

Counter-example

- ▶ Random exp: Pick a number uniformly from the real line.
- ▶ $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$.
- ▶ Domain $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ which is unimaginably complex!
- ▶ We have $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- ▶ \mathbb{P} has the property that sets of equal 'length' have equal probability.
- ▶ We know that $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [n, n+1)$ where $[n, n+1) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ What is $\mathbb{P}[n, n+1)$?
- ▶ If we define $\mathbb{P}[n, n+1) = x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$!
- ▶ If we define $\mathbb{P}[n, n+1) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$!

Counter-example

- ▶ What is the takeaway from the counterexample?
- ▶ Not all set-functions (or measures) can be calibrated to measure every possible subset of your sample space.
- ▶ This is like you weighing scale at home, that is not able to weigh a piece of paper!
- ▶ What is the way out?
- ▶ Restrict your domain to only measurable sets.
- ▶ Possible domain for the counter example?
- ▶ $\mathcal{F} = \{\Phi, \Omega, \mathbb{R}_-, \mathbb{R}_+\}$. There can be many other domains one can define!

Towards sigma-algebra

- ▶ $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \mathbb{R}_-, \mathbb{R}_+\}$.
- ▶ The domain \mathcal{F} should have some nice and obvious properties.
- ▶ For example, \emptyset and Ω in \mathcal{F} . . Also if $B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $B^c \in \mathcal{F}$.
- ▶ If A_1 and A_2 belong in \mathcal{F} , then $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.
- ▶ A domain with such nice properties is called as a *sigma-algebra*.

sigma-algebra as domain for \mathbb{P}

- ▶ Event space or *sigma-algebra* \mathcal{F} associated with a set Ω is a collection of subsets of Ω that satisfy
 - $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$
 - $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$
 - $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots \in \mathcal{F} \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$
- ▶ The σ -algebra is said to be closed under formation of complements and countable unions.
- ▶ Is it also closed under the formation of countable intersections?
- ▶ When Ω is countable and finite, is $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ a sigma-algebra? Yes.

When Ω is countable and finite, we will consider power-set $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ as the domain.

Formal definition of Probability measure \mathbb{P}

Definition

A probability measure \mathbb{P} on the *measurable space* (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a function $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t.

1. $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
2. For a disjoint collection of event sets A_1, A_2, \dots from \mathcal{F} we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i)$$

(countable additivity)

- ▶ The trio $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called as a probability space.
- ▶ Recall that when $|\Omega| < \infty$, we consider $\mathcal{F} = 2^{\Omega}$.

Formal definition of Probability measure \mathbb{P}

Definition

A probability measure \mathbb{P} on the *measurable space* (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a function $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t.

1. $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
2. For a disjoint collection of event sets A_1, A_2, \dots from \mathcal{F} we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i)$$

(countable additivity)

- ▶ The trio $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called as a probability space.
- ▶ Identify the probability space in the coin and dice experiment.

Probability space for $U[0, 1]$

- ▶ $\Omega = [0, 1]$.
- ▶ Suppose $\mathcal{F} = \{\Phi, [0, 1], [0, .5), [.5, 1]\}$. Is there a problem in using this as a sigma-algebra?
- ▶ We cannot measure probability of sets like $[.25, .75]$ although we know $P([.25, .75]) = .5$.
- ▶ So lets include $[.25, .75]$ in \mathcal{F} .
- ▶ Now we have $\mathcal{F}^+ = \{\Phi, [0, 1], [0, .5), [.5, 1], [.25, .75]\}$. Is \mathcal{F}^+ a sigma-algebra? No.
- ▶ Can you make it a sigma-algebra by adding missing pieces ?

Probability space for $U[0, 1]$

- ▶ $\mathcal{F}^+ = \{\Phi, [0, 1], [0, .5), [.5, 1], [.25, .75]\}$
- ▶ Can you make it a sigma-algebra by adding missing pieces ?
- ▶ Recall that sigma-algebras are closed under complements, union and intersection.
- ▶ Intersection and union of $[.25, .75]$ with sets in \mathcal{F}^+ gives the collection $\{ [.25, .5), [.5, .75], [.25, 1], [0, 0.75] \}$.
- ▶ Adding complements, the collection enlarges by $\{ [.25, .5), [.5, .75], [.25, 1], [0, 0.75], [0, .25) \cup [.5, 1], [0, .5) \cup (.75, 1], [0, .25), (0.75, 1] \}$.
- ▶ Lets call it $\mathcal{F}^{++} = \{ \Phi, [0, 1], [0, .5), [.5, 1], [.25, .75], [.25, .5), [.5, .75], [.25, 1], [0, 0.75], [0, .25) \cup [.5, 1], [0, .5) \cup (.75, 1], [0, .25), (0.75, 1] \}$

Probability space for $U[0, 1]$

- ▶ $\mathcal{F}^{++} =$
 $\{\Phi, [0, 1], [0, .5), [.5, 1], [.25, .75], [.25, .5), [.5, .75], [.25, 1], [0, 0.75], [0, .25) \cup [.5, 1], [0, .5) \cup (.75, 1], [0, .25), (.75, 1]\}$
- ▶ Notice different type of sets with different brackets $]$, $(]$, $($ that appear.
- ▶ But \mathcal{F}^{++} is still not a sigma-algebra as each red set will demand a furthermore sets to be added.
- ▶ This operation we attempted is called generating a sigma-algebra!.
- ▶ Continuing on these lines, the resulting sigma algebra is called a borel-sigma algebra $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$.

Borel sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$

- ▶ Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$: When $\Omega = [0, 1]$ the $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$ is the σ -algebra generated by closed sets of the form $[a, b]$ where $a \leq b$ and $a, b \in [0, 1]$.
- ▶ Does this set contain sets of the form (a, b) or $[a, b)$ or $(a, b]$?
- ▶

$(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]$	$(a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b + \frac{1}{n})$
--	--

Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$: $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$ is the σ -algebra generated by sets of the form $[a, b]$ or (a, b) or $(a, b]$ or even $[a, b)$ where $a \leq b$ and $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Borel sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

- ▶ Borel sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$:

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the sigma-algebra generated by open sets of the form (a, b) where $a \leq b$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- ▶ $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ contains intervals of the form

$$[a, b]$$

$$[a, b)$$

$$(a, \infty)$$

$$[a, \infty)$$

$$(-\infty, b]$$

$$(-\infty, b)$$

$$\{a\}$$

- ▶ How would you define $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$?

Consequences of the Probability Axioms

- ▶ $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$
- ▶ $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$.
- ▶ If $A \subseteq B$, prove that $P(A) \leq P(B)$. ($A \subseteq B$ has the interpretation that Event A implies event B)
- ▶ $P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(B_i)$ (Boole's/Bonferroni's inequality).
HW
- ▶ What is $P(A \cup B \cup C)$?
- ▶ State and prove the inclusion-exclusion principle for $P(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i)$

Impossible event v/s Zero prob. event

- ▶ In $U[0, 1]$ what is $P(\omega = 0.5)$? $= 0$.
- ▶ Intuitive reasoning for this is that a point has zero length!
- ▶ If $P(\omega \in [a, b]) = b - a$ then $P([.5, .5]) = P(\{.5\}) = 0$.
- ▶ This is a zero probability event. In fact, every outcome of this experiment is a zero probability event.
- ▶ This implies that events of zero probability can happen and they are not impossible events.
- ▶ $P(\emptyset) = 0$, then is \emptyset also possible ? No!
- ▶ What is $P(\omega \in [0, .25] \cap [.75, 1])$?
- ▶ $P([0, .25] \cap [.75, 1]) = P(\emptyset) = 0$ This event will never happen.

Impossible event v/s Zero prob. event

- ▶ Note that in the $U[0, 1]$ experiment, $\Omega = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{\omega\}$
- ▶ $P(\Omega) = P(\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{\omega\}) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\{\omega\}) = 0.$
- ▶ What is the problem above ?
- ▶ Ω is an uncountable set and the probability set-function only has a countable additive property.
- ▶ $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{\omega\}$ is an uncountable disjoint union!

Limits and Continuity

- ▶ How do we define limit of a sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$?
- ▶ Notation: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$.
- ▶ How do you define limit of a function at a point c ?
- ▶ Notation: $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$
- ▶ How do you define continuity of a function $f(x)$ at c ?
- ▶ When do you say a function is continuous ?
- ▶ (ϵ, δ) -definition of limits and continuity?

Limits and Continuity

Definition in terms of limits of sequences.

For a continuous function $f(\cdot)$, as $x \rightarrow c$, we have $f(x) \rightarrow f(c)$

For a continuous set-function S , as $A_n \rightarrow A$, we have $S(A_n) \rightarrow S(A)$

- ▶ Recall that \mathbb{P} is a set-function. Is it continuous?
- ▶ We will see the proof shortly.