

# Assignment - 7

Ans 1)

Given:-  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the Field  $F$  and let  $T$  be a linear Transformation from  $V$  into  $W$ .  $V$  is finite dimensional

RTP:-  $\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim V$

Proof:- Let  $r$  denote the dimension of rank of  $T$  and nullity of  $T$  denoted by  $n$  is the dimension of the null space of  $T$ .  $n = \dim N(T)$

Then we have to prove:-

$$r + n = \dim V$$

Let  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_r\}$  be a basis for  $N(T)$  which is the null space of  $T$ .

Now there are  $\vec{\alpha}_{r+1}, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n$  vectors (in  $V$ ) such that  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

Now we need to prove that  $\{\vec{T}\alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \vec{T}\alpha_n\}$  is a basis for the range of  $T$ , to complete our proof.

Firstly, we can observe this fact:  
If  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  then we know that any vector  $\vec{\alpha} \in V$  can be

\_/\_/\_

Expressed as a linear combination of these basis vectors with some scalar coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$ .

Furthermore, we know that linear transformation of vectors preserves the linear combination, that is

$$T(x_1 \vec{\alpha}_1 + \dots + x_n \vec{\alpha}_n) = x_1 (T\vec{\alpha}_1) + \dots + x_n (T\vec{\alpha}_n)$$

So,  $\Rightarrow T(\vec{\alpha}) = \vec{\beta}$  for any  $\vec{\alpha} \in V$ . Now,  $\vec{\beta} \in W$  & more importantly  $\vec{\beta} \in \text{range}(T)$

Then, since linear combination is preserved, for any vector  $\vec{\alpha} \in V$ , if  $\vec{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \vec{\alpha}_i$ ; then

$$T\vec{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i T\vec{\alpha}_i = \vec{\beta}$$

So, clearly  $\vec{\beta}$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\{T\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, T\vec{\alpha}_n\}$ .

$\Rightarrow \underline{T\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, T\vec{\alpha}_n}$  span the range of  $T$

Now as  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_k\}$  is a basis for  $Nu$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\overrightarrow{T\alpha_1} = \dots = \overrightarrow{T\alpha_k} = \overrightarrow{0_w}}$$

Since this is true, we can clearly say that

$$\overrightarrow{T\alpha_{k+1}}, \dots, \overrightarrow{T\alpha_n} \text{ span the range of } T \text{ --- (1)}$$

Now, this is true, since  $0(\overrightarrow{T\alpha_{k+1}}) + \dots + 0(\overrightarrow{T\alpha_n}) = \overrightarrow{0_w}$  which is in the range as well.

Now to show that  $\overrightarrow{T\alpha_{k+1}}, \dots, \overrightarrow{T\alpha_n}$  are linearly independent vectors:

Suppose, we have the scalars  $c_i$  such that:

$$\boxed{\sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i (\overrightarrow{T\alpha_i}) = \overrightarrow{0_w}}$$

Clearly, this implies that  $T\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \overrightarrow{\alpha_i}\right) = \overrightarrow{0_w}$

since linear transformation preserves linear combinations.

From the above Statement it is clear that  $\sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \overrightarrow{\alpha_i}$  is in the null space of

$T$



\_/\_/\_

Since  $\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_k$  form a basis for  $N_V$ ,  
there must be some scalars  
 $b_1, \dots, b_k$  such that:

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{\alpha}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j \vec{\alpha}_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^k b_j \vec{\alpha}_j - \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{\alpha}_i = \vec{0}_W$$

Since  $\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n$  are linearly indep.  
(as they are basis vectors for  $V$ );

$$\Rightarrow b_1 = \dots = b_k = c_{k+1} = \dots = c_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Thus, } \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i (T\vec{\alpha}_i) = \vec{0}_W, \text{ only if}$$

$$c_{k+1} = \dots = c_n = 0;$$

$\Rightarrow T\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}, \dots, T\vec{\alpha}_n$  are linearly  
independent vectors.

Earlier, we also proved that they  
span the range of  $T$  (from ①)

$\Rightarrow \{T\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}, \dots, T\vec{\alpha}_n\}$  are the basis  
vectors of the range of  $T$ .

\_/\_/\_

Now to summarise :-

i)  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  are the basis vectors of  $Nv$  (null space of  $T$ )

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dim(Nv) = \text{Nullity}(T) = n}$$

ii)  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  are the basis vectors of  $V$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dim(V) = k}$$

iii)  $\{\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  are the basis vectors of  $\text{range}(T)$   
 $\dim(\text{range}(T)) = \text{rank}(T) = r = k - n$

from these,

$$r = k - n$$

$$r + n = k$$

$$\boxed{\text{rank}(T) + \text{Nullity}(T) = \dim(V)}$$

Hence Proved.

Ans 2

Given :-  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the Field  $F$  and let  $W$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ . Then the space  $L(V, W)$  is finite-dimensional and has dimension

mn.

RTP:-  $\dim L(V, W) = \dim(V) \times \dim(W)$

Proof:-

Let  $\beta = \{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  be the ordered basis for the vector space  $V$ , and let  $\beta' = \{\vec{\beta}_1, \dots, \vec{\beta}_m\}$  be the ordered basis for the vector space  $W$ .

Now, since  $\dim(V)$  is not necessarily equal to  $\dim(W)$ , let us define a linear Transformation  $E^{p,q}$  from  $V$  to  $W$  as follows,

$$E^{p,q}(\vec{\alpha}_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq q \\ \vec{\beta}_p, & \text{if } i = q \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } 1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n$$

Now, according to the theorem:

"If  $\{\vec{\alpha}_1, \dots, \vec{\alpha}_n\}$  is an ordered basis for vector space  $V$  &  $\vec{\beta}_1, \vec{\beta}_2, \dots, \vec{\beta}_n$  are any  $n$  vectors in  $W$ , then there is precisely one linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$  such that



$$T(\vec{\alpha}_j) = \vec{\beta}_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

Such a linear transformation  $E^{p,q}$  is unique.

We need to show that the ~~non~~  $m \times n$  linear transformations  $E^{p,q}$  form a basis for  $L(V, W)$ .

Now, let  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear Transformation

For each  $j$ , (where  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), let  $A_{1j}, \dots, A_{mj}$  be the coordinates of the vector  $T\vec{\alpha}_j$  in the ordered basis  $\beta'$ , that is:

$$T\vec{\alpha}_j = \sum_{p=1}^m A_{pj} \vec{\beta}_p$$

Now, let  $U: V \rightarrow W$  be the linear transformation defined by

$$\begin{aligned} U\vec{\alpha}_j &= \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n A_{pq} E^{p,q}(\vec{\alpha}_j) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n A_{pq} \delta_{jq} \vec{\beta}_p \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m A_{pj} \vec{\beta}_p = T\vec{\alpha}_j \end{aligned}$$

(Since, if  $j \neq q$ ,  $\delta_{jq} = 0$ , so,  $\sum_{q=1}^n$  is effectively meaningless it is  $\sum_{q=1}^n A_{pq} \delta_{jq} = A_{pj}$ )

\_/\_/\_

where  $\delta_{jq}$  is the Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{jq} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \neq q \\ 1, & \text{if } j = q \end{cases}$$

$\therefore U = T$  and thus,

$$\boxed{T\vec{x}_j = \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n A_{pq} E^{p,q}(\vec{x}_j)} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

( $\forall \vec{x}_j \in V$ )

Hence from eq (1) we can clearly observe that any linear transformation  $T \in L(V, W)$  can be written as a linear combination of the linear transformations  $E^{p,q} \in L(V, W)$ .

$\therefore$  The  $E^{p,q}$  linear transformations span  $L(V, W)$

Now, all we need to show is that they are linearly independent.

Observe; in the definition of  $U\vec{x}_j$  above, if  $U$  is the zero transformation, then

$$\Rightarrow \forall \vec{x}_j \in B, \quad U\vec{x}_j = \vec{0}_W$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n A_{pq} E^{p,q}(\vec{x}_j) = \vec{0}_W \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$



$$\Rightarrow \sum_{p=1}^m A_{pj} \vec{B}_p = \vec{0}_w \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

from the derivation above  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

However  $B' = \{\vec{B}_1, \vec{B}_2, \dots, \vec{B}_m\}$  is known to be a basis vector set for the vector space  $W \Rightarrow$  they are linearly independent.

Thus eq (2) holds only if  $A_{pj} = 0$   $\forall p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  &  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

So, the  $E^{p,q}$  linear transformations are linearly independent

$\therefore$  The  $mn$  linear transformations  $E^{p,q}$  form a basis for  $L(V, W)$  & thus  $L(V, W)$  is a finite dim. vector space &

$$\underline{\underline{\dim(L(V, W)) = mn}}$$

Hence Proved

