Creating code embedding matrix

Midterm project for PHS7045

Yidan Zhang

Introduction

Word embedding has become a significant topic in natural language processing, especially when working with context data. The key concept behind word embedding is based on the idea that a word can be characterized by "the company it keeps," or its context. Word embeddings capture this contextual information by creating a lower-dimensional space (compared to traditional one-hot encoding) where words that appear in similar contexts are positioned close to one another. This allows for more efficient and effective word representation in models.

One of the most widely recognized methods for generating word embeddings is Word2Vec, developed by Google in 2013. This neural network-based approach is trained on large corpora of text, learning to predict the context of a word based on its surrounding words within a local window. While Word2Vec focuses on local context, another prominent method, GloVe (Global Vectors for Word Representation), expands this concept by incorporating global context. GloVe takes advantage of the overall word-to-word co-occurrence patterns across the entire corpus. By using a precomputed, count-based co-occurrence matrix, GloVe leverages global statistical information about how often words appear together to generate its embedding matrix.

With the increasing digitization of patient information, electronic health records (EHR) have become essential for efficiently tracking medical histories and facilitating the exchange of data between healthcare providers. As structured data within EHR systems, ICD (International Classification of Diseases) and CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) codes have long been used to record diagnoses and procedures, respectively, even before the widespread adoption of EHRs. Embedding these medical codes offers several key benefits. It can enhance disease prediction and diagnosis modeling by allowing models to recognize similarities between related conditions, improving predictive performance. Additionally, ICD code embeddings support patient clustering, enabling algorithms like k-means or DBSCAN to group patients based on diagnostic patterns and improve clustering effectiveness. They also help identify complex comorbidity patterns and hidden phenotypes that traditional methods may miss. Finally, code

embedding aids in healthcare data integration, which is especially valuable given the challenges of accessing protected data due to data safety concerns.

The goal of this project is to implement the GloVe algorithm to create a package that generates word embedding matrices from EHR data. The package will be tested on the MIMIC-III clinical dataset to generate ICD-9 code embeddings.

Solution Plan

• STEP 1:

The first step in generating embeddings using the GloVe approach is to create a code co-occurrence matrix, \mathbf{X} , where each element of \mathbf{X}_{ij} represents how often word i appears in context of word j. The function's arguments will include the user's dataset (data), along with parameters to specify which column corresponds to the subject in dataset (id), which column represents the time or sequence information for defining the context window (time), and the user-defined size of the context window (window). EHR data typically consists of large datasets with vast amounts of patient information, and each patient have multiple diagnosis codes. To compute the co-occurrences, the function must iterate through each patient and count the co-occurrence of ICD codes within a user-defined context window,with approximately 13,000 ICD-9 codes, this process can be both time-consuming and computationally expensive. To address these challenges, the project will optimize performance by coercing the data to a data.table structure for faster reference handling, and applying vectorization for efficient matrix generation to further improve time efficiency.

• STEP 2:

The second step is to use the co-occurrence matrix to create the pointwise mutual information (PMI) matrix, which measures the association between a word and a context word. PMI is calculated as $PMI(w,c) = \frac{p(w,c)}{p(w)\cdot p(c)}$, where p(w,c) is the count of how often word w and context code c occur in the same context window, divided by the total count of code pairs within the window; and p(w) and p(c) are singleton frequency of the word w and c, respectively, within the context window. In machine learning literature, the shifted positive pointwise mutual information (SPPMI) matrix is commonly used, where PMI is shifted by a user-defined constant log(k). To accommodate user-specific needs, another function in the package will be aim to calculate SPPMI, which is defined as SPPMI(w,c) = max(PMI(w,c) - log(k),0). The computation starts by obtaining the joint and singleton frequencies for the codes using the function get_SG , where vectorization is applied. The two functions will then be used to compute both PMI and SPPMI, respectively.

• STEP 3:

In this step, truncated singular value decomposition (SVD) is applied to factorize the PMI/SPPMI matrix and generate lower-dimensional embeddings for the codes. The function allows the user to specify the number of top p-dimensional singular values and vectors to retain, as well as the maximum number of iterations for the SVD process. Once executed, the function will return the code embedding matrix $\mathbf{W} = u \cdot \sqrt{d}$, where the matrix has a dimensionality reduced to the user-defined vector length p. To optimize the code's performance, vectorization techniques and the use of data.table will be incorporated to accelerate the process and ensure efficient computation.

• STEP 4 (Time Permitting):

One approach to help users determine the optimal embedding vector length is to evaluate the performance of the embedding matrix by predicting phecode-based classification accuracy. Phecodes group ICD codes into clinically meaningful categories, and an ideal embedding matrix would accurately capture diagnostic information, allowing for precise classification aligned with phecodes. By assessing the AUC (Area Under the Curve) for different vector lengths, users can identify the length that maximizes performance, minimizing information loss and avoiding overfitting.

Preliminary Result

• Data

The data used to test the package is sourced from the MIMIC-III clinical dataset, a freely available database containing de-identified health-related data from patients who were admitted to the ICU at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center between 2001 and 2012. The dataset includes diagnoses recorded using the 9th version of the ICD coding system, specifically in a table named "DIAGNOSES_ICD." A brief summary of this data is provided below:

Statistic	Value
Number of Patients	46520
Number of ICD9 Codes	6985
Diagnosis/Patient-min	1
Diagnosis/Patient-median	9
Diagnosis/Patient-max	540

Figure 1: Summary of the table

The data used for this report is available in the repository and consists of a random sample of 1,000 subjects, resulting in a total of 13,623 observations from the original dataset.

• Function

The first step is to create a function for generating the co-occurrence matrix. Initially, we can implement a simple, non-optimized version. This is simply create an initial matrix with dimensions corresponding to the number of unique codes in the dataset and iterating through each patient to count occurrences. (code not displayed in the pdf file)

Additionally, the code is initially optimized by data.table and vectorization (code not displayed in the pdf file)

Beyond step one, other functions also have been written out as a manuscript (code not displayed in pdf file) and will be further optimized before the project finalized.

• The execution of the functions and performance comparison

Since the diagnoses in the dataset are not time-stamped, it is impossible to determine the exact order of the diagnosis codes. Therefore, it is reasonable to treat all ICD codes within each patient as co-occurring to apply function as below:

```
\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cooccur\_optimized}(\operatorname{data} = \operatorname{data}, \operatorname{id} = \operatorname{"SUBJECT\_ID"}, \operatorname{code} = \operatorname{"ICD9\_CODE"}, \operatorname{time} \\ & = \operatorname{"SEQ\_NUM"}, \operatorname{window} = \operatorname{NA}) \end{aligned}
```

The output of the function is the count for each code pair:

	V3000	76503	769	77181	7742	76523	7766	77081
V3000	0	2	7	3	15	2	2	6
76503	2	0	3	1	3	3	2	1
769	7	3	0	2	20	3	7	10
77181	3	1	2	0	4	1	2	3
7742	15	3	20	4	2	3	7	16
76523	2	3	3	1	3	0	2	1

To evaluate performance, the microbenchmark() function was used to compare the execution time of both functions on the sample data.

Unit: seconds

```
expr
min
lq
mean
median
uq
max neval

initial
10.592368
10.874578
12.670379
11.035608
15.285296
15.564048
5

optimized
2.860139
2.896353
2.936326
2.960056
2.969582
2.995499
5
```

Conclusion

This project will be completed under the current progress, with further optimization aimed at reducing computational overhead. So far, we have incorporated data.table, vectorization, and timing techniques learned during the first half of the semester. Additional optimization approaches will also be explored as the project continue.