



Grading Assessment

TEAM ALPIN ECONOMICS (13)

Assignment 1: Grade 8%: max. 80 points

Github Repository created, all team members have access	no repository 0 points	repository created and max. 2 team members have access 6 points	repository created and all but one team member have access 8 points	repository created and all team members have access 10 points
Team Canvas & Team name	no team canvas in wiki <i>0 point</i> s	team canvas in wiki, incomplete information 12 points	team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off documented and clear to follow, all team members are considered 16 points	team canvas and team name in wiki, team alignment and kick-off very well explained, all team members are considered 20 points
Research	no research page in wiki <i>0 points</i>	incomplete research page in wiki, only few sources are explored 12 points	good research, different sources (interviews, internet, others) are explored, information is verified, documented and explained 16 points	great and informative research page in wiki, a variety of sources are explored, information is verified, well suited to present your research to the challenge giver 20 points
Interviews with stakeholders	no interviews with stakeholders documented <i>0 points</i>	no meaningful interviews documented 12 points	informative and relevant interviews are conducted and documented 16 points	relevant insights drawn from interviews with stakeholders, clearly documented 20 points
Open Questions	no open questions section on research page in wiki 0 points	incomplete or unclear open questions on research page in wiki 6 points	relevant open questions, well suited to get more information from the challenge giver 8 points	well thought out questions, clearly stated, relevant and meaningful 10 points

Total Points	76
Comments	The team started really well on the challenge delivering well thought and researched outputs. The desk research is meaningful and well summarized. The fact that you interviewed 10 individuals will certainly help you with your empathy map assignment. However, your open questions to the challenge giver based on your research were not present in your repository. We are aware you participated on the slido, but it is important that you complete the assignments as instructed. All members are active on the GitHub and have been assigned "issues". Continue to actively use this feature to organize your team's workflow. The individual members of the team contributed to the team canvas, but more detailed information on the post-its or even beyond the canvas it self, to contextualize and summarize the main points would have enabled you to
	provide a more concise picture.





Assignment 2: Grade 4%: max. 40 points

Empathy map	no empathy map 0 points	an empathy map is available 12 points	your empathy map shows a good understanding of your stakeholders' gain and pain points 16 points	clear, concise, and relevant visualization of your challenge opportunities 20 points
Problem Statement	no problem statement 0 points	problem statement is documented 12 points	your problem statement is understandable, applies the HMW canvas and documents your insight 16 points	clear, well-thought-out and relevant problem statement, well documented on the HMW canvas 20 points

Total Points	32
Comments	The empathy map is rather brief described, taking a general perspective, rather than a persona. In your next assignments you can add more information / context to your assignment by writing a couple of paragraphs in additional to the framework. In what regards the problem statement, your final statement is really good to start the ideation, however your canvas contain only one post it per column. Hence, it does not demonstrate your rationale and ideation processes that led to the final chosen HMW question. It is always important you show the process and contextualize your assignments. Add information as text on your wiki. It will increase your chances of receiving full points for the next assignments.

Assignment 3: Grade 3%: max. 30 points

Storyboard	No storyboard 0 points	A storyboard is available in your wiki 12 points	Your storyboard shows a good scenario benefiting from your idea 16 points	Your storyboard is easy to follow, meaningful and clearly demonstrate its use for your idea 20 points
Feedback to Storyboard	No feedback to storyboard documented 0 points	You have documented some feedback collected using your storyboard 6 points	You have collected feedback for your storyboard and you have refined your storyboard 8 points	You have collected feedback for your storyboard, refined it and there is evidence of improvement 10 points

Total Points	30
Comments	The final version of the storyboard is meaningful. Your idea is clear and easy to follow through the storyboard. You have documented in detail the feedback received and is clear how these insights helped you improve your storyboard. Great work! Keep up the great work when collecting feedback for the prototype.

Assignment 4: Grade 10%: max. 100 points

Digital Prototype	none 0 points	barely working 12 points	good release 16 points	excellent, prototype works smoothly 20 points
Key Features	none 0 points	incomplete 6 points	almost complete 8 points	working very well 10 points

2/4





Wording and communication with the user	no prototype or very poor user interface <i>0 points</i> hard to understand <i>0 points</i>	difficult to understand 6 points not very professional 6 points	well done 8 points easy to follow and to understand, good user experience 8 points	consistent and clear wording, great user experience 10 points
Purpose of digital prototype	unclear 0 points	difficult to see the benefit of the digital prototype 6 points	good use of prototyping. You have communicated the idea of the challenge contribution well. 8 points	you are making excellent use of digital prototyping. You use it very well to bring your ideas across. 10 points
Team work & workflow	hard to tell from issues, project board and wiki 0 points	incomplete 6 points	all team members are involved 8 points	great team work, the entire team is involved, workload seems well balanced, involvement plays to individual strength 10 points
Documentation in GitHub Issues	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	issues are documented 8 points	very thorough and concise documentation 10 points
Wiki page	none <i>0 point</i> s	incomplete or unclear 12 points	good for showing to the challenge giver 16 points	clear, easy to understand, relevant 20 points

Total Points	98
Comments	Great 1st sprint. You have done a great initial development of your idea. You
	are using digital prototyping in a great way of communicating your idea.
	Teamwork looks great. You are using GitHub issues well. It looks a little odd to
	me (G. Socher) that you are almost always assigning everyone to an issue.
	Please be more active and verbose in commenting issues and describing
	issues. Keep up the great work.

Assignment 5: Grade 10%: max. 100 points

Business Model Canvas	no business model canvas found in wiki <i>0 points</i>	business model canvas is incomplete 6 points	business model canvas gives a good overview of the business case of our team's idea 8 points	all sections are complete, clear, concise, and relevant, it demonstrates a good understanding of the business case 10 points
Customer Segments	none identified <i>0 points</i>	incomplete or unclear 6 points	customer segments are identified <i>8 points</i>	customer segments are identified and relevant 10 points
Value Propositions	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	identified and relevant 8 points	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Channels	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	identified and relevant <i>8 points</i>	identified, relevant and concise 10 points





Customer Relationships	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	identified and relevant 8 points	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Revenue Streams	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 <i>point</i> s	identified and relevant <i>8 point</i> s	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Key Resources	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 <i>point</i> s	identified and relevant 8 points	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Key Activities	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 <i>point</i> s	identified and relevant <i>8 point</i> s	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Key Partnerships	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	identified and relevant 8 points	identified, relevant and concise 10 points
Cost Structure	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 <i>point</i> s	identified and relevant <i>8 points</i>	identified, relevant and concise 10 points

Total Points	98
Comments	Great job. You have developed a rational and relevant initial business model for your solution, considering it is developed exclusively for the DSV for them to run. You identified human resources as key to execute your business model, however, you have only considered the cost of a Marketing manager. Should this person care for customer acquisition as well as maintain the app? On your explanation text you seem to include you as a team to work on the development, launch and maintenance. We are sure you would not work for free. What would be your roles? Are all these roles necessary for the DSV to deploy and run it? As you are not a startup team, you can also think how the DSV would gain synergies and efficiencies by incorporating the app.
	Extra mile for the final deliverable. Can you answer if there is a viable business for the DSV? Considering 2% brokerage fee, how many transactions would you need per month to cover the costs?

TOTAL TEAM POINTS	334 TOTAL POINTS (from 350 awarded)
-------------------	-------------------------------------

Please note these assignments account for 85% of your individual grade. The remaining 15% comes from the individual quizzes. Bonus points of up to 50 points (5%) are awarded on instructors' discretion based on students' individual contribution. The final notes and transcript of records will be available at the end of HM's summer semester (July 2021).

Final Grade Cutoffs (German grades and American letter grades in parenthesis)										
1,0 (A)	93%	1,7 (B+)	87%	2,7 (C+)	77%	3,7 (D+)	67%	5,0 (F)	<60%	
	93%	2,0 (B)	83%	3,0 (C)	73%	4.0 (D)	60%			
1,3 (A-)	90%	2,3 (B-)	80%	3,3 (C-)	70%	4,0 (D)				