# Chapter 3: Facts about Common Statistical Models \*

## 3 Facts about common statistical models

### 3.1 Bayes Models

• Probability Model on Data We have distributions  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  for X on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{P} \ll \mu$  ( $\sigma$ – finite measure) and R -N derivatives

$$\frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu}(x) = f_{\theta}(x)$$

• Prior on Parameter We now add an assumption of a distribution G on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{C})$  with  $G \ll \nu(\sigma)$  -finite measure) and R-N derivative

$$\frac{dG}{d\nu}(\theta) = g(\theta)$$

• Joint Distribution for  $(X, \theta)$ : Here we consider  $f_{\theta}(x)$  as a function of both x and  $\theta$  (i.e., measurable in  $(x, \theta)$ ). If  $f_{\theta}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ -measurable, then there exists a joint probability distribution for  $(X, \theta)$  on  $(\mathcal{X} \times \Theta, \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})$  defined, for  $A \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ , by

$$\pi^{X,\theta}(A) \equiv P((X,\theta) \in A) = \int_A f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) = \int f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta) d(\mu \times \nu)(x,\theta)$$

where

$$\frac{d\pi^{X,\theta}}{d(\mu \times G)} \equiv f_{\theta}(x), \quad \frac{d\pi^{X,\theta}}{d(\mu \times \nu)} \equiv f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)$$

- Marginal Distributions
  - for X  $(B \in \mathcal{B})$

$$\pi^{X}(B) \equiv P(X \in B) = \pi^{X,\theta}(B \times \Theta) = \int_{B \times \Theta} f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) \stackrel{Fubini}{=} \int_{B} \left[ \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) \right] d\mu(x)$$
$$= \int_{B} \left[ \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta) d\nu \right] d\mu(x)$$
$$0 \le d\pi^{X}(x) - \int_{C} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) - \int_{C} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta)$$

$$0 \le \frac{d\pi^X(x)}{d\mu} = \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) = \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta)$$

- for  $\theta$   $(C \in \mathcal{C})$ 

$$\pi^{\theta}(C) \equiv P(\theta \in C) = \pi^{X,\theta}(\mathcal{X} \times C) = \int_{\mathcal{X} \times C} f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) = \int_{C} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\theta}(x) d\mu(x) \right] dG(\theta) = G(C)$$

Marginal distribution of  $\theta$  is prior distribution G.

- Conditional distributions
  - for  $X \mid \theta$

$$\pi^{X\mid\theta}(B\mid\theta)\equiv P_{X\mid\theta}(X\in B\mid\theta)=\int_B f_{\theta}(x)d\mu(x)=P_{\theta}(B),\quad B\in\mathcal{B}$$

$$\frac{d\pi^{X|\theta}(x)}{d\mu} = \frac{dP_{\theta}(x)}{d\mu} = f_{\theta}$$

<sup>\*</sup>STA643: Advanced Theory of Statistical Inference. Instructed by Dr. Daniel Nordman. Arranged by Zhiling Gu

- for  $\theta \mid X$ 

$$\pi^{\theta \mid X}(C \mid x) \equiv P_{\theta \mid X}(\theta \in C \mid X = x) = \int_{C} \left[ \frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta)} \right] dG(\theta) = \int_{C} \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta) d\nu(\theta)} d\nu(\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\pi^{\theta|X}(\theta)}{dG} = \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)dG(\theta)}, \quad \frac{d\pi^{\theta|X}(\theta)}{d\nu} = \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)d\nu(\theta)}, G \ll \nu$$

Note priors does not necessarily have a density, i.e. G is not necessarily dominated by some  $\nu$ . But you can always write the density of posterior with respect to G.

## 3.2 Exponential Family of Distributions

• Exponential family: Definition 16 :  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma \text{ -finite measure })$  is an exponential family if, for some  $h(x) \geq 0$ , it holds that

$$f_{\theta}(x) \equiv \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu}(x) = \exp\left(\alpha(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x)\right) h(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ 

- Identifiable: Definition 17: A family of distributions,  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is identifiable if  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$  implies  $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$
- Natural parameter space: Let  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and let

$$\Gamma \equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x)\right) d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

note: this kernel with linear combinations of  $T_i(X)$  using real numbers  $\eta_i, i = 1, ..., k$ . Define a new distributional family

$$\mathcal{P}^* \equiv \left\{ P_{\eta} \text{ has R-N derivative as } f_{\eta}(x) \equiv \frac{dP_{\eta}}{d\mu}(x) = K(\boldsymbol{\eta})h(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x)\right) : \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \Gamma \right\}$$

- $-\mathcal{P}\subset\mathcal{P}^*$
- $\Gamma$  is called the natural parameter space for  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$
- If Γ lies in a subspace of dimension less than k, then  $f_{\eta}(x)$  ( and  $f_{\theta}(x)$ ) can be rewritten in a form involving fewer than k statistics  $T_i(x)$ . (We'll assume Γ to be fully k-dimensional.)
- $-\mathcal{P}$  may be a proper subset of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  or

$$\Gamma_{\theta} \equiv \left\{ (\eta_1(\theta), \eta_2(\theta), \dots, \eta_k(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^k : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$$

can be a proper subset of  $\Gamma$ .

\* For example, for  $f_{\theta} \propto \exp(\theta, -\theta^2)$ ,

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \{(\theta, -\theta^2) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \Gamma \equiv \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : \eta \in \mathcal{T}, \eta_2 < 0\}$$

- \* The most useful results/theorems about the  $\eta$ -parameterization are the ones where  $\Gamma$  contains an open set, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is rich/big enough.
- \* If we want to translate results about the  $\eta$ -parameterization to  $\theta$ , then we want  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  to contain an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .
- \* To use the  $\theta$ -parameterization, we must want  $\eta(\cdot)$  to be 1-to-1 on  $\Theta$ .
- Claim 19: The support of  $P_{\theta}$  is

$$\{x \in \mathcal{X} : f_{\theta}(x) > 0\} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : h(x) > 0\}$$

The distributions in  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  are mutually absolutely continuous.

- Claim 20: The statistic  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_k)$  is sufficient for the exponential family  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- Claim 21:  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$  has induced distributions  $\{P_{\theta}^T : \theta \in \Theta\}$ , where

$$P_{\theta}^{T}(B) = P_{\theta}(T \in B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$$

which is also an exponential family.

- Claim 22: If  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  contains an open rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_k)$  is complete for the exponential family  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- Claim 23: If  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  contains an open rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (or under a much weaker assumption by Lehmann (1983)), then  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_k)$  is minimal sufficient for  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Lehmann's Geometric Condition: If there exists k+1 points  $v_0, \ldots, v_k \in \Gamma_\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  convex hull

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i v_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^k, p_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i = 1 \right\}$$

contains an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  then T is minimally sufficient.

- Claim 24: If  $g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable real-valued function with  $E_{\eta}|g(X)| < \infty$  then

$$E_{\eta}g(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} g(x) f_{\eta}(x) d\mu(x)$$

is continuous on  $\Gamma$  and has continuous partial derivatives of all orders on the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Also,

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}} \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} g(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} g(x) \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}} f_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x) d\mu(x)$$

holds for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ 

It is okay to swap partials and expectations.

- Claim 25: Recall the exponential form  $f_{\eta}(x) = K(\eta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{i} T_{i}(x)\right) h(x)$  of densities in  $\mathcal{P}^{*}$  where  $K(\eta)$  is normalizing constant. If  $\eta_{0}, \eta_{0} + \mathbf{u} \in \Gamma$  for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_{1}, \dots, u_{k})$ , then the moment generating function of statistic T(X) is

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_0} \exp \left[ u_1 T_1(X) + \dots + u_k T_k(X) \right] = \frac{K(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0)}{K(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 + \boldsymbol{u})}$$

and the moments can be calculated by taking derivatives wrt u evaluated at u = 0.

$$\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_0}\left[T_1^{\alpha_1}(X)T_2^{\alpha_2}(X)\cdots T_k^{\alpha_k}(X)\right] = \left.K\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0\right)\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1}\partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots \partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}}\frac{1}{K(\boldsymbol{\eta})}\right|_{\boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_0}$$

- Claim 26: If  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  with n iid components is such that  $X_i \sim P_{\theta}$  (an exponential family distribution with k-dimensional statistic  $T(X_i)$ ), then X generates a k-dimensional exponential family, say  $\mathcal{P}^n \equiv \{P_{\theta}^n : \theta \in \Theta\}$  on  $(\mathcal{X}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$  with respect to  $\mu^n$ . The k-dimensional statistic

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T(X_i), \quad T(X_i) = (T_1(X_i), T_2(X_i), \dots, T_k(X_i))$$

is sufficient for this family  $\mathcal{P}^n$ . And  $\sum_{i=1}^n T(X_i)$  is also complete if  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  contains an open rectangle. Here  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  is the parameter space with respect to  $P_{\theta}$ .

- Example:
  - 1.  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_{\eta}(x) \propto \exp(\eta_1 x \eta_2 x^2)$  for  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$
  - 2.  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_{\theta}(x) \propto \exp\left(\theta x \theta x^2\right) \exp(\theta T_1(x) + \theta T_2(x))$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in (0, \infty)$ , where  $T_1(x_1) = x, T_2(x) = -x^2$ . Remark:  $\Gamma_{\theta} = \{(\theta, \theta) : \theta > 0\}$  contains no open sets and we cannot expect to apply results for  $\{P_{\eta} : \eta \in \Gamma\}$  to  $\{f_{\theta}\}_{\theta>0}$ . This can be fixed by using another parameterization  $f_{\eta}(x) \propto \exp(\eta_1 T_1(x)), T_1(x) = x x^2, \eta > 0$ , then  $\Gamma = (0, \infty), \Gamma_{\theta} = (0, \infty)$  for  $f_{\theta}$  as above.
  - 3.  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_{\theta}(x) \propto \exp\left(\theta x \theta^2 x^2\right) = \exp(\theta T_1(x) + \theta^2 T_2(x))$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in (0, \infty)$ , where  $T_1(x_1) = x, T_2(x) = -x^2$ . Here  $\Gamma_{\theta}\{(\theta, \theta^2) : \theta \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  does not contain an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In other words, we cannot find  $f_{\eta}(x)$  having the same dimension as  $f_{\theta}(x)$ , i.e. k = 2 parametric functions  $(\theta, \theta^2)$  larger than k = 1 for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- Curved Exponential Family: when the dimension of the parameterization is less than the dimension of natural parameter space. (need special theory).

#### 3.3 Measures of Statistical Information

• Fisher Information Regularization Conditions: Definition 27 :  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma - \text{finite})$  is **FI** regular at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  if there is an open neighborhood of  $\theta_0$ , say O, such that

- (Support)  $f_{\theta}(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\theta \in O$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is all the possible value X can take. We can use support  $\{x \in \mathcal{X} : f_{\theta}(x) > 0\}$  by redefining  $\mathcal{X} \equiv$  support.
- (Smoothness) for all  $x, f_{\theta}(x)$  has first-order partial derivatives at  $\theta_0$ ; and
- (Local property: swapping condition)  $1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\theta}(x) d\mu(x)$  can be differentiated with respect to each component  $\theta_i$  at  $\theta_0$  so that

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_{i}} \Big|_{\theta_{0}} d\mu(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

• Score function: The random function of  $\theta$  given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_1}, \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_k}\right)$$

is called the score function. Note score function always has mean zero.

$$E_{\theta_0}(\frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i}|_{\theta_0}) = E_{\theta_0}(\frac{1}{f_{\theta_0}(x)}\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i}|_{\theta_0}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{f_{\theta_0}(x)}\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i}f_{\theta_0}(x)d\mu(x) = 0$$

by the third condition of Fisher Information.

• Fisher Information about  $\theta$  contained in X at  $\theta_0$ : Definition 28: If  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma - \text{finite})$  is FI regular at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  and

$$\mathrm{E}_{\theta_0} \left( \left. \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_i} \right|_{\theta_0} \right)^2 < \infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

then the  $k \times k$  matrix

$$I(\theta_0) = \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left( \left. \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_i} \right|_{\theta_0} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_j} \right|_{\theta_0} \right) \right]_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k$$

is called the Fisher information about  $\theta$  contained in X at  $\theta_0$ .

- Claim 29:
  - \* Fisher information does not depend on the dominating measure  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P} \ll \mu(\sigma \text{-finite})$  and  $\mu \ll \nu$ .

$$\frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\nu} = \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = f_{\theta}(x) \frac{d\mu(x)}{d\nu} \implies \frac{\partial \log(\frac{dP_{\theta}(x)}{d\nu})}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i} + \frac{\partial \log(\frac{d\mu_{\theta}(x)}{d\nu})}{\partial \theta_i}$$

where the second part does not depend on  $\theta_0$  and thus equals to zero.

\* Fisher Information  $I(\theta_0)$  does depend on the parameterization. Suppose  $\mathcal{P} \ll \mu(\sigma)$  -finite) is FI regular at  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\eta = h(\theta)$  for 1-to-1 and differentiable function  $h: \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  (so  $\theta = h^{-1}(\eta)$ ). Define distributions  $Q_{\eta} \equiv P_{h^{-1}(\eta)} = P_{\theta} \ll \mu$  (for  $\eta$  in the range of h) so that we have distributions/densities

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{\theta} & Q_{\eta} = P_{h^{-1}(\eta)} = P_{\theta} \\ f_{\theta} = \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu} & g_{\eta} = \frac{dQ_{\eta}}{d\mu} = \frac{dP_{h^{-1}(\eta)}}{d\mu} = f_{h^{-1}(\eta)} = f_{\theta} \end{array}$$

Then, we can compute the Fisher information in X about  $\eta$  at  $\eta_0$  as

$$I\left(\eta_{0}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{h'\left(h^{-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right)}\right)^{2} J\left(h^{-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{1}{h'\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\right)^{2} J\left(\theta_{0}\right)$$

using the first order derivative h' and the Fisher information  $J\left(h^{-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right)=J\left(\theta_{0}\right)$  in X about  $\theta$  at  $\theta_{0}=h^{-1}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ 

- Theorem 30: Suppose  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma - \text{finite})$  is FI regular at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  using an open neighborhood O around  $\theta_0$ . In addition, suppose  $f_{\theta}(x)$  has continuous second order partial derivatives with respect to  $\theta$  in the neighborhood O for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  with

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_{i}} \bigg|_{\theta_{0}} d\mu(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

and

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \bigg|_{\theta_0} d\mu(x), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k$$

Then, it holds that

$$I(\theta_0) = -\left[ \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log f_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta_0} \right) \right]_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k$$

Note: For k = 1, the above says that

$$I(\theta_0) = \mathcal{E}_{\theta_0} \left( \frac{d \log f_{\theta}(X)}{d \theta} \Big|_{\theta_0} \right)^2 = -\mathcal{E}_{\theta_0} \left( \frac{d^2 \log f_{\theta}(X)}{d \theta^2} \Big|_{\theta_0} \right)$$

- Proposition 31: If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent with  $X_i \sim P_{i,\theta}$ , then  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  carries the Fisher information

$$I(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i(\theta)$$

where  $I_i(\theta)$  is the Fisher information carried by  $X_i, i = 1, ..., n$ . Note

$$I(\theta) = Var\left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta}\right) = Var\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \partial \log f_{\theta}(x_{i})}{\partial \theta}\right) = \sum_{i} Var\left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\theta_{i}}(x)}{\partial \theta}\right) = \sum_{i} I_{i}(\theta)$$

- Proposition 32: Suppose  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma \text{finite}) \text{ is } FI \text{ regular at } \theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . If the function  $T(\text{ from } (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ to } (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})) \text{ is } \mathbf{1-to-1} \text{ then the Fisher information in } T(X) \text{ is the same as the Fisher information in } X : I_{T(X)}(\theta_0) = I_X(\theta_0)$
- Proposition 33: Suppose  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma \text{-finite})$  is FI regular at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  and that  $\mathcal{P}^T \equiv \{P_{\theta}^T : \theta \in \Theta\}$  (the set of distributions induced by a statistic T(X)) is FI regular at  $\theta_0$ . Then, the  $k \times k$  matrix

$$I_X\left(\theta_0\right) - I_{T(X)}\left(\theta_0\right)$$

is non-negative definite. Furthermore, if T(X) is sufficient, then

$$I_X(\theta_0) = I_{T(X)}(\theta_0)$$

holds. Also,  $I_X(\theta_0) = I_{T(X)}(\theta_0)$  holding for all  $\theta_0$  implies that T(X) is sufficient. Note: The proof of this result is really hard and can be found with Theorem 2.86 of Schervish.

- Proposition 34: For an exponential family of distributions as in Claim 28 (i.e., exponential families in natural parameter space), it holds that

$$I(\eta_0) = \operatorname{Var}_{\eta_0}(T(X)) = \left[ \left. \frac{\partial^2(-\log K(\eta))}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} \right|_{\eta_0} \right]_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k$$

where  $T(X) = (T_1(X), \dots, T_K(X))$  and

$$f_{\eta}(x) \equiv \frac{dP_{\eta}}{d\mu}(x) = K(\eta)h(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x)\right)$$

Proof: Note that  $\log f_{\eta}(x) = \log K(\eta) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x) + \log h(x)$ . and that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_{\eta}(x)}{\partial \eta_{i}} = \frac{\partial \log K(\eta)}{\partial \eta_{i}} + T_{i}(x) \stackrel{\text{Claim 25}}{=} -E_{\eta}T_{i}(X) + T_{i}(X)$$

• Kullback-Leibler Informaion Definition 35: If P and Q are probability measures on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$  with R -N derivatives p and q with respect to a dominating  $\sigma$  -finite measure  $\mu$ , then the Kullback-Leibler information (KL divergence of Q from P) is the P -expected log-likelihood ratio

$$I(P,Q) = \mathcal{E}_P \log \left(\frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \log \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) p(x) d\mu(x)$$

Note: The choice of  $\mu$  is immaterial. One could use  $\mu = P + Q$