## Chapter 3: Facts about Common Statistical Models \*

## 3 Facts about common statistical models

## **Bayes Models**

• Probability Model on Data We have distributions  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  for X on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{P} \ll \mu \; (\sigma - \text{ finite measure })$  and R -N derivatives

$$\frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu}(x) = f_{\theta}(x)$$

Prior on Parameter We now add an assumption of a distribution G on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{C})$  with  $G \ll \nu(\sigma)$ -finite measure) and R-N derivative

$$\frac{dG}{d\nu}(\theta) = g(\theta)$$

• Joint Distribution for  $(X, \theta)$ : Here we consider  $f_{\theta}(x)$  as a function of both x and  $\theta$  (i.e., measurable in  $(x, \theta)$ ). If  $f_{\theta}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$  -measurable, then there exists a joint probability distribution for  $(X, \theta)$  on  $(\mathcal{X} \times \Theta, \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})$  defined, for  $A \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$ , by

$$\pi^{X,\theta}(A) \equiv P((X,\theta) \in A) = \int_A f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) = \int f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta) d(\mu \times \nu)(x,\theta)$$

where

$$\frac{d\pi^{X,\theta}}{d(\mu \times G)} \equiv f_{\theta}(x), \quad \frac{d\pi^{X,\theta}}{d(\mu \times \nu)} \equiv f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)$$

- Marginal Distributions
  - for X  $(B \in \mathcal{B})$

$$\pi^{X}(B) \equiv P(X \in B) = \pi^{X,\theta}(B \times \Theta) = \int_{B \times \Theta} f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) \stackrel{Fubini}{=} \int_{B} \left[ \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) \right] d\mu(x)$$
$$= \int_{B} \left[ \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta) d\nu \right] d\mu(x)$$
$$0 \le \frac{d\pi^{X}(x)}{2} - \int_{B} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) = \int_{B} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta)$$

$$0 \le \frac{d\pi^X(x)}{d\mu} = \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta) = \int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) g(\theta)$$

- for  $\theta$   $(C \in \mathcal{C})$ 

$$\pi^{\theta}(C) \equiv P(\theta \in C) = \pi^{X,\theta}(\mathcal{X} \times C) = \int_{\mathcal{X} \times C} f_{\theta}(x) d(\mu \times G)(x,\theta) = \int_{C} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\theta}(x) d\mu(x) \right] dG(\theta) = G(C)$$

Marginal distribution of  $\theta$  is prior distribution G.

- Conditional distributions
  - for  $X \mid \theta$

$$\pi^{X\mid\theta}(B\mid\theta)\equiv P_{X\mid\theta}(X\in B\mid\theta)=\int_B f_{\theta}(x)d\mu(x)=P_{\theta}(B),\quad B\in\mathcal{B}$$

$$\frac{d\pi^{X|\theta}(x)}{d\mu} = \frac{dP_{\theta}(x)}{d\mu} = f_{\theta}$$

<sup>\*</sup>STA643: Advanced Theory of Statistical Inference. Instructed by Dr. Daniel Nordman. Arranged by Zhiling Gu

- for  $\theta \mid X$ 

$$\pi^{\theta \mid X}(C \mid x) \equiv P_{\theta \mid X}(\theta \in C \mid X = x) = \int_{C} \left[ \frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x) dG(\theta)} \right] dG(\theta) = \int_{C} \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta) d\nu(\theta)} d\nu(\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\pi^{\theta|X}(\theta)}{dG} = \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)dG(\theta)}, \quad \frac{d\pi^{\theta|X}(\theta)}{d\nu} = \frac{f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f_{\theta}(x)g(\theta)d\nu(\theta)}, G \ll \nu$$

Note priors does not necessarily have a density, i.e. G is not necessarily dominated by some  $\nu$ . But you can always write the density of posterior with respect to G.

## 3.2 Exponential Family of Distributions

• Exponential family: Definition 16 :  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\} \ll \mu(\sigma \text{ -finite measure })$  is an exponential family if, for some  $h(x) \geq 0$ , it holds that

$$f_{\theta}(x) \equiv \frac{dP_{\theta}}{d\mu}(x) = \exp\left(\alpha(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x)\right) h(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ 

- Identifiable: Definition 17: A family of distributions,  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is identifiable if  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$  implies  $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$
- Natural parameter space: Let  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and let

$$\Gamma \equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x)\right) d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

note: this kernel with linear combinations of  $T_i(X)$  using real numbers  $\eta_i, i = 1, ..., k$ . Define a new distributional family

$$\mathcal{P}^* \equiv \left\{ P_{\eta} \text{ has R-N derivative as } f_{\eta}(x) \equiv \frac{dP_{\eta}}{d\mu}(x) = K(\boldsymbol{\eta})h(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x)\right) : \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \Gamma \right\}$$

- $-\mathcal{P}\subset\mathcal{P}^*$
- $\Gamma$  is called the natural parameter space for  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$
- If Γ lies in a subspace of dimension less than k, then  $f_{\eta}(x)$  ( and  $f_{\theta}(x)$ ) can be rewritten in a form involving fewer than k statistics  $T_i(x)$ . (We'll assume Γ to be fully k-dimensional.)
- $-\mathcal{P}$  may be a proper subset of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  or

$$\Gamma_{\theta} \equiv \left\{ (\eta_1(\theta), \eta_2(\theta), \dots, \eta_k(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^k : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$$

can be a proper subset of  $\Gamma$ .

\* For example, for  $f_{\theta} \propto \exp(\theta, -\theta^2)$ ,

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \{(\theta, -\theta^2) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \Gamma \equiv \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : \eta \in \mathcal{T}, \eta_2 < 0\}$$

- \* The most useful results/theorems about the  $\eta$ -parameterization are the ones where  $\Gamma$  contains an open set, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is rich/big enough.
- \* If we want to translate results about the  $\eta$ -parameterization to  $\theta$ , then we want  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  to contain an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .
- \* To use the  $\theta$ -parameterization, we must want  $\eta(\cdot)$  to be 1-to-1 on  $\Theta$ .
- Claim 19: The support of  $P_{\theta}$  is

$$\{x \in \mathcal{X} : f_{\theta}(x) > 0\} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : h(x) > 0\}$$

The distributions in  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  are mutually absolutely continuous.

- Claim 20: The statistic  $T=(T_1,\ldots,T_k)$  is sufficient for the exponential family  $\mathcal P$ .
- Claim 21:  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$  has induced distributions  $\{P_{\theta}^T : \theta \in \Theta\}$ , where

$$P_{\theta}^{T}(B) = P_{\theta}(T \in B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$$

which is also an exponential family.

- Claim 22: If  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  contains an *open rectangle* in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_k)$  is complete for the exponential family  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- Claim 23: If  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  contains an *open rectangle* in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (or under a much weaker assumption by Lehmann (1983)), then  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$  is minimal sufficient for  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Lehmann's Geometric Condition: If there exists k+1 points  $v_0, \ldots, v_k \in \Gamma_\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  convex hull

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i v_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^k, p_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i = 1 \right\}$$

contains an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  then T is minimally sufficient.

- Claim 24: If  $g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable real-valued function with  $E_{\eta}|g(X)| < \infty$  then

$$E_{\eta}g(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} g(x) f_{\eta}(x) d\mu(x)$$

is continuous on  $\Gamma$  and has continuous partial derivatives of all orders on the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Also,

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1}\partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}}\mathrm{E}_{\pmb{\eta}}g(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}}g(x)\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1}\partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}}f_{\pmb{\eta}}(x)d\mu(x)$$

holds for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ 

It is okay to swap partials and expectations.

- Claim 25: Recall the exponential form  $f_{\eta}(x) = K(\eta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x)\right) h(x)$  of densities in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  where  $K(\eta)$  is normalizing constant. If  $\eta_0, \eta_0 + u \in \Gamma$  for  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , then the moment generating function of statistic T(X) is

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_0} \exp \left[ u_1 T_1(X) + \dots + u_k T_k(X) \right] = \frac{K(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0)}{K(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 + \boldsymbol{u})}$$

and the moments can be calculated by taking derivatives wrt u evaluated at u = 0.

$$\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_0}\left[T_1^{\alpha_1}(X)T_2^{\alpha_2}(X)\cdots T_k^{\alpha_k}(X)\right] = K\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0\right) \left.\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_k}}{\partial \eta_1^{\alpha_1}\partial \eta_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots \partial \eta_k^{\alpha_k}} \frac{1}{K(\boldsymbol{\eta})}\right|_{\boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_0}$$