

SQP + QP + Active set

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m5Gos-fh9hc>

Original Problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & g(x) \leq 0 \quad \epsilon \mathbb{R}^m \\ & h(x) = 0 \quad \epsilon \mathbb{R}^p \end{array} \Rightarrow L(x, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) + \lambda^T h(x) + \mu^T g(x)$$

\Downarrow

$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda, \mu) = \nabla f(x^*) + \lambda^T \nabla h(x^*) + \mu^T \nabla g(x^*) = 0$
 $\nabla_\lambda L(x^*, \lambda, \mu) = h(x^*) = 0$
 $\nabla_\mu L(x^*, \lambda, \mu) = g(x^*) \leq 0$
 $\mu^T g(x^*) = 0$

If: $g(x)$ not exist \Leftrightarrow equality constraint only \Leftrightarrow no complementarity constraint
 \Rightarrow Newton or Quasi-Newton method (SQP)

Newton-SQP step to find root of KKT condition: $\Delta x = -\frac{f'(x)}{\nabla f(x)}$, here $f(x) = \nabla L$

$$\underbrace{\nabla_{(x, \lambda)}^2 L(x_k, \lambda_k)}_{\text{H}} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla_{(x, \lambda)} L(x_k, \lambda_k)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \nabla_x^2 L(x_k, \lambda_k) \quad \nabla h_1(x_k) \cdots \nabla h_p(x_k) \\ \nabla h_1^T(x_k) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla h_p^T(x_k) \end{array} \right]$$

or this is also derived from Taylor expansion $L(x, \lambda)$ and then find its minimum

$$L(x_k + d, \lambda_k + \delta) = L(x_k, \lambda_k) + \nabla_x^T L \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x^2 L \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}^2 := L_k(d, \delta), \text{ fix } x_k, \lambda_k$$

$$\therefore \nabla L_k(d, \delta) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_x^2 L \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla L$$

$$\therefore L_k(d, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla_x^2 L \cdot d + \nabla_x^T L \cdot d + L(x_k, \lambda_k) + \delta^T \nabla_x^2 L \cdot d + \delta^T h(x_k)$$

is the Lagrangian of new multiplier

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla_x^2 L \cdot d + \nabla_x^T L \cdot d + L(x_k, \lambda_k) \\ \text{s.t.} & \nabla_x^2 L \cdot d + h(x_k) = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow QP, \text{ done!}$$

Now with Inequality
 $L(x_k+d, \lambda_k+\delta, \mu_k+y) \leq L + \nabla_{x,\lambda,\mu} L^T \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x,\lambda,\mu}^2 L \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \delta \\ y \end{pmatrix}^2 = L_k(d, \delta, y)$

use Newton is not possible with inequality here.

$$L_k(d, \delta, y) = \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla_{xx}^2 L \cdot d + \nabla_x L^T d + L + \delta^T \nabla_x^2 L \cdot d + y^T \nabla_{x,\mu}^2 L \cdot y + \delta^T h(x_k) + y^T g(x_k)$$

$(\nabla h^T(x_k) \cdot d)_{i=1}^p \quad (\nabla g^T(x_k) \cdot d)_{i=1}^m$

which is the Lagrangian of:

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla_{xx}^2 L \cdot d + \nabla_x L^T d + L$$

s.t. $\begin{cases} h_i(x_k) + \nabla h_i(x_k)^T d = 0, & i=1, \dots, p \\ g_i(x_k) + \nabla g_i(x_k)^T d \leq 0, & i=1, \dots, m \end{cases}$ } Linearized constraint

$$\Leftrightarrow \min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} d^T A d + b^T d + c \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} H d + h = 0 \\ G d + g \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

A simpler QP with linear constraint!

Now construct yet another Lagrangian for QP:

$$L(d, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} d^T A d + b^T d + c + \lambda^T (H d + h) + \mu^T (G d + g)$$

and KKT ②

$$\begin{aligned} A d + b + H^T \lambda + G^T \mu &= 0 \\ H d + h &= 0 \\ G d + g &\leq 0 \\ \mu^T (G d + g) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Active set: at admissible d , we have active constraints $A = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : (Gd)_j + g_j = 0\}$
 and inactive constraints $I = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : (Gd)_j + g_j < 0\}$
 property: $\mu_j = 0, j \in I$

New KKT ③ : $A \hat{d} + H^T \lambda + G^T \hat{\mu} = -(A d + b)$
 (homogeneous problem)

$$H \hat{d} = 0$$

$$G \hat{d} = 0$$

contains rows of active constraints

if the solution of ③ is $(\hat{d}, \lambda, \hat{\mu})$. set. $\mu_{i \in A} = \hat{\mu}$ and $\mu_{i \in I} = 0$.

then $\hat{G}\hat{\mu} = G\hat{\mu}$, if $\hat{\mu} \geq 0$, then $(d + \hat{d}, \lambda, \hat{\mu})$ solves the KKT ②

$d + \hat{d}$ is admissible! then we can update old. active set, and iterate forward.

Algorithm: Active set strategy

- ① Find an admissible point $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- ② For d , determine the set \mathcal{A} of active inequality constraints defined in (1).
- ③ Compute a solution $(\hat{d}, \lambda, \hat{\mu})$ of the linear system (2).
 - (a) If $\|\hat{d}\| \leq \epsilon$:
 - If $\hat{\mu} \geq 0$: stop, (d, λ, μ) with $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{A}} := \hat{\mu}$, $(\mu_i)_{i \in I} := 0$ solves the KKT system.
 - If there is $i \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\hat{\mu}_i < 0$: set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{i\}$ and go back to step 3.
(Sensitivity Theorem: $\hat{\mu}_i < 0$: $(\hat{G}\hat{d})_i < 0 \Rightarrow f \downarrow$, **inactive constraint** will reduce cost.)
 - (b) If $\|\hat{d}\| > \epsilon$:
 - Choose step-size ρ and set $d := d + \rho\hat{d}$.
($H\hat{d} = 0$, $\hat{G}\hat{d} = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ this will not violate the equality and the active inequality constraints.)
Inactive constraints ($i \in I$) must not be violated, too:
$$(G(d + \rho\hat{d}) + g)_i = \underbrace{(Gd)_i + g_i}_{\leq 0} + \rho(G\hat{d})_i \leq 0, i \in I \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = \min_{i \in I} \left\{ \frac{(Gd)_i + g_i}{(G\hat{d})_i} \right\}.$$
 - Go back to step 2.