


L4 Object Detection and Segmentation

Zonghua Gu 2022



Computer Vision Tasks


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE,
SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Objects


Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT


Outline

- Object detection
- Segmentation



Object Detection: Task Definition

- Input: Single Image
- Output: a set of detected objects
- For each object predict:
 - 1. Class label (e.g., cat vs. dog)
 - 2. Bounding box (4 numbers: x, y, width, height)
- Challenges:
 - Multiple outputs: variable numbers of objects per image
 - Multiple types of output: predict "what" (class label) as well as "where" (bounding box)
 - Large images: Classification works at 224x224 or lower; need higher resolution for detection, often ~800x600



Single-Object Detection

Detecting a single object


Often pretrained
on ImageNet
(Transfer learning)



This image is CC0 public domain

Treat localization as a
regression problem!

Problem: Images can have
more than one object!



Vector:
4096

Fully
Connected:
4096 to 1000

Fully
Connected:
4096 to 4

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

**Box
Coordinates**
(x, y, w, h)

“What”

“Where”

Correct label:
Cat

Softmax

Loss

Multitask
Loss

Weighted
Sum


Loss

L2 Loss


Correct box:
(x', y', w', h')

Multi-Object Detection

- Needs to predict 4 numbers for each object bounding box (x, y, w, h)
 - (x, y) are coordinates of the box center; (w, h) are its width and height
- $4N$ numbers for N objects




CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DOG: (x, y, w, h)

DOG: (x, y, w, h)

CAT: (x, y, w, h)




DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

...

Detecting Multiple Objects: Sliding Window

- Slide a box across the image, and apply a CNN to classify each image patch as object or background




Sliding Window Computational Complexity

- Total number of possible box positions in an image of size $H \times W$:
 - Consider a box of size $h \times w$:
 - Possible x positions: $W - w + 1$; Possible y positions: $H - h + 1$ (assuming stride of 1)
 - Total # possible positions: $(W - w + 1)(H - h + 1)$
 - Consider all possible box sizes: $1 \leq h \leq H, 1 \leq w \leq W$
 - Total # possible boxes:
$$\sum_{w=1}^W \sum_{h=1}^H (W - w + 1)(H - h + 1) = \frac{H(H+1)}{2} \frac{W(W+1)}{2}$$
 - For an 800x600 image, that is 57 million!


Tuning a FC layer into an equivalent CONV layer

- Two methods:
- 1) Upper left: With input volume $N_1 \times N_1 \times D_1$, set the filter volume $N_1 \times N_1 \times D_1$, i.e., $F = N_1$, stride $S = 1$, no pad. Then each filter generates a single output in the next layer (since $N_2 = \frac{1}{S}(N_1 - F) + 1 = 1$). Set the number of filters to be the number of neurons in the next layer
- 2) Lower left: Convert the input volume $N_1 \times N_1 \times D_1$ into $1 \times 1 \times (N_1 \times N_1 \times D_1)$. set the filter volume $1 \times 1 \times (N_1 \times N_1 \times D_1)$, i.e., $F = 1$, stride $S = 1$, no pad. Then each filter generates a single output in the next layer (since $N_2 = \frac{1}{S}(1 - 1) + 1 = 1$). Set the number of filters to be the number of neurons in the next layer




Fully connected layer

remember, these also involve dot products between the receptive fields and kernels




$$\text{where } \mathbf{W}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1} & w_{1,2} \\ w_{1,3} & w_{1,4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{2,1} & w_{2,2} \\ w_{2,3} & w_{2,4} \end{bmatrix}$$





Fully connected layer



Or, we can concatenate the inputs into 1×1 images with 4 channels and then use 2 kernels
(remember, each kernel then also has 4 channels)


FC-to-CONV Conversion Applied

- Recall: at each CONV layer, each filter implicitly has the same depth as its input volume, and the number of filters implicitly equals the depth of its output volume
 - e.g., layer C2 has $120 \times 5 \times 5 \times 16$ filters; layer C3 has $84 \times 1 \times 1 \times 120$ filters; layer C4 has $10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 84$ filters




Convolution Implementation of Sliding Windows

- If the input image is larger than the CNN's input size, then this transformation allows us to "slide" the entire CNN across many spatial positions in the larger input image, and generate multiple SoftMax output vectors in one forward pass, whereas the original architecture with FC layers only computes one SoftMax output vector in one forward pass. This improves computation efficiency by reducing redundant computations between overlapping sliding windows
- Middle row: outputs $2 * 2 = 4$ probability vectors of size 4, corresponding to each of the $2 * 2 = 4$ possible positions of sliding a 14×14 box across the 16×16 input image with stride 2
- Bottom row: outputs $8 * 8 = 64$ probability vectors of size 4, corresponding to each of the $8 * 8 = 64$ possible positions of sliding a 14×14 box across the 28×28 input image with stride 2



Region Proposals

- Generating region proposals: find a small set of boxes that are likely to cover all objects, based on heuristics (no learning): e.g., look for “blob-like” image regions
 - Relatively fast to run: e.g. Selective Search gives 2000 region proposals in a few seconds on CPU




Important

R-CNN: Training Time

- Crop/warp each region proposal into same-size (e.g., 224×224) image regions, and run each through a CNN to get bounding box and class label for each region
- Bounding box regression: transform each region proposal with learnable parameters (t_x, t_y, t_h, t_w) into a better bounding box

R-CNN: Region-Based CNN



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.


Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN Training Example

- Categorize each region proposal as positive, negative, or neutral based on overlap with ground-truth boxes
- Crop pixels from each positive and negative proposal, resize to 224 x 224
- Use the CNN for Bbox regression and classification for positive boxes; only 1-class prediction for negative boxes

"Slow" R-CNN Training

Input Image




GT Boxes

Positive


Neutral




Run each region through CNN. For positive boxes predict class and box offset; for negative boxes just predict background class




Class target: Dog
Box target: →



Class target: Cat
Box target: →



Class target: Dog
Box target: →





Class target: Background
Box target: None

R-CNN: Test Time

- 1. Run region proposal method to compute ~2000 region proposals
- 2. Resize each region to 224×224 (tunable hyperparams) and run independently through the CNN to predict class scores and Bbox transform
- 3. Use scores to select a subset of region proposals to output
 - Many choices here: threshold on background score (e.g., output bottom K proposals with lowest background scores), or per-class (e.g., output top K proposals with highest classification scores for the given class)...
- 4. Compare with ground-truth Bboxes


Detection Criteria (Intersection Over Union, IOU)



- Blue box: Ground Truth; Red box: model output
- Set a threshold for detection (positive result)
 $\text{IOU}(B_{\text{GT}}, B_{\text{Pred}}) \geq \theta_{IoU}$
 - Common threshold $\theta_{IoU} = 0.5$

Non-Max Suppression (NMS)

- NMS discards (suppresses) overlapping object boxes except the one with the maximum classification score
- 1. For each output class
 - 1.1 Select next highest-scoring box b and output it as a prediction
 - 1.2 Discard any remaining boxes b' with $\text{IoU}(b, b') > \text{threshold}$
 - 1.3. If any boxes remain, GOTO 1.1
- Example:
 - Assume threshold=.7
 - Blue box has the highest classification score $P(\text{dog}) = .9$. Output the blue box, and discard the orange box since $\text{IoU}(\text{blue}, \text{orange})=.78 > .7$.
 - The next highest-scoring box is the purple box with $P(\text{dog}) = .75$. Output the purple box, and discard the yellow box since $\text{IoU}(\text{purple}, \text{yellow})=.74 > .7$



$$\text{IoU}(\square, \blacksquare) = 0.78$$

$$\text{IoU}(\square, \blacksquare) = 0.05$$

$$\text{IoU}(\square, \blacksquare) = 0.07$$

$$\text{IoU}(\blacksquare, \blacksquare) = 0.74$$

Evaluating Object Detectors: Mean Average Precision (mAP)

- 1. Run object detector on all test images (with NMS)
- 2. For each class, compute Average Precision (AP)
 - 1. For each detection (highest score to lowest score)
 - 1. If it matches some GT box with $\text{IoU} > 0.5$, mark it as positive and eliminate the GT
 - 2. Otherwise mark it as negative
 - 3. Plot a point on PR Curve
 - 2. Average Precision (AP) for each class, e.g., $AP_{dog} = \text{AUPRC}$ (Area Under PR Curve) for the dog class
- 3. mean Average Precision (mAP) = average of APs for each class
- 4. For “COCO mAP”: Compute $\text{mAP}@\text{thresh}$ for each IoU threshold and take average
- FYI: Object Detection Performance Metrics
 - <https://www.coursera.org/learn/computer-vision-with-embedded-machine-learning/lecture/zDIgp/object-detection-performance-metrics>


All dog detections sorted by score




All ground-truth dog boxes

$$\text{Precision} = 1/1 = 1.0$$

$$\text{Recall} = 1/3 = 0.33$$




All dog detections sorted by score



All ground-truth dog boxes

$$\text{Precision} = 2/4 = 0.5$$

$$\text{Recall} = 2/3 = 0.67$$




All dog detections sorted by score




All ground-truth dog boxes

$$\text{Precision} = 2/2 = 1.0$$

$$\text{Recall} = 2/3 = 0.67$$




All dog detections sorted by score




All ground-truth dog boxes

$$\text{Precision} = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$\text{Recall} = 3/3 = 1.0$$





All dog detections sorted by score



All ground-truth dog boxes

$$\text{Precision} = 2/3 = 0.67$$

$$\text{Recall} = 2/3 = 0.67$$



AP_{dog} = AUPRC (Area Under the P-R Curve) for the dog class





$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$


IoU Threshold and Conf. Score Threshold

- Suppose an image contains 5 dogs in it, i.e., 5 GT boxes with label Dog (instead of 3 in previous slide).
- With IoU threshold .5, 3 GT boxes are detected
 - With conf. score threshold of .5, 2 out of 5 GT boxes are detected with Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP} = \frac{2}{2+2} = .5$; Recall = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN} = \frac{2}{2+3} = .4$
 - With score threshold of .1, 3 out of 5 GT boxes are detected with Precision = $\frac{3}{3+2} = .6$; Recall = $\frac{3}{3+2} = .6$ (reduced score threshold \Rightarrow increased recall)
- With IoU threshold .9, only 1 GT box is detected
 - With conf. score threshold of either .5 or .1, only 1 out of 5 GT boxes are detected with Precision = $\frac{1}{1+4} = .2$; Recall = $\frac{1}{1+4} = .2$
- Conf. score threshold determines decision boundary between positive (Dog) and negative (non-Dog) items among all predicted boxes (green and red)
 - Conf. score threshold $\downarrow \Rightarrow$ TP \uparrow , FN \downarrow , FP \uparrow (same as classification)
 - Minimum number of FNs is equal to number of unmatched GT boxes, i.e., some FNs may remain even with lowest conf. score threshold (unlike classification, where FNs can always be reduced to 0 by reducing conf. score threshold)
- IoU threshold determines number of matched predicted boxes
 - IoU threshold $\downarrow \Rightarrow$ matched predicted boxes \uparrow (more green, fewer red)

FN	TN
TP	FP




- Suppose an image contains 3 dogs in it, i.e., 3 GT boxes with label Dog ($TP + FN = 3$). Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green** indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Red** indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- With score threshold of .1, 3 out of 3 GT boxes are detected with Recall= 1.0




<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=2</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=1</td><td>FP=0</td></tr> </table> $P = 1.0, R = .33$	FN=2	TN=0	TP=1	FP=0	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=1</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=0</td></tr> </table> $P = 1.0, R = .67$	FN=1	TN=0	TP=2	FP=0	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=1</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=1</td></tr> </table> $P = .67, R = .67$	FN=1	TN=0	TP=2	FP=1	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=1</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=2</td></tr> </table> $P = .5, R = .67$	FN=1	TN=0	TP=2	FP=2	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=0</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=3</td><td>FP=2</td></tr> </table> $P = .6, R = 1.0$	FN=0	TN=0	TP=3	FP=2
FN=2	TN=0																											
TP=1	FP=0																											
FN=1	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=0																											
FN=1	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=1																											
FN=1	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=2																											
FN=0	TN=0																											
TP=3	FP=2																											

- Less accurate box regression:** Suppose an image contains 10 dogs in it, i.e., 10 GT boxes with label Dog ($TP + FN = 10$). Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green** indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Red** indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- $\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ is not affected; $\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ is proportionally reduced due to larger FN (undetected GT boxes)
- With score threshold of .1, 3 out of 10 GT boxes are detected with Recall= .3




<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=9</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=1</td><td>FP=0</td></tr> </table> $P = 1.0, R = .1$	FN=9	TN=0	TP=1	FP=0	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=8</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=0</td></tr> </table> $P = 1.0, R = .2$	FN=8	TN=0	TP=2	FP=0	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=8</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=1</td></tr> </table> $P = .67, R = .2$	FN=8	TN=0	TP=2	FP=1	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=8</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=2</td><td>FP=2</td></tr> </table> $P = .5, R = .2$	FN=8	TN=0	TP=2	FP=2	→	<table border="1"> <tr><td>FN=7</td><td>TN=0</td></tr> <tr><td>TP=3</td><td>FP=2</td></tr> </table> $P = .6, R = .3$	FN=7	TN=0	TP=3	FP=2
FN=9	TN=0																											
TP=1	FP=0																											
FN=8	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=0																											
FN=8	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=1																											
FN=8	TN=0																											
TP=2	FP=2																											
FN=7	TN=0																											
TP=3	FP=2																											


- **More accurate conf. score prediction:** Suppose an image contains 3 dogs in it, i.e., 3 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .93, .9, .5)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ stays at 1.0 (no FP) until $P = 1.0, R = 1.0$, with perfect AUPRC=1.0; Precision drops after reaching Recall=1.0, but this does not affect AUPRC
- With score threshold of .93, 3 out of 3 GT boxes are detected with Recall= 1.0




- **Less accurate box regression:** Suppose an image contains 10 dogs in it, i.e., 10 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .93, .9, .5)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .5$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ is not affected; Recall = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ is proportionally reduced due to larger FN (undetected GT boxes)
- With score threshold of .93, 3 out of 10 GT boxes are detected with Recall= .3




- Higher IoU threshold for matching with GT box:** Suppose an image contains 3 dogs in it, i.e., 3 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes (**.99, .95, .9, .5, .1**)
 - Green** indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .9$)
 - Red** indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .9$)
- $\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ stays at 1.0 (no FP) until $P = 1.0, R = 1.0$, with perfect AUPRC=1.0; Precision drops after reaching Recall=1.0, but this does not affect AUPRC
- With score threshold of **.99**, 1 out of 3 GT boxes are detected with Recall= **.33**




- Less accurate box regression:** Suppose an image contains **10** dogs in it, i.e., **10** GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: **(.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)**
 - Green** indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .9$)
 - Red** indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .9$)
- $\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ is not affected; $\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ is proportionally reduced due to larger FN (undetected GT boxes)
- With score threshold of **.99**, 1 out of 10 GT boxes are detected with Recall= **.1**




- **Less accurate conf. score prediction:** Suppose an image contains 3 dogs in it, i.e., 3 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. IoU $\geq .9$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. IoU $\geq .9$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ stays at 1.0 (no FP) until $P = 1.0, R = 1.0$, with perfect AUPRC=1.0; Precision drops after reaching Recall=1.0, but this does not affect AUPRC
- With score threshold of .99, 1 out of 3 GT boxes are detected with Recall = .33



- **Less accurate box regression:** Suppose an image contains 10 dogs in it, i.e., 10 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes: (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. IoU $\geq .9$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. IoU $\geq .9$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ is not affected; Recall = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ is proportionally reduced due to larger FN (undetected GT boxes)
- With score threshold of .99, 1 out of 10 GT boxes are detected with Recall = .1




- **Very high IoU threshold for matching with GT box:** Suppose an image contains 3 dogs in it, i.e., 3 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .99$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .99$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ and Recall = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ both stay at 0, since $TP=0$




<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=3</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=1</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=3	TN=0	TP=0	FP=1	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=3</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=2</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=3	TN=0	TP=0	FP=2	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=3</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=3</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=3	TN=0	TP=0	FP=3	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=3</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=4</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=3	TN=0	TP=0	FP=4	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=3</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=5</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=3	TN=0	TP=0	FP=5
FN=3	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=1																											
FN=3	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=2																											
FN=3	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=3																											
FN=3	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=4																											
FN=3	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=5																											

- **Very high standard for matching with GT box:** Suppose an image contains 10 dogs in it, i.e., 10 GT boxes with label Dog. Prediction confidence scores of Dog class for 5 predicted boxes (.99, .95, .9, .5, .1)
- Green indicates positive items (matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .99$)
- Red indicates negative items (no matching a GT box w. $\text{IoU} \geq .99$)
- Precision = $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ and Recall = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ both stay at 0, since $TP=0$




<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=10</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=0</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=10	TN=0	TP=0	FP=0	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=10</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=2</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=10	TN=0	TP=0	FP=2	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=10</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=2</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=10	TN=0	TP=0	FP=2	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=10</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=2</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=10	TN=0	TP=0	FP=2	→	<table border="1"><tr><td>FN=10</td><td>TN=0</td></tr><tr><td>TP=0</td><td>FP=2</td></tr></table> $P = 0, R = 0$	FN=10	TN=0	TP=0	FP=2
FN=10	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=0																											
FN=10	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=2																											
FN=10	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=2																											
FN=10	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=2																											
FN=10	TN=0																											
TP=0	FP=2																											

mean Average Precision (mAP)



- AP for each class (either dog, or ball, or toy) w. IoU threshold 0.5 is AUPRC under each curve (either dog, or ball, or toy)
- mAP for all 3 classes w. IoU threshold 0.5 is the average AP among 3 classes (dog, ball, toy)
 - $mAP_{0.5} = \frac{1}{3}(AP_{\text{dog}} + AP_{\text{ball}} + AP_{\text{toy}})$

mAP




- For COCO 2017 challenge: Compute mAP@threshold for each IoU threshold and take average
 - $mAP = \frac{1}{10} \sum_i mAP_i$
 - where $i = [.5, .55, .6, .65, .7, .75, .8, .85, .9, .95]$
- Figure assumes at least one match ($\text{IoU} \geq \text{threshold}$), hence precision starts at 1. If 0 match (all boxes have $\text{IoU} < \text{threshold}$), then $mAP = 0$, since $TP = 0$, and P-R curve has only one point (0,0)

Important


Fast R-CNN

- 1. Use a backbone network to extract feature maps from the whole image;
- 2. Generate region proposals based on the feature maps; 3. use a lightweight Per-Region network to perform Bbox regression and classification
- Most of the computation happens in backbone network; this saves work for overlapping region proposals compared to R-CNN

Fast R-CNN




Fast R-CNN Training Example



Example Backbone and Per-Region Networks

- When using AlexNet for detection, 5 CONV layers are used for backbone and 2 FC layers are used for per-region network
- For ResNet, the last stage (CONV+FC) is used as per-region network; the rest of the network is used as backbone





AlexNet

ResNet

Fast R-CNN Performance

- Problem: Test time of Fast R-CNN is dominated by region proposals
- Solution: instead of using the heuristic "Selective Search" algorithm on CPU, let's learn them with a CNN instead



Faster R-CNN

- Use Region Proposal Network (RPN) to predict proposals from feature maps generated by the backbone network
- The rest the same as Fast R-CNN


Faster R-CNN is a
Two-stage object detector

First stage: Run once per image

- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region

- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset



Region Proposal Network


- Perform binary prediction for each anchor box
- The red anchor boxes are predicted false (contains no object) and discarded; the green anchor box is predicted true (contains an object) and survives

Region Proposal Network (RPN)

Run backbone CNN to get features aligned to input image



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)



Imagine an anchor box of fixed size at each point in the feature map

Anchor is an object?
 $1 \times 20 \times 15$

At each point, predict whether the corresponding anchor contains an object (per-cell logistic regression, predict scores with conv layer)

Region Proposal Network

- Bbox regression: transform each positive anchor box (**green**), into a better-fitting (higher IoU with the Ground-Truth Bbox) object box (**yellow**)

Region Proposal Network (RPN)

Run backbone CNN to get
features aligned to input image



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)





Image features
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Imagine an anchor box of
fixed size at each point in
the feature map



For positive boxes, also predict
a box transform to regress
from **anchor box** to **object box**

Region Proposal Network

- Place K anchor boxes centered at each position in the feature map, each with different sizes and aspect ratios ($K = 4$ in left fig)
 - This allows better-fitting anchor boxes (left fig), which helps ease the downstream Bbox regression task, and detection of multiple objects centered at the same position (right fig)

Region Proposal Network (RPN)

Run backbone CNN to get features aligned to input image



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)





Image features
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Problem: Anchor box may have the wrong size / shape
Solution: Use K different anchor boxes at each point!

Conv → Anchor is an object?
 $K \times 20 \times 15$
Conv → Box transforms
 $4K \times 20 \times 15$

At test time: sort all $K \times 20 \times 15$ boxes by their score, and take the top ~ 300 as our region proposals




Faster R-CNN Training: RPN Training



Image Features

Backbone
CNN

RPN gives lots of anchors which we classify as pos / neg / neutral by matching with ground-truth




Faster R-CNN Training: Stage 2



Image Features

Backbone
CNN

Now proposals come from RPN rather than selective search, but otherwise this works the same as Fast R-CNN training




Faster R-CNN: Loss Function

Jointly train with 4 losses:


1. **RPN classification:** anchor box is object / not an object
 2. **RPN regression:** predict transform from anchor box to proposal box
 3. **Object classification:** classify proposals as background / object class
 4. **Object regression:** predict transform from proposal box to object box

Anchor -> Region Proposal -> Object Box
(Stage 1) (Stage 2)

Training each stage looks a lot like Fast R-CNN:



Faster R-CNN: Performance



Single-Stage Object Detection

- Instead of the binary (object/not object) classifier for each of the $K \times 20 \times 15$ anchors in Faster-RCNN, we classify each anchor into $C+1$ classes (including the background), in addition to regression of $4K \times 20 \times 15$ box transforms from anchor box to object box
- Sometimes use class-specific regression: Predict different box transforms for each class, with $C \times 4K \times 20 \times 15$ box transforms

Single-Stage Object Detection

Run backbone CNN to get features aligned to input image



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)




Image features
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

RPN: Classify each anchor as object / not object
Single-Stage Detector: Classify each object as one of C categories (or background)


Anchor category
 $\rightarrow (C+1) \times K \times 20 \times 15$
Conv
 \rightarrow Box transforms
 $4K \times 20 \times 15$

Remember: K anchors at each position in image feature map


Important

Summary of Object Detectors


“Slow” R-CNN: Run CNN independently for each region




Fast R-CNN: Apply differentiable cropping to shared image features



Faster R-CNN: Compute proposals with CNN




Single-Stage: Fully convolutional detector



Performance Comparisons (2017)

- Two stage method (Faster R-CNN) get the best accuracy, but are slower
- Single-stage methods (SSD) are much faster, but don't perform as well
- Bigger backbones improve performance, but are slower
- Diminishing returns for slower methods





Outline

- Object detection
- Segmentation




Semantic Segmentation: Task Definition

- Label each pixel in the image with a class label
- Don't differentiate among multiple instances (e.g., pixels of the 2 cows are given the same label)




An Early Approach: Sliding Windows

- Slide a box across the image, and apply a CNN to classify each crop's center pixel
- Computationally inefficient



Fully Convolutional Network

- A CNN with only CONV layers, no Fully-Connected layer(s), for making predictions for all pixels all at once. Loss function is per-pixel Cross-Entropy loss
 - Problem #1: Effective receptive field size grows linearly in the feedforward direction with number of conv layers: with L 3×3 conv layers, receptive field is $1+2L$
 - Problem #2: Convolution on high-res images without downsampling is expensive




Fully Convolutional Network

- A CNN with CONV layers that perform downsampling followed by upsampling
 - Downsampling (with pooling or strided convolution) allows effective receptive field size to grow more quickly in the feedforward direction. It also leads to more efficient computation
 - Upsampling with interpolation or transposed convolution to get output with the same size as input



Input:
 $3 \times H \times W$



Predictions:
 $H \times W$

Unpooling for Upsampling

- Fig shows upsampling from a 2×2 image to a 4×4 image, by either inserting 0s (Bed of Nails), or duplicating elements (Nearest Neighbor)

Bed of Nails

1	2
3	4



1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	4	0
0	0	0	0

Input
 $C \times 2 \times 2$

Output
 $C \times 4 \times 4$

Nearest Neighbor

1	2
3	4



1	1	2	2
1	1	2	2
3	3	4	4
3	3	4	4

Input
 $C \times 2 \times 2$

Output
 $C \times 4 \times 4$

Bilinear/Bicubic Interpolation for Upsampling

- Fig shows upsampling from a 2x2 image to a 4x4 image with bilinear (left) and bicubic (right) interpolation, to generate smoother outputs
- Each output element is computed as a linear or cubic combination of its closest neighbors; closer neighbors are given higher weights

1	2
3	4



1.00	1.25	1.75	2.00
1.50	1.75	2.25	2.50
2.50	2.75	3.25	3.50
3.00	3.25	3.75	4.00

Output: C x 4 x 4

1	2
3	4



0.68	1.02	1.56	1.89
1.35	1.68	2.23	2.56
2.44	2.77	3.32	3.65
3.11	3.44	3.98	4.32


Output: C x 4 x 4

Input: C x 2 x 2


Max Unpooling

Max Pooling: Remember which position had the max


1	2	6	3
3	5	2	1
1	2	2	1
7	3	4	8



Rest
of
net



0	0	2	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	0	4




Pair each downsampling layer with an upsampling layer

Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015


Recall: Regular Convolution

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 2, pad 1



Input: 4×4

Dot product
between input
and filter




Output: 2×2


Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 convolution transpose, stride 2

Filter moves 2 pixels in output
for every 1 pixel in input



Weight filter by
input value and
copy to output




Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4


Sum where
output overlaps

3 x 3 convolution transpose, stride 2

This gives 5x5 output – need to trim one
pixel from top and left to give 4x4 output



Weight filter by
input value and
copy to output




Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4


Transposed Convolution Example

- Fig shows a 1D toy example:
 - Output has copies of filter weighted by input
 - Stride 2: Move 2 pixels in output for each pixel in input
 - Sum at overlaps
- The filter moves at a slower pace than with unit stride
- It has many names:
Transposed Convolution,
Deconvolution,
Upconvolution, Fractionally-strided convolution




Types of Segmentation Tasks


- Things: Object categories that can be separated into object instances (e.g. cats, cars, person)
- Stuff: Object categories that cannot be separated into instances (e.g. sky, grass, water, trees)
- Object Detection: Detects individual object instances, but only gives bounding box (things only)
- Semantic Segmentation: Label all pixels, but merges instances (both things and stuff)
- Instance Segmentation: Detect all object instances and label the pixels that belong to each object (things only)
 - Approach: Perform object detection, then predict a segmentation mask for each object
- Panoptic Segmentation: In addition to Instance Segmentation, also label the pixels that belong to each thing



Semantic Segmentation



Instance Segmentation




Panoptic Segmentation

Important


Mask R-CNN for Instance Segmentation

- Add an extra “Mask Prediction” head on top of Faster R-CNN for Object Detection

Instance Segmentation:
Mask R-CNN




Mask R-CNN for Instance Segmentation



Mask R-CNN for Keypoint Estimation

- Add an extra “Keypoint Prediction” head to perform joint Instance Segmentation and Pose Estimation
 - Example keypoints: Left / Right shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, ankle...



Mask R-CNN:
Keypoint Estimation



Important

Summary of Per-Region Heads for Different Tasks

General Idea: Add Per-Region “Heads” to Faster / Mask R-CNN!



He et al., "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017