## Proof Debreu's Theorem

Matthew Ridley

September 6, 2019

## 1 Statement of Theorem

We actually prove a special case of the theorem, the version stated as Proposition 3.C.1 in MWG:

Any rational, continuous and monotone preference relation  $\succeq$  on a set  $X = R_+^L$  can be represented by a continuous utility function  $u: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ .

It helps to write out the assumptions and their definitions, so we can refer back to them:

- Rational: complete and transitive.
- Continuous preference relation: For any sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim x_n = x$ ,  $\lim y_n = y$ , and  $x_n \succeq y_n \ \forall \ N$ , we have  $x \succeq y$ .
- Monotone preference relation: If y >> x, then  $y \succ x$ .

For this proof it is also helpful to recall that a continuous function f(x) is one where  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$  for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} = x$ ,.

## 2 Proof

The proof is constructive: we create a utility function  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  and show that it represents preferences  $\succeq$  and is continuous. The proof proceeds in four steps.

**Step 1**: We show that  $\forall x$ , there exists a scalar  $u(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  s.t.  $u(x)e \sim x$ , where e is the  $L \times 1$  vector of ones.

**Proof:** To show this, we need only to establish that for any x the sets

$$U^+ = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}_+ : ue \succeq x \}$$

$$U^{-} = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}_{+} : x \succeq ue \}$$

overlap, i.e.  $U^+ \cap U^- \neq \emptyset$ .

Firstly, note that by monotonicity, there exist  $\underline{u}, \overline{u}$  such that  $\overline{u}e \succ x \succ \underline{u}e^1$ . Hence,  $U^+$  and  $U^-$  are both nonempty. Second, continuity of preferences implies that  $U^+$  and  $U^-$  contain all their limit points, and are therefore closed. Finally, preferences are complete, so  $U^+ \cup U^- = \mathbb{R}_+$ . But then, it is a fact that any two nonempty closed sets which cover  $\mathbb{R}_+$  must have a nonempty intersection<sup>2</sup>.

Step 2: u(x) is unique.

**Proof:** Take any  $\tilde{u} \neq u(x)$ , then either  $\tilde{u} > u(x)$  or  $\tilde{u} < u(x)$ . In the former case, then by monotonicity we have  $\tilde{u}e \succ u(x)e \sim x$  and hence by transitivity  $\tilde{u}e \succ x$ . In the latter case we similarly have  $x \sim u(x)e \succ \tilde{u}e$  and hence  $x \succ \tilde{u}e$ .

**Step 3:** We show that u(x) represents x.

**Proof:** We need to show that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $u(x) \ge u(y) \Leftrightarrow x \succeq y$ .

 $\Rightarrow$ : If  $u(x) \ge u(y)$ , we have two cases:

- u(x) > u(y), in which case by monotonicity  $u(x)e \succ u(y)e$ , and then by transitivity  $x \succ y$
- u(x) = u(y), in which case by reflexivity  $u(x)e \sim u(y)e$ , and then by transitivity  $x \sim y$  so overall,  $x \succeq y$ .

 $\Leftarrow$ : If  $x \succeq y$ , then by transitivity  $u(x)e \succeq u(y)e$ . But monotonicity means this can only hold when  $u(x) \geq u(y)$  (because if u(x) < u(y) we would have to have  $u(x)e \prec u(y)e$ ).

**Step 4:** We show that u(x) is continuous.

**Proof:** This part is technical and less insightful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To get this, we just take a  $\bar{u}$  greater than the maximum element of x and a  $\underline{u}$  lower than its minimum value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Formally, this is the connectedness of the real line.

Take a sequence  $x_n$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ . We want to show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u(x_n) = u(x)$ .

Firstly, we establish that  $u(x_n)$  is bounded for large n. Take a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , then by definition there exists N such that for all n > N,  $||x_n - x|| < \epsilon$ . Hence by monotonicity there exists  $\underline{u}, \overline{u}$  such that  $\underline{u} < u(x_n) < \overline{u}$  for n > N.

As  $\{u(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded, it must have a convergent subsequence. Take any convergent subsequence, and call it  $\{u(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ .

Suppose towards contradiction that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} u(x_{n_k}) = u' \neq u(x)$ . Then there are two cases: either u' < u(x) or u' > u(x).

If u' > u(x), there exists some  $\tilde{u}$  such that  $u' > \tilde{u} > u(x)$ . Furthermore, because  $u(x_{n_k}) \to u'$ ,  $\exists N$  such that  $\forall k > N$ ,  $u(x_{n_k}) > \tilde{u}$ . Then, monotonicity implies that  $u(x_{n_k})e \succ \tilde{u}e \succ u(x)e$  for k > N. Hence, by transitivity, we have  $x_{n_k} \succ \tilde{u}e \succ x$ . But this contradicts continuity of preferences.

If u' < u(x), we can make a similar argument: there exists some  $\tilde{u}$  such that  $u' < \tilde{u} < u(x)$ . Then we can conclude that there exists N such that  $u(x_{n_k})e \prec \tilde{u}e \prec u(x)e$  for n > N, and hence  $x_{n_k} \prec \tilde{u}e \prec x$ , contradicting continuity of preferences.

Therefore, every convergent subsequence has a limit equal to u(x), which means in turn that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u(x_n) = u(x)$ .