Type Class: The Ultimate Ad Hoc

George Wilson

Data61/CSIRO

george.wilson@data61.csiro.au

August 4, 2017

Type classes are a language feature

- Haskell
- Purescript
- Eta
- Clean

or sometimes a design pattern

- Scala

Polymorphism

Something which is *polymorhpic* has many shapes

Polymorphism is good

- less duplication
- more reuse
- fewer possible implementations

Broadly speaking there are two major forms of polymorphism in programming:

- *parametric* polymorphism
- *ad-hoc* polymorphism

A `parametrically polymorphic` type has at least one *type parameter* which can be instantiated to *any type*.

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
id :: a -> a
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
```

A type which is ad-hocly polymorphic can be instantiated to different types, and may behave differently at each type

Interfaces

```
interface Equal<A> {
  public boolean eq(A other);
}
```

```java
interface Equal<A> {
  public boolean eq(A other);
}

class Person implements Equal<Person> {
  public int age;
  public String name;

  public boolean eq(Person other) {
    return this.age == other.age && this.name.equals(other.name);
  }
}
```

```java
static <A extends Equal<A>> boolean elementOf(A a, List<A> list) {
  for (A element : list) {
    if (a.eq(element)) return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

```java
static <A extends Equal<A>> boolean elementOf(A a, List<A> list) {
  for (A element : list) {
    if (a.eq(element)) return true;
  }
  return false;
}

elementOf(me, functionalProgrammers);
// true
```

```java
package java.lang;

class String {
  private char[] value;
  // other definitions
}
```

```java
package java.lang;

class String implements Equal<String> {
  private char[] value;
  // other definitions
}
```

```
class List<A> {
  // implementation details




}
```

```
class List<A> implements Equal<List<A>> {
  // implementation details




}
```

```java
class List<A> implements Equal<List<A>> {
  // implementation details

  public boolean eq(List<A> other) {
    // implementation...

  }
}
```

```java
class List<A> implements Equal<List<A>> {
  // implementation details

  public boolean eq(List<A> other) {
    // implementation...
    // ... but how do we compare A for equality?
  }
}
```

- Interface implementation can't be conditional
- We can only implement interfaces for types we control

Type Classes

```haskell
class Equal a where
  eq :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```haskell
class Equal a where
  eq :: a -> a -> Bool


data Person = Person {
  age :: Int
, name :: String
}
```

```haskell
class Equal a where
  eq :: a -> a -> Bool


data Person = Person {
  age :: Int
, name :: String
}


instance Equal Person where
  eq p1 p2 = eq (age p1) (age p2) && eq (name p1) (name p2)
```

```haskell
elementOf :: Equal a => a -> [a] -> Bool
elementOf a list =
  case list of
    []    -> False
    (h:t) -> eq a h || elementOf a t
```

Instances can be constrained

```
instance (Equal a) => Equal [a] where
  eq []     []     = True
  eq (x:xs) []     = False
  eq []     (y:ys) = False
  eq (x:xs) (y:ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys
```

Instances can be constrained

```
instance (Equal a) => Equal [a] where
  eq []     []     = True
  eq (x:xs) []     = False
  eq []     (y:ys) = False
  eq (x:xs) (y:ys) = eq x y && eq xs ys
```

We can add type class instances for types we didn't write

- You can write instances for types you did not write
- Instances can depend on other instances if necessary

There are exactly two places a type class instance is allowed to exist

List.hs

```haskell
data [a] = []
         | (a:[a])
```

Equal.hs

```haskell
class Equal a where
  eq :: a -> a -> Bool

instance Equal [a] where
  eq = ...
```

There are exactly two places a type class instance is allowed to exist

List.hs

```haskell
data [a] = []
         | (a:[a])

instance Equal [a] where
  eq = ...
```

Equal.hs

```haskell
class Equal a where
  eq :: a -> a -> Bool
```

TODO show why type classes aren't perfectly flexible
(no custom local instances, maybe show newtypes for sum and product or something)

Implicits

More Flexible Than Typeclasses™

```scala
case class Person(age: Int, name: String)
```

```scala
case class Person(age: Int, name: String)


trait Equal[A] {
  def eq(a: A, b: A): Boolean
}
```

```scala
case class Person(age: Int, name: String)

trait Equal[A] {
  def eq(a: A, b: A): Boolean
}

implicit def equalPerson: Equal[Person] = new Equal[Person] {
  def eq(a: Person, b: Person): Boolean =
    a.age == b.age && a.name == b.name
}
```

```scala
def elementOf[A](a: A, list: List[A])
                (implicit equalA: Equal[A]): Boolean = {
  list match {
    case Nil => false
    case (h::t) => equal.eq(a, h) || elementOf(a, t)
  }
}
```

```scala
implicit def equalList(implicit equalA: Equal[A]): Equal[List[A]] =
  new Equal[List[A]] {
    def eq(a: List[A], b: List[A]): Boolean = {
      (a,b) match {
        case (Nil,    Nil)    => true
        case (x::xs, Nil)    => false
        case (Nil,    y::ys) => false
        case (x::xs, y::ys) => equalA.eq(x,y) || eq(xs,ys)
      }
    }
  }
```

- We can define implicits for types we did not write
- We can write implicits that depend on implicits

```scala
sealed trait Ordering
case object LT extends Ordering
case object EQ extends Ordering
case object GT extends Ordering


trait Order[A] {
  def compare(a: A, b: A): Ordering
}
```

```scala
sealed trait Ordering
case object LT extends Ordering
case object EQ extends Ordering
case object GT extends Ordering


trait Order[A] {
  def compare(a: A, b: A): Ordering
}


implicit def orderPerson: Order[Person] = new Order[Person] {
  def compare(a: Person, b: Person): Ordering =
    intOrder.compare(a.age, b.age) match {
      case LT => LT
      case EQ => stringOrder.compare(a.name, b.name)
      case GT => GT
    }
}
```

```scala
def sort[A](list: List[A])(implicit orderA: Order[A]): List[A] = {
  // quicksort goes here
}
```

```
sort(
  List(
    Person(30, "Robert")
  , Person(20, "John")
  , Person(40, "Alfred")
  )
)
```

```
sort(
  List(
    Person(30, "Robert")
  , Person(20, "John")
  , Person(40, "Alfred")
  )
)


==>

List(
  Person(20, "John")
, Person(30, "Robert")
, Person(40, "Alfred")
)
```

Then the boss says “I want those sorted by name”.

Then the boss says "I want those sorted by name".

```
implicit def personOrderByName: Order[Person] = new Order[Person] {
  def compare(a: Person, b: Person): Ordering =
    stringOrder.compare(a.age, b.age)
}
```

```
sort(
  List(
    Person(30, "Robert")
  , Person(20, "John")
  , Person(40, "Alfred")
  )
)
```

```
sort(
  List(
    Person(30, "Robert")
  , Person(20, "John")
  , Person(40, "Alfred")
  )
)


==>

List(
  Person(40, "Alfred")
, Person(20, "John")
, Person(30, "Robert")
)
```

talk about how impossible it is to understand understand which implicit wins

show Set example about incoherence doing nasty things quietly

talk about applying discipline in scala to get coherence