Matthew Ridley

September 6, 2019

Introduction

Introduction

•0000

- Hello, and welcome to 14.121!
- I am Matthew, a fourth year econ Phd student whose interests lie in behavioral/development/labor economics
- I look forward to getting to know you all!

Some words on grad school

Introduction

00000

- The first quarter of the PhD course is sometimes a stressful and tiring experience (though hopefully the new structure will improve things)
- You will survive it. It gets better
- I am happy to offer advice on navigating the start of grad school to anyone who wants

Course logistics

Introduction

00000

- OH: 4-6pm Tuesdays, E52-532 but I am always accessible by email
- Problem Set submission: three options
 - In class
 - In my mailbox (3rd floor)
 - On Stellar (under the 'Assignments' tab)

Introduction to this course

Introduction

- For many non-theorists 14.121 is not the most interesting material
- But whatever your interests, it gives you essential background that other economists may assume you know
- Please stop me with questions
- If you're confused, it's my fault for not explaining well
 - It's not because you're dumb
- Tell me if I'm going too slow or too fast

Today's Recitation

Introduction

00000

- 1 What is Debreu's theorem? Why should we care?
- Overview of proof, and key intuitions
- Oetails of proof
- 4 Concluding thoughts

Debreu's Theorem

• Any rational and continuous preference relation \succeq on a (separable, connected) space X can be represented by a continuous utility function $u: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$.

Motivation

- Why should we care? Why do we start your economics teaching with this?
- Choice and preference are the real-world concepts at the bottom of most things we do in micro
- This theorem provides (one) justification for using utility functions when thinking about choice
 - Links utility function to appealing and simple axioms
 - Much easier, mathematically, to work with utility functions than preference relations
- Also, a lot of econ theory work involves proofs of this kind—linking axioms to things we can work with practically
 - Particularly decision theory which you should see in 14.123

Overview of proof

- Today we will actually prove a simpler version of the theorem (the one in MWG)
- This considers only the special case in which:
 - **1)** $X = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 - ② ≥ is strictly monotone
- Note this still covers many (most?) cases of economic interest
- Proof by construction: we will come up with a utility function

Reminder of definitions

- **Rational:** Complete, transitive and reflexive
- Continuous: The sets $U(x) := \{y \in X : y \succeq x\}$ and $L(x) := \{ y \in X : x \succeq y \}$ are closed (contain all their limit points).
- Monotone: $y \gg x \Rightarrow y \succ x$)

Overview of proof

See the board!

Detailed proof

Introduction

See the board!

Concluding thoughts/questions

- Where does the proof break down with lexicographic preferences?
- What should we make of the continuity assumption?