

## Homework 3

1.

We search for the substring “ABX” in the string “ABCDABCDABCD-ABXD” Successful matches are in bold and unsuccessful are in regular text.

A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	X	D
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	X													
	A														
		A													
			A												
				<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	X									
					A										
						A									
							A								
								<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	X					
									A						
										A					
											A				
												A			
													<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>X</b>

We see that there are 9 successful and 12 unsuccessful matches.

2. Section 3.2 #8 (a)

**ALGORITHM** *CountSubstrings*( $T[0 \dots n]$ )

```

count ← 0
for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
    if  $T[i] = 'A'$  then
        for  $j \leftarrow i$  to  $n$  do
            if  $T[j] = 'B'$  then  $count \leftarrow count + 1$ 
            end if
        end for
    end if
end for
```

In the best case, we have a string with no A’s in it, and we never enter the second for loop. Thus, we would only iterate through the string once, so

$$C_B(n) \in \Theta(n)$$

In the worst case, we would have a string of just A's, so we would enter the second loop every single time. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_W(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^n 1 \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n - i + 1) \\
 &= (n + 1) + (n + 0) + \cdots + 1 \\
 &= \frac{(n + 2)(n + 1)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$C_W(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$$

### 3. Section 3.4 #8

If we have an array of  $n$  elements, then we can generate a permutation of the array and then check if that permutation is ordered. We will always have to make  $n - 1$  comparisons, and at worst, we'll have to check  $n!$  permutations. Thus, we'll have to make at most  $(n - 1)n!$  comparisons. So the efficiency of the worst case is

$$C_W(n) \in O((n + 1)!)$$

### 4. Section 4.1 #7

E	X	A	M	P	L	E'
E	X	A	M	P	L	E'
A	E	X	M	P	L	E'
A	E	M	X	P	L	E'
A	E	M	P	X	L	E'
A	E	L	M	P	X	E'
A	E	E'	L	M	P	X

### 5. Section 4.1 #11 (a)

The array with the largest number of inversion are reverse sorted arrays, since every  $A[i]$  would be larger than every subsequent element. Thus, they would have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n - 1 - i) = (n - 1) + (n - 2) + \cdots + 2 + 1 = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2}$$

number of inversions.

The smallest number would be sorted arrays and they would have 0 inversions.

**6.** Section 4.4 #8 (a, b, c, d)

(a) Decrease by a constant factor

(b)

$$C(n) = C\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + 2$$

with  $C(1) = 1$  and  $n = 3^k$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} C(n) &= C(3^k) \\ &= C(3^{k-2}) + C(3^{k-1}) + 2 + 2 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= C(3^{k-k}) + \underbrace{2 + 2 + \cdots + 2}_{k \text{ times}} \\ &= 1 + 2k \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n = 3^k$ , then  $k = \log_3 n$ . So  $C(n) = 2 \log_3 n + 1$ .

(d) The efficiency for binary search is  $2 \log_2 n + 1$ . Since the base of the log is smaller for binary than ternary search, then ternary is more efficient. However, both algorithms are of the same efficiency class.