more rare than Function questions. Note: The question types, as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, and Wrong Answer Pathologies, are included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book.

10.1 Function Questions

One of the reasons that the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages emphasizes reading for perspective (trying to understand the author's attitude and intentions) rather than just for detail is that the Function question type specifically asks about what the author is trying to do in the passage. Unlike a Main Idea question, which might ask about the overall goal of the passage, a Function question will ask about the purpose of only a

portion of the passage, often in the context of the author's larger argument. Further, these questions will require some level of critical thinking about why a piece of information was included, meaning they involve an additional level of complexity beyond the Foundations of Comprehension question types seen in Sample Question Stems.

What is the author's apparent purpose in stating [quotation]? e author mentions [topic] in [paragraph reference] in order to: Which of the following is the most probable reason for the author's inclusion of a quotation from [person]? e author's reference to [concept] in [paragraph reference] is most likely supposed to show: When the author says [claim], she is emphasizing that: Which of the following is the example from [paragraph reference] most likely intended to suggest? e author compares [one concept] to [another concept] because: e author's principal motive for discussing [alternative position] is to

What should be readily apparent in the phrasing of Function questions is frequent mention of the author and the use of direct references to the text— especially through paragraph references. Language like purpose, motive, and intention indicate a Function question, as do phrases that end with in order to and because. Use these key phrases and traits to

Type the question quickly. For Function questions, the Rephrase step tends to be fairly straightforward, as these questions usually state the task to be accomplished. Function questions are usually specific to a certain portion of the passage that can be directly referenced, making them a good option to solve now rather than later. Referring back to your Distill step will be key when working with a Function question. If you were reading for perspective by looking for Author keywords (which give a glimpse from the author's point of view), you may have already considered the information you need to answer such a question in your Distill step; it might even be highlighted or in your outline, if you chose either of those approaches. Keep in mind that, generally speaking, Function questions work in a nested way. In other words, the passage as a whole has a purpose, and each paragraph within it has a subordinate function that is distinct but that contributes to the larger whole. Each paragraph can in turn be broken down into sentences, each of which has its own particular role to play in the paragraph—and even sentences can be broken down into

particular words or phrases. Because a Function question will generally ask for the purpose of no more than a paragraph, to Investigate this question type you should recall or refer back to your Distill step for the specific paragraph (and perhaps also consider the author's overall goal in the passage). en, if buzzwords in the question stem direct you to specific sentences, reread those portions of the paragraph if needed and think about how they fit into the purpose of the paragraph and the passage's general purpose. If you chose to Distill by Interrogating, you may find you don't even have to return to the passage, and can instead directly predict an answer. Formulate a statement of the function, and then start to look for an answer that matches. Remember, if you can't find a perfect match, you can eliminate choices that are inconsistent with the passage at a higher level. For example, the purpose of a paragraph will not be at odds with the author's goal for the passage as a whole unless that paragraph represents a counterargument. Even when authors bring up information that conflicts with their main arguments, they commonly do so for the sake of shooting it down—answering or countering a refutation they introduced in a prior portion of the passage.

Function Questions—Applied Example The most prevalent argument against doctor-assisted suicide relies upon a distinction between passive and active euthanasia—in essence, the difference between killing someone and letting that person die. On this account, a physician is restricted by her Hippocratic oath to do no harm and thus cannot act in ways that would inflict the ultimate harm, death. In contrast, failing to resuscitate an individual who is dying is permitted because this would be only an instance of refraining from help and not a willful cause of harm. The common objection to this distinction, that it is vague and therefore difficult to apply, does not carry much weight. After all, applying ethical principles of any sort to the complexities of the world is an enterprise fraught with imprecision. Rather, the fundamental problem with the distinction is that it is not an ethically relevant one, readily apparent in the following thought experiment. Imagine a terminally ill patient hooked up to an unusual sort of life support device, one that only functioned to prevent a separate “suicide machine” from administering a lethal injection so long as the doctor pressed a button on it once per day. Would there be any

relevant difference between using the suicide machine directly and not using the prevention device? The intention of the doctor would be the same (fulfilling the patient's wish to die), and the effect would be the same (an injection causing the patient's death). The only variance here is the means by which the effect comes about, and this is not an ethical difference but merely a technical one.

1. The author's apparent intention in discussing the "suicide machine" in paragraph 2 is to:

- A. support his thesis using an imaginative exercise.
- B. question the idea that vagueness is ethically relevant.
- C. explain the operation of a piece of medical equipment.
- D. propose a new method for performing euthanasia.

Solution: The first step in the method is to

Type this question as a Function question. This can be done by recognizing the "intention ... is to" portion of the question stem as asking why a specific piece of information was included. Your approach to Function questions will differ depending on which approach you took to the passage. However, they will all rely on one thing: knowing why the author mentioned the fact from the question stem. As this question stem has a direct task already listed, the Rephrase step is likely not necessary. The question stem directly states a location and quoted term, meaning that whether you outlined, highlighted, or interrogated, the location of the relevant information in the passage is readily available. However, we don't actually need to dive back into the passage to answer this question. To investigate, recall the purpose of paragraph two or refer back to your outline or highlighting to find that purpose. We know that the author used the "suicide machine" thought experiment to support her argument, so this will suffice as a prediction. Going back to the text itself shouldn't be necessary unless the answer choices take us somewhere unexpected. Fortunately, that is not the case with this question, and we can see that (A) gives us precisely what we need. The time spent distilling the passage has been more than paid back with a quick correct response. Among the wrong answers, (B) is wrong for bringing in vagueness, when the point of the thought experiment is to question whether the passive/active distinction is ethically relevant—not vagueness. (C) might be considered a Faulty Use of Detail because the operation of this machine is explained. However, the machine is being described not for its own sake— it's imaginary, after all—but

simply to illustrate a point. The final incorrect option, (D), would be a product of taking the thought experiment too literally.

10.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Strengthen–Weaken questions span two of AAMC’s delineated categories, but both types generally concern the logical relationships between conclusions and the evidence that strengthens them or the refutations that weaken them. Note that the only substantial difference between Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions and Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions is that the former stick to the passage as written while the latter will bring in some new element, usually appearing in the question stem, though on occasion only in the answer choices.

Sample Question Stems

- the author’s suggestion that [claim] is supported in the passage by: For which of the following statements from the passage does the author provide the most support?
- the author states in [paragraph reference] that [claim]. Which of the following most strengthens the author’s contention that: Which of the following objections considered in the passage most WEAKENS the author’s thesis?
- Which of the following is a claim that the author makes without the view of [person] is challenged in the passage by: How does other information from the passage relate to the claim that [claim]?
- What significance does the assertion that [claim] have for the author’s [contention]?

As this list suggests, these types of questions often contain references indicating that the answers will be taken directly from the text and are heavy on words indicating connections between claims like relate, support, and challenge. Some question stems may be ambiguous about whether the support or challenge you’re looking for will be coming from within the text or outside of it, in which case you’ll also want to bear in mind the strategy for Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions, detailed in Chapter 10. Every Strengthen–Weaken question has three pieces: two claims and the connection between them. You will always be given at least one of these elements, and your task will be to find the other(s), so begin your Investigate step by identifying where each piece can be found: either directly in the stem itself, somewhere in the passage, or in the answer choices. If the connection is revealed in the question stem, it will typically be some variation of strengthen (support) or weaken (challenge), as the name of these questions suggests. However, when the

connection does not occur until the answer choices, such as when a stem uses vague words like relevance, significance, or impact, the claims occasionally have some other relationship, such as identity (meaning the same thing) or even irrelevance. Once you know whether your task is to Strengthen, Weaken, or find some yet-to-be-discovered relevance, the next step of your investigation will be to research the status of any claims quoted or otherwise referenced in the question stem. The number of steps you must go through as you investigate will vary based on the number of claims in the stem. If no other claims are mentioned, such as in a question like Which of the following passage assertions is the LEAST supported?, you should probably save the question for later and then use the process of elimination in your If the question stem refers to two claims, then the task must be to find the nature of the relationship they share, so think about whether one claim supports the other, remembering that evidence makes a conclusion more likely to be true and refutations make conclusions less probable. Evidence is used to support a conclusion through a one-way relationship. A refutation is used as a counterargument against a conclusion through a one-way relationship. In most cases, though, you'll be presented with only one claim in the question stem, so plan to investigate the given statement as a conclusion, piece of evidence, or a refutation. Start your research with the relevant sentence, but check the surrounding text for language suggestive of a relationship. When you are researching the passage, Logic keywords are just about as important for Strengthen-Weaken questions as they are for Inference questions. If the question stem specified the relationship, pay special attention to that one; otherwise, keep an eye out for any logical connections made to the claim, using those to set expectations. If your initial plan of attack proves unsuccessful, try process of elimination, crossing out any answer choice that does not establish the correct kind of relationship. Do not forget that support is unidirectional: if the "arrow" points the wrong way, it cannot be the right choice. So, for instance, if you are asked to find a claim that supports the author's thesis, a potential wrong answer is an implication that could be drawn if you assumed the thesis was true—in other words, a conclusion that the thesis itself supported. Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage)

The most prevalent argument against doctor-assisted suicide relies upon a distinction between passive and active euthanasia—in essence, the difference between killing someone and letting that person die. On this account, physicians are restricted by the Hippocratic oath to do no harm and thus cannot act in ways that would inflict the ultimate harm, death. In contrast, failing to resuscitate an individual who is dying is permitted because this would be only an instance of refraining from help and not a willful cause of harm. The common objection to this distinction, that it is vague and therefore difficult to apply, does not carry much weight. After all, applying ethical principles of any sort to the complexities of the world is an enterprise fraught with imprecision. Rather, the fundamental problem with the distinction is that it is not an ethically relevant one, readily apparent in the following thought experiment. Imagine a terminally ill patient hooked up to an unusual sort of life support device, one that only functioned to prevent a separate “suicide machine” from administering a lethal injection so long as the doctor pressed a button on it once per day. Would there be any relevant difference between using the suicide machine directly and not using the prevention device? The intention of the doctor would be the same (fulfilling the patient’s wish to die), and the effect would be the same (an injection causing the patient’s death). The only variance here is the means by which the effect comes about, and this is not an ethical difference but merely a technical one.

2. Which of the following roles is played in the passage by the claim that the difference between killing and letting die is I. It is contradicted by the assertion that the distinction between active and passive euthanasia is only technical. II. It bolsters the contention that applying ethical principles precisely is difficult. III. It underlies the most common argument against physician-

A. III only B. I and II only C. I and III only D. I, II, and III

Solution: The first thing to notice in your Type step is that this question is a Roman numeral question. A glance at the answer choices also tells you that this is a Strengthen–Weaken question based on the use of the words contradicted, bolstered, and underlies. The question asks about roles that are played in the passage by a statement given in the stem, so you can identify this as a Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) question. However, it’s a Roman

numeral question, and these are usually more time-consuming. Your best bet is to save this for the end of the question set. When you do solve this question, rephrase the base stem of the question first and leave the Roman numerals aside for the time being. Then, Investigate to find the claim referenced in the question stem. As is often the case in more complex Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, there is no single sentence that contains all the words in the assertion; rather, parts of it are spread throughout the text. The two lines that are most important are the opening sentences of each paragraph, the first of which refers to “the difference between killing someone and letting that person die” and the second of which maintains that “the distinction ... is not an ethically relevant one.” To Rephrase, we could ask ourselves What is the connection between the two paragraphs? As you investigate, you can see that the claim that the distinction is ethically relevant must be what is “relie[d] upon” (Evidence keyword) by the so-called “most prevalent argument against doctor-assisted suicide.” In other words, the claim that the distinction is ethically relevant plays a supporting role in that argument. Second, it is clear that the second paragraph is denying this claim. These initial observations already offer a sense of two roles that the distinction plays, a fairly thorough At this point, you can start the Match step by looking at the answer choices to see how the Roman numerals are distributed. We generally recommend starting with the most common numeral or, alternatively, whichever seems easiest for you. Statements I and III both appear three times, so start with the shorter of them. Statement III suggests the claim underlies the most common argument, which is precisely as predicted. Therefore, Statement III must be true and (B) can be crossed Turning to Statement I, you’ll note the mention of “the distinction between active and passive euthanasia,” which you were told in the first sentence was “in essence, the difference between killing someone and letting that person die.” This is consistent with the expectation set earlier that the second paragraph challenges the assertion that the claim is ethically relevant. The final sentence confirms it: “this is not an ethical difference but merely a technical one.” The “not” tells you that this is the contradiction that Statement I suggests, so it must also be true, eliminating There are still two answer choices

remaining, so you will have to deal with Statement II. The contention that it mentions did not figure into our prediction, so check the text to find the reference, which is located at the end of the first paragraph. How does this assertion relate to the original claim that the distinction is ethically relevant? The clue is the keyword that precedes the assertion: After all. Even though it may sound like a Conclusion keyword on the surface, it's actually an Evidence keyword, which means that this assertion about applying ethical principles is in truth used to support something else. Specifically, this statement bolsters the author's belief that the "common objection" to the distinction carries little weight. This is not the relationship suggested by Statement II, which says that the claim that the distinction is ethically relevant supports how hard it is to apply ethical principles precisely. Thus, Statement II is false. Only Statements I and III are true, making (C) the only match, and thus the correct answer. CARS authors often use multiple terms (words or phrases) to describe the same concept, or underlying idea. On Test Day, pay special attention to the ways in which authors use terms, especially when you see Opposition keywords. When you come across dualisms, you can draw a set of columns adjacent to your map on your note board and jot down what words the author uses for each side of the contrast. This can serve as a handy reference for any synonymous language you might encounter in the questions and answer choices. So, for the ethics passage, you would put killing and active euthanasia in one column and letting die and passive euthanasia in the other.

3. On the basis of the author's discussion, which of the following items from the passage LEAST challenges the argument for the prohibition of active euthanasia?

A. The thought experiment involving two suicide machines from the second paragraph

B. The assertion that the distinction between passive and active euthanasia is too difficult to apply

C. The argument that the distinction between passive and active euthanasia is only technical

D. The claim that the effect and the intention are the same regardless of the type of euthanasia

Solution: Question 3 is somewhat tricky to untangle, with its multiple negative terms, but you can use the phrases challenges and from the passage to Type this question as a Strengthen– Weaken (Within the Passage) question— specifically, Weaken.

However, the LEAST means a Scattered format, one in which you'll probably have to test all of the answer choices. Save this for later if possible. When Rephrasing, begin by clarifying the argument for the prohibition of active euthanasia. Although the order after the dash in the first sentence is switched, it should be clear from the subsequent sentence that active euthanasia refers to the act of killing, which is supposedly forbidden because of the Hippocratic oath that the physician takes. This argument prohibiting active euthanasia is, in fact, the most prevalent argument from the first line. The correct answer, then, will be the one that challenges this argument the least. The Scattered form suggests process of elimination as the best Investigate and Match method, but before resorting to that, it doesn't hurt to see whether the author actually talks about a challenge that he or she regards poorly because that could be the very answer you're seeking. Indeed, the author does say in paragraph 1 that "the common objection ... does not carry much weight." The objection referenced is that it's difficult to apply the distinction between killing and letting someone die, so this can serve as your prediction. Looking at the answers, you can see that this prediction matches (B). However, you should be cautious with this sort of question. While the passage says that the objection carries little weight, it does not say that it carries no weight at all, meaning that if there were an answer choice that had no effect or even supported the argument, that would be even less of a challenge. As it turns out, the remaining answer choices are all aspects of the counterargument made in the second paragraph, and all do indeed challenge the original argument. Now you can be confident that (B) is the correct answer.

10.3 Other Reasoning

Within the Text are a few rarer types of questions that do not neatly fall into either the Inference or Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage) categories, but that definitely concern passage reasoning and that do show up in some CARS sections. These can take many different forms and all are rare, so we'll just focus our discussion on three typical tasks. Questions that ask about clarification concern a relationship that is very similar to support, as it is also a one-way relationship. One assertion clarifies another if the two share roughly the same meaning, but the "clarifying" part is typically more specific or exact. Because the clarifying

language tends to be more precise, its truth value is easier to assess, and thus you should think of “clarifying” statements as supporting evidence for “clarified” conclusions. Approach them more or less as you would a Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) question, except keep in mind that the meanings should be With the ethics passage, for instance, you could see a Clarification question like Which of the following clarifies the author’s statement that the common argument against physician-assisted suicide rests upon the distinction between passive and active euthanasia? The answer would most likely come from one of the sentences that followed, which explained the difference between the two more concretely, including the reasons why one is supposedly permitted and the other is not. In addition to words like clarify, words like explain and reflect are used in questions to indicate this kind of relationship. In a Clarification question, look for an answer choice that is nearly synonymous with the given claim, only that is more specific or exact. Weakness questions are somewhat related to Inference questions, but they concern implicit weaknesses and reasonable objections to arguments discussed in the passage. Instead of the Denial Test, the best way to investigate is often via the process of elimination by directly examining the effect that answer choices have on the argument in question. The correct answer will have the most significant negative impact on the argument, perhaps even contradicting it altogether. One example of a Weakness question for a passage we’ve seen would be Which of the following is the greatest inherent weakness in the author’s use of a thought experiment to support the main argument? This is a more complex type of Reasoning Within the Text, and it is one among a number of rarer questions that require you to appraise the strength of the author’s reasoning. The answer to this example might be the fact that thought experiments force the author to rely upon readers’ imagination and intuition, which may not always result in the same conclusion as the author intended. Answering a Weakness question is just like using the Denial Test, discussed in Chapter 9 for Inference questions. The difference is that the correct answer choice will be detrimental to the arguments in the passage without being negated. Finally, by a paradox, we mean an apparent logical contradiction, a set typically consisting of two assertions that seem

inconsistent, but only at first glance. These will usually include two distinct claims from the text, phrased in a way to make them sound conflicting, followed by a question like How would the author resolve this dilemma? or How might the passage account for this discrepancy? Sometimes one of the claims will be a new element, which would technically make such questions Reasoning Beyond the Text, although they should still be approached with the same strategy in this case. A paradox is a set of two claims that appear to be inconsistent on the surface. The correct answer in a Paradox question will be consistent with both of the claims, and it will usually attempt to explain the surface inconsistencies between the two claims. The correct answer to a Paradox question must be consistent with both of the claims given in the question stem. If possible, it should also not conflict with anything that the author says elsewhere in the passage. Thus, to resolve paradoxes, you should use process of elimination, marking out any answer choice that is inconsistent with one or both of the claims (or with the passage as a whole). Although often variable in appearance, Reasoning Within the Text questions test only a few essential skills: identifying inherent weaknesses in arguments; identifying the function of parts of the argument; understanding relationships of consistency and conflict; and recognizing the connections in passages between conclusions, evidence, and refutations. Regardless of how challenging these questions may seem to you now, you have the ability to improve your reasoning skills! The solution is to practice using Logic keywords to identify support relationships, and applying the other strategies discussed in this chapter as you Type, Rephrase, and Investigate questions. These tactics will also be useful when working on the final class of questions, Reasoning Beyond the Text, the subject of the upcoming chapter. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by completing a test-like passage set in your online resources!

Concept and Strategy Summary

Type: Function questions ask about what the author is trying to do with a piece of information in the passage. These questions are similar to Main Idea questions, although they focus on the purpose of only one portion of the passage (usually one sentence or one paragraph). Function questions tend to use words like purpose, motive, or intention, or phrases like in order to or

because Rephrase: Function questions will always have a similar Rephrase task, which will result in something similar to What purpose does [this detail] serve in the passage?

Investigate: If buzzwords in the question stem direct you to specific sentences, recall or reread those portions, thinking about how they fit into the purpose of the paragraph and the overall passage.

Match: Your expectations should match the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination, removing any answer that conflicts with the author's main argument or the paragraph's purpose.

Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage) Type: Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage) questions concern the logical relationship between conclusions and the evidence that strengthens them or the refutations that weaken them. These questions often contain words like relate, support, challenge, relevance, significance, or impact. These questions are closely related to Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions, which simply bring in a new piece of information rather than using information directly from the Rephrase: Your Rephrase should center around the task of identifying the two pieces of information and the connection between them; you will usually be given at least one of these elements and will have to find the other(s). Identify where each piece of the argument can be found: in the question stem, in the passage, or in the answer choices. If no claims are given in the question stem, triage the question and answer it by process of elimination later. If one claim is given in the question stem, determine if it is a conclusion, a piece of evidence, or a refutation. If two claims are given in the question stem, identify the relationship between them. Investigate: Research the relevant text to determine the missing claim or the connection between the claims. Use Logic keywords to help assemble the argument. Match: Your expectations should match the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use the process of elimination.

Other Reasoning Within the Text Questions Clarification questions ask for statements that are roughly synonymous, but the clarifying statement tends to be supporting evidence for the conclusion because it is more specific or exact. These

questions often contain words like clarify, explain, or reflect. Approach these questions as you would Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, except that the meanings of the two claims should be roughly synonymous. Weakness questions ask for implicit refutations to arguments discussed in the passage. These questions often contain words like implicit weaknesses or Approach these questions using the Denial Test as you would for Inference questions, except that the correct answer will be the most detrimental to the argument made in the passage without Paradox questions ask for the resolution of an apparent logical issue. These questions often contain words like paradox, dilemma, or Approach these questions through the process of elimination, crossing out any answer choice that is inconsistent with one or both of the claims of the paradox or with the passage as a whole. Use the Worked Example below, in tandem with the subsequent practice passages, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter. The Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passage. Take a few moments to quickly glance over the passage in order to Preview and Choose your approach. The paragraphs of this passage may seem lengthy, but most of that volume is dedicated to examples to support the conclusions that are provided at the start of each paragraph. As each paragraph appears to center around one theme with examples, Outlining should be extremely effective. To work this passage efficiently, just make sure not to fall into the trap of trying to note all the supporting details. The language and wording are not overly complex, making it relatively easy to spot those arguments and extract a short summary for the outline. As with all passages, any approach could be chosen and work for this passage, so, remember to practice and hone all approaches as you start your CARS prep! Certain contemporary forms of literary criticism draw on modern sociology and political science to understand literary works. There has been a conservative reaction to these schools of criticism, accusing them of imposing modern ideas on old texts. For example, some would consider it an implausible claim that Shakespeare's *The Tempest* can be interpreted as a play about "colonialism" and "imperialism"; after all, these terms were not even in use when Shakespeare wrote the play.

These concepts must therefore be modern ones, and it is anachronistic to suppose that Shakespeare had them in mind. Besides, as Ben Jonson wrote, Shakespeare “was not of an age, but for all time,” and it trivializes his genius to suppose that he had in mind the fashionable concerns of any one period. The conservative reading of *The Tempest* sees it as a play about “universal” themes like estrangement and What argument does the author introduce in the first paragraph? e author states that modern literary criticism uses modern ideas on old texts, and that there has been an accusatory reaction to this from the conservative side. Is there evidence to back up this Yes. e author provides e *Tempest* as an example. However, we do not need to write down any details on that in our outline. Does the author take a stance on No keywords point to tone at this point in the passage. P1: Conserv: modern crit uses modern bias, evidence But writers do live in specific societies and are affected by the cultures of the times and places in which they live. The establishment of colonies—the building of empires—was an issue of keen concern in England in the early 17th century. It was a matter of national prestige and also a potential source of private wealth. All the great powers of Europe were competing for the wealth of the East and West Indies. The rich hoped to add to their fortunes; the poor hoped to begin their lives anew in the “New World.” Richard Hakluyt’s *Voyages*, a series of published accounts of European voyages to Asia, Africa, and the Americas, was one of the most successful publishing ventures of Elizabethan England. Moreover, although the words colonialism and imperialism had not been coined yet, the ideas they connote already existed, in the sense that some Europeans perceived ethical problems relating to empire-building. The Spanish priest Bartolomé de las Casas had already condemned the cruelty of the Spanish regime in Mexico and the Caribbean, and the French essayist Michel de Montaigne had already compared some indigenous peoples favorably with decadent is paragraph starts with a contrast keyword (“But”). What does that indicate is coming next? is paragraph will center around the author disagreeing with the view from the previous paragraph. What is the author’s argument? e author argues that while certain modern words were not used in the time of older literary texts, the ideas behind those

texts already existed. Is there support for the author's Yes. Multiple examples are provided. We do not need to try to analyze or remember all the details, as our outline will send us back to this paragraph if needed. P2: Auth conserv, mod ideas already existed, evidence Now let us look again at *The Tempest*. Here is a play about a European family ruling a remote island by superior European technology (magic, learned from books) and the forced labor of the native population. When another group of Europeans arrives on the island, one of them imagines an ideal commonwealth in terms derived, as scholars have long recognized, from Montaigne's essay about the native people of Brazil. The prostrate Caliban reminds Trinculo of a deceased native who might be exhibited in England for crowds willing to pay to see an exotic "monster." And scholars have long recognized that the story of *The Tempest* is suggested in part by accounts of the Sea Venture, shipwrecked in Bermuda in 1609 on the way to the Virginia colonies. What new ideas or themes are introduced in this paragraph? e first sentence of this paragraph tells us that the author is coming back to e *Tempest* example. Since we do not have any Contrast keywords, it is safe to assume that the author will try to apply the argument from the previous paragraph to e *Tempest*, which conservatives used as an example in the opening paragraph. ere is no need to write down specific details P3: Auth: mod ideas in *The* With all this in mind, are we really to believe that neither Shakespeare nor anyone who saw the play in London in 1611 was reminded of the colonial enterprise that England was then undertaking in America? Who is making the implausible claim? How can we identify the author's e author used rhetorical questions to indicate a concluding thought. What is the author's conclusion? ose who saw the play in London were reminded of England's actions P4: Auth: conserv making 1. The author mentions "Richard Hakluyt" primarily in order to: is is a Function question that is asking how the author uses a particular detail in the structure of the passage. "Richard Hakluyt" was mentioned in paragraph 2, which should be the focus of our A. serve as an example of authors who wrote about topics while lacking specific language to describe them. Much of this paragraph consisted of examples of authors who were writing about topics that didn't have words for them yet. Answer choice (A) is correct. B. serve as an

example of a popular contemporary of Shakespeare. While Shakespeare does appear in paragraph 1, the author doesn't suggest that Hakluyt and Shakespeare are contemporaries, making (B) a Faulty Use of Detail. C. serve as an example of authors who invented new ideologies. (C) is an Extreme answer choice; Hakluyt didn't invent colonialism, he just described it in his writing. D. serve as a counter point to Bartolomé de las Casas. (D) is an Opposite answer choice: Bartolomé de las Casas and Hakluyt were used in the same paragraph as examples of people who condemned colonialism and 2. Which of the following is used in the passage to support the conservative interpretation of Shakespeare? is is a Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage) question because it asks for the evidence used for a given conclusion. We know the conservative critics see Shakespeare as a timeless, "universal" playwright and not one whose themes are about only a specific time. e conservative argument in paragraph 1 hinges on the claim that the terms "colonialism" and "imperialism" did not exist yet, and therefore it would be "anachronistic to suppose that Shakespeare had them in A. Imperialism and colonialism are anachronistic terms for the 17th (A) fits perfectly with the prediction and is the correct B. The Tempest has its roots in a story regarding English ships headed for C. The specific society a writer lives in is essential to understanding the D. European technology in the 17th century was far superior to other (B), (C), and (D) can be eliminated quickly because they do not come from paragraph 1, the only place where the conservatives' view is given any support. ese answer choices come from paragraphs 2 and 3, which are not used to support the conservative view. 3. How would the author of this passage resolve the apparent paradox that it is an implausible claim that Shakespeare's The Tempest can be interpreted as a play about "colonialism" and "imperialism"? is is an Other Reasoning Within the Text question. e "implausible claim" is discussed in Paragraph 1, but the author's response to that claim is discussed in the rest of the passage. By looking at our outline, we can see that the author thought those modern ideas already existed and gave examples to support that theory. In fact, the author focuses most of the passage on critiquing the argument from the first paragraph, centering around his assertion that the ideas already

existed even if the words did not. A. Ideas can only occur when they are able to be described by specific (A) sounds like the conservative critics and is inconsistent with the author's central argument. is choice should be eliminated. B. All ideologies are present in all stories and are ubiquitous regardless of time period. e words all and ubiquitous indicate that (B) is an Extreme answer choice and should be approached with caution. For an Extreme answer choice to be correct, the author must hold an extreme viewpoint, which this author does not. C. Even though the words had not been invented, the ideas behind them existed in the time when Shakespeare wrote *The Tempest*. is answer choice is very close to our prediction from the Investigate step and uses moderate language. erefore, (C) is the correct answer D. Thematic ideas are not present in older stories in which the author was living in a different time period. (D) implies that older stories do not have thematic elements at all. is idea is inconsistent with the author's argument that "colonialism" and "imperialism" are present in e *Tempest*.

4. The author mentions the East and West Indies for what purpose (paragraph 2)? While this question uses the word purpose and it may feel like a Main Idea question at first blush, it's actually asking about the function of the East and West Indies in building the author's argument. Approach this question by locating the reference in the passage and either recalling or reading the sentence before and aer it. It's stated in the passage that "all the great powers of Europe were competing for the[ir] wealth" and "the building of empires—was an issue of keen concern in England in the early 17th century."

A. As an example of one of the most successful publishing ventures of (A) is a Faulty Use of Detail answer choice. e most successful publishing venture of Elizabethan England mentioned in the passage was "*Hakluyt's Voyages*, a series of published accounts of European voyages to Asia, Africa, and the B. As an example of empire building that Shakespeare participated in as part of his research for *The Tempest* ere is no reference to Shakespeare witnessing firsthand the colonialism that is referenced in e *Tempest*; (B) is an Out Of Scope answer choice. C. As an example of empire building that existed in when Shakespeare was writing *The Tempest* (C) is closest to our investigation of the paragraph surrounding the mention of the East and West Indies and is the correct choice. D.

To show that everywhere visited by Europeans was colonized (D) is an Extreme answer choice; while the Europeans were involved in colonialism and imperialism, it is never implied that everywhere the Europeans traveled was a place they colonized. 5. The fact that the terms “colonialism” and “imperialism” were not coined yet in Shakespeare’s time has what effect on the author’s argument? is another Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) question. The author’s idea throughout the passage is that even though the words colonialism and imperialism did not exist, these themes were still present in *The Tempest*. Therefore, the fact that these words “were not coined yet” is consistent with—but does not strengthen or weaken—the author’s argument. A. It strengthens the author’s argument about Shakespeare’s works. B. It weakens the author’s argument about *The Tempest*. C. It strengthens the author’s argument (A), (B), and (C) can be eliminated immediately because they state that the author’s argument would be strengthen[ed] or weaken[ed]. D. It doesn’t affect the author’s prediction—that the author’s argument is neither strengthened nor weakened—matches (D).

Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) It would be difficult to overstate the complexity of the Japanese language. The system of writing (or more properly, systems) represents a fusion of almost entirely non-native characters and a spoken language so linguistically isolated that philologists have yet to discover a precursor. Not unlike many other ancient languages, Japan lacked any system of writing at all for much of its history. Making up for lost time, though, no fewer than three different systems of writing are now employed. The first Japanese system of writing was not Japanese. The kanji, a group of logographic Chinese characters, each representing a word or idea, were adopted with minimal change around the seventh century. Few languages are so geographically close yet linguistically dissimilar. As a result, the people of Japan adopted a modified Chinese pronunciation for each kanji (the on-yomi), while retaining the native Japanese spoken word that most closely fit each kanji’s meaning (the kun-yomi). In modern Japanese, the on-yomi is used for certain kanji and the kun-yomi for others, with compound words often involving both. Further adding complication, the Chinese language contains many words in which variations in tone alone indicate

drastically different meanings. The adaptation of these words to Japanese pronunciation led to a number of homophones that has, without hyperbole, been called “embarrassing” and “alarming” by scholars of the language. The hiragana syllabary was developed in the eighth century by court women, who were not permitted to study kanji because they were deemed unfit to master its complexities. In response, they developed a simplified, flowing form of the kanji that represented all the sounds in spoken Japanese. Hiragana is phonetic rather than logographic and is therefore far more accessible to a foreign learner than the kanji. Because Japanese is an open language, most consonants cannot be expressed by themselves. Hiragana is therefore not strictly an alphabet. Katakana came about around the same time as hiragana, also as an attempt to simplify the kanji. The sparse, angular characters correspond fairly closely to the hiragana and, as befitting their origin among Buddhist monks, have a look generally considered more masculine than hiragana, which was originally called *onnade*, or “women’s hand.” The katakana have essentially become the print counterparts of the “cursive.” With so many systems jostling for position, each used more or less independently of the other, it would not be unreasonable to anticipate that a national movement toward systematization of the language would settle on a single one. A national movement was in fact started after World War II: a radical idea encouraging the use of all three systems together. A glance at any Tokyo newspaper will reveal kanji used to represent most standard actions and ideas, hiragana to indicate grammatical inflections and tenses, and katakana to represent adopted non-native and technical words, as well as to indicate emphasis. The use of the three systems has become sufficiently standardized in this way that deviations often lend a piece of writing strong connotations. A piece written entirely in katakana, for example, may be disconcerting to a modern reader and may have a vaguely pre-World War II military air to it, much as a piece written all in capital letters with telegraph punctuation might in English. While such a complex system has made the language’s learning curve high for native and non-native speakers alike, it has also contributed to a stunning richness of expression such that any list of world’s great works of art a hundred years from now will have to be written partially in kanji,

hiragana, and katakana. 1. The author mentions Chinese kanji (paragraph 2) for what purpose? A. To show the large number of homophones in the language is due to the closeness of Japanese and Chinese pronunciation. B. To illustrate that the presence of homophones in a language can be considered C. To show it as a precursor to the Japanese method of writing that caused some D. To show that homophones are dependent on variations in tone. 2. The author's primary purpose in the passage is to: A. argue that the Japanese language is overly complex. B. describe the origins of the Japanese language's complexity. C. propose a simplification in how Japanese is written. D. trace the origins of logographic writing systems. 3. According to the passage, which of the following pieces of Japanese literature would NOT likely be written entirely in katakana? A. a modern Japanese novel B. a list of adopted foreign words C. a 9th-century Buddhist text D. an early 20th-century general's log 4. Based on the author's description, open languages generally contain: A. borrowed systems of writing and speaking from many different sources. B. intrinsic acceptance of change and reform. C. syllables that end in vowels. D. few consonant sounds. 5. As can be inferred from the passage, the group of Buddhist monks who developed A. was predominantly or entirely male. B. used hiragana as a model. C. was considered unfit to master the complexities of kanji. D. was closely involved with the military of the time. 6. Which of the following is a claim the author makes without providing evidence? A. The first Japanese system of writing was not Japanese. B. The use of the three systems has become sufficiently standardized in a way that deviations often lend a piece of writing strong connotations. C. Japanese lacked any system of writing at all for much of its history. D. The katakana have essentially become the print counterparts of the "cursive"

Answers follow on next page. Passage 1 (Questions 1–6) P1. "linguistically isolated"; P2. "Chinese character"; P3. "Hiragana is phonetic" and "simplify the kanji"; P4. "sufficiently standardized" and P1. Japanese language and writing complex: at least 3 systems P2. Chinese (logographic) introduced = kanji; on-yomi vs. kun-yomi P3. Syllabic/phonetic: hiragana (feminine, script) vs. katakana (masculine, P4. Post-WWII: All three combined and systematized, different uses for For this Function question, start with where kanji are

mentioned. Kanji appears in paragraph 2, where the author says that the adaptation of Chinese words with variations in tone to Japanese resulted in lots of homophones. The function of including kanji is to introduce the idea that Japanese came from the Chinese and doesn't have as many variations in tone as Chinese does. (C) fits this prediction. While (B) may be a true statement, it is not related to kanji and is a Faulty Use of Detail answer choice. (D) is an Opposite; it is the loss of "variations in tone" as words moved from Japanese to Chinese that led to the formation of homophones. As for (A), the author specifically stated that "Few languages are so geographically close yet linguistically dissimilar," so we know that pronunciations must be very different. This is a Main Idea question, so predict using the author's overall goal established during your Distill step: to examine the origins and complexity of three Japanese writing systems. Only (B) involves both the origins and complexity of the language. Notice that we can use a vertical scan of the first words of the answers to eliminate (A) and (C) because the author is neutral and does not make any strong arguments or proposals. As for (D), the author discussed the origins of Japanese only—not multiple logographic writing systems—and, even then, this answer choice is too narrow as it addresses the author's purpose only in paragraph 2. This is an Apply question asking for an example of a text that would NOT likely be written entirely in katakana. Where does the author discuss the uses of writing in katakana? Referring back to the passage (or recalling if you used the Interrogate method for distilling the passage), we find that it is introduced in paragraph 3 and that the modern approach of using all three systems together—including katakana—is described in paragraph 4. Here, the author writes that katakana is used to "represent adopted non-native and technical words, as well as to indicate emphasis" and that a piece written entirely in katakana "may be disconcerting to a modern reader and would have a pre-World War II military air" to it. Based on this information, (B) and (D) can immediately be eliminated. (C) can also be eliminated based on the description of the origins of katakana in paragraph 3: both hiragana and katakana appear around the eighth century, and katakana specifically "originated among Buddhist monks." The answer must, therefore, be (A), which makes sense:

a modern piece of literature would be expected to combine all three writing systems. For this Inference question of the Implication subtype, start with where open languages are mentioned. Paragraph 2 states that “because Japanese is an open language, most consonants cannot be expressed by themselves.” It also points out that hiragana (and, by extension, katakana) is “not strictly an alphabet,” but rather a “syllabary.” Taking these pieces of information together, we can determine that open languages must express consonants together with vowels and that the language is built on these consonant–vowel combinations (syllables). (C) reflects this idea, highlighting the syllabic nature of the language. While the author does not specifically state that vowels end syllables in Japanese, the two Japanese terms given in the paragraph—hiragana and katakana—both demonstrate this pattern. (D) is a Distortion because although the author does say that consonants are not often used by themselves, there is no mention that they are few in number overall. While (A) describes the Japanese language, it does not reflect the author’s use of the more general term “open languages.” Finally, (B) is a literal use of the word open and does not fit the context described by the word inferred shows that this is an Inference question. The Buddhist monks who developed katakana are highlighted in paragraph 3. Let’s review the main points: katakana was created by “Buddhist monks” and looks “more masculine than hiragana.” The author also notes that hiragana was developed by women and was known as “women’s hand.” Given the contrast between katakana and hiragana on the basis of gender, we can infer that katakana looks masculine because it was developed by men. (A) must therefore accurately describe this group of Buddhist monks. While (C) might look tempting, we know only that the women who developed hiragana were “considered unfit to master the complexities of kanji.” The author never stated anything similar about the monks who developed katakana, so we cannot make that inference. In the last paragraph, the author points out that katakana now has a “vague ... military air” to it for the modern reader, but that does not mean that the monks who created katakana were closely involved with the military of the time. This is a Strengthen–Weaken question asking for a claim in the passage that lacks evidence. Note that all four answer

choices are sentences taken verbatim from the passage. For this question, we will have to address each answer choice as we look for evidence that supports the claim. e “first Japanese system of writing,” (A), was addressed in paragraph 2. Immediately following this sentence, the description of “kanji” is given—which is that “first ... system of writing.” erefore, this answer choice can be eliminated. e use of “three systems” and the effects of “deviations” from the standardized approach are detailed in paragraph 4; the subsequent “piece written entirely in katakana” is evidence to support this claim, eliminating (B). (C) is mentioned at the end of paragraph 1, but that’s all the information we get about the Japanese language before writing systems were developed. is answer is therefore correct. Finally, (D) is supported by the sentence that immediately precedes it, which describes the “sparse, angular characters” of katakana as counterparts to the hiragana.

Question Types III: Reasoning Beyond the Text

Questions Question Types III: Reasoning Beyond the Text Questions In This Chapter 11.1 Apply Questions Sample Question Stems Apply Questions—Applied Example 11.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) Questions Sample Question Stems Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) Questions—Applied Example 11.3 Other Reasoning Beyond the Text Questions Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 11, you will be able to: Identify Apply, Strengthen–Weaken, and other Reasoning Beyond the Text Solve Reasoning Beyond the Text questions with strategies specific to each Differentiate between Probable Hypothesis, Alternative Explanation, and Passage As a physician, you’ll quickly realize that patients rarely present with the exact mix of signs and symptoms you may have spent countless hours memorizing in medical school. Additionally, when patients describe their symptoms, the language they use rarely coincides with the sophisticated terminology found in textbooks and journals. As a result, a large part of medical training involves interacting directly with patients in order to better understand how to assimilate the knowledge learned in class with the practicalities of real life. Medical schools are interested in students who can take information they have elicited from a patient and go a step further with it, not just matching symptoms to a diagnosis, but rather, identifying future diagnostic steps and

applying information learned from prior patients to new ones. This is why this skill is so heavily tested in the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section. Like an ICU, the MCAT tests whether you are able to take what you have learned and apply it to a novel situation with speed and precision. In this chapter, we'll examine the Apply and Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question types. As in the previous question types chapters, we'll look at some common question stems, specific strategies, and a few worked examples for each question type. We will conclude with a brief discussion of the rarer kinds of Reasoning Beyond the Text questions. The AAMC reports that 40 percent of the questions in the CARS section should be classified as Reasoning Beyond the Text. It further divides this categorization into questions that require you to apply or extrapolate ideas from the passage to a new context (Apply questions) and those that require you to evaluate the effect new information would have if it were incorporated into the passage (Strengthen–Weaken [Beyond the Passage]). The fundamental difference between the two question types is one of direction: Apply questions ask you to determine how the passage relates to a new situation, while Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions ask you to determine how the new information might affect the passage. While the AAMC suggests that the Reasoning Beyond the Text category is split evenly between these question types, our intensive study of released AAMC materials has shown that Apply questions tend to be slightly more common than Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions. Note that it is possible, though rare, to get a question that doesn't fall into either question type but still qualifies as Reasoning Beyond the Text. Apply and Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) test your deductive reasoning. In both cases, a new situation is provided. Apply questions focus on how the passage relates to the new information (through a Response, Outcome, or Example). Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions focus on how the new information impacts the passage. Note: The Question Types, as well as the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages, Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, and Wrong Answer Pathologies, are included as tear-out sheets in the back of this book.

11.1 Apply Questions

Reasoning Beyond the Text questions always contain information in the

question or answer choices (or both) that is not stated or suggested by the passage. Reasoning Beyond questions commonly begin with words like suppose, assume, and imagine, followed by an elaborate scenario, ending with a question connecting the new content to the author or passage. Apply questions will ask you to take information from the passage and apply it to a new situation. Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions will test the opposite relationship, providing you with new information and then asking you to determine how it impacts the passage. Apply questions are one of the most common of the CARS question types covered on the MCAT. In Apply questions, the text is used as a starting point that you must then apply to a new context. Here are three common tasks, each constituting roughly one-third of the Apply question pool, that you will be asked to carry out. We categorize them as Response, Outcome, and Example Apply questions based on the words commonly seen in their respective question stems.

Sample Question Stems

Consider the following: [new info]. The author would most likely respond to this by claiming: With which of the following claims would [the author or an alternative viewpoint from the passage] be LEAST likely to agree? Suppose that [details of new scenario]. Based on the passage, what would the author most likely advise in this case? Imagine [new info]. Which of the following, according to information presented in the passage, is the most reasonable outcome? If the passage's author is correct, the most likely consequence of [new situation] would be: Assume that [new info]. One could reasonably expect, on the basis of the passage, that: Which of the following best exemplifies the author's notion of [quotation from the passage]? Which of the following phenomena would the author most likely characterize as a [concept]? [New info]. The author would most likely classify this as:

At least a third of Apply question stems are similar to the first three samples above, concerning how the author (or, less frequently, another individual discussed in the passage) would respond to a particular situation. These questions commonly ask for the author's likely response or reply, a claim that the author would be most likely to agree with, or the statement least consistent with one of the views discussed. Other Apply question stems take the form of the next three samples, investigating the most probable

outcome, result, expectation, or consequence in a situation that is in some way analogous to one discussed in the passage. In other words, these questions provide you with a cause and ask about the likeliest effect, based on the passage. Most of the remaining third of Apply question stems resemble the final three cases, asking for examples or instances of ideas discussed in the passage. Usually, the concept or term will be given, and your task is to find an item from a specified context (or from the “real world”) that best exemplifies it as it was used in the passage. Question stems like the last one are rarer and more difficult, starting with an outside case and asking you how the author would categorize it: What is this an example of? A lengthy question stem preceded by words like suppose, imagine, or assume is often a good indication of a Reasoning Beyond the Text question. From there, jump ahead to the last line before the question mark or colon at the end of the question stem to Type the question as either Apply or Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond) and identify the specific task being asked of you. Then, read through the new information and Rephrase carefully, always watching out for analogies to and similarities with the passage text. Once you’ve identified that new information is being provided in the question stem, you may find it helpful to jump to the end of the question stem to see what the question is really asking. This particular technique can be very helpful in your Rephrase step. Once you’ve picked up on the key language needed to determine the task, analyze the new information in the question stem closely for hints that connect it back to the passage. Then, go back and reread the relevant portions of the passage to make your prediction. When rephrasing the stem, keep in mind the question type and the task associated with it. For example, if the stem asks How would the author respond to ...? or Which of the following claims would the author be most likely to endorse?, your task is to get inside the author’s head. The correct answer to a Response question should be consistent with the author’s beliefs, which are typically reflected in the passage through the use of Author keywords, originally discussed in Chapter 5 of MCAT CARS Review. If you find yourself with a prediction that does not match directly with an answer choice, begin by eliminating any answers that are logically inconsistent with the author’s assertions. If you are asked about a

viewpoint other than the author's, utilize a similar strategy by putting yourself in the mind-set of the alternative perspective and recognizing what that person believes. If a question asks you about the probable outcomes of a scenario, look for words in the passage that indicate cause-and-effect relationships (Logic keywords). Identify any causes in the passage that are analogous to what is presented in the question stem and use their corresponding effects as the basis for your prediction. When you are called upon to identify examples, begin by finding the relevant text from the passage. Specifically focus on text that provides definitions, explanations, or the author's own examples of the concept in question. Take note of necessary conditions (which MUST occur in all instances of the concept) and sufficient conditions (which are enough on their own to make an instance qualify as that concept). Sufficient conditions are easier to match to, prediction-wise, but necessary conditions are useful when using an elimination strategy as they can be easily ruled out.

Apply Questions—Applied Example

There is no shortage of evidence for the existence of systemic biases in ordinary human reasoning. For instance, Kahneman and Tversky in their groundbreaking 1974 work proposed the existence of a heuristic—an error-prone shortcut in reasoning—known as “anchoring.” In one of their most notable experiments, participants were exposed to the spin of a roulette wheel (specially rigged to land randomly on one of only two possible results) before being asked to guess what percentage of United Nations member states were African. The half of the sample who had the roulette wheel stop at 65 guessed, on average, that 45 percent of the UN was comprised of African states, while those with a result of 10 guessed only 25 percent, demonstrating that prior presentation of a random number otherwise unconnected to a quantitative judgment can still influence that judgment. The anchoring effect has been observed on repeated other occasions, such as in Dan Ariely's experiment that used digits in Social Security numbers as an anchor for bids at an auction, and in the 1996 study by Wilson et al. that showed even awareness of the existence of anchoring bias is insufficient to mitigate its effects. The advertising industry has long been aware of this bias, the rationale for its frequent practice of featuring an “original” price before showing a “sale” price that is invariably reduced.

Of course, anchoring is hardly alone among the defective tendencies in human reasoning; other systemic biases have also been experimentally identified, including loss aversion, the availability heuristic, and 1. Suppose a consumer who is looking for an inexpensive replacement for an outmoded refrigerator is enticed by a local retailer's ads for a discount sale promising savings of 50 percent or greater on all appliances. The author would probably warn the consumer that:

A. sales are scams designed to exploit the consuming public.
B. the pre-markdown prices are most likely set artificially high.
C. heavily discounted merchandise is likely damaged or
D. making a rational decision about what to buy is impossible.

Solution: The question starts with "suppose" so we are likely dealing with a Reasoning Beyond question. For your Type step, this should lead you to identify Apply as the question type, and given the relative level of difficulty of Apply questions, you may want to consider saving this question for later. Given the long question stem, you might consider skipping the new information in the stem on first read, in order to prioritize determining the task required. Your Rephrase step should result in needing to determine what the author would warn the consumer, which is a type of response. As a result, as you work through the question, you want to be thinking about views that the author holds. The ads for the sale should draw you to paragraph 2, in which the author states: "The advertising industry has long been aware of this bias, the rationale for its frequent practice of featuring an 'original' price before showing a 'sale' price that is invariably reduced." Although the author is not explicit, the use of quotation marks here is a case of "scare quotes," suggesting that the so-called "original" price is just there to make the "sale" price seem lower. Thus, it's reasonable to infer that the author would warn the consumer about the anchoring effect intended with pre-sale prices. The closest match to this prediction is (B). (A) can be ruled out as a Distortion because the language is too strong. Advice or admonitions that an author would provide should both be consistent with what the author says in the passage and also similar in tone. (D) is also a Distortion because of the word impossible. Finally, (C) is Out of Scope. The author suggests that discounts are offered to manipulate buyers into believing they have found a better deal, not to trick them into buying

products that turn out to be faulty. 2. Imagine that a psychologist specialized in the study of systemic reasoning biases. On the basis of the information presented, this psychologist could most reasonably be

- A. have a higher likelihood of misjudging numerical quantities when not given an anchor.
- B. make significantly fewer mistakes in reasoning than those ignorant of anchoring bias.
- C. be equally as susceptible to errors resulting from the anchoring effect as anyone else.
- D. avoid entirely the logical fallacies that ordinary human beings commit systematically.

Solution: The question stem is relatively short, so there's no need to jump to the end before reading the part after Imagine. Because we're searching for something this psychologist could most reasonably be expected to do, you can Type this as an Outcome question. Ask yourself whether the passage suggests anything about what happens to psychologists with greater knowledge of these biases in reasoning. Since there is no explicit reference, and the question stem is not heavy on other clues, expect to briefly look through the answer choices for additional clues on what to search for in the passage as the first part of your Investigate step. The very first possibility suggests a consequence that would occur without the presentation of an anchor; however, the passage is only concerned about what happens when an anchor is present. It does not tell us anything about how accurate people's judgments of quantities are without the anchoring effect. We therefore have no basis to determine what effect having no anchor would have. Eliminate (A) as Out of Scope. (B) suggests that such a psychologist would make fewer mistakes because of knowledge of the anchoring effect. Is there anything in the passage that would warrant this conclusion? The second paragraph states that Wilson and his colleagues "showed even awareness of the existence of anchoring bias is insufficient to mitigate its effects." Not only does this rule out (B) as an Opposite, but it also gives you an idea of what you can expect in a correct answer—that a psychologist is just as likely as anybody else to fall into these errors due to anchoring. This revision to your Investigation pays off when you read (C), which is almost an exact match for the new prediction. On Test Day, you would select this choice and move on to the next question without paying much attention to (D)—which could be ruled out for being a

Distortion or an Opposite, constituting an even more extreme version of (B). Although forming a prediction prior to looking at the answer choices is generally recommended, since Reasoning Beyond the Text questions contain new elements in the answers, sometimes looking at the first one or two answer choices can give you a better idea of the form that the correct answer will take. If the options diverge significantly from what you expected, go back and modify your original expectations before moving on to any remaining choices. Matching to the correct answer is generally less time-consuming than crossing out all three incorrect choices, so revising your prediction is usually a more optimal strategy than process of elimination.

3. Which of the following would the author be LEAST likely to consider a case of anchoring bias?

A. An unusually high opening bid at an annual charity auction leads to a sizable increase over previous years in total

B. The sequel to a popular film is deemed a failure because it could not quite beat the record-smashing box office receipts of the original.

C. A shipping website receives reports of greater levels of customer satisfaction after starting deliberately to overestimate delivery times.

D. Poor initial sales figures for a new video game console motivate its manufacturer to reduce significantly the system's suggested retail price.

Solution: Despite asking for the LEAST likely case, we should still be able to Type this as an Example Apply question. It is hard to know what to predict based on the limited information in the question stem, but you can still set expectations about the correct answer by investigating the concept mentioned. The anchoring effect is the primary subject of this short passage, but the author only provides examples of the phenomenon without giving it an explicit definition. It's difficult to say precisely what would be sufficient to constitute anchoring bias, but we can isolate some necessary conditions. In each of the passage examples, a baseline numerical expectation, or "anchor," is set (either at random, as in the case of the experiments mentioned, or deliberately high in order to manipulate purchasers), which then skews the judgments people make about quantities. Any answer choice that satisfies these prerequisites should be eliminated because you are asked to find the LEAST likely example. Your Match will be found by process of elimination. (A) is clearly a case of the anchoring effect; the passage

even made mention of an experiment that used bids at an auction as the dependent variable. You can reason that the lofty opening bid must have caused other participants to heighten their appraisals of the items for sale, which in turn led to larger final sale prices and increased total proceeds. Even though (B) is unlike anything found directly in the passage, it follows the model we anticipated for wrong answers. In this case, the original film is serving as the anchor that biases judgments of its sequel. Stating that the new movie could not quite beat the record-smashing box office receipts of the original suggests that it still generated a lot of revenue, meaning that the assessment of failure was probably in error. Because the anchoring effect is said to be a systemic bias, this implication of error should quell any remaining doubts about eliminating (B). Turning to (C), we find another case that departs from the passage. Here, the anchor would be the estimated time of arrival for a particular shipment. If the site deliberately overestimates shipping times, its customers will consistently have to wait less time than they are told to expect, which will lead many to think that they are receiving excellent service. This situation is precisely analogous to the example of the original price marked down for sale, and hence it should also be. Thus, (D) must be correct. While similar to one of the examples discussed on a superficial level, the monetary value of the sales (which would undoubtedly be orders of magnitude higher than the original price of one individual console) does not bias the manufacturer to lower the cost per system. Rather, this is a case of a rational response to an economic problem: when demand is too low, reduce the price. Now, to be clear, this reduction in price could lead to a case of anchoring bias if, say, consumers started to purchase the console in greater quantities, believing it now to be a better deal. But the answer choice does not focus on that effect nor on any of the effects of cutting the cost, rather only mentioning its cause. And so, (D) is indubitably the one LEAST likely to count as anchoring bias for the author.

11.2 Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Like Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions explore evidence–conclusion relationships. Two notable distinctions between the two question types are that Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions (1) contain at least one claim not

from the passage that is unique to the question stem or answer choices and (2) treat the passage as flexible, in that they can be modified by outside forces.

Sample Question Stems

Suppose [new info]. is new information: Which of the following statements, if true, would most bolster the author's argument about [topic]? Assume that [new info]. is assumption weakens the author's claim [New info] would most strongly support the view of: Recent research on [topic] suggests [new info]. Which of the following assertions from the passage is most logically consistent with Some theorists have argued that [new info]. Based on the discussion in the passage, which of the following would present the greatest CHALLENGE to their argument? [New info]. In conjunction with information presented in the passage, it would be most reasonable to conclude that: Imagine that [new info]. What impact would this have on the arguments made in the passage? Which of the following study findings would most seriously undermine the author's thesis? If the question includes new information and asks about logical relationships using words like support, challenge, and consistency, you can safely Type the question as a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question. In some cases, the new information may be hidden in the answer choices, so watch out for clues that suggest the correct answer will come from outside the passage, such as words like would and could. As with Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, your primary task is to identify the three relevant parts: the conclusion, the evidence or refutation, and the nature of the connection (strengthen, weaken, or some unspecified relevance). Begin by determining which component (or, rarely, which two components) you are looking for, which you can target by rephrasing the question stem. Rather than reading all the new details during your first pass, jump to what immediately precedes the question mark or colon in order to figure out what the question is asking. en, reread the question stem, keeping an eye out for any hints of analogy. en, return back to the passage to confirm the relationship as the last step of your Investigation. Remember that Logic keywords from the passage can help you identify relevant evidence–conclusion relationships. For example, if a new experimental finding described in the question stem is similar to a study in the passage that was used to support

the author's thesis, a good prediction is that this new finding will strengthen the author's thesis. Note that the correct answers to Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions rarely match predictions exactly the way they did for Strengthen- Weaken (Within the Passage) questions. As a result, it is more important to focus on relationships in your predictions, rather than specific wording, especially since answer choices are usually only incidentally related to the Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions are extremely similar to Strengthen- Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, except that the former bring in new information, while the latter ask about arguments contained in the passage. Thus, a similar strategic approach can be used for both question types. Make sure to review Strengthen-Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, discussed in Chapter 10 of MCAT CARS Review, in tandem with this discussion of Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions.

Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) One of the first examples of the ascendance of abstraction in 20th-century art is the Dada movement, which Lowenthal dubbed "the groundwork to abstract art and sound poetry, a starting point for performance art, a prelude to postmodernism, an influence on pop art ... and the movement that laid the foundation for surrealism." Dadaism was ultimately premised on a philosophical rejection of the dominant culture, which is to say the dominating culture of colonialist Europe. Not content with the violent exploitation of other peoples, Europe's ruling factions once again turned inward, reigniting provincial disputes into the conflagration that came to be known by the Eurocentric epithet "World War I"—the European subcontinent apparently being the only part of the world that mattered. The absurd destructiveness of the Great War was a natural prelude to the creative absurdity of Dada. Is it any wonder that the rejection of reason made manifest by senseless atrocities should lead to the embrace of irrationality and disorder among the West's subaltern artistic communities? Marcel Janco, one of the first Dadaists, cited this rationale: "We had lost confidence in our culture. Everything had to be demolished. We would begin again after the tabula rasa." Thus, we find the overturning of what was once considered art: a urinal becomes the Fountain after Marcel Duchamp signs it "R. Mutt" in 1917,

the nonsense syllables of Hugo Ball and Kurt Schwitters transform into “sound poems,” and dancers in cardboard cubist costumes accompanied by foghorns and typewriters metamorphosize into the ballet Parade. Unsurprisingly, many commentators, including founding members, have described Dada as an “anti-art” movement. Notwithstanding such a designation, Dadaism has left a lasting imprint on modern Western art. 4. According to some estimates, prior to the beginning of World War I in 1914, more than four-fifths of the world’s landmass was controlled by European nations or former colonies such as the United States. If this figure is accurate, what effect does it have on the passage? A. It bolsters the author’s suggestion that European colonialism was an overbearing force. B. It weakens the author’s assertion that World War I was instigated by provincial disputes. C. It strengthens the author’s claim that Europe is the only place in the world that mattered. D. It challenges the author’s insinuation that European rulers ignored the rest of the globe. Solution: With such a long question stem, you’ll want to skip right to the question itself, which asks for the effect on the passage. Type this as a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question, and therefore, your task will be to determine the relevance of this new data. As you Rephrase the question stem, think about how the information presented either supports or challenges statements from the passage. The evidence provided has nothing to do directly with the Dada movement, which doesn’t start until after the commencement of the war, but it does pertain to the author’s discussion of Europe and World War I at the end of the first paragraph. So, your Investigate step will require rereading that portion of the text to see whether anything there would be impacted. The figure cited supports the author’s opinionated characterization of the dominating culture of colonialist Europe. (A) matches this prediction perfectly. Overbearing and dominating are synonyms, so even the language in the answer choice closely matches that in the passage. On Test Day, select this answer and then move on to the next question without reading the wrong answers. For our purposes, however, it’s worth reviewing where the others go wrong. While (B) may point to a claim that the author actually makes, the new information provided in the question stem does not pose a threat to it, making it a Faulty Use

of Detail. The catalyst for World War I could still have been provincial disputes, regardless of how much land each country controlled. (C) is wrong for two reasons: first, it's Out of Scope because this is not something the author endorses; the author sarcastically states "the European subcontinent apparently being the only part of the world that mattered" when pointing out that "World" War I actually took place exclusively in Europe. Also, even if the author did have this view, the statement is a value judgment—a matter of opinion—which cannot be directly affected by geographic facts. Finally, (D) is also Out of Scope. Though it does contain a factual claim that would be challenged by the question stem, the author never insinuated that European rulers ignored the rest of the globe. Rather, the author refers to rulers "turn[ing] inward" after "violent exploitation of other peoples," suggesting they were actually gazing outward before the start of the war, the time period noted in the question stem.

5. Which of the following, if true, would most threaten what the author says in the final sentence of the second paragraph?

A. A large majority of members of the general public, when asked to identify the most important work of art of the 1900s, fail to mention an example from the Dada

B. Other prominent 20th-century artistic movements, such as Surrealism and Pop Art, were also commonly described as "anti-art" by their most influential participants.

C. The consensus among art historians today is that Dada was merely a brief departure from the principal themes in European art that evolved during the 20th century.

D. Some of the founding members of the Dada movement were sympathetic to the radical view that, far from being anti-art, Dada was the purest form of art imaginable.

Solution: The hypothetical if true is strong evidence that the answer choices will be new elements and that this should be Typed as a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question. The word threaten further implies that the task is to Weaken the author's claim. After the Rephrase step, the first part of your Investigate step should involve returning to paragraph 2 and reading the last line, as referenced in the question stem, in addition to reading the preceding one for context. Though second to last sentence suggests that some people think of Dada as "anti-art," the last one contests this by pointing out Dada's "lasting imprint on modern Western

art.” Thus, we are most likely looking for a refutation of the author’s idea that Dada was influential. It’s also possible that the correct answer challenges the author’s rejection of the term anti-art. So, if we cancel out the confusing double negatives, the correct answer could also support the idea that Dada is anti-art. Our first prediction matches (C) since the scholars’ idea of a “brief departure” clashes directly with the “lasting imprint” from the text. An appeal to expert opinion is an acceptable form of evidence for most arts and literary passages. Also, since the author name drops and cites quotations frequently in the passage, consensus among experts should carry even more weight in this case. While (A) does not support what the author says, it also does not pose a large challenge. Popular opinion does not necessarily reflect whether a work of art is influential or not. Additionally, leaving a “lasting imprint” is not identical to being the singularly most important movement of the century. Thus, the threat presented by (A) is weak at best. (B) is consistent with the passage, strengthening the point that simply calling a movement “anti-art” does not necessarily make it so. (D) has no impact on the last sentence of paragraph two. Even if some of the “founding members” of Dadaism did not think of the movement as being “anti-art,” this answer choice targets the penultimate sentence—not the last one. Appeals to authority or expert opinion are common in CARS passages, particularly those involving disciplines like the arts or literature, in which value judgments and other opinions play a prominent role. As you read, take note of the field you are reading about and the types of argumentation the author chooses to use. Quoting experts may provide decent support for a passage on an artistic movement, but such testimony will carry far less weight in more empirical social sciences like psychology or economics. Nonexpert opinions tend to carry even less weight: while authors may occasionally draw on popular opinion to support arguments, actual surveys of public opinion are seldom seen outside of a small number of cases, confined primarily to political science.

11.3 Other Reasoning Beyond the Text

Some questions that require you to think beyond the passage won’t necessarily fall under the categories of Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) or Apply question. Since these types of questions do not occur frequently, we will limit our

discussion to three examples that have appeared on past MCAT exams. According to our research of released AAMC material, Reasoning Beyond the Text questions that are neither Apply nor Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions are rare, numbering only one to two, on average, per CARS section. In many ways, Probable Hypothesis questions are similar to Apply questions, but instead of asking about the outcomes of new situations, they ask about the likely causes. After presenting the new details, these stems will ask for a probable hypothesis, the likely cause, or the most reasonable explanation based on the passage. Though working backward from a given effect to its probable cause may seem more challenging, you will still use Logic keywords that reveal analogous cause–effect relationships in the passage to form your predictions. If no match to your prediction can be found, eliminate any answers that contradict claims the author states or suggests elsewhere in the passage. Alternative Explanation questions are also interested in potential causes. They begin by providing a phenomenon that may be pulled directly from the passage but then ask you to provide a cause that is not provided in the passage and may be dissimilar from anything previously discussed. Since it is almost impossible to form a focused prediction, expect to evaluate each answer choice and eliminate those that would not produce the result in the question stem. If you get stuck between multiple answers that seem equally likely to serve as the cause, eliminate those that would most conflict with other parts of the passage. While a correct alternative explanation won't be one the author has already provided, it should also not significantly contradict what the author has already stated. One other less common Reasoning Beyond the Text question type will ask about changes that the author could make to the passage to make it consistent with new information. These are appropriately called Passage Alteration questions. In many ways, these are like the rare instances of Reasoning Within the Text questions that require resolving paradoxes, except that they will include some new information that contradicts what the author says or implies. The correct answer to these questions will typically be the one that produces the desired effect with the least amount of modification to ideas originally presented in the passage. And so, this brings us to the end of

our discussion of question types. If you are still confused about which name corresponds to which type, don't worry! The common stems, tailored strategies, and Worked Examples you have seen thus far are designed to serve only as your first exposure to the intricacies of the Kaplan Method for CARS questions, the question types and tasks, and the Wrong Answer Pathologies. Continue practicing until the Method becomes second nature for you. In the end, it will be less important to be able to name the question type than to know how to approach it. The best way to improve your performance with CARS questions is practice accompanied by effective review—the subject of our final chapter. You've reviewed the strategy, now test your knowledge and critical thinking skills by completing a test-like passage set in your online resources!

Concept and Strategy Summary

Type: Apply questions require you to take the information given in the passage and extrapolate it to a new context. These questions often begin with words like *suppose*, *consider*, or *imagine*. Apply questions typically ask for one of three tasks: they may ask for the author's Response to a situation, using words like *response*, *reply*, *most likely to agree with*, or *least consistent with*. They may ask for the most probable Outcome in a situation, using words like *outcome*, *result*, *expectation*, or *consequence*. They may ask for an Example of an idea discussed in the passage, using words like *example* or *instance*.

Rephrase: If the question stem is long, jump to the end to determine what it's asking. Read any information given in the question stem closely, looking for hints that connect it to the passage.

Investigate: Reread the relevant text if needed, keeping in mind the specific type of Apply question involved. For Response questions, determine the author's key beliefs, which are generally indicated in the passage by Author keywords. For Outcome questions, pay attention to cause-effect relationships in the passage, which are generally indicated in the passage by For Example questions, look for passage excerpts that provide definitions, explanations, or the author's own example and note any necessary or sufficient conditions.

Match: Match your expectations with the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use process of elimination. Eliminate any answer choices that are inconsistent with the author's views,

especially for Response questions. Eliminate any answer choice that does not contain necessary conditions (which must occur in all instances of a concept), especially for Example questions.

Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) Type: Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) questions focus on the logical relationship between conclusions and the evidence or refutations that strengthens or weakens them, respectively. These questions are closely related to Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Passage) questions, which use information directly from the passage, rather than bringing in new information. These questions often contain words like relate, support, challenge, relevance, significance, or impact. Words like could or would often help differentiate them from Strengthen–Weaken (Within the Rephrase: Determine the two claims and the connection between them; you will usually be given at least one of these elements and be tasked with finding the other(s). Identify where each piece of the argument can be found: in the question stem, in the passage, or in the answer choices. If no claims are given in the question stem, plan to triage it for later and answer it using process of elimination. If one claim is given in the question stem, determine if it is a conclusion, a piece of evidence, or a refutation. If two claims are given in the question stem, identify the relationship between them. Investigate: Research the relevant text to determine the missing claim or the connection between them. Use Logic keywords to help assemble the argument. Match: Match your expectations with the right answer. If there is no clear match, or if you cannot perform any of the earlier steps of the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions, use process of elimination.

Other Reasoning Beyond the Text Questions

Probable Hypothesis questions ask for causes of new situations presented in the question stem. These questions often contain words like probable hypothesis, likely cause, or most reasonable explanation. Approach these questions like you would Apply questions, but look specifically for analogous cause–effect relationships in the passage.

Alternative Explanation questions ask for causes that differ from the ones given in the passage while still providing an explanation for a result. These questions often contain words like alternative explanation, other cause, or different reason. Approach these questions by eliminating answer choices that would not lead to the effect in the question stem. If you get

stuck between multiple answers, eliminate those that conflict most significantly with the passage. Passage Alteration questions ask for changes the author could make to the passage to make it consistent with new information. These questions often contain words like alter, change, or update. Approach these questions by looking for the answer that produces the desired effect with the least amount of modification to the ideas in the passage. Use the Worked Example below, in tandem with the subsequent practice passages, to internalize and apply the strategies described in this chapter. The Worked Example matches the specifications and style of a typical MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) passage. Take a few moments to quickly glance over the passage in order to Preview and Choose your approach. An initial glance at this passage reveals that there are multiple types of art described in the body paragraphs. Interrogating this passage is a strong choice, as drawing connections between each of the mentioned art types and their underlying purpose in building the author's argument will be key to answering test questions. Further, this passage is not too long and has a structure that appears to lend itself well to chunking. Outlining would also be a strong choice, given that there are multiple terms with descriptive detail for each, and an outline is a simple way of keeping track of the location of a large number of details. In any case, we can predict during our passage Preview that the structure and high detail content of this passage will necessitate some referral back into the passage for questions regardless of Distill method chosen. As with all passages, any approach could be chosen and work for this passage, so, remember to practice all approaches as you start your CARS prep in order to determine which work best for you and in what situations!

Summer to winter to summer yet again, morning to night and then dawn once more. All things in life seem to cycle, and so, too, do trends in art. Styles, of course, do coexist and always have. Life is rarely as neatly divided between night and day as we might wish. But throughout history, art has followed one main avenue and then reversed direction time and time again, thus producing the Classicism vs. Romanticism (or Expressionism) S1–4. Why does the author talk about such different concepts as life? The author is drawing a parallel between life and art, as both life and art are apparently

cyclical (in art, this refers to "style"). The author then brings up life being not neatly divided; we can predict from the start of the next sentence ("But") that art IS evenly divided, and the author is going to talk about that. S5. Why does the author bring up Classicism and Romanticism? What do you think the author will use as the two main streams that art follows according to the author. Art following one path, then reversing direction seems to be a connection to the cyclical art trend noted in earlier sentences. We can anticipate that the author is going to elaborate more on the dichotomy. Classicism in art primarily refers to clean, cool imagery. In the High Classical period in ancient Greece, idealized sculpted figures of young men and women were perfect in proportion, the picture of health and vitality. The subsequent ancient Greek Hellenistic art swept in a more expressive era in which figures depicted actual people, with an emphasis on their individuality. Eschewing the sleek lines of the Classical period, sculptors lent their images a sense of weight so that clothing and hair looked a bit waterlogged. However, this additional substance often produced a sense of expressive motion: the goddess Nike (Victory) of Samothrace races forward as her windswept drapery creates wet wings behind her. The rational distance of the earlier Classical sculptures has given way to expressions that convey a more passionate, romantic essence. S1-7. Why is High Classical art contrasted with Greek Hellenistic? High Classical art is defined by ideal sculptures with perfect proportions, whereas Greek Hellenistic art is subsequent to High Classical art, and is more expressive and weighted. The two are presented in this paragraph as examples of the two bigger art types in paragraph 1: High Classical art is the first type (Classicism), and Greek Hellenistic art is the second type. Not surprisingly, the French Neoclassicists, from about 1750 to 1850, looked to the Classical age for inspiration. Painting and sculpture contained the same refined, dignified qualities as the earlier work, although employing contemporary subjects. Portraits of both aristocrats and commoners reveal the late 18th- to early 19th-century "re-vision" of Classical times in everything from fashion to furniture and architecture. It was the Romanticists, though, who put the soul back into art. Their technique was looser, emitting the sense that the artist's hand had just lifted off the canvas or

finished chiseling the stone. Brooding compositions described exotic locales in the Middle and Far East. Heroic stories detailed contemporary shipwrecks, battles, and civilian revolutions. Neoclassical works tasted of buttered toast where romantic pieces tasted of hot spice. S1–8. Why is Neoclassical art contrasted with the Romanticists? e refined Neoclassical art, which is similar to the Classical art in paragraph 2, is contrasted with the looser but exotic Romantic art. e contrast serves to highlight the dichotomy brought up in paragraph 1, where art starts in one direction (Classical) then reverses (Romanticism). We can now see a clear trend: the author is trying to provide recurring examples of the cyclic nature of art. Classicism evolved into two camps during the 20th century. A realism trend continued, in which artists depicted the world along the lines of human perception. The Regionalists in the early part of the century reflected life in America's backcountry. Grant Wood's 1930 painting "American Gothic" presents a no-nonsense farm couple staring the viewer straight in the eye. They exude the basic goodness and solidity of their nature. They stand together for eternity, more as emblems of an age and ideal than true individuals. Interestingly, the same cool distance resulted later on in the abstraction of Minimalism, beginning around the early 1960s. Minimalist artists created no figures or references to the outside world. Instead, the sharp edges of their geometric shapes, unmixed colors, and lack of visible brush or carving stroke embodied the same distilled, classical S1–9. How does this paragraph differ from paragraphs 2 and 3? Why does the author bring up Regionalists and Minimalists? Paragraphs 2 and 3 focused on the Classical vs. Romanticism dichotomy, but in paragraph 4, the author is only talking about two streams of Classicism in the 20th century. Regionalist, focusing on ideal goodness, and Minimalists, focusing on abstract geometric shapes, are both Classical streams that embody the same cool distance. is diverges from the cyclic trend that has been emphasized since P1. Between these two periods, America birthed Abstract Expressionism, its most fervent art form. Painters abandoned realistic, figurative images and thrust their inner emotions or the invisible vibrations of the universe onto canvas. Virtuoso brushwork and color flash across flat surfaces with a magnetism and energy unknown before. These huge

compositions take your breath away. Abstract Expressionism, the nation's first unique art movement, exudes all the brashness of a young upstart, even as the more classically oriented works that bracket this movement recall an esteemed, stately heritage. S1-5. Why does the author bring up Abstract Expressionism as occurring between the two styles Abstract Expressionism is not Classicism, and it is stated to be unique. However, from its description, we can see links to Romanticism, and given that this new style arises between the two Classical trends in P4, we are again returning to the theme of the cyclic nature of art. The time between the cycle from cool to expressive and ideal to romantic has shortened of late. The current art scene hosts art from both sides now. Perhaps the future will bring us more artists who freely sew the two ends of the continuum S1-3. Why does the author see the future art movement possibly combining both dichotomies? e time between cycles of Classicism and Romanticism seems progressively shorter and shorter until the present movement has a mix of both, and the author hopes for more mixes of the two dichotomies in the future. Overall, the author has built an argument that the nature of art styling is cyclical and alternates between versions of Classicism and 1. Assume that most people at the time said the work of the Regionalists was emotionally overwhelming. What effect would this have on the author's Because this question brings in new information and asks about its effect, this is a Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question. Regionalism supposedly evolved from Classicism, but emotionally overwhelming is a much better descriptor for Abstract Expressionism, as described in paragraph 5: these artists "thrust their inner emotions ... onto canvas, creating huge compositions [that] take your breath away." Because the new information in the question stem seems to go against the author, investigate the answer choices that include the word A. It would strengthen the assertion that the time period between styles is currently shortening. (A) and (B) can be eliminated immediately because they say B. It would strengthen the claim that Classicism primarily refers to clean, C. It would weaken the claim that art styles can coexist. Because the new information implies that Regionalists have some expressive attributes, the claim that Classicism and Romanticism can

coexist is strengthened, not weakened—making (C) an D. It would weaken the claim that Regionalism was a type of e new evidence suggests that Regionalism could have been misclassified as Classicism when it has expressive aspects, confirming (D) as the correct answer.

2. In 1801, a French Neoclassicist announced that “I seek to infuse the modern era with the historical weight of a great past.” On the evidence of the passage, he could have best achieved his goal by producing: is is an Apply question of the Example subtype. Given the Roman numerals, it is a Scattered Apply question. As a French Neoclassicist, this artist would aim to have the “refined, dignified qualities” of classicism, “although employing contemporary subjects.” e quote in the stem also indicates that a reference to something historical or the past should also appear in the answer choice.

I. a statue of Napoleon Bonaparte in which he is costumed plainly as an ancient Greek emperor. In Statement I, we see Napoleon is the contemporary subject matter, but the artist is inspired by ancient times and uses a plain costume, reminiscent of Classical style. is fits the criteria, so Statement I must appear in the correct answer.

II. a full-length portrait of Romantic novelist Victor Hugo resembling one of his heroic characters. In Statement II, representing Hugo as a hero makes no connection to the past—“refined” and “dignified” or otherwise. is falls short of what we need, so Statement II must not appear in the correct answer.

III. a painting of Queen Marie Antoinette in which she is indistinguishable from the courtiers who surround her. Statement III similarly does not make a connection to the past, and therefore must not appear in the correct answer. Further, to make the Queen blend in with her court would be the opposite of making her appear “refined” and

A. I only (A) contains the correct statement and is the right answer. B. III only (B), (C), and (D) contain incorrect C. I and II only D. II and III only

3. According to the passage, which of the following musical experiences is most analogous to Minimalism? is is an Apply question asking for a musical example that is similar to Minimalism in art. Minimalism is described at the end of paragraph 4, and all of the characteristics of this style are said to “embody ... distilled, classical calm” and is a form of Classicism that involves abstraction and ideals like calm.

A. Agitated classical music in a large (A) intentionally uses the word classical as

a Faulty Use of Detail, but the word agitated indicates that this music is anything but calm. Eliminate this answer choice. B. Electronic elevator music playing Elevators are typically calm places —the phrase elevator music even tends to have the connotation of innocuous or boring, implying that this music is quite calm. This makes (B) the correct answer. C. Hard rock music blasting through We can rule out (C) because hard rock and blasting indicate that this music is anything but calm. D. A repetitive tape loop of country A repetitive tape loop would likely be irksome and not particularly calm, making (D) incorrect as well. This answer choice is a good trap if you are familiar with music history, as many Minimalist composers did indeed employ tape loops in their music; however, this answer is not supported by the passage and is

4. With which statement would the author most likely DISAGREE? This is an Inference question of the Implication subtype; we are looking for something that the author would not agree with. It is hard to form a solid prediction for this question, so analyze each answer choice and eliminate the ones that are consistent with the author's opinions or that are Out of Scope. A. Late 18th- to early 19th-century French Romantic art had a sense of personality that Neoclassicism (A) clearly addresses the dichotomy we are getting comfortable with: classical = cool, romantic = expressive. The author would agree that Romantic art has more personality than a Classical movement, so eliminate this. B. At any given time, it can be difficult to pinpoint a strong dichotomy between prevailing styles and (B) reflects what the author tells us in the first paragraph: that "styles, of course, do coexist" and that "life is rarely as neatly divided ... as we might wish." This answer can be eliminated as well. C. French Romanticism lacked an immediacy that was apparent in (C) goes against the author's description of Classicism as having a coolness and distance to it, whereas Romanticism has more expressive, emotional impact. Romanticism should have the immediacy that Classicism lacks— this answer choice is an Opposite, meaning the author would DISAGREE with the statement, making (C) the correct choice. D. French Romanticism was the polar opposite of Neoclassicism. The passage repeatedly draws a polar contrast between Classicism and Romanticism. (D) is a valid inference based on the passage and therefore can be eliminated.

5. Suppose that most late

18th-century French drawings are exotic and exciting. Which passage assertion would be most WEAKENED by this is is another Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question that provides new evidence and asks us to identify the conclusion that is most WEAKENED by it. e late 18th-century is a reference to the time period given at the beginning of the third paragraph, “1750 to 1850.” is is the French Neoclassicist era—a Classical period—but exotic and exciting are descriptors of Romanticism. is implies that many artists were not actually using Classical themes at A. Classicism was more popular than Romanticism in late 18th-century (A) uses comparative language, but how popular one style is in comparison to another is not addressed at all in the passage and so this choice can be eliminated. B. The Neoclassicists’ inspiration had is claim is made in paragraph 3: according to the author, “everything from fashion to furniture and architecture.” However, because we were surprised to hear about Romanticism in a Classical period, it no longer seems that the Neoclassicists were as “pervasive” as the author described. erefore, (B) is the correct answer. C. Inner emotions can be imbued into (C) is certainly a valid inference, but it is not affected in any way by the question stem’s information. D. Neoclassical works are less dramatic than works of the Classical (D) also makes a comparison that was never mentioned explicitly in the passage—the author never addresses how dramatic one Classical style is compared to another Classical style. 6. Suppose that romantic artists and Classically oriented artists began borrowing heavily from one another. This finding would support the view is is a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question, based on the words Suppose and would support the view. e new evidence in the question stem is consistent with the final point the author makes—that these two styles may eventually be “sew[n] ... together.” To carry out your Investigation, scan for an answer choice that is consistent with the A. Romanticism and Classicism are (A) contradicts the author’s point in the last paragraph. Further, the question stem shows a case where the two movements are converging —not that they are independent of each other. Eliminate this answer B. the past inevitably influences the (B) uses extreme language and was never overtly claimed by the author. While the author provides some examples of the “past ... influenc[ing]

the future,” such as French Neoclassicism, the word inevitably is far too strong, as many movements that are not strongly influenced by the past, such as Abstract Expressionism, are also C. the cycle from cool to expressive art styles is shortening. (C) might be tempting because it is a claim the author makes. However, “borrowing heavily” from each other at one point in time would mean there wouldn’t be two distinct styles that are cycling. D. artists might be beginning to make less of a distinction between these two schools of art. (D) uses the Moderating keyword might and correctly states the author’s main point at the end of the last paragraph.

at’s our 7. Which of the following, if true, would constitute a reason Neoclassicists looked to Classical Greece for For this Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question, we are looking for a reason Neoclassicists would look to the High Classicism style in ancient Greece for inspiration. It is challenging to make a prediction, but we should look at the answers with an eye toward the themes the author has identified as part of the A. Nineteenth-century French nobility admired the ancient period because it was one in which even ordinary citizens acquired important art. (A) does not address the idea of Classicism at all; further, there is no obvious reason why nobility would like an art style that even ordinary citizens could acquire. Eliminate this answer choice. B. Neoclassical painters and architects were impressed by the wide range of human feelings that Classical Greek sculptors captured in their (B) discusses feelings, which the passage indicates are actually the hallmark of the opposite art style: Expressionism. Eliminate this Opposite answer choice. C. Nineteenth-century French elite idealized the cultural expressions of the ancient past as conveying regal grandeur, devoid of intense (C) references the absence of emotions, which matches our understanding of Classical values. In addition, it makes sense that the French elite would be attracted to art that convey[ed] regal grandeur. is is the correct answer choice. D. Neoclassicists found that appealing to patrons’ interest in antiquity allowed them to move their style toward the Expressionist ideal. (D) implies that Neoclassicists desired to move their style out of the Classical mode and into the Expressionist mode, but there is no evidence in the passage that these artists desired to do so. Passage 1 (Questions 1–5) Post-structuralist

literary criticism was developed largely in reaction to Saussurian linguistic theory, which first expressed the relationship between words and the concepts they denote. In Saussurian linguistics, an actual word is referred to as a “signifier”—the “sound image” made by the word “train,” for instance, constitutes a signifier. At the same time, the idea evoked by the signifier is termed a “signified.” Saussure argued that the structural relationship between a signifier and a signified constituted a “linguistic sign.” He saw language as made up entirely of such signs, or structural relationships, and argued that the relationship that constituted these signs was actually arbitrary and based on common usage rather than on some necessary link. He did believe, however, that certain “signifiers” (words) could be permanently linked to specific “signifieds” (concepts) in order to create stable, predictable relationships that evoked constant meanings. In contrast to Saussurian linguistics, the post-structuralist view contends that there exists no system of describing ourselves, or of communicating with one another, which does not somehow use our indigenous language systems. To post-structuralists, language defines our identities and is required if we are to maintain those identities. In this view of language, any signifier always signifies another signifier. Definitions and meanings always take the form of metaphors: one term can only be defined as being another term. To change the meaning of a term, one must only change the metaphor through which that term is defined. Meaning shifts from one signifier to another, and because of this, no act of signification is ever fully closed or fully complete. Because the post-structuralists do not view necessary connections as composing permanent linguistic sign relationships, they reject the idea of absolute meaning. Because language constantly shifts along a chain of meaning, “absolute” meanings cannot exist. Language in this view can never be viewed as entirely stable. Whereas Saussure believed that linguistic sign relationships could create stable, consistent meanings between terms and the images they evoke, post-structuralists argue that meaning can be established only through discourse. Thus, meaning is never absolute, immutable, or concrete because it is always dependent on the differing and constantly shifting discourse in which language It is here that the divergence of these two schools of thought becomes readily apparent, insofar as

they concern themselves with fields beyond linguistics. The implications of the nearly irrefutable, albeit bleak, reasoning that is so fundamental to post-structural thought reach far beyond the confines of linguistics. The idea of conceptual instability is a manifestation of the existential phenomenology that heavily influenced the work of innumerable scholars in disparate fields through the 20th century. Where structuralism was grounded in linguistics and made inroads into the human sciences, the very ideas that presuppose post-structuralism are rooted more ambitiously in the central discussion of human nature. It is for this reason that existentialism and post-structuralism continue to flourish and have inserted themselves into our enduring understanding of what it is to be human while structuralism and Saussurian linguistics hold a devoted place in scarcely few discussions beyond linguistic relativism.

1. Which of the following best adheres to the post-structuralist view of meaning as presented in the passage?

- A. The words “signifier” and “signified” are expressed in different ways across several
- B. Each member of a literary club puts forth a unique interpretation of a fairy tale based on his or her academic background.
- C. The relationship between a father and his son shifts dramatically during the course of a novel.
- D. All ten members of a focus group derive the same meaning from the preview of a new situation comedy.

2. In addressing a class, a professor describes the mind first as a blank slate to be written on and later as a garden to be cultivated. In light of the information in the passage, the professor’s method best represents:

- A. the immutable nature of meaning.
- B. a Saussurian relationship between words and the concepts they denote.
- C. the arbitrary use of language in academia.
- D. a post-structuralist change in the meaning of a term.

3. “Broad, open-ended study is frivolous and indulgent. It is through deliberate, focused study that knowledge advances.” How does this statement affect the author’s argument in paragraph 4?

- A. It weakens the author’s argument.
- B. It strengthens the author’s argument.
- C. It neither strengthens nor weakens the author’s argument.
- D. It could both strengthen and weaken different parts of the author’s argument.

4. A study finds that humans taught a fabricated word and its definition immediately form an association between that word and its definition. After repeated exposure to the word in different

contexts, the same people consistently offered different definitions for the word than the one they were initially given. This strengthens:

- A. post-structural theory because the initial signifier did not maintain its association with the initial signified.
- B. post-structural theory because the initial signifier was most likely understood through a metaphor that changed.
- C. Saussurian linguistic theory because of the initial association of signifier and
- D. neither theory, either theory, or both theories; more information on the underlying mechanism that precipitated the change is needed.

5. Which of the following examples is LEAST analogous to the Saussurian understanding of linguistic relativism?

- A. A dog's response to the "sit" command could not be changed upon retraining, though its response to the "stop" command could be changed.
- B. A study reveals that words learned in any language stimulate the same neurons regardless of attempts to retrain the meaning of these words over several years.
- C. The definition of the word "cool" has evolved drastically over the years depending upon social context and common usage.
- D. A study revealed that the presentation of a word to a listener immediately resulted in a localized brain region becoming activated before the listener could offer the word's

Answers follow on next page.

Passage 1 (Questions 1–5)

P1. "linguistic signs" and "permanently linked";

P2. "define our identity" and "metaphors";

P3. "reject" and "only through discourse";

P4. "far beyond" and "scarcely few."

P1. Saussure: arbitrary signifier (word) relation with signified (concept) = linguistic sign, can become concrete

P2. Post-structuralist: signifier defined only by other signifiers; understand through metaphors that can change

P3. Saussure = language can be stable, post-structuralist = language never stable, depends on discourse

P4. Post-structuralism endures because of broader ties to human nature; Saussurian theory restricted to linguistics is question challenges us to apply our understanding of the post-structuralist theory to the answer choices in order to find an example.

Paragraph 3 makes clear that the post-structuralists believe that "meaning is never absolute, immutable, or concrete." Looking for the choice that best fits with this notion brings us to (B). The fact that the "unique interpretations" are due to differences in "academic backgrounds" supports the notion that exposure to different fields of discourse leads to the differences in interpretation,

which is consistent with the language of paragraph 3. (A) cleverly uses words from the passage in a new context. The fact that words are expressed differently in different languages does not affect the absolute meaning of these words; they're expressed in various ways, as one would expect in different languages, but that doesn't mean they are defined differently. In (C), the relationship between father and son may shift, but we'd have to see some variation in interpreting the meaning of this shift to get us into post-structuralist territory. As for (D), a lack of consensus would seem more consistent with the post-structuralist view, although it's conceivable that the ten focus-groupers arrived at their opinions through discourse with each other. However, because such a state of affairs isn't indicated, we can't assume it. In Application questions like this one, we must determine how the new example relates to what's in the passage. In passages where the goal is to explain the differences between two things, that usually means figuring out what camp the new situation falls into. The major task here is figuring out When the "professor describes the mind...as a blank slate, or as a garden," the professor is using metaphors, which brings us into the post-structuralist camp. Moreover, the metaphor is being shifted, which relates precisely to the penultimate sentence of paragraph 2: "To change the meaning of a term, one must only change the metaphor through which that term is defined." Therefore, the answer is (D). (A) and (B) are Opposites because they presuppose constant meaning, whether it is described as immutable or Saussurian—Saussure posits "stable, predictable relationships that evoke constant meanings," according to the end of the first paragraph. (C) is Out of Scope as there is no mention of the use of language in academia in the is question provides new information and asks how it impacts the passage, making this a Strengthen–Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question. The new quotation suggests that widely applicable fields of study, such as post-structuralism as described in this paragraph, are frivolous and that narrowly focused fields, such as Saussurian linguistics, advance knowledge. However, let's consider the author's argument in this paragraph. The author focuses on the differences in scope between the two camps and why post-structuralism is more enduring. The author makes no mention of the advancement of

knowledge or frivolity. The author may imply some partiality to post-structuralism, but there is no argument made regarding the focus of the new quotation. Thus, (C) is correct as this statement has no bearing on the author's argument. This Strengthen-Weaken (Beyond the Passage) question challenges us to identify not only whether the new information strengthens post-structuralism or Saussurian theory, but also why. The first thing to recognize is that the study's results could support either theory. Even though Saussurian theory suggests that words "could be permanently linked" to concepts, it also recognizes that the relationship between a word and the concept it represents is "actually arbitrary and based on common usage rather than on some necessary link." We also know that post-structuralism definitely supports changing definitions. Thus, we have to look at the reasoning in the answer choices to determine the correct answer. (A) may sound tempting because the reasoning sounds as if it's disproving Saussurian theory, but remember that Saussurian theory does account for "signified" changing depending on "common usage." Also, Saussurian theory being proven incorrect wouldn't necessarily prove post-structuralism correct. (B) requires assumptions we just can't make because there is not enough support in the passage for it being a "metaphor that changed" that caused the change in meaning. (C) is also tempting because it mentions Saussurian theory and one of its tenets, although the initial association of signifier and signified does not really add any new information to strengthen the author's description. More importantly, this answer choice doesn't explain the all-important second half of the new information: why the association changed. Therefore, the answer must be (D). This answer choice identifies the real problem with the other choices: we don't know the mechanism behind the change in association. Both theories account for a change in associations, so the mechanism by which the association changed needs to be described before we can draw any conclusions about which theory the study supports. The challenge in this Application question is to transfer our knowledge of Saussurian linguistics to find three appropriate analogies or one choice that does not fit. This description appears in paragraph 1 of the passage, where the author makes it clear that "signifiers" are tied to "signifieds" in order to produce a sign, or

linguistic understanding. is relationship can be, but is not necessarily, permanent. (A) adheres to this description well. e meaning can be permanently linked or changed, depending on the circumstances. (B) is Extreme in its categorization of semantic links as permanent. e passage said that links are “arbitrary and based on common usage rather than on some necessary link,” so the categorization in this answer choice of all links being concrete is Extreme, and thus correct. (C) fits in perfectly with the previous quotation that links are “based on common usage.” Finally, (D) is also a perfect explanation of Saussurian theory. e signifier is presented, it triggers brain activity, and the definition (signified) is provided.

Effective Review of CARS Effective Review of CARS In This Chapter 12.1 Learning from Your Mistakes How I’ll Fix It Sheets 12.2 Thinking Like the Testmaker: Post-Phrasing 12.3 Improving Your Timing Managing Question Timing 12.4 Building Endurance 12.5 Enhancing Your Vocabulary Concept and Strategy Summary

After Chapter 12, you will be able to: Troubleshoot common errors on missed questions with How I’ll Fix It Sheets Apply post-phrasing analysis to difficult passages Manage and adjust your pacing within the CARS section to meet the 90-minute Build endurance and vocabulary through targeted practice is final chapter is a troubleshooting guide for raising your score. Experience with the CARS section is a prerequisite for the material in this chapter. So, before studying this chapter in detail, you must practice the strategies discussed throughout this book, especially the Kaplan Method for CARS Passages from Chapter 7 and the Kaplan Method for CARS Questions from Chapter 8. For the material in this chapter to be most effective, it’s best if you have also taken a few timed and scored CARS sections, possibly on one or two Full-Length practice exams. Once you’ve built this familiarity with the CARS section—and with your personal pitfalls in the CARS section—you’ll be ready for troubleshooting! We’ll look at five proven ways to increase your Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills (CARS) section score— including some methods you’ll find useful for the science sections as well. Hands down, the best way to improve is to learn from the mistakes you make on practice tests, which is why we begin our discussion with Kaplan’s How I’ll Fix It Sheets (HIFIS). e post-phrasing strategy discussed in the following section builds on

the HIFIS, helping you think more like the writers of the MCAT. After we discuss post-phrasing, we'll talk about a simple approach for managing your pacing on Test Day, and we'll discuss what you can do to build your test-taking stamina. We'll close with a discussion of one final advantage you can give yourself for CARS: a stronger 12.1 Learning from Your Mistakes

At this juncture, you have read quite a bit about how to approach CARS, you have had the opportunity to practice, and you may even have completed one or more Full-Length Exams. Now is the time to reflect on your performance and adjust your study plan based on your personal test-taking pathologies, which are identifiable patterns in your past errors that can help to guide your future CARS studying. HIFIS are a way to create and maintain a pool of data on your own performance on the MCAT. Because they're structured as tables, spreadsheet tools can be very helpful for analyzing your HIFIS. Consider using a spreadsheet-creating program to build your HIFIS so you can add to them from multiple devices, sort them by different columns to more easily see trends, and organize them in different ways that best suit your current needs.

How I'll Fix It Sheets It can be overwhelming to try to discern what your strengths and areas of opportunity are by looking at an entire CARS section at once. Rather, take time to analyze each question you answered incorrectly. One way to help manage this process—and to keep a clear record of your performance over time—is to set up How I'll Fix It Sheets (HIFIS). Create a document with at least four columns: question number, question type/topic, wrong answer type chosen, and "How I'll Fix It." In the first column, make a list compiling all of the items you answered incorrectly on the test. In the second column, identify the passage topic and question type based on the Kaplan classifications we reviewed in the previous three chapters (or, if you're making a HIFIS for one of the sciences, include the question topic). The third column should include the wrong answer type you chose (Opposite, Faulty Use of Detail, Distortion, Out of Scope, content error) for the question. Lastly, in column four, take time to review your incorrect response and identify the specific reason why you got the question wrong and what steps you'll take to "fix it" (i.e. avoid this mistake in the future). Table 12.1 demonstrates what HIFIS should look like.

Table 12.1 Sample How I'll Fix It Sheet

(HIFIS) How I'll Fix It Misinterpreted the question stem. Next time, stop after reading the question ask myself "what is this question asking me to find?" How I'll Fix It Didn't understand question stem. Next time, stop after reading the question to ask myself whose opinion the question is asking about and whether strengthen or weaken is needed. Chose an answer choice that may be true. Next time focus my answer choice elimination on which choices must be true. Answer choice was too narrow. Next time, use my Read & Distill steps to predict an overall goal of the passage before reading the Need some help identifying the question type for your How I'll Fix It Sheets? Don't forget to review Chapters 9, 10, and 11 of MCAT CARS Review for thorough coverage of each e most important element of this table is the How I'll Fix It column. Do not just categorize your test-taking mistakes with a generic I didn't understand or careless mistake. Such comments will not give you insight into why you missed the question nor how you can avoid that problem in the future. At the other extreme, you are never going to see this specific question again, so a hyper-specific description of exactly how you would answer this question isn't going to help you in the future either. Responses that are either too vague or too specific both result in the same problem: you're spending this time reviewing your performance, but you're not learning what to do better on the next test! e goal of HIFIS is to learn to identify questions in the future that are similar to questions you've missed in the past, and then to avoid the mistakes you made on such questions in the past. Your response in the How I'll Fix It column should always keep this goal in mind. To write good How I'll Fix It responses, start by identifying exactly what you did wrong on the specific question you're reviewing and what behavior or thought process you would change to get the question correct, then generalize that behavior just a bit by recording only the steps of how to get the right answer without the details of that specific question. e responses in the above table illustrate this technique. Take the first response as an example. is student identifies the specific mistake and what steps to take to avoid this error, "Misinterpreted the question stem ... asking me to find?." But this student doesn't provide unnecessary details about the question or passage. In the How I'll Fix It column, this student also labels this mistake with the general

label, "Misinterpreted question stem." In fact every one of this student's How I'll Fix It entries follow this formula: general label, then specific details on how to fix the problem, all with little or no information unique to the passage or question. You don't have to follow this format exactly on every question, but it is a good default. HIFIS make it easier to identify flaws that are becoming trends, which helps you better address these trends earlier in your prep. Consider the following pairs of "bad" and "good" examples: Bad: I missed this because the answer choice declares that Beowulf was written by an aristocrat, but the passage said that the author was only "probably" a member of the royal court. Next time, I need to read more Good: Misread question stem. Next time, I'll rephrase the question stem so I know exactly what is being asked. Bad: I missed this because I recognized "unmoved mover" from the question stem, which is in paragraph 3, but the answer they were looking for actually comes from the description of the "Central Headquarters" sentence in paragraph 4. Next time, I'll go to the right Good: Referred to passage incorrectly. Next time, I'll identify which paragraph the question is asking about and use the passage to make a When reviewing your HIFIS, in addition to looking for trends in the How I'll Fix It column, compare the question type/topic column to the wrong answer chosen column to narrow the scope of your future study plan. Is there a recognizable pattern you can utilize to optimize your performance? For instance, maybe looking at the question type column, you observe that you are repeatedly missing Reasoning Within the Text questions. That's good information. But maybe by also comparing with the topic, you observe that you most often miss this question type on anthropology passages specifically. Now, not only have you identified a question type for further practice, but also you know to be on guard next time you're facing a Reasoning Within the Text question on an anthropology passage. Further, by also comparing the wrong answer chosen column, you may identify that you frequently choose Faulty Use of Detail answer choices for this question type. Use your HIFIS to plan which passage varieties you'll focus on in the future, what strategies you need to work on to ensure you understand the passage and questions, or to determine which chapters you need to reread in MCAT CARS Review. You want to make

sure that you are moving forward with a plan that specifically supports your areas of opportunity. In addition to the basic data we've discussed so far, you can optionally collect several additional pieces of data to further flesh out your HIFIS and make them even more valuable tools. You can add a column to record the time you spent on each passage and question, which can be valuable for discovering passage types, question types, or content or topic areas where you tend to fall behind. Note that when reviewing the Kaplan Review Page, the time associated with the first question in a question set will typically represent the time you spent Distilling the passage plus the time you spent answering that question. Some students also find it helpful to actually split out the one How I'll Fix It column into two columns: one column to capture a general label for the error and a second column to record how they'll address that error. Make your HIFIS your own by customizing them to capture data points that are most relevant to the most problematic parts of In medical school, you'll attend morbidity and mortality (M&M) conferences, in which physicians review clinical and medical errors, patient complications, and other healthcare quality measures to investigate the root causes of problems in order to fix them directly. These conferences are not dissimilar to creating How I'll Fix It Sheets—you can only Troubleshooting Common Wrong Answer Trends HIFIS are a great tool for uncovering trends among your wrong answers. But of course, diagnosing and treating your errors in this way may not be something you've done before. So, let's discuss three of the most common trends among wrong answers, and what to do about them. If you repeatedly read questions incorrectly, you are not alone—MCAT students often misread or misinterpret questions in CARS. So, if misreading questions comes up as a trend in your HIFIS, you'll know to practice the CARS question strategy. Remember, establishing the question type is a critical component of the CARS question strategy. You might be less familiar with CARS-style content, but the tradeoff is that all CARS questions are subdivided into just a few predictable question types, each with its own predictable (and practiceable!) strategy. Rephrasing the question stem to ensure you fully understand the question is also essential. As you review your practice tests, reexamine the questions and the answers you selected that were incorrect. In hindsight,

when looking at the question a second time, do you find yourself shaking your head because you simply misinterpreted what was being asked? It could indicate that you are reading the question stems too hastily and moving on to the answer choices before you even know what you're supposed to be answering. If this is the case, force yourself to take the extra time to paraphrase a difficult question stem so that you know precisely what the question is asking. Time spent paraphrasing is not wasted time. The added clarity will allow you to investigate more quickly, which will help you match an answer choice rapidly and accurately. In the next section, we will discuss a review technique called post-phrasing, which is a particularly helpful exercise for any student who commonly misreads the question. If your HIFIS demonstrates a pattern in which you keep missing the same question types, then there is an easy way to gain time and confidence on this test: start to triage those questions. First, go back and reread the appropriate chapter in MCAT CARS Review so you can recognize these question types with greater ease. Chapters 9, 10, and 11 introduced the major question types and highlighted the common question stems that fall into each type. Remember that triaging a question to the end of the set is the opposite of admitting defeat. Very often, as you answer the other questions in the set, you learn more about the author's argument, which maximizes your chances on the triaged question. Here's a good way to think about it: triaging a question is less like running from your foe, and more warming up before going into battle. Remember also that each question type has an associated strategy that you can practice. Many students find that their least favorite question types early on become among their most reliable question types by Test Day. And this turnaround starts by identifying a problematic question type using HIFIS. Finally, don't neglect identifying the Wrong Answer Pathologies of the incorrect answers that you chose. Simply knowing the Wrong Answer Pathologies that most often sway you from choosing the correct answer may be enough to help you avoid falling for the same traps in future tests. For example, if you know that you frequently choose Out of Scope answer choices in Main Idea questions, then you'll know to ask yourself Is this answer truly within the confines of the passage, or does it bring in something else? before finalizing

your answer for Main Idea questions in the future. Knowing your personal test-taking pathologies is essential to improving your score. In addition to looking at the question types, topics or disciplines, and how you read question stems, don't forget to look at Kaplan's classifications of Wrong Answer Pathologies (Faulty Use of Detail, Out of Scope, Opposite, and Distortion), discussed in Chapter 8 of MCAT 12.2 Thinking Like the Testmaker: Post- Does this scenario sound familiar? You skim through the explanation for a question that you missed, shrug, and think, "I guess I get it." When you miss a practice question, you've actually made two mistakes. Not only were you tempted by a trap answer, but also you looked right at the right answer and thought, "Nah! Not that one!" To turn today's wrong answers into tomorrow's right answers, you need a proactive technique for reviewing questions. Post-phrasing is that technique. With post-phrasing, you go over both why incorrect answers are wrong and why correct answers are right. This strategy is especially helpful if you frequently experience the classic test-taking dilemma: I can usually narrow it down to two answer choices, and then I always go for the wrong one. To post-phrase, open a question you answered incorrectly, and make a note of the correct answer. Now, you'll carefully dissect the question. Begin by identifying the Type of the question. Recall that each question Type is associated with a general strategy so, if necessary, briefly review the general strategy for that question Type. Next, paraphrase the question stem. To make it very concrete, say your paraphrase out loud, write it down, or type it Rephrase step from the question method. Your focus in this step should be to carefully decode what the question stem is asking you to do. Once you've Typed the question, reviewed the best strategies for addressing that question type, and Rephrased the question, Investigate the solution. When post-phrasing, write or type out your prediction for the correct response. While you wouldn't write down a prediction on Test Day, the post-phrasing exercise is designed to ensure you are making concrete predictions as part of your Investigation. It's essential to take the time to write the prediction down: many students find that a poorly focused prediction keeps them from finding a Match on MCAT questions. The more you practice writing out your predictions during the

post-phrasing process, the more routine making predictions will become. You may notice that the description of post-phrasing, thus far, basically describes a regimented and very deliberate use of the Kaplan Method for MCAT Questions. But at the end, the focus will not be on matching your prediction anymore; after all, you already know the correct answer because you're reviewing a question you answered incorrectly. Instead, turn your attention to the incorrect answers. Determine why each incorrect answer is unsuitable. Does it fail to match your prediction? Does it have a common Wrong Answer Pathology? Are there other subtle flaws in logic or reasoning that misrepresent the author's arguments? Pay special attention to the wrong answer you actually chose. Why was this answer tempting? Why is it ultimately wrong? By taking the time to write or type out an explanation of the flaw for each incorrect answer, you'll become better at identifying flaws in future wrong answers. Finally, after all this, now take a look at Kaplan's explanation and check how well your reasoning matches up. To summarize, remember this saying: Practice alone doesn't make perfect. Perfect practice makes perfect. Post-phrasing is that perfect practice. You are taking a question that you did not answer correctly, and you are modeling the steps, actions, and strategies that you should have used to get that question right, which reinforces those behaviors for next time. And lastly, after such a thorough review of a question, what's the best next step? Summarize the results of this detailed, post-phrasing investigation in your HIFIS of course. You don't have to post-phrase every question you missed on every practice exam. Instead, save post-phrasing for the questions that really deserve a thorough examination: the ones where you were stumped, where you were stuck between two answers, and the ones where you made a silly mistake that you want to forever avoid in the future. Alternatively, a variant of post-phrasing can be used as an exercise to improve your recognition of Wrong Answer Pathologies in passages you haven't seen yet. Consider practicing with a few passages following the steps 1. Find a fresh CARS passage from your Kaplan resources, as well as its 2. Go through and select the correct answers for the passage. Don't read the explanations, just record the correct answers and set them aside for 3. Outline the passage as you normally would. 4. 4. When you

work on the questions, do so in a fundamentally different way: as above, the goal here is not to find the right answers (as you have already identified them) but to reason why each incorrect answer is wrong. Read the right answer to be sure you understand it, but spend more time identifying the types of wrong answers presented by the 5. Look at the explanations for each incorrect answer choice and compare them with your notes. If there are any major omissions or other discrepancies in your explanations, be sure to note them prominently. The more you practice, though, the closer you should find the correspondence between your post-phrasing notes and Kaplan's Remember, on the MCAT the right answer is not just the "most correct" answer. Each question has one right answer and three answers with objective flaws, meaning that the right answer is objectively correct because it is the only answer without flaws. Through regular post-phrasing practice, you will begin to see the patterns emerge. What seem now like very subtle flaws will soon start to seem very pronounced. As a result, you will find yourself recognizing the correct answer, the one that doesn't suffer from any flaws, and thinking this one just feels right. Furthermore, taking the time to post-phrase will expand your awareness of how the test is written and what the expectations are for MCAT questions. Through post-phrasing practice, you will refine your thought process and your approach to passages and questions, making you better prepared for Test Day. In addition to Wrong Answer Pathologies, take time to review the Signs of a Healthy Answer presented in Chapter 8 of MCAT CARS Review. These include appropriate scope, agreement with the author, and "hedging" language that creates weaker claims.

12.3 Improving Your Timing

Proper management of the clock can make a significant difference to your score in any section, but the timing constraints in the CARS section differ from those of the other sections. Consider a few basic facts about the CARS section. It lasts 90 minutes. There are 9 passages. There are 53 questions. It's a simple matter of arithmetic to see that 9 passages in 90 minutes allows you 10 minutes for each passage. Because all of the points actually come from answering the questions, though, you'll want to ensure that you allot enough time for the questions. Passages vary in difficulty, meaning that some will certainly take longer to read

than others, but as a rule of thumb you should aim to complete the Preview, Read, and Distill steps for the passage in about 4–5 minutes. Your timing will vary based on the Distill approach you Choose, but even the most intense Interrogation of a very challenging passage should take no longer than 6 minutes. Subtracting those combined ~40 minutes for reading leaves you with 50 minutes for the 53 questions, which works out to almost exactly one minute per question. Keep in mind that the number of questions associated with a passage can vary from 5 to 7, meaning that a more precise expectation for completing a passage and its questions is somewhere between 9–11 minutes. While it is most common to have five to seven questions associated with a given passage, there have been instances of passages with only four or as many as eight questions. This should not significantly impact how you approach these passages, although—all else being equal—a passage with eight questions will give you more points for the same amount of time. Now, trying to ensure that each passage takes 4–5 minutes and each question a little less than one minute would actually be counterproductive: not only would you lose a substantial amount of time checking the clock, you'd likely heighten your anxiety each time you came across a question that takes a little extra time to get through. A better approach to managing your time is to check your timing only at a limited number of predetermined points during the section. We recommend checking the clock after every other passage. Assuming that you take 10 minutes to read a passage and answer its questions, you should ideally be no more than 20 minutes further into the section each time you check the clock. We recommend jotting down how much time remains when you start the third, fifth, seventh, and ninth passages (it should be at least 70, 50, 30, and 10 minutes, respectively). If your timing appears to go off-course, then during your review of the test, note which passages (and potentially Distill approaches) seem to have caused this trouble. Could you have triaged these time-consuming passages or distilled them differently, and answered more questions correctly in the limited time you had left? Hitting these recommended time points does not leave you much of a cushion for going back to previous passages and questions, but this approach is by far the best way to maximize your score. Given that each CARS passage

contains between 500 and 600 words, jumping between passages is far less realistic in CARS than in any of the science sections, where passages can be less than half that length. While it is recommended that you skip passages that you decide will be too time-consuming in your Preview step, we do not recommend that you leave a passage unfinished with the intention of returning later. Once you decide to work on a passage, commit to finishing it. Managing Question Timing e timing guidelines given so far are useful for managing the CARS section. But mastering the split between reading each passage and answering its questions can still be tricky. In your online resources, we record the time spent working on each question so you can get a sense of which questions take you longer than others. (Note that this mechanism requires you to click on the question when you begin working on it to accurately record this information.) Keep in mind that the time we record for the first question of each passage will include the time you spend critically Reading and Distilling the passage in the beginning. us, you should strive to have the first question of each set answered within around 5 minutes (or 300 seconds), and every subsequent question should be close to the one-minute mark, calculated as an average. If you've chosen to Distill primarily by Highlighting, you should expect to see that you answered your first question more quickly, and then spent more time on each question. Alternately, if you mostly choose to Distill by Interrogating, you should expect to see a time of 6 or even 7 minutes for the first question, with much shorter times for the subsequent questions in each set. If you use a mix of methods, or if you primarily use the Outlining method, 5 minutes average for the passage and first question should be your target. If the seconds ticking down causes you too much anxiety, an alternative way of working on your timing is to use the timer on your phone or some other timepiece to "time up"—that is, to count upwards from zero to see how much time it's taking you to read the passage and to complete each question. Timers with "lap" functions can be especially useful because these can record how long each question takes. Generally, this method works best with only one or two passages at a time. Keep in mind, however, that an alternate timer cannot be used on Test Day! Once you have a better sense of which types of passages and questions take you longer

to complete, you should use this information in conjunction with what you've learned from each HIFIS to guide your now or later decisions in the first step of each method (Preview for passages and Type for questions). Beginning the section with the passages that are easiest for you will allow you to get ahead on the timing curve. Similarly, once you've committed to a passage, saving the toughest questions for the end of that set will give you an opportunity to gain additional familiarity with the passage as you work on its more manageable questions. Because questions can repeat the same theme, you may even find the answer to a challenging question while working on an easier question.

12.4 Building Endurance

Preparing for the MCAT is like preparing for a marathon. You cannot run 1 or 2 miles a day for two months and then expect to be successful in a 26.2-mile marathon. Runners ramp up to the 26.2 miles, routinely running long distances in preparation for marathon day. How often are you studying and for how long? If you are only studying in 30- or 60-minute increments, you might find when you sit down to complete a Full-Length Exam that you have not built up your endurance for that type of test. Not only do you need to study and practice regularly, but you also need to simulate the Test Day experience, which requires concentrating for 6 hours and 15 minutes of testing time. Gear up for practice tests—and Test Day itself—by periodically holding long study and practice sessions. When working on CARS, try studying in 90-minute increments to mimic the amount of time you'll have for this section. Long study periods can also be helpful for the sciences, as you will have 95 minutes for each science section on Test Day.

12.5 Enhancing Your Vocabulary

The AAMC claims that there's no outside content required for the CARS section of the MCAT, but in truth this is not 100 percent accurate—you may come across a question that has an element of common knowledge (the number of days in a week, for example). Furthermore, while very few questions hinge on knowing the definition of a piece of jargon from outside knowledge, a strong vocabulary on Test Day can be a tremendous asset. If nothing else, you can become more comfortable with academic writing in the various disciplines that the AAMC includes in the CARS section. Do this by familiarizing yourself with plenty of examples. While you will not be expected to know the definition of a piece of jargon

from outside knowledge, Definition-in-Context questions hinge on your ability to determine the meaning of a word or phrase from the rest of the passage. These questions are discussed in Chapter 9 of MCAT CARS Review. e Kaplan and AAMC Full-Length Exams are, of course, the best place to go to get samples of CARS-style passages and questions. But students who want to go the extra mile also have the option of practicing with outside e more oen you read, the stronger your reading comprehension skills become, and the faster you evolve into a more efficient reader. is practice will help you build up a wider array of words that you recognize by sight, meaning that you'll spend less time deciphering the text. Believe it or not, reading is a skill that you can practice and improve, just like any skill. So, to prepare for CARS, read on a consistent basis. is practice this will increase your reading speed so that you can maneuver swily through passages. Set up a regimented reading schedule for at least 20 minutes a day, which is just enough time to get through two passages according to the the timing guidelines for the CARS section. Also, keep an array of reading materials handy for when you have time available. is mini-library could consist of a list of links kept on a smartphone or other wireless-enabled device. It is surprising how much time we spend waiting—for a friend at a coffee shop, for a group to go out in the evening, for a professor to arrive to class, or for a meeting. ese are all examples of times that could be used productively to sharpen reading skills to prepare for CARS. When selecting materials, try to simulate the variety of passages you'll encounter on Test Day with a blend of texts from both the humanities and the social sciences. Use your HIFIS to determine which types of passages cause you the greatest confusion or frustration, the ones that slow you down the most on your Full-Length Exams. Focus on reading those problematic types of passages in particular; the more you read these difficult texts, the more familiar you will become with their jargon and with other conventions, and the less intimidating these passages will start to seem. Consider using one of the following online services to find academic journal articles in the disciplines that give you the most trouble: Oxford Journals (academic.oup.com/journals) Google Scholar (scholar.google.com) Project MUSE (muse.jhu.edu) e Directory of Open

Access Journals (doaj.org) Sage Journals (journals.sagepub.com) Whenever you come across a word that you don't recognize, stop and take a moment to look that word up and write down its definition in your own words. Keeping a list of these new terms and looking over them periodically will go a long way toward building your vocabulary. As your vocabulary expands, you will be able to either recognize words or swily infer their meaning, which will increase your efficiency both while Reading and Distilling the passage and while tackling question stems and answer choices. In addition to learning the meanings of new words, reading humanities and social sciences articles will help you become acquainted with the major themes and concepts that frequently appear on the CARS section. Familiarity with a concept can allow you to glean more from a passage when that concept is mentioned, enabling you to read more quickly if the text simply repeats what you already know. Be careful, however, not to bring in any ideas that the passage does not include when answering its questions— there's a reason Out of Scope is a common Wrong Answer Pathology! Because there are only nine passages in CARS, the likelihood that you will have read about the exact scenario presented in a CARS passage is not high, but it is very likely that you will have read about similar topics. Finally, any form of academic reading forces you to think critically about the ideas the author is presenting. Just as when you practice with passages in CARS, try distilling a few paragraphs of an academic article. Focus on how the author structures the argument: what conclusion does the author want the audience to reach? What evidence is used to support that conclusion? Are there any flaws in the author's logic? Focused, regular reading will help prepare you for CARS by bolstering your reading comprehension and reasoning skills, as well as your comfort with academic texts and the challenges they bring. Medical schools want to admit students with strong reasoning skills because higher-order thinking is necessary for both appropriately diagnosing patients and conducting groundbreaking research. When given a constellation of symptoms and concerns, a physician needs to generate a differential diagnosis—a list of the potential ailments described by the symptoms. From this list, the medical team must rule out unlikely diagnoses and provide evidence for the most likely

diagnosis. What starts as a list of a hundred possible causes of headache—from migraines and tension-type headaches to intracranial bleeds and brain tumors—is reduced to the one most likely cause by asking appropriate questions (When did the headache start? Where do you feel the headache? Have you ever had anything similar before?), by performing a thorough physical exam (cranial nerve function, eye exam, looking for evidence of trauma), and by running appropriate laboratory and imaging tests (head CT, MRI, inflammatory markers). Patients want to trust the expertise of their doctors, and you want to ensure that you are able to deliver the best treatment to your patients.

e skills in CARS that enable you to determine the author’s perspective, to distinguish the author’s voice from others in the passage, to predict a response to a question, and to match your predictions (while eliminating incorrect answers) are the same skills that will serve you well as a physician. In the future, you may not be expected to think critically about dance theory, musicology, archaeology, and linguistics, but you will have to synthesize disparate pieces of information, consider assumptions about patient care, and respond appropriately to all parts of your patients’ questions—both what they say and what they leave for you to infer. As pointed out in this chapter, the skills tested in the Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills section can always be improved through pointed and actionable review of your past performance. Congratulations on reaching the end of MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review.

ough this book now comes to an end, for you this is merely a beginning—good luck on the MCAT, and in all your endeavors in medicine!

Concept and Strategy Summary

Learning from Your Mistakes

Create How I’ll Fix It Sheets (HIFIS) to look for your test-taking

Make a table with at least 4 columns: question number, question type, topic/discipline, and “How I’ll Fix It.” In the How I’ll Fix It column, describe the error you made in the question and what specific steps you should take to avoid this error in the future. Look for patterns in your HIFIS. If you misread questions, be sure to slow down and rephrase the question stem to make sure you know what question you are actually trying to answer. Reread the relevant chapters in MCAT Critical Analysis and Reasoning Skills Review as needed.

Thinking Like the Testmaker: Post-Phrasing

Find a CARS

passage from your Kaplan resources, as well as its explanations. It could be a passage you have already read or a new one. Go through and indicate all the correct answers for the passage. Don't read the explanations—just indicate the correct answers and set aside. Distill the passage as you normally would. When you work on the questions, do so in a fundamentally different way: the goal is not to find the right answers (as you have already identified them). Rather, the goal is to reason why each incorrect answer is wrong. Read the right answer to be sure you understand it, but spend more time identifying the types of wrong answers presented by the other choices. Look at the explanations for each incorrect answer choice and compare them with your notes. If there are any major omissions or other discrepancies in your explanations, be sure to note them.

Improving Your Timing Aim to read a passage in about 4 minutes; aim to answer each question in about one minute. Each passage and its questions together should take somewhere between 9 and 11 minutes. Check the clock after every other passage and its questions. Each passage pair should take about 20 minutes. At the beginning of the section, you have 90 minutes left. After two passages, you have about 70 minutes left. After four passages, you have about 50 minutes left. After six passages, you have about 30 minutes left. After eight passages, you have about 10 minutes left. After nine passages, you have 0 minutes left and are finished.

Increase stamina by studying in 90-minute increments when possible (equal to the amount of time for the CARS section). Periodically, simulate the Test Day experience with study and practice for 6 hours and 15 minutes (equal to the amount of testing time).

Enhancing Your Vocabulary Read academic texts for at least 20 minutes a day to sharpen reading skills in preparation for CARS. Choose articles on topics that cause you trouble on Full-Length Exams (as revealed by your HIFIS).

Practice Distilling (Highlighting, Outlining, and Interrogating) using The Kaplan Method for CARS

Passages Preview for Difficulty Look for the big picture. Assess the relative difficulty. Decide to read now or later. Choose Your Approach: Highlighting: Best for high-difficulty passages or if low on time. Outlining: Gives a moderate understanding of the passage and allows for more time to work on the questions. Interrogating: Gives a strong understanding of

the passage but allows for less time to work on the questions

Read and Distill the Meaning of Each Paragraph Recognize keywords to identify the most important and testable content in each paragraph Use your approach from the Choose step to extract your major takeaways from each paragraph: **Highlighting**—highlight 1–3 key terms and phrases per paragraph you can use to quickly locate information later **Outlining**—create a brief label for each paragraph that summarizes the main idea of that paragraph **Interrogating**—thoroughly examine each major idea presented in the paragraph and determine why and how the author is using the information to build an argument Identify the reason the passage was written before moving on to the

The Kaplan Method for CARS Questions Type the Question Read the question, NOT the answers Identify the question type and difficulty Decide to attack now or later in the same passage Rephrase the Stem Determine the task to be accomplished based on the question type Simplify the phrasing of the original question stem Translate the question into a specific piece of information you can either locate or infer Investigate Potential Solutions Search for the answer in your interrogation, your outline, or the Predict what you can about the answer Be flexible if your initial approach fails: when in doubt, refer back to Match Your Prediction to an Answer Choice Search the answer choices for a response that is synonymous with Eliminate answer choices that diverge from the passage Select an answer and move on

CARS Question Types

Foundations of Comprehension Reasoning Within the Foundations of Comprehension Reasoning Within the Asks for the phrase as it is used in the Look for: a trying to do Asks about the them or the Look for: relate, to a ne in such a way that you have a task Why did the clues in the me who the in a way that where in the Oen How is used in the two claims and you will usually be given at least one of these will have to find each piece of can be found: in stem, in the passage, or in Foundations of Comprehension Reasoning Within the goal in your well as the in your own brief so you can repeat it given or is the term in and the goal they fit into relevant text to Foundations of Comprehension Reasoning Within the to the right If there is no to the right If there is no to the right If there is no has the most effect on the made in the to the right If there is no to the right If there is no the right answer If there is no clear match,

use *Note: The Reasoning Within the Text and Reasoning Beyond the Text categories also contain a few other rare question types (described in Chapters 10 and 11 of MCAT CARS Review).

Wrong Answer Pathologies

What Is It? Potentially an accurate statement, but one that fails to answer the question posed

Stays too specific for a question that requires a general answer

Uses a detail from the wrong part of the

Comes from the right paragraph but still is What Is It?

A statement that brings in some element that the passage does not discuss (and that cannot be inferred from the passage)

Makes connections or comparisons that the author did not discuss

Makes a statement about the significance or history of an idea that the author did

Brings in other information that does not fit within the constraints of the passage

A statement that contains information that directly conflicts with

Contains (or omits) a single word like not

Contains a prefix like un- or a- or a suffix like -less or -free

Says that a given claim is true, when the author is ambivalent

A statement that is extreme or twists the ideas in the passage further than the author would prefer

Uses a strong word like all, always, none, never, impossible, or only

Contains a prefix like any- or every- or a suffix like -est or -less

Is more radical than the author (radical positions are rare in MCAT passages)