

Last login: IPA fall'03, November 19th, 2003.

You have new mail.

Arjen van Weelden <arjenw@cs.kun.nl>

Rinus Plasmeijer <rinus@cs.kun.nl>

Esther:> Composition of compiled
code with a functional shell

Esther:> ■

Overview

- Motivation
 - Why an O/S and shell in a funct. lang.
- Dynamics in Clean (previous talk)
 - O/S overview
- Our functional shell Esther
 - A type checking and code combining shell
 - Features and demonstration
 - Implementation
- Conclusions

Motivation

- Modern programming languages:
 - High level, especially functional ones
 - Abstraction: functions, polymorphism, overloading, generic programming
 - Composition: application, higher-order functions
 - Verification: strong type checking, type inference, proofs
 - Clean and Haskell: referential transparent, no (unexpected) side effects, types warn about 'dangerous' functions

Motivation

- Introduce functional concepts to O/S
 - No (accidental) side effects, stronger type checking, no (void) pointers
 - More analysis/checking, less run-time errors
- Resulted in a prototype functional micro-kernel for process management
 - Type safe communication of any value (*and code*) of any type (using Clean's dynamics)
- Functional shell (this presentation)
- And a typed file system in development
 - Files have types, executables are functions

Motivation

- Shell/command line languages
 - Scripting, interpreted execution
 - No type checking: all streams of characters
 - Limited composition: pipe-lining
 - No higher-order programs (except maybe ***Es***)
 - Only simple and specialized syntactical constructs (except ***ScSh***)
- Programs use more and more external plug-ins, types could be put to good use
- Program become more modular, we would like to use type safe run-time composition

Dynamics in Clean

- Remember previous talk:
 - **dynamic** 20.5 + 21.5 :: Real
dynamic map (\x -> x + 1) :: [Int] -> [Int]
 - isZero :: Dynamic -> Bool
isZero (x :: Int) = x == 0
isZero d = False
 - **readDynamic** :: String *World -> (Dynamic, *World)
 - **writeDynamic** :: String Dynamic *World -> *World
 - dynApply :: Dynamic Dynamic -> Dynamic
dynApply (f :: a -> b) (x :: a) = **dynamic** f x :: b
dynApply df dx = **raise** "cannot apply something"

Functional operating system

- Stores files as dynamic, executables have a function type ($a \rightarrow b$):
 - `writeDynamic "document" (dynamic doc)`
where `doc :: PostScript`
 - `writeDynamic "format" (dynamic fun)`
where `fun :: *World -> *World`
- Simple program loader:
 - `(d, world1) = readDynamic "format" world`
case `d` of
 $(f :: *World \rightarrow *World) \rightarrow f \text{ world1}$
- Type safe communication with dynamics

Running applications

- Shell X: **program input**
 - Run binary executable named program, with command line argument the string "input"
- Esther: **program "input"**
 - Esther uses same syntax as Clean compiler
 - Searches for the dynamic named program
 - Constructs the dynamic for the argument
 - Type checks/combines code to construct a dynamic semantically equal to the expression
 - Evaluates and shows resulting dynamic

Running applications

- Type checks/combines code:

- `df = readDynamic "program"`
`dx = dynamic "input" :: String`
`dy = dynApply df dx`

type of x

- `dynApply :: Dynamic Dynamic -> Dynamic`
`dynApply (f :: a -> b) (x :: a) = dynamic f x :: b`
`dynApply df dx = raise "cannot apply"`

must unify with type of x

result type of f

- Can be used for any type, examples:

- `sort [10, 5, 7, 3, 2, 8, 9, 1, 6]`

- `sqrt 25.8`

- `40 + "bla" ← type error`

Running applications

- Type checks/combines code:

- `df = readDynamic "program"`
`dx = dynamic "input" :: String`
`dy = dynApply df dx`

code for application

- `dynApply :: Dynamic Dynamic -> Dynamic`
`dynApply (f :: a -> b) (x :: a) = dynamic f x :: b`
`dynApply df dx = raise "cannot apply"`

code of f

code of x

- The dynamics run-time system keeps all application code in a data base
- The dynamics on disk give access to that code

Combining programs

- Shell X: `pdf2ps document | print`
 - Usually via pipe-lines, or temporary files
- Esther: `print (pdf2ps document)`
or: `(print o pdf2ps) document`
 - Just function application or composition (o)
 - Suppose pdf2ps has type: `PDF -> PostScript`
 - Esther does a type check to make sure the document has type `PDF`, and that `print` expects values of type `PostScript`

Defining new programs

- Shell X: real programs? not possible!
 - Usually done by source code interpretation
- Esther: `fac n = if (n <= 1) 1 (n * fac (n - 1))`
 - This creates a dynamic of disk named `fac`
 - Esther uses compiled code of `if`, `<=`, `*`, and `-`.
 - Again, Esther will type check applications:
`fac 3` \rightarrow 6
`fac [3]` \rightarrow cannot apply `fac :: Int -> Int`
to `[3] :: [Int]`
- Such functions can be used by other (pre-compiled) programs: Demo

Defining new programs

- Programs can be defined as if they were functions:
 - Using lambda abstraction:
`(o) infix f g = \x -> f (g x)`
 - Or using pattern matching:
`if b x y = case b of True -> x; False -> y`
 - Or using higher-order functions:
`sort list = sortBy (<) list`
`incList list = map ((+) 1) list`
- First class programs: no distinction between programs and functions

Overloading

- Observation: functions can only be used on the correct types
- Problem: you want to use the same function (name) for different types
 - $+$, for example, can be used for Int and Real
- Solution in Clean: overloading
 - `class + a :: a a -> a`
instance + Int
instance + Real
 - `1 + 1 == 2 :: Int`
 - `1.0 + 1.5 == 3.5 :: Real`

Overloading in Esther

- Requires additional infrastructure:
 - + is stored as a 'overloaded dynamic', with a special type: $+ :: a \rightarrow a \mid + a$
 - Esther looks for instances of +, when she knows which type is used: `instance + Int`
- Users can define their own instances for overloaded functions:
 - `addLists x y = x ++ y`
 - `addLists >> instance + [a]`
 - `[1, 2] + [3, 4, 5] → [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]`

File system

- Stores typed files: dynamics
- Stores globals: search path
- Stores fixity as file attributes
- Stores instances of overloaded functions by encoding it in the name:
 - instance + Int
 - instance + Real
 - instance one Real
- Effectively a catalog of typed code and data

Lazy evaluation

- Esther is lazy, demand driven evaluation
 - For example, an infinite list of 1's:
let list = [1:list] in list >> ones
 - This saves an infinite (cyclic) list as a file
 - The list will only be evaluated as far as needed by other computations:
take 5 ones → [1,1,1,1,1]
 - Just as powerful as the Clean compiler

Implementation

- All features can be done by dynamics
 - Application can be done by `dynApply`
- Some require syntax transformations:
 - Lambda \Rightarrow combinators (I, K, S, \dots) & `apply`
 - Let \Rightarrow lambda & Y combinator
 - Case \Rightarrow if-then-else cascade & low level code
 - Functions \Rightarrow let & lambda
 - Sugar \Rightarrow functions
- Values come from the file system
- Denotations come from the parser

Lambda

- We don't know how to convert lambda in Esther to lambda in Clean.
- Instead we reuse dynamic application:
 - Use bracket abstraction to transform lambda abstraction into application and 3 combinators
 - $\lambda x. e \Rightarrow [e]x$
 - $[\lambda y. e]x = [[e]y]x$
 - $[e_1 e_2]x = S [e_1]x [e_2]x$
 - $[x]x = I$
 - $[e]x = K e$
 - $I x = x, K x y = x, S f g x = f x (g x)$

Let

- Let constructs are used to label subexpressions to create cycles or sharing
- We reuse our lambda conversion:
 - $\text{let } x = e1 \text{ in } e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda x. e2) (Y (\lambda x. e1))$
 - $Y f = f (Y f)$
 - This is a standard trick to transform let expressions
 - There are also standard way to convert mutual recursive let expressions into a single let expression

Functions

- Functions are lambda abstraction with a name
- We reuse our lambda and let conversion:
 - $f\ x_1 \dots x_n = e \Rightarrow \text{let } f = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. e \text{ in } f$
 - Just another lambda function and a let, which we already know how to handle

Conclusions

- Basic, but complete, functional shell, that works on a typed file system
- Type checked/inferred command line
- Reuses compiled code
- Programs can be used as functions/
functions can be used as programs
- Command line expressions can be used in other pre-compiled programs
- Copy-paste compatible with the Clean compiler