

Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

Slides Credit:

Prof. Tim Wood and Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

# FINAL PROJECT

#### Questions?

- Groups of 3-4 students
- Research-focused: Reimplement or extend a research paper
- Implementation-focused:
   Implement a simplified version of a real distributed system
- Course website has sample ideas
  - But don't feel limited by them!
  - You don't have to use go!

- Timeline
  - Milestone 0: Form a Team
  - Milestone 1: Select a Topic
  - Milestone 2: Literature Survey
  - Milestone 3: Design Document Milestone 4: Final Presentation

https://gwdistsys20.github.io/project/

# LAST TIME...

- Advanced Resource Management
  - MapReduce / DevOps
  - Resource Optimization problems
    - NP Hardness
    - Many-objective Optimization
  - Migration
    - Code
    - Processes
    - VMs

### This Week: Coordination

- Clock Synchronization
- Logical Clocks
- Vector Clocks
- Mutual Exclusion
- Election Algorithms


How can distributed components coordinate and agree on the ordering of events?

# CHALLENGES

- Heterogeneity
- Openness
- Security
- Failure Handling
- Concurrency
- Quality of Service
- Scalability
- Transparency


### PROBLEM AND CHALLENGE EX.

- Concurrency challenge in a database
  - Lost update anomaly



# CLOCK AND TIME

- We need to replicate the last update
- Concurrent operation and replication conflict



### PROBLEM

- In centralized management, all nodes can make agreement for a shared variable value under the master node control.
- But what if that the system uses distributed management?

# CLOCKS AND TIMING

- Distributed systems often need to order events to help with consistency and coordination
- Coordinating updates to a distributed file system
- Managing distributed locks
- Providing consistent updates in a distributed DB

# COORDINATING TIME?

- How can we synchronize the clocks on two servers?
  - Physical
  - Logical
- Clock Skew: the differences between the crystals speed

clock: 8:03

Α

В

clock: 8:01

# PHYSICAL CLOCKS: SUNDIAL


- Sundial.
- Solar Day varies due:
  - Core activities of earth
  - Rise & Tide of oceans
  - Gravity
  - Orbit around the sun, not a perfect circle

# PHYSICAL CLOCKS: ATOMIC CLOCK

- Accurate time regardless of earth movement and gravity
- Since 1968, the International System of Units (SI) has defined the second as the duration of 9192631770 cycles of radiation corresponding to the transition between two energy levels of the ground state of the caesium-133 atom. In 1997, the International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM) added that the preceding definition refers to a Caesium atom at rest at a temperature of absolute zero.
- UTC sends pulses for the wwv receiver


# NTP STRATUM MODEL

The NTP Stratum model is a representation of the hierarchy of time servers in an NTP network, where the Stratum level (0-15) indicates the device's distance to the reference clock.



# CRISTIAN'S ALGORITHM


Easy way to synchronize clock with a time server



- Client sends a clock request to server
- Measures the round trip time
- Set clock to t + 1/2\*RTT (8:01.505)

# CRISTIAN'S ALGORITHM

What will affect accuracy?




Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

0.010 sec

# CRISTIAN'S ALGORITHM

Suppose the minimum delay between A and B is X




### ORDERING

- Sometimes we don't actually need clock time
- We just care about the order of events!
- What event happens before another event?
- e -> e' means event e happens before event e'
- Easy: we'll just use counters in each process and update them when events happen!
- Maybe not so easy...


# ORDERING

- An event is **one** of the following:
  - Action that occurs within a process
  - Sending a message
  - Receiving a message
- What is true? What can't we know?




### HAPPENS BEFORE: >

- What is true?
  - a->b, b->g, c->d, e->f (events in same process)
  - b->c, d->f (send is before receive)
- What can't we know?
  - e śś a
  - e śś c



# **ORDERING**

• If we keep count of events at each process independently, are those counters meaningful?



# LOGICAL CLOCK: LAMPORT CLOCK

- Each process maintains a counter, L
- Increment counter when an event happens

• When receiving a message, take max of own and sender's counter, then

# LAMPORT CLOCK

- Each process maintains a counter, L
- Increment counter when an event happens

• When receiving a message, take max of own and sender's counter, then

increment

1 2 3

p1 a b m

Physical time

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar


# CLOCK COMPARISON

Physical time


#### **Independent clocks**

if e->e', then:

C(e) ??? C(e')




#### **Lamport clocks**



if e->e', then:


# CLOCK COMPARISON

- Is the opposite true?
- if L(e) < L(e') then do we know e->e'?




# CLOCK COMPARISON

- Is the opposite true? No!
- Lamport clocks don't actually let us compare two clocks to know how they are related :(



# LAMPORT CLOCKS


- Lamport clocks are better than nothing
  - · but only let us make limited guarantees about how things are ordered
- Ideally we want a clock value that indicates:
  - If an event happened before another event
  - If two events happened *concurrently*



P1	P2	P3
a:1	c:3	e:1
b:2	d:4	f:5
g:3		


# VECTOR CLOCKS

- Each process keeps an array of counters: (p1, p2, p3)
  - When p\_i has an event, increment V[p\_i]
  - Send full vector clock with message
  - Update each entry to the maximum when receiving a clock




# VECTOR CLOCKS

- Now we can compare orderings!
- if V(e) < V(e') then e->e'
  - (a,b,c) < (d,e,f) if:</li>
     a<d & b<e & c<f</li>



• If neither V(e) < V(e') nor V(e') < V(e) then e and e' are concurrent events



P1	P2	P3
a: 1,0,0	c: 2,1,0	e: 0,0,1
b: 2,0,0	d: 2,2,0	f: 2,2,2
g: 3,0,0		

### LAMPORT VS VECTOR

- Which clock is more useful when you can't see the timing diagram?
  - Remember, your program will only see these counters!


P1	P2	Р3
a:1	c:3	e:1
b:2	d:4	f:5
g:3		

P1	P2	P3
a: 1,0,0	c: 2,1,0	e: 0,0,1
b: 2,0,0	d: 2,2,0	f: 2,2,2
g: 3,0,0		

# VC EXAMPLE


#### VC Rules:

- When p\_i has an event, increment V[p\_i]
- Update each entry to the maximum when receiving a clock
- Send full vector clock with message
- What are the vector clocks at each event?
- Assume all processes start with (0,0,0)



# How to Compare VC?

Example Answer



# VECTOR CLOCKS

- Allow us to compare clocks to determine a partial ordering of events
- Example usage: versioning a document being edited by multiple users. How
  do you know the order edits were applied and who had what version when
  they edited?
- Is there a drawback to vector clocks compared to Lamport clocks?

- We can apply the vector clock concept to versioning a piece of data
  - This is used in many distributed data stores (DynamoDB, Riak)
- When a piece of data is updated:
  - Tag it with the actor who is modifying it and the version #
  - Treat the (actor: version) pairs like a vector clock
- The version vectors can be used to determine a causal ordering of updates
- Also can detect concurrent updates
- Need to have a policy for resolving conflicts
  - If two versions are concurrent, they are "siblings", return both!

- Alice tells everyone to meet on Wednesday
- Dave and Cathy discuss and decide on Thursday



- Ben and Dave exchange emails and decide Tuesday
- Alice wants to know the final meeting time, but Dave is offline and Ben and Cathy disagree... what to do?



- Alice tells everyone to meet on Wednesday
- Dave and Cathy discuss and decide on Thursday





Order?

Alice

Ben

Cathy

Dave

Wednesday

Wednesday

Thursday

Wednesday

Wednesday

Thursday

Tuesday

Tuesday

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

- Alice tells everyone to meet on Wednesday
- Dave and Cathy discuss and decide on Thursday
- Ben and Dave exchange emails and decide Tuesday



Alice

Wednesday **A:1** 

Ben

Wednesday **A:1** 

Cathy

Wednesday **A:1** 

Dave


Wednesday **A:1** 

Thursday Thursday A:1, D:2

Tuesday → Tuesday A:1, B:3, D:2 A:1, B:3, D:2

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

- Alice tells everyone to meet on Wednesday
- Dave and Cathy discuss and decide on Thursday
- Ben and Dave exchange emails and decide Tuesday



Order?

Alice

Wednesday

**A:1** 

- The result ends on the order of:
  - Dave and Cathy discuss and decide on Thursday
  - Ben and Dave exchange emails and decide Tuesday

Alice

Wednesday A:1 Ben

Tuesday
A:1, B:3, D:2

Cathy

Thursday A:1, D:2

Dave

Tuesday A:1, B:3, D:2

or

Alice

Wednesday

**A:1** 

A:1,

Ben

Tuesday A:1, B:2

Cathy

**Thursday** 

A:1, B:2, D:3

Dave

Thursday

A:1, B:2, D:3

Prof. Tim Wood & Prof. Roozbeh Haghnazar

# RESOLVING CONFLICTS

What if we have?

Alice

Friday

**A:2** 

Ben

Tuesday

A:1, B:2

Cathy

Thursday

A:1, B:2, D:3

Dave

Thursday

A:1, B:2, D:3

What are the conflicts?

### RESOLVING CONFLICTS

What if we have?

Alice
Friday
A:2

Ben

Tuesday A:1, B:2

Cathy

Thursday

A:1, B:2, D:3

Dave


Thursday

A:1, B:2, D:3

- How to resolve Alice vs the rest?
  - The Tuesday vs Thursday debate is not a real conflict since we can order them based on their version vectors
- We need a policy for resolving the conflicts
  - Random, Priority based, User resolved

# **DEPENDENCIES**

 Vector clocks also help understand the dependency between different events and processes



# TIME AND CLOCKS

- Synchronizing clocks is difficult
- But often, knowing an order of events is more important than knowing the "wall clock" time!
- Lamport and Vector Clocks provide ways of determining a consistent ordering of events
  - But some events might be treated as concurrent!
- The concept of vector clocks or version vectors is commonly used in real distributed systems
  - Track ordering of events and dependencies between them