Exemplifying clause $(c_l)$	Natural Language Specification for Traces	$LTL_f$ Semantics ( $[\![c_l]\!]$ )
Init(A, p)	The trace should start with an activation	$A \wedge p$
Exists $(A, p, n + 1)$	Activations should occur at least $n$ times	$\mathbf{F}(A \wedge p [\wedge \mathbf{X}([Exists(A, p, n)])]_{n>0})$
Precedence $(A, p, B, q)$	Events preceding the activations should not satisfy the tar-	$\neg (B \land p) \mathbf{W} (A \land p)$
	get	
ChainPrecedence $(A, p, B, q)$	The activation is immediately preceded by the target.	$G(X(A \land p) \Rightarrow (B \land q))$
Response $(A, p, B, q)$	The activation is either followed by or simultaneous to the	$G((A \land p) \Rightarrow F(B \land q))$
	target.	
ChainResponse $(A, p, B, q)$	The activation is immediately followed by the target.	$G((A \land p) \Rightarrow X(B \land q))$
RespExistence $(A, p, B, q)$	The activation requires the existence of the target.	$\mathbf{F}(A \wedge p) \Rightarrow \mathbf{F}(B \wedge q)$
CoExistence(A, p, B, q)	RespExistence, and vice versa.	$[RespExistence(A, p, B, q)] \land [RespExistence(B, q, A, p)]$
Succession(A, p, B, q)	The target should only follow the activation.	$[\![Precedence(\mathtt{A}, p, \mathtt{B}, q)]\!] \land [\![Response(\mathtt{A}, p, \mathtt{B}, q)]\!]$
ChainSuccession $(A, p, B, q)$	Activation immediately follows the target, and the target	$G((A \land p) \Leftrightarrow X(B \land q))$
	immediately preceeds the activation.	
AltResponse(A, p, B, q)	If an activation occurs, no other activations must happen	$G((A \land p) \Rightarrow (\neg(A \land p) \cup (B \land q)))$
	until the target occurs.	
AltPrecedence(A, p, B, q)	Every activation must be preceded by an target, without	$[\![Precedence(\mathtt{A}, p, \mathtt{B}, q)]\!] \wedge \mathbf{G}((A \wedge p) \Rightarrow \mathbf{X}(\neg(A \wedge p) \mathbf{W} (B \wedge q))$
	any other activation in between	