LIBOR TIMING ADJUSTMENTS

PETER CASPERS

First Version November 1, 2012 - This Version August 4, 2015

ABSTRACT. We derive a closed form expression for the convexity adjustment to be applied to a Libor coupon with non natural payment time. The model is a two dimensional lognormal model for the Libor rate and a forward rate naturally associated to this rate and the payment time of the coupon. In particular we recover the in arrears fixing adjustment as a special case.

1. Introduction

Consider a Libor rate with fixing time t_f , index start date t_s and index end date t_e . For Euribor indices t_f is e.g. deduced from t_s by subtracting two target business days while t_e is obatained by adding the Libor index tenor to t_s and adjusting to a business day using the rolling convention modified following (following in case of weekly tenors). Note that it is quite usual e.g. in a vanilla interest rate swap to have $t_e > t_p$ for some floating coupons in the schedule where t_p denotes the payment time of the Libor coupon, though the swap has natural payment lags. The convexity adjustment to be applied in this case is of course very small, because $t_e - t_p$ is at most a few days. Therefore the adjustment usually is ignored. However if the payment is made in arrears, i.e. $t_p = t_s$ or is delayed significantly (more than a few days), i.e. $t_p \gg t_e$, or if an index is averaged over some fixings, i.e. $t_s < t_p < t_e$ for (some of the) contributions to the average, then the adjustment becomes usually non negligible and should therefore be included in the coupon pricing. We derive an easy closed form expression for this timing adjustment applicable to all cases mentioned above based on a simple two dimensional lognormal model for the rate to be adjusted and a forward rate associated to this rate and the payment time t_p .

2. A GENERAL ADJUSTMENT FORMULA

With notations as above and $\tau_{\rm accr}$ denoting the year fraction of the accrual period (usually starting on t_s and ending on t_p , which is however completely unimportant for the following derivations) we can price the coupon using the general pricing formula

(2.1)
$$C = P(0, t_p) E^{t_p} \left(\frac{F(t_f) \tau_{\text{accr}} P(t_f, t_p)}{P(t_f, t_p)} \right) = P(0, t_p) E^{t_p} (F(t_f) \tau_{\text{accr}})$$

with F(t) denoting the forward Libor rate, P(t,T) denoting the price at time t of a zero bond with maturity T and the expectation being taken in the t_p -forward

Date: December 10, 2012.

measure \mathbb{Q}^{t_p} . We note here that our derivation will be done in a single curve setup for the moment, i.e. with identical forwading and discounting curves.

We see that the adjusted rate is simply given by the t_p -forward expectation of the rate evaluated on the fixing time. It is then clear, that this is equal to the time zero forward rate F(0) if (and only if) $t_p = t_e$, because F(t) is an \mathbb{Q}^{t_e} -martingale. The latter is true since

(2.2)
$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{P(t, t_s)}{P(t, t_e)} - 1 \right)$$

with F simply compounding and τ now (and in the following) referring to the year fraction from t_s to t_e , i.e. the index estimation period (and not the accrual period as the τ above).

The convexity adjustment is obviously model dependent. We will now derive a closed form expression for the convexity adjustment in a particularly basic model.

3. Lognormal adjustment

In addition to 2.2 we introduce a second forward rate F^* with estimation start date t_s^* and estimation end date $t_e^* = t_p$ equal to the payment time of our coupon in question. We choose $t_s^* = t_e$ if $t_p > t_e$ (i.e. if we have a *delayed* payment) and $t_s^* = t_s$ otherwise (i.e. if we have an *early* payment).

We let these two forward rates evolve according to

$$(3.1) dF = \sigma F dW$$

$$(3.2) dF^* = \sigma^* F^* dW^*$$

$$(3.3) dWdW^* = \rho dt$$

where dW and dW^* are brownian motions in the respective forward measures associated to F and F^* denoted by $\mathbb Q$ and $\mathbb Q^*$ in the following. To compute the adjusted forward we follow 2.1 and therefore aim to compute

$$(3.4) E^*(F(t_f))$$

with the expectation taken in the measure \mathbb{Q}^* . The derivation is now following the (very nice) elaboration on the Girsanov Theorem in [1]. Since

$$(3.5) P(0,t_e)E\left(\frac{C(t)}{P(t,t_e)}\right) = P(0,t_p)E^*\left(\frac{C(t)}{P(t,t_p)}\right)$$

for an arbitrary payoff C we get the Radon Nikodym derivative

(3.6)
$$Z(t) = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{Q}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \frac{P(0, t_e)P(t, t_p)}{P(0, t_p)P(t, t_e)}$$

which in terms of forward rates reads

(3.7)
$$Z(t) = \frac{P(0, t_e)}{P(0, t_p)} \frac{(1 + \tau_F F(t) \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e})}{1 + \tau_{F^*} F^*(t)}$$

with $\mathbf{1}_A$ denoting the indicator function. We stress again that τ_X is the year fraction belonging to the index estimation period of the respective forward X. We get $d \ln Z$ from that as

(3.8)
$$d \ln Z(t) = d \ln (1 + \tau_F F(t) \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e}) - d \ln (1 + \tau_{F^*} F^*(t))$$
 so the instantaneous quadratic covariation between $d \ln Z$ and $d \ln F$ is given by

(3.9)
$$\sigma dW(d \ln (1 + \tau_F F(t) \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e}) - d \ln (1 + \tau_{F^*} F^*(t)))$$
 Observing that

(3.10)
$$d\ln(1+\tau_F F(t)) = \frac{d(1+\tau_F F(t))}{1+\tau_F F(t)} + \dots dt$$

which holds similarly for F^* the covariation expression becomes

(3.11)
$$\sigma dW \left(\frac{d(1 + \tau_F F(t))}{1 + \tau_F F(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e} - \frac{d(1 + \tau_F^* F^*(t))}{1 + \tau_F^* F^*(t)} \right)$$

which evaluates to

(3.12)
$$\left(\frac{\tau_F \sigma^2 F(t)}{1 + \tau_F F(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e} - \frac{\tau_F^* \rho \sigma \sigma^* F^*(t)}{1 + \tau_F^* F^*(t)}\right) dt$$

and finally yielding the dynamics of F under the measure \mathbb{Q}^*

(3.13)
$$dF(t) = F(t) \left(\frac{\tau_F \sigma^2 F(t)}{1 + \tau_F F(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e} - \frac{\tau_{F^*} \rho \sigma \sigma^* F^*(t)}{1 + \tau_{F^*} F^*(t)} \right) dt + F(t) \sigma dW'$$

with a \mathbb{Q}^* - Brownian motion W'.

To get a closed formula for the adjusted rate we have to make the drift term in formula 3.13 deterministic. A standard approach to do this is to freeze the forwards at time zero. Doing so we get the convexity adjustment

(3.14)
$$E^*(F(t_f)) - F(0) \approx t_f \left(\frac{\tau_F \sigma^2 F^2(0)}{1 + \tau_F F(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t_p < t_e} - \frac{\tau_{F^*} \rho \sigma \sigma^* F(0) F^*(0)}{1 + \tau_{F^*} F^*(0)} \right)$$

The Libor in arrears adjustment from [2] is recovered as a special case with $t_p = t_s$, the second term inside the brackets then vanishing (because $\tau_{F^*} = 0$).

It should be noted that there is a restriction for the model parameter ρ in the case $t_p < t_e$ which we not further investigate here for now. In particular we note that $\rho \to 1$ necessarily if $t_p \to t_e$ from the left. Since if $t_p < t_e$ implies that the forward rates F and F^* are overlapping with same start date and a low distance between their end dates, most likely one will choose ρ near or equal 1 anyway.

Furthermore obviously the convexity adjustment converges to zero both for $t_p \to t_e$ from the left and from the right. As a result the convexity adjustment is a continuous function in t_p (with all other variables fixed) with a zero at $t_p = t_e$. This is both expected and a good property.

Concerning the model parameter σ the obvious choice is the ATM caplet volatility for the index associated with F. For σ^* some average over the same index caplet

volatilities spanning the period of F^* can be choosen, possibly accounting for correlations between the respective forward rates. Similarly the model parameter ρ can be taken from some market model with swaption and / or cms spread option calibrated correlation structure. Again, we do not go into details here for now.

4. Open Points / To Do

What is the restriction on ρ if $t_p < t_e$ to ensure an arbitrage free model dynamics in 3.1? What is a good choice for σ^* and ρ ? Can a replication adjustment be calculated in the general setting of this paper taking into account the volatility smile? Generalize the derivation to the case of multicurve curve stripping, i.e. with two distinct curves for discounting and forwarding.

References

- [1] Papaioannou Denis, Applied Multidimensional Girsanov Theorem, Electronic copy available at: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1805984
- [2] Heidorn, Schmidt, LIBOR in Arrears, 1998, Frankfurt School of Finance E-mail address, Peter Caspers: pcaspers1973@gmail.com