

Grading Assessment

TEAM Episode 22 - Return of the Sports (22)

Assignment 1: Grade 8%: max. 80 points

Github Repository created, all team members have access	no repository <i>0 points</i>	repository created and max. 2 team members have access <i>6 points</i>	repository created and all but one team member have access <i>8 points</i>	repository created and all team members have access <i>10 points</i>
Team Canvas & Team name	no team canvas in wiki <i>0 points</i>	team canvas in wiki, incomplete information <i>12 points</i>	team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off documented and clear to follow, all team members are considered <i>16 points</i>	team canvas and team name in wiki, team alignment and kick-off very well explained, all team members are considered <i>20 points</i>
Research	no research page in wiki <i>0 points</i>	incomplete research page in wiki, only few sources are explored <i>12 points</i>	good research, different sources (interviews, internet, others) are explored, information is verified, documented and explained <i>16 points</i>	great and informative research page in wiki, a variety of sources are explored, information is verified, well suited to present your research to the challenge giver <i>20 points</i>
Interviews with stakeholders	no interviews with stakeholders documented <i>0 points</i>	no meaningful interviews documented <i>12 points</i>	informative and relevant interviews are conducted and documented <i>16 points</i>	relevant insights drawn from interviews with stakeholders, clearly documented <i>20 points</i>
Open Questions	no open questions section on research page in wiki <i>0 points</i>	incomplete or unclear open questions on research page in wiki <i>6 points</i>	relevant open questions, well suited to get more information from the challenge giver <i>8 points</i>	well thought out questions, clearly stated, relevant and meaningful <i>10 points</i>

Total Points	76
Comments	<p>Your team canvas shows a good initial team alignment. You might want to revisit the team canvas at some point since Johannes dropped out of the team and adapt to the new team situation.</p> <p>You have started really well on the challenge delivering well-thought and researched outputs. The page is well structured and you have included good quality references. I would link from the Research page to the Stakeholder page since the Interviews are relevant research information. You have transcribed the interviews. I am missing a compilation of the information that you are drawing from the interviews.</p> <p>All team members have access to the GitHub Repository, and you have started to use GitHub issues. Please comment on issues and close them if the work is done.</p> <p>Great job and keep up the good work!</p>

Assignment 2: Grade 4%: max. 40 points

Empathy map	no empathy map 0 points	an empathy map is available 12 points	your empathy map shows a good understanding of your stakeholders' gain and pain points 16 points	clear, concise, and relevant visualization of your challenge opportunities 20 points
Problem Statement	no problem statement 0 points	problem statement is documented 12 points	your problem statement is understandable, applies the HMW canvas and documents your insight 16 points	clear, well-thought-out and relevant problem statement, well documented on the HMW canvas 20 points

Total Points	32
Comments	<p>Your team presents the empathy map with an overall summary of the research findings in a relevant way. The problem statement itself does not include the outcome even though you have collected outcomes on the HMW canvas. Motivate is a valid action. However, a more precise action could help in the ideation. I see room for improvement in your HMW statement.</p> <p>For the overall documentation, I would advise to write a paragraph to motivate and summarize what is visually present on your two screenshots of your virtual boards.</p>

Assignment 3: Grade 3%: max. 30 points

Storyboard	No storyboard 0 points	A storyboard is available in your wiki 12 points	Your storyboard shows a good scenario benefiting from your idea 16 points	Your storyboard is easy to follow, meaningful and clearly demonstrate its use for your idea 20 points
Feedback to Storyboard	No feedback to storyboard documented 0 points	You have documented some feedback collected using your storyboard 6 points	You have collected feedback for your storyboard and you have refined your storyboard 8 points	You have collected feedback for your storyboard, refined it and there is evidence of improvement 10 points

Total Points	16
Comments	<p>I like the first four frames of your storyboard. They are easy to understand and are a good fit to the challenge. Frames 5 and 6 don't explain me how the challenge motivates the team. What is special about the Outdoor Basketball challenge? I am also missing the connection to the European Championship 2022. Is there any?</p> <p>Unfortunately, I can't find any feedback collected to your storyboard. Have you collected feedback with friends or stakeholders? If so, please document it on your wiki page.</p>

TOTAL TEAM POINTS	124 TOTAL POINTS (from 150 awarded)
--------------------------	--

Please note these assignments account for 85% of your individual grade. The remaining 15% comes from the individual quizzes. Bonus points of up to 50 points (5%) are awarded on instructors' discretion based on students' individual contribution. The final notes and transcript of records will be available at the end of HM's summer semester (July 2021).

Final Grade Cutoffs (German grades and American letter grades in parenthesis)									
1,0 (A)	93%	1,7 (B+)	87%	2,7 (C+)	77%	3,7 (D+)	67%	5,0 (F)	<60%

		2,0 (B)	83%	3,0 (C)	73%	4,0 (D)	60%		
1,3 (A-)	90%	2,3 (B-)	80%	3,3 (C-)	70%				