

PROBLEM STATEMENT (COMMON BASE)

We want to compute:

$$x^n \text{ or } a^b \bmod m$$

Where:

- n / b can be **very large**
 - n can be **negative**
 - Multiplication can **overflow**
 - Sometimes b is given as a **vector of digits**
-

Naive Power Calculation

Concept

The most straightforward idea is:

$$x^n = x \times x \times x \dots (n \text{ times})$$

If n is negative:

$$x^{-n} = \frac{1}{x^n}$$

Code (Naive Approach)

```
double solve(int n, double x)
```

```
{
```

```
    double ans = 1.0;
```

```
    if (n < 0) {
```

```
        n = -n;
```

```
        x = 1 / x;
```

```
    }
```

```
    while (n-- > 0) {
```

```
        ans *= x;
```

```
}  
  
return ans;  
  
}
```

✖ Drawbacks

- 🕒 **Time Complexity:** $O(n)$
 - ✖ Too slow for large n
 - ✖ Fails for $n \approx 10^9$
 - ✖ Not accepted in competitive programming
-

➡ Need a faster approach

2 Binary Exponentiation (Recursive)

✅ Concept

Use the mathematical identity:

$$x^n = \begin{cases} (x^2)^{n/2}, & n \text{ even} \\ x \cdot x^{n-1}, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

This **cuts the problem in half** each time.

💻 Code (Recursive Binary Exponentiation)

```
double solve(double x, int n)  
{  
    if (n == 0) return 1;  
  
    if (n < 0)  
        return solve(1 / x, -n);  
  
    if (n % 2 == 0)  
        return solve(x * x, n / 2);  
    else
```

```
    return x * solve(x, n - 1);  
}
```

❌ Drawbacks

- 🧠 Uses recursion → **stack space**
 - ⚠️ Risk of stack overflow for deep recursion
 - ❌ Not optimal in memory usage
-

➡ We want the same speed but without recursion

3 Binary Exponentiation (Iterative – Optimal)

✅ Concept

Convert recursion into iteration.

Key idea:

- Process exponent **bit by bit**
 - Square the base
 - Halve the exponent
-

💻 Code (Iterative Binary Exponentiation)

```
long long binexp(long long a, long long b, long long m)  
{  
    long long ans = 1;  
    a %= m;  
  
    while (b > 0)  
    {  
        if (b & 1)  
            ans = (ans * a) % m;  
  
        a = (a * a) % m;  
        b /= 2;  
    }  
}
```

```
        b >>= 1;
    }
    return ans;
}
```

✖ Drawbacks

- ✖ $a * a$ may overflow for very large numbers
 - ✖ Unsafe when $a \approx 10^{18}$
-

➡ We need overflow-safe multiplication

⚡ Binary Multiplication (Overflow-Safe)

✅ Concept

Replace multiplication with **repeated addition**:

$$a \times b = \sum a \times 2^i$$

This avoids overflow completely.

💻 Code (Binary Multiplication)

```
long long binmul(long long a, long long b, long long m)
{
    long long ans = 0;
    a %= m;

    while (b > 0)
    {
        if (b & 1)
            ans = (ans + a) % m;

        a = (a + a) % m;
        b >>= 1;
    }
}
```

```
}  
  
return ans;  
  
}
```

Drawbacks

- Slower than normal multiplication
 - Used only when overflow risk exists
-

Combine safe multiplication with fast exponentiation

5 Binary Exponentiation with Safe Multiplication

Concept

Use:

- Binary exponentiation
 - Binary multiplication instead of *
-

Code (Fully Safe Power)

```
long long binexp(long long a, long long b, long long m)  
{  
    long long ans = 1;  
    a %= m;  
  
    while (b > 0)  
    {  
        if (b & 1)  
            ans = binmul(ans, a, m);  
  
        a = binmul(a, a, m);  
        b >>= 1;  
    }  
    return ans;  
}
```

}

✖ Drawbacks

- Slightly slower than normal binary exponentiation
- Still cannot handle **huge exponent given as digits**

➡ Exponent itself is too large now

💡 Euler's Totient Theorem (Reducing Large Exponent)

✅ Concept

If:

$$\gcd(a, m) = 1$$

Then:

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

So:

$$a^b \bmod m = a^{(b \bmod \varphi(m))} \bmod m$$

For:

$$1337 = 7 \times 191$$

$$\phi(1337) = 1140$$

💻 Code (SuperPow with Euler Theorem)

```
class Solution {
public:
    int solve(int a, int b, int m)
    {
        long long ans = 1;
        a %= m;

        while (b > 0)
```

```

{
    if (b & 1)
        ans = (ans * a) % m;

    a = (a * a) % m;
    b >>= 1;
}
return ans;
}

int superPow(int a, vector<int>& b)
{
    int bmod = 0;
    for (int digit : b)
        bmod = (bmod * 10 + digit) % 1140;

    if (bmod == 0)
        bmod = 1140;

    return solve(a, bmod, 1337);
}
};

```

✗ Drawbacks (VERY IMPORTANT ⚠)

- ✗ Fails when $\text{gcd}(a, m) \neq 1$
 - ✗ Example: $a = 7, m = 1337$
 - ✗ Euler theorem becomes invalid
-

➡ Final universally correct approach is digit-by-digit recursion

⬅️ **Final Summary Table**

Approach	Time	Space	Safe	Notes
Naive	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	✗	Too slow
Recursive Binary	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	✗	Stack use
Iterative Binary	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$	✗	Overflow risk
Safe Binary	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$	✓	Large numbers
Euler SuperPow	$O(\text{len}(b))$	$O(1)$	⚠	Needs $\text{gcd} = 1$