Grading Assessment

TEAM Champs2Be (23)

Assignment 1: Grade 8%: max. 80 points

Github Repository created, all team members have access	no repository *0 points*	repository created and max. 2 team members have access *6 points*	repository created and all but one team member have access *8 points*	repository created and all team members have access *10 points*
Team Canvas & Team name	no team canvas in wiki *0 points*	team canvas in wiki, incomplete information *12 points*	team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off documented and clear to follow, all team members are considered *16 points*	team canvas and team name in wiki, team alignment and kick-off very well explained, all team members are considered *20 points*
Research	no research page in wiki *0 points*	incomplete research page in wiki, only few sources are explored *12 points*	good research, different sources (interviews, internet, others) are explored, information is verified, documented and explained *16 points*	great and informative research page in wiki, a variety of sources are explored, information is verified, well suited to present your research to the challenge giver *20 points*
Interviews with stakeholders	no interviews with stakeholders documented *0 points*	no meaningful interviews documented *12 points*	informative and relevant interviews are conducted and documented *16 points*	relevant insights drawn from interviews with stakeholders, clearly documented *20 points*
Open Questions	no open questions section on research page in wiki *0 points*	incomplete or unclear open questions on research page in wiki *6 points*	relevant open questions, well suited to get more information from the challenge giver *8 points*	well thought out questions, clearly stated, relevant and meaningful *10 points*

Total Points	76
Comments	Your team canvas shows a good initial team alignment. You have started really well on the challenge delivering well-thought and researched outputs. The page is well structured and you have included good quality references. I would link from the Challenge and Problem Research page to the Conducted Interviews page since the interviews are relevant research information. You have transcribed the interviews. I am missing a compilation of the information that you are drawing from the interviews. All team members have access to the GitHub Repository, and you are using GitHub issues very well. Great job and keep up the good work!

Assignment 2: Grade 4%: max. 40 points

Empathy map	no empathy map 0 points	an empathy map is available 12 points	your empathy map shows a good understanding of your stakeholders' gain and pain points 16 points	clear, concise, and relevant visualization of your challenge opportunities 20 points
Problem Statement	no problem statement 0 points	problem statement is documented 12 points	your problem statement is understandable, applies the HMW canvas and documents your insight 16 points	clear, well-thought-out and relevant problem statement, well documented on the HMW canvas 20 points

Total Points	40
Comments	Your team has nailed the problem. Well done! Great detailing and presented in a concise and relevant way. Continue like this!

TOTAL TEAM POINTS	**116 TOTAL POINTS (from 80 awarded)**

Please note these assignments account for 85% of your individual grade. The remaining 15% comes from the individual quizzes. Bonus points of up to 50 points (5%) are awarded on instructors' discretion based on students' individual contribution. The final notes and transcript of records will be available at the end of HM's summer semester (July 2021).

Final Grade Cutoffs (German grades and American letter grades in parenthesis)									
1,0 (A)	93%	1,7 (B+)	87%	2,7 (C+)	77%	3,7 (D+)	67%		
		2,0 (B)	83%	3,0 (C)	73%	4,0 (D)	60%	5,0 (F)	<60%
1,3 (A-)	90%	2,3 (B-)	80%	3,3 (C-)	70%				