Last login: IPA fall'03, November 19th, 2003.

You have new mail.
Arjen van Weelden <arjenw@cs.kun.nl>
Rinus Plasmeijer <rinus@cs.kun.nl>

Esther:> Composition of compiled code with a functional shell Esther:> -

Overview

- Motivation
 - Why an O/S and shell in a funct. lang.
- Dynamics in Clean (previous talk)
 - O/S overview
- Our functional shell Esther
 - A type checking and code combining shell
 - Features and demonstration
 - Implementation
- Conclusions

Motivation

- Modern programming languages:
 - High level, especially functional ones
 - Abstraction: functions, polymorphism, overloading, generic progamming
 - Composition: application, higher-order functions
 - Verification: strong type checking, type inference, proofs
 - Clean and Haskell: referential transparent, no (unexpected) side effects, types warn about 'dangerous' functions

Motivation

- Introduce functional concepts to O/S
 - No (accidental) side effects, stronger type checking, no (void) pointers
 - More analysis/checking, less run-time errors
- Resulted in a prototype functional microkernel for process management
 - Type safe communication of <u>any</u> value (<u>and</u> code) of <u>any</u> type (using Clean's dynamics)
- Functional shell (this presentation)
- And a typed file system in development
 - Files have types, executables are functions

Motivation

- Shell/command line languages
 - Scripting, interpreted execution
 - No type checking: all streams of characters
 - Limited composition: pipe-lining
 - No higher-order programs (except maybe *Es*)
 - Only simple and specialized syntactical constructs (except *ScSh*)
- Programs use more and more external plug-ins, types could be put to good use
- Program become more modular, we would like to use type safe run-time composition

Dynamics in Clean

- Remember previous talk:
 - dynamic 20.5 + 21.5 :: Real dynamic map (\x -> x + 1) :: [Int] -> [Int]
 - o isZero :: Dynamic -> Bool
 isZero (x :: Int) = x == 0
 isZero d = False
 - readDynamic :: String *World -> (Dynamic,*World)
 - writeDynamic :: String Dynamic *World -> *World
 - o dynApply :: Dynamic Dynamic -> Dynamic dynApply (f :: a -> b) (x :: a) = dynamic f x :: b dynApply df dx = raise "cannot apply something"

Functional operating system

- Stores files as dynamic, executables have a function type (a -> b):
 - writeDynamic "document" (dynamic doc)where doc :: PostScript
 - writeDynamic "format" (dynamic fun) where fun :: *World -> *World
- Simple program loader:
 - o (d, world1) = readDynamic "format" world case d of

```
(f:: *World -> *World) -> f world1
```

Type safe communication with dynamics

Running applications

- Shell X: program input
 - Run binary executable named program, with command line argument the string "input"
- Esther: program "input"
 - Esther uses same syntax as Clean compiler
 - Searches for the dynamic named program
 - Constructs the dynamic for the argument
 - Type checks/combines code to construct a dynamic semantically equal to the expression
 - Evaluates and shows resulting dynamic

Running applications

• Type checks/combines code:

```
odf = readDynamic "program"
dx = dynamic "input" :: String
dy = dynApply df dx

odynApply :: Dynamic Dynamic -> Dynamic
dynApply (f :: a -> b) (x :: a) = dynamic f x :: b
dynApply df dx = raise "cannot apply"
```

must unify with type of x

result type of f

- Can be used for any type, examples:
 - osort [10, 5, 7, 3, 2, 8, 9, 1, 6]
 - osqrt 25.8
 - 40 + "bla" ← type error

Running applications

• Type checks/combines code:

- The dynamics run-time system keeps all application code in a data base
- The dynamics on disk give access to that code

Combining programs

- Shell X: pdf2ps document | print
 - Usually via pipe-lines, or temporary files
- Esther: print (pdf2ps document)or: (print o pdf2ps) document
 - Just function application or composition (o)
 - Suppose pdf2ps has type: PDF -> PostScript
 - Esther does a type check to make sure the document has type PDF, and that print expects values of type PostScript

Defining new programs

- Shell X: real programs? not possible!
 - Usually done by source code interpretation
- \circ Esther: fac n = if (n <= 1) 1 (n * fac (n 1))
 - This creates a dynamic of disk named fac
 - Esther uses compiled code of if, <=, *, and -.
 - Again, Esther will type check applications: fac 3 → 6 fac [3] → cannot apply fac :: Int -> Int

to [3] :: [Int]

 Such functions can be used by other (pre-compiled) programs: Demo

Defining new programs

- Programs can be defined as if they were functions:
 - Ousing lambda abstraction:
 - (o) infix $fg = \langle x \rangle f(gx)$
 - Or using pattern matching:
 if b x y = case b of True -> x; False -> y
 - Or using higher-order functions:
 sort list = sortBy (<) list
 incList list = map ((+) 1) list</pre>
- First class programs: no distinction between programs and functions

Overloading

- Observation: functions can only be used on the correct types
- Problem: you want to use the same function (name) for different types
 - +, for example, can be used for Int and Real
- Sollution in Clean: overloading

```
o class + a :: a a -> a
instance + Int
instance + Real
```

```
\circ 1 + 1 = 2 :: Int
```

$$\circ$$
 1.0 + 1.5 == 3.5 :: Real

Overloading in Esther

- Requires additional infrastructure:
 - + is stored as a 'overloaded dynamic', with a special type: + :: a a -> a | + a
 - Esther looks for instances of +, when she knows which type is used: instance + Int
- Users can define their own instances for overloaded functions:
 - \circ addLists x y = x ++ y
 - o addLists >> instance + [a]
 - \circ [1, 2] + [3, 4, 5] \rightarrow [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

File system

- Stores typed files: dynamics
- Stores globals: search path
- Stores fixity as file attributes
- Stores instances of overloaded functions by encoding it in the name:
 - oinstance + Int
 - instance + Real
 - o instance one Real
- Effectively a catalog of typed code and data

Lazy evaluation

- Esther is lazy, demand driven evaluation
 - For example, an infinite list of 1's:let list = [1:list] in list >> ones
 - This saves an infinite (cyclic) list as a file
 - The list will only be evaluated as far as needed by other computations: take 5 ones → [1,1,1,1,1]
 - Just as powerful as the Clean compiler

Implementation

- All features can be done by dynamics
 - Application can be done by dynApply
- Some require syntax transformations:
 - \circ Lambda \Rightarrow combinators (I, K, S, ...) & apply
 - Let ⇒ lambda & Y combinator
 - Case ⇒ if-then-else cascade & low level code
 - Functions ⇒ let & lambda
 - Sugar ⇒ functions
- Values come from the file system
- Denotations come from the parser

Lambda

- We don't know how to convert lambda in Esther to lambda in Clean.
- Instead we reuse dynamic application:
 - Use bracket abstraction to transform lambda abstraction into application and 3 combinators

```
○ \x -> e ⇒ [e]x
```

```
  [\y -> e]x = [ [e]y ]x
  [e1 e2]x = S [e1]x [e2]x
  [x]x = I
  [e]x = K e
```

$$\circ$$
 I x = x, K x y = x, S f g x = f x (g x)

Let

- Let constructs are used to label subexpressions to create cycles or sharing
- We reuse our lambda conversion:
 - \circ let x = e1 in e2 \Rightarrow (\x -> e2) (Y (\x -> e1))
 - \circ Y f = f (Y f)
 - This is a standard trick to transform let expressions
 - There are also standard way to convert mutual recursive let expressions into a single let expression

Functions

- Functions are lambda abstraction with a name
- We reuse our lambda and let conversion:
 - \circ f x1 ... xn = e \Rightarrow let f = \x1 ... xn -> e in f
 - Just another lambda function and a let, which we already know how to handle

Conclusions

- Basic, but complete, functional shell, that works on a typed file system
- Type checked/inferred command line
- Reuses compiled code
- Programs can be used as functions/ functions can be used as programs
- Command line expressions can be used in other pre-compiled programs
- Copy-paste compatible with the Clean compiler