

1. Let  $G$  be a simple graph such that  $\delta(G) = k \geq 2$ . Prove that  $G$  contains a cycle on at least  $k + 1$  vertices. (3 pts extra credit: Give an example that demonstrates this result is best possible.)

**Solution:**

Let  $G$  be a simple graph such that  $\delta(G) = k \geq 2$ . Let  $u$  be the endpoint of a maximal length path in  $G$ . Since  $P$  is maximal, every neighbor of  $u$  is in  $V(P)$ . Hence, since  $u$  has at least  $k$  neighbors,  $P$  has at least  $k$  edges. Let  $v$  be the farthest neighbor of  $u$ 's along  $P$ . Then there is a  $uv$ -path that has length  $k$ , but there is also edge  $uv$ . Hence, there is a cycle of length  $k + 1$ . Consider the graph below, which has minimum degree 2 with  $C_3$  as the maximum length cycle, as a demonstration that this result is best possible:



□

2. Let  $G$  be a connected graph on  $n$  vertices. Prove that  $G$  has exactly one cycle if and only if  $G$  has exactly  $n$  edges.

**Solution:**

→

Suppose  $G$  has exactly one cycle and  $G$  is connected on  $n$  vertices. Let  $C_k$  be the cycle,  $k \leq n$ . If  $k = n$ , then  $G$  has  $n$  edges, as desired. On the other hand, suppose  $k < n$ . Consider a graph containing only  $C_k$ . To yield  $G$ , we must connect  $n - k$  vertices to  $C_k$ . Yet, each edge added must be a cut-edge in order to avoid creating a cycle. Thus, exactly one edge must be added for each of the  $n - k$  missing vertices. Hence, the total number of edges in  $G$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(C_k) + n - k \\ &= k + n - k \\ &= n \end{aligned}$$

←

Suppose  $G$  is a connected graph on  $n$  vertices and  $n$  edges.  $G$  is not a tree because  $G$  has one too many edges to be a tree. Since  $G$  is connected but not a tree,  $G$  contains a cycle. Remove an edge from cycle  $C_k$  in  $G$  to produce  $G'$  with  $n$  vertices and  $n - 1$  edges. Since the edge was in a cycle, it is not a cut-edge. Thus,  $G'$  is connected on  $n - 1$  edges, which means  $G'$  is a tree. Therefore,  $G'$  is acyclic. Hence,  $C_k$  is the only cycle in  $G$ . □

3. Let  $G$  be a simple graph having no isolated vertex and no *induced* subgraph with exactly two edges. Prove that  $G$  must be the complete graph.

**Solution:**

Assume  $G$  is a simple graph with no isolated vertices, and no induced subgraph with exactly two edges. Assume, for a contradiction, that  $G$  is not complete. That is, there exists  $u, v \in V(G)$  such that  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Since there are no isolated vertices in  $G$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  have neighbors. Delete every vertex in  $G$  except for  $u$ ,  $v$ , a neighbor of  $u$ , and a neighbor

of  $v$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  share one or more neighbors, delete every vertex except  $u$ ,  $v$ , and one vertex from their neighborhood. In either case, the induced subgraph has exactly two edges, a contradiction. Hence,  $G$  must be the complete graph.  $\square$

4. Let  $d$  be a sequence  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  be positive integers and assume  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that there exists a tree  $T$  with degree sequence  $d$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$ .

**Solution:**

→

Suppose there exists tree  $T$  with degree sequence  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $T$  has  $n - 1$  edges. Hence, the degree sum of  $T$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$ , as desired.

←

Let  $d$  be a sequence  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  of positive integers and, without loss of generality, suppose they are arranged in non-increasing order. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$ . I claim  $d_n = d_{n-1} = 1$ . Otherwise, if either  $d_{n-1} \geq 2$  and  $d_n = 1$ , or if  $d_{n-1}, d_n \geq 2$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \geq 2n - 1$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $\Delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_i$ . The maximum  $\Delta$  could be is when all the other terms of  $d$  are equal to 1. In this extremal case, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2 = \Delta + n - 1.$$

Which means,

$$n - 1 = \Delta$$

in this extremal case. Hence, in general,  $\Delta \leq n - 1$ . Furthermore, for  $n > 2$ ,  $\Delta \geq 2$ , for otherwise,  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = n - 2$ , a contradiction. Proceeding inductively on  $n$ , when  $n = 2$ ,  $d = 1, 1$ , which is certainly the degree sequence of a tree. Let  $2 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For our inductive hypothesis, suppose that for a non-increasing sequence  $d = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k$  of positive integers where  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = 2k - 2$  there exists a tree with degree sequence  $d$ . Consider the sequence of positive integers arranged in non-increasing order  $d' = d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_{k+1}$  where  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d'_i = 2(k + 1) - 2$ . Now, remove  $d'_{k+1}$  from the sequence and subtract 1 from  $d'_s \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq s < k$ , ( $d'_s$  must exist since  $\Delta \geq 2$ ) to create  $d''$ . Evaluating the sum of the terms in  $d''$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d''_i &= 2(k + 1) - 2 - 2 \\ &= 2k + 2 - 4 \\ &= 2k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, there exists a tree  $T$  with degree sequence  $d''$ . In order to get a tree with degree sequence  $d'$ , we simply add a vertex  $v$  to  $T$  and an edge from  $v$  to a vertex with degree  $d'_s - 1$  in  $T$ . Since this is merely adding a leaf to a tree, the resulting graph must also be a tree.  $\square$