



Grading Scheme

ASSIGNMEN	T\$	WEEKS	GRADE
(1) Team Car	nvas & kick-off challenge research		
Objectives:	 Kick-off your work as a team. Align the team vision, manage course expectations, align previous experiences, and roles in your team. Research the challenge problem. Learn hands-on intercultural and international collaboration skills. Learn how to work effectively in remote teams. Increase your employability in a modern, global, digital work environment. 	1	5% (50 points)
(2) Problem S Objectives:	 Research the challenge problem. Write a concise statement of your understanding of the problem. The problem statement is a feedback to the challenge giver and shows the understanding of the challenge. The problem statement is the starting point of ideation. 	2	2% (20 points)
(3) Ideation Objectives:	 Develop an innovative contribution to the proposed challenge. Agree in your team what to work on. Learn about innovation processes and entrepreneurial thinking. Increase your employability in a modern, global, digital work environment. 	3	8% (80 points)
(4) Sprint 1 Objectives: Learn process	 Work on your idea. Demonstrate scenarios for your idea. Learn how to prototype using digital technologies. ses and agile organizational skills used in digital projects. 	5 & 6	10% (100 points)
	Model Canvas "Light-weight" proof of business case of your idea.	4-7	10% (100 points)
(6) Sprint 2 Objectives:	 Refine your idea. Test your idea and collect feedback. Learn how to prototype using digital technologies. Learn processes and agile organizational skills used in digital projects. 	7 & 8	10% (100 points)
(7) Final Pres Objectives:	 Present your challenge contribution to the challenge givers. Make effective presentations and pitches. Increase your employability in a modern, global, digital work environment. 	9	20% (200 points)
(8) Final Rep Objective:	Provide a valuable output for the given challenge.	9	20% (200 points)
INDIVIDUAL	QUIZ: 5 quizzes about the videos' content (15 questions each, 2 points per question)	0-9	15% (150 points)
TOTAL			100% (1000 points)
BONUS: Indiv	vidual bonus points for outstanding contribution/participation	0-9	5% (50 points)





Final Grade Cutoffs (German grades and American letter grades in parenthesis)									
10(A)	020/	1,7 (B+)	87%	2,7 (C+)	77%	3,7 (D+)	67%		
1,0 (A)	93%	2,0 (B)	83%	3,0 (C)	73%	4.0 (D)	60%	5,0 (F)	<60%
1,3 (A-)	90%	2,3 (B-)	80%	3,3 (C-)	70%	4,0 (D)	00%		

Grading Assessment TEAM 14

Assignment 1: Grade 5%: max. 50 points

Github	no repository	repository	repository created	repository created and
Repository created, all team members have access	0 points	created and max. 2 team members have access 6 points	and all but one team member have access 8 points	all team members have access 10 points
Team Canvas & Team name	no team canvas in wiki 0 points	team canvas in wiki, poorly filled out 6 points	team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off well documented 8 points	team canvas in wiki, team alignment and kick-off very well documented 10 points
Research	no research page in wiki <i>0 point</i> s	incomplete research page in wiki 6 points	good research, well documented 8 points	great and informative research page in wiki, very well suited to present your research to the challenge sponsor 10 points
Interviews with stakeholders	no interviews with stakeholders documented <i>0 points</i>	no meaningful interviews documented 6 points	well done 8 points	great insights from interviews with stakeholders 10 points
Open Questions	no open questions section on research page in wiki <i>0 point</i> s	few (almost trivial) open questions on research page in wiki 6 points	good open questions, well suited to get more information from the challenge sponsor 8 points	excellent 10 points

Total Points	46
Comments	The team started really well on the challenge. Nevertheless, the research
	focused on desk research and the interview with Dr. Triebswetter only. There is
	no meaningful documentation of primary data collection with other
	stakeholders. This is an important next step to get their perspective.

Assignment 2: Grade 2%: max. 20 points

Research	no new	research	the problem was well	Your wiki page shows excellent
the	research	documented	researched	research in the problem domain.
problem	found	6 points	8 points	You were able to identify key
	0 points			sources and structure the
				information that you have obtained
				very well.
				10 points

2/5





Problem	no problem	problem	Your problem statement	Excellent problem statement
statement	statement	statement is	is understandable and	10 points
	0 points	available	documents your insight.	
		6 points	8 points	

Total Points	14
Comments	The problem is researched based on desk research and interview with Dr. Triebswetter. It is important you try to understand the perspective of companies / their employees. The challenge is to make them care about climate protection in times of crises. Also the problem statement should be short and nail the problem. It should not detail the scenario or rephrase the broad challenge statement made available. For a problem statement, you need to analyze your research insights and then you must ask yourselves what are the real pain point(s) behind this problem? And you need to take into account the companies and their employees perspective. What are their pain points that the city of Munich (or any other municipality) in its position could offer to support them towards climate protection despite the crises situation? The problem statement should focus on the target audience and present the real problem (= the pain point(s)). Furthermore, a problem statement should not include the solution or indications of it.

Assignment 3: Grade 8%: max. 80 points

Empathy map	no empathy map 0 points	an empathy map is available 6 points	Your empathy map shows a good picture of your stakeholders' gain and pain points. 8 points	Excellent visualization of your challenge opportunities. 10 points
Ideation	ideation not documented 0 points	ideation was documented 6 points	well done 8 points	great, the ideation process is very well documented 10 points
Storyboard	no storyboard 0 points	A storyboard is available in your wiki. 6 points	Your storyboard shows a good scenario benefiting from your idea. 8 points	excellent 10 points
Feedback to storyboard	no feedback to storyboard documented 0 points	You have documented feedback collected using your storyboard. 6 points	You have collected feedback for your storyboard and you have refined your storyboard. 8 points	excellent 10 points
Press Release	no press release 0 points	An imaginary press release is available. 12 points	Your imaginary press release is well written using the guidelines provided in the workshop. 16 points	excellent 20 points
FAQs	no FAQs 0 points	Your wiki shows a short list of FAQs. 12 points	good list of FAQs 16 points	comprehensive list of FAQs, all relevant questions are answered 20 points

Total Points	50
Comments	You have developed an idea, but this is not user-centric. The deliverables are rather generic and is not clear what is your solution and the value proposition. You still lack customer (i.e. end-user) research and it is key that you collect feedback via interviewing potential end-users.

3/5





What are the companies motivation to place them on the list and have this extra work? What they get out of it?

The idea and its value proposition must be more concrete.

The FAQ is rather short and vague.

The press release is unfortunately not a press release. The template was a guideline for the structure of the text. The final press release should be a flowing text including those elements.

Both Press Release and FAQ is a way to make your idea more concrete by "pretending" it is being launched and now companies can take part. Why should they? What do they get out of it? What they need to do? Answers provide are too vague and superficial.

In order to improve your outcome, we strongly recommend you to include enduser research. As you will next test the prototype and get feedback, please include on these interviews the problem as well.

To improve your idea you need first to understand the pain points to address it accordingly.

Assignment 4: Grade 10%: max. 100 points

Digital Prototype	none 0 points	barely working 12 points	good release 16 points	excellent, prototype works smoothly 20 points
Key Features	none 0 points	incomplete 6 points	almost complete 8 points	working very well 10 points
Look and Feel	no prototype or very poor user interface <i>0 points</i>	difficult to understand 6 points	well done 8 points	very well done 10 points
Wording and communication with the user	hard to understand 0 points	not very professional 6 points	easy to follow and to understand, good user experience 8 points	consistent and clear wording, great user experience 10 points
Purpose of digital prototype	unclear 0 points	difficult to see the benefit of the digital prototype 6 points	good use of prototyping. You have communicated the idea of the challenge contribution well. 8 points	you are making excellent use of digital prototyping. You use it very well to bring your ideas across.
Team work & workflow	hard to tell from issues, project board and wiki <i>0 point</i> s	incomplete 6 points	all team members are involved 8 points	great team work, the entire team is involved, workload seems well balanced, involvement plays to individual strength 10 points
Documentation in GitHub Issues	none identified 0 points	incomplete or unclear 6 points	issues are documented 8 points	very thorough and concise documentation 10 points
Wiki page	none 0 points	incomplete or unclear 12 points	good for showing to the challenge giver 16 points	clear, easy to understand, relevant 20 points





Total Points	86 (out of 100)
Comments	The prototype in sprint 1 is adequate. Your idea is well communicated and demonstrated. It is key that you obtain feedback from end-user before the next release in order to improve the usability. There are "eco-friendly" lists over the internet. It is not very clear your value proposition and differentiation strategy. Of course that it being offered by the City of Munich it adds legitimacy to the data, but this also means the data input must have a good quality control process. Besides a list, how can you add value to the user? To the companies on the list? To the city of munich? How does it integrate strategically in the Ecoprofit or is it an unrelated, parallel initiative?
	You wiki page is well structured. For a final release, it can be improved and better organized and explained.
	You use the GitHubs issues and the Kanban board to manage your team's work is good. It seems the team members are engaged and all are contributing to the work.
	Please pay attention to the grading scheme of the next assignments.
	P.s. Please note that the quizzes can be answered by December 8 th . Each quiz is 30 points (3%). Hence, 15% (150 points) from your final individual grading comes from the quizzes. You have 3 attempts per quiz to reach maximum points.

TOTAL TEAM POINTS	196 TOTAL POINTS
	(from 250 awarded)