

Grading Assessment

TEAM Pacemakers (21)

Assignment 1: Grade 8%: max. 80 points

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Github Repository created, all team members have access | no repository <i>0 points</i> | repository created and max. 2 team members have access <i>6 points</i> | repository created and all but one team member have access <i>8 points</i> | repository created and all team members have access <i>10 points</i> |
| Team Canvas & Team name | no team canvas in wiki <i>0 points</i> | team canvas in wiki, incomplete information <i>12 points</i> | team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off documented and clear to follow, all team members are considered <i>16 points</i> | team canvas and team name in wiki, team alignment and kick-off very well explained, all team members are considered <i>20 points</i> |
| Research | no research page in wiki <i>0 points</i> | incomplete research page in wiki, only few sources are explored <i>12 points</i> | good research, different sources (interviews, internet, others) are explored, information is verified, documented and explained <i>16 points</i> | great and informative research page in wiki, a variety of sources are explored, information is verified, well suited to present your research to the challenge giver <i>20 points</i> |
| Interviews with stakeholders | no interviews with stakeholders documented <i>0 points</i> | no meaningful interviews documented <i>12 points</i> | informative and relevant interviews are conducted and documented <i>16 points</i> | relevant insights drawn from interviews with stakeholders, clearly documented <i>20 points</i> |
| Open Questions | no open questions section on research page in wiki <i>0 points</i> | incomplete or unclear open questions on research page in wiki <i>6 points</i> | relevant open questions, well suited to get more information from the challenge giver <i>8 points</i> | well thought out questions, clearly stated, relevant and meaningful <i>10 points</i> |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Total Points | 80 |
| Comments | <p>You have a well-structured wiki in your repository. Your team canvas shows a good initial team alignment.</p> <p>You have started really well on the challenge delivering well-thought and researched outputs. The page is well structured and you have included good quality references. Great job in having interviewed 22 individuals.</p> <p>All team members have access to the GitHub Repository, and you are making good use of GitHub issues.</p> <p>Great job and keep up the good work!</p> |

Assignment 2: Grade 4%: max. 40 points

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Empathy map | no empathy map 0 points | an empathy map is available 12 points | your empathy map shows a good understanding of your stakeholders' gain and pain points 16 points | clear, concise, and relevant visualization of your challenge opportunities 20 points |
| Problem Statement | no problem statement 0 points | problem statement is documented 12 points | your problem statement is understandable, applies the HMW canvas, and documents your insight 16 points | clear, well-thought-out and relevant problem statement, well documented on the HMW canvas 20 points |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Total Points | 36 |
| Comments | Great way of synthesizing your research. Both your empathy map and your HMW statement are very well done. For the overall documentation, I would advise to write a paragraph to motivate and summarize what is visually present on your two screenshots of your virtual boards. |

Assignment 3: Grade 3%: max. 30 points

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Storyboard | No storyboard 0 points | A storyboard is available in your wiki 12 points | Your storyboard shows a good scenario benefiting from your idea 16 points | Your storyboard is easy to follow, meaningful and clearly demonstrate its use for your idea 20 points |
| Feedback to Storyboard | No feedback to storyboard documented 0 points | You have documented some feedback collected using your storyboard 6 points | You have collected feedback for your storyboard and you have refined your storyboard 8 points | You have collected feedback for your storyboard, refined it and there is evidence of improvement 10 points |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Total Points | 30 |
| Comments | The final version of the storyboard is meaningful. Your idea is clear and easy to follow through the storyboard. You have documented in detail the feedback received and is clear how these insights helped you improve your storyboard. Great work! Keep up the great work when collecting feedback for the prototype. |

Assignment 4: Grade 10%: max. 100 points

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Digital Prototype | none 0 points | barely working 12 points | good release 16 points | excellent, prototype works smoothly 20 points |
| Key Features | none 0 points | incomplete 6 points | almost complete 8 points | working very well 10 points |
| Look and Feel | no prototype or very poor user interface 0 points | difficult to understand 6 points | well done 8 points | very well done 10 points |
| Wording and communication with the user | hard to understand 0 points | not very professional 6 points | easy to follow and to understand, good user experience 8 points | consistent and clear wording, great user experience 10 points |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Purpose of digital prototype | unclear <i>0 points</i> | difficult to see the benefit of the digital prototype <i>6 points</i> | good use of prototyping. You have communicated the idea of the challenge contribution well. <i>8 points</i> | you are making excellent use of digital prototyping. You use it very well to bring your ideas across. <i>10 points</i> |
| Team work & workflow | hard to tell from issues, project board and wiki <i>0 points</i> | incomplete <i>6 points</i> | all team members are involved <i>8 points</i> | great team work, the entire team is involved, workload seems well balanced, involvement plays to individual strength <i>10 points</i> |
| Documentation in GitHub Issues | none identified <i>0 points</i> | incomplete or unclear <i>6 points</i> | issues are documented <i>8 points</i> | very thorough and concise documentation <i>10 points</i> |
| Wiki page | none <i>0 points</i> | incomplete or unclear <i>12 points</i> | good for showing to the challenge giver <i>16 points</i> | clear, easy to understand, relevant <i>20 points</i> |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Total Points | 98 |
| Comments | Great 1 st sprint. You have done a great initial development of your idea. You are using digital prototyping in a great way to communicate your idea. Teamwork looks great. You are using GitHub issues very well. Good job in using labels. Please be more active and verbose in commenting issues and describing issues. Keep up the good work. |

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| TOTAL TEAM POINTS | 244 TOTAL POINTS (from 250 awarded) |
|--------------------------|--|

Please note these assignments account for 85% of your individual grade. The remaining 15% comes from the individual quizzes. Bonus points of up to 50 points (5%) are awarded on instructors' discretion based on students' individual contribution. The final notes and transcript of records will be available at the end of HM's summer semester (July 2021).

| Final Grade Cutoffs (German grades and American letter grades in parenthesis) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|---------|------|
| 1,0 (A) | 93% | 1,7 (B+) | 87% | 2,7 (C+) | 77% | 3,7 (D+) | 67% | 5,0 (F) | <60% |
| | | 2,0 (B) | 83% | 3,0 (C) | 73% | 4,0 (D) | 60% | | |
| | | 1,3 (A-) | 90% | 2,3 (B-) | 80% | | | | |