Project Report DickGrayson

Sam Gwydir Martin Fracker Christopher Findeisen Rafael Moreno Kyle Wilson
 $<\!\!2015\text{-}05\text{-}03~Sun\!\!>$

Contents

1	1 Introduction	3
2	2 Tools	3
3	3 Agile Programming	3
	3.1 Problems Encountered	 3
4	4 Test-Driven Development	3
	4.1 Problems Encountered	 4
5	5 RSA Encryption	4
	5.1 Problems Encountered	 4
6	6 RSA Cracking	4
	6.1 Factorization	 4
	6.2 Common Modulus	 4
	6.3 Low Exponent	5
	6.4 Choosing an attack	5
	6.5 Problems Encountered	5
7	7 Steganography	5
	7.1 BMP Image Files	 5
	7.2 WAV Audio Files	5
	7.3 Problems Encountered	 6
8	8 Steganography Attacks	6
	$8.1 \mathrm{MD5/Extraction\ Attack\ (BMP/WAV)}$	 6
	8.2 Scrambler (BMP/WAV)	6
	8.3 Problems Encountered	

9	Div	sion of Labor
		Sam Gwydir
		Martin Fracker
		Christopher Findeisen
		Rafael Moreno
	9.5	Kyle Wilson
10	Con	clusion
11	Spri	nt Reports

1 Introduction

DickGrayson is a collection of tools that allow a user to encrypt and decrypt messages using the RSA encryption algorithm and embed and extract messages (either plaintext or ciphertext) from BMP images and WAV audio files.

2 Tools

munchkincrypt RSA Encryption dorothy RSA Attacks munchkinsteg Steganography toto Steganography Attacks

3 Agile Programming

For this project we were to make use of the "Agile Software Development" ideology and in particular the "Scrum" method. In short this meant instead of using an ad-hoc organization method (read: none at all), we divided the four-week development time allocated into four "sprints". In addition we had five meetings, one every weekday at 15:00. On Mondays a planning meeting was held in which we decided on what tasks would define this weeks' sprint. In addition we estimated the difficulty of each card to avoid under or over estimating the amount of work one could accomplish in a week.

The canonical way to organize and store organization information for a project is a white board but because we did not have access to a physical white-board we opted to use trello.com instead.

3.1 Problems Encountered

4 Test-Driven Development

Test-Driven Development is development strategy where one writes out the tests for a segment of code, usually a single class or header, *before* one writes the code. The tests should initially all fail and then functionality should be implemented such that the tests pass. This ensures that one does not unconsciously write their tests to pass even if their code is broken.

Our team also made use of travis-io.com for continuous integration. Travis builds each push to the git repository for all branches and pull requests. Travis then signals whether or not the code built and passed the tests. After it has built and run all the tests, Travis can tell us which tests passed or failed.

In addition, we configured travis to build the code with code-coverage analytics which were then analyzed by coveralls.io. This allows us to analyze what

parts of the codebase are being executed and how many times. This is particularly useful when checking what percentage of the codebase is actually being tested in our tests. Coverage also gives us some insight into what parts of the code are being "hit" hardest, e.g. a loop is being run 1,000,000 times. This sometimes allowed us to optimize the order of conditions in a loop such that the loop is run fewer times or breaks earlier.

4.1 Problems Encountered

5 RSA Encryption

Rsa Key generation We generate large enough numbers using the gmp library. Those randomly generated p and q values are then used to calculate totient, d, and e. Encryption and decryption Encryption happens by splitting up the passed in message into blocks to keep values less than the bit size of n. The blocks are converted into ascii values, and each block is delimited by a "-" so that when it is passed into the decryption function, we know at what increments to pass the values into the mod formula in.

5.1 Problems Encountered

Dealing with the new library was particularly challenging as well as the encryption and decryption of the plaintext message.

6 RSA Cracking

Our RSA cracking tool, Dorothy, has three methods available to break an RSA encryption.

6.1 Factorization

The simplest, and most versatile attack is factorization. We attempt to factor the public key's n value. We used trial division for this, with generated primes. This method can ostensibly crack any RSA key, although with key sizes > 128 bits, it will take a very long time.

If we were to employ a more advanced factor search algorithm, such as the quadratic sieve, the complexity would be greatly reduced. Nonetheless, with key sizes >= 1024 bits, even the best algorithms take a long time to crack them.

6.2 Common Modulus

Common modulus is an attack that uses two RSA keys with the same n, but a different e. It takes advantage of the common modulus to calculate the message.

6.3 Low Exponent

The low exponent algorithm uses three different messages with the same low exponent e. Using this it can decrypt the messages, though not directly calculate the private key.

6.4 Choosing an attack

The interface allows you to specify what kind of data you'd like to use.

Factorization is a general-purpose attack that can be leveraged against any RSA key. Common modulus and Low exponent can only be used with certain, extra information.

Note that these last two attacks, though special cases, are much more efficient at calculating the private key data and are viable even against large public keys.

6.5 Problems Encountered

The most challenging part of creating the RSA attacks was working with the base64 encodings and GMP overflow errors.

7 Steganography

Our steganography tool, Munchkinsteg, supports two different embedding media: 8-bit Windows BMP (image), and PCM 16-bit WAV (sound). Both types of steganography are based on last significant bit (LSB). Before embedding the message, we append the null byte to it. When extracting, we stop at the null byte and return everything extracted minus the null byte.

7.1 BMP Image Files

Our tool supports only 8-bit Windows BMP which means only one subpixel per pixel. We used the EasyBMP library for interfacing with the BMP format. The LSB of each subpixel (and thus each pixel) contains one sequential bit of the embedded message.

7.2 WAV Audio Files

Our tool supports only PCM 16-bit WAV images. There are other types of WAV formats; compression and rounding issues prevent LSB steganography from working correctly for formats other than PCM 16-bit. We used libsndfile for interfacing with the WAV format. The PCM 16-bit WAV format consists of an array of 16-bit sound samples. The LSB of each sound sample contains one sequential bit of the embedded message.

7.3 Problems Encountered

Originally we tried writing our own BMP library. We found that it was much easier to write an interface to EasyBMP. When we decided to implement the WAV format, we moved straight to the idea of writing an interface to libsndfile. At first, we didn't realize that only PCM 16-bit WAV would work. Once we did, we had no problem getting support for WAV steganography to work.

8 Steganography Attacks

Our stego attack tool, Toto, implements two attacks for BMP Image files and two attacks for WAV Audio files. The format restrictions of Munchkinsteg also apply to Toto.

8.1 MD5/Extraction Attack (BMP/WAV)

For each type of file, we have a function is Embedded() which takes the original and altered image as parameters, comparing the md5 hashes to determine the likelyhood of a hidden message existing. If the function returns true, we extract the message using the functions already implemented in Munchkinsteg. is Embedded() and extraction work for both BMP and WAV files.

8.2 Scrambler (BMP/WAV)

We developed an LSB scrambler for each type of file, which takes only the altered image as an argument and destroys any message if it is present by inserting a random string of maximum size for the given file. The only real difference between the BMP and WAV specific branches of the scrambler is the way that the max number of chars are calculated, using prexisting library functions discussed in Munchkinsteg.

8.3 Problems Encountered

Most of the problems encountered involved integrating libraries. For the md5 function, we needed access to openssl which is not normally installed on the build server. For the scrambler and extraction portion of the first attack, we needed to integrate Munchkinsteg's library. Both of these proved to take more time than we anticipated.

9 Division of Labor

9.1 Sam Gwydir

Responsibilities Build Tools, Travis-CI, Coveralls, Report, Presentation, Designated Pair-Programmer

Contribution 20%

9.2 Martin Fracker

 ${\bf Responsibilities} \ \ {\bf Steganography} \ \ {\bf Embedding/Extraction}$

Contribution 20%

9.3 Christopher Findeisen

 ${\bf Responsibilities} \ {\rm RSA} \ {\rm Attacks}$

Contribution 22%

9.4 Rafael Moreno

Responsibilities RSA Encryption/Decryption and key generation

Contribution 18%

9.5 Kyle Wilson

Responsibilities Steganography Attacks

Contribution 20%

10 Conclusion

11 Sprint Reports

Emacs 24.5.1 (Org mode 8.2.10)