

Themed Section: Cannabinoids 2013

REVIEW

Vascular targets for cannabinoids: animal and human studies

Christopher Stanley and Saoirse E O'Sullivan*

School of Graduate Entry Medicine and Health, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital, Derby, UK

Correspondence

Saoirse E O'Sullivan, School of Graduate Entry Medicine and Health, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital, Uttoxeter Road, Derby DE22 3DT, UK. E-mail: saoirse.osullivan@nottingham.ac.uk

Keywords

endocannabinoid; artery; vasorelaxation; vasoconstriction; CB₁; CB₂; PPAR; TRPV1; prostanoid

Received

14 June 2013

Revised

18 October 2013

Accepted

18 November 2013

Application of cannabinoids and endocannabinoids to perfused vascular beds or individual isolated arteries results in changes in vascular resistance. In most cases, the result is vasorelaxation, although vasoconstrictor responses are also observed. Cannabinoids also modulate the actions of vasoactive compounds including acetylcholine, methoxamine, angiotensin II and U46619 (thromboxane mimetic). Numerous mechanisms of action have been proposed including receptor activation, potassium channel activation, calcium channel inhibition and the production of vasoactive mediators such as calcitonin gene-related peptide, prostanoids, NO, endothelial-derived hyperpolarizing factor and hydrogen peroxide. The purpose of this review is to examine the evidence for the range of receptors now known to be activated by cannabinoids. Direct activation by cannabinoids of CB₁, CB_e, TRPV1 (and potentially other TRP channels) and PPARs in the vasculature has been observed. A potential role for CB₂, GPR55 and 5-HT_{1A} has also been identified in some studies. Indirectly, activation of prostanoid receptors (TP, IP, EP₁ and EP₄) and the CGRP receptor is involved in the vascular responses to cannabinoids. The majority of this evidence has been obtained through animal research, but recent work has confirmed some of these targets in human arteries. Vascular responses to cannabinoids are enhanced in hypertension and cirrhosis, but are reduced in obesity and diabetes, both due to changes in the target sites of action. Much further work is required to establish the extent of vascular actions of cannabinoids and the application of this research in physiological and pathophysiological situations.

LINKED ARTICLES

This article is part of a themed section on Cannabinoids 2013. To view the other articles in this section visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.2014.171.issue-6

Abbreviations

2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; Abn-CBD, abnormal cannabidiol; AEA, anandamide; ARA-S, N-arachidonoyl-L-serine; BK_{Ca} , calcium-activated large conductance potassium channel; CB_1 , cannabinoid receptor 1; CB_2 , cannabinoid receptor 2; CB_e , putative endothelial cannabinoid receptor; CGRP, calcitonin gene-related peptide; GPR119, orphan GPCR; GPR55, orphan GPCR; GPCR55, orphan GPCR5, orphan GPCR5, orphan G

Introduction

For many years, it was thought that cannabinoids (chemical constituents of *Cannabis sativa* or structurally related chemicals that bind to cannabinoid receptors) caused their effects through non-specific membrane interactions (Lawrence and

Gill, 1975). However, in 1990, the first GPCR with cannabinoid specificity was discovered, called cannabinoid receptor one (CB_1) (Matsuda *et al.*, 1990; Alexander *et al.*, 2013a). A second cannabinoid receptor, CB_2 , was cloned in 1993 (Munro *et al.*, 1993). CB_1 and CB_2 are widely distributed, with expression observed in vascular smooth muscle and



endothelial cells (Sugiura et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2000; Rajesh et al., 2007; 2008a). Cannabinoids, endocannabinoids (endogenous cannabinoids) and related endocannabinoidlike compounds also interact with other receptors including an uncloned GPCR located on the endothelium (CBe, Jarai et al., 1999), the orphan receptor GPR55 (Ryberg et al., 2007; Lauckner et al., 2008), the orphan receptor GPR119 (Overton et al., 2006), transient receptor potential (TRP) channels (Zygmunt et al., 1999; Jordt et al., 2004; De Petrocellis et al., 2007; Qin *et al.*, 2008; Alexander *et al.*, 2013b), PPARα,β,γ (reviewed in O'Sullivan, 2007; Alexander et al., 2013c), opioid receptors (Seely et al., 2012; Zador et al., 2012), adrenoceptors (Cascio et al., 2010) and 5-HT receptors (Russo et al., 2005). However, the pharmacological profiling of these compounds is complicated, as outlined by Alexander and Kendall (2007), and the effects of these ligands can vary according to cell/ tissue type, whether the receptor is native or overexpressed, whether allosteric modulators are present, and can display agonist bias at target sites. Some of these reasons might explain why homogenous responses are not observed to cannabinoids in the vasculature (see Table 1).

The first in vitro report of cannabinoid-induced vasorelaxation conducted by Ellis et al. (1995) showed that the first identified endocannabinoid anandamide (AEA) and the plantderived psychotropic cannabinoid Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) cause vasorelaxation of rabbit cerebral arteries associated with an increase in vasoactive prostanoids. Since then, many studies have shown and characterized the vasorelaxant effects of a range of cannabinoids (see Tables 1 and 2 for a summary of the current knowledge in animal and human studies respectively). There is also evidence that cannabinoids cause vasoconstrictor responses in some vascular beds. Mechanistic studies have identified the involvement of numerous receptors, ion channels and vasoactive products of cannabinoid metabolism. The direct vascular effects of cannabinoids appear dependent on the cannabinoid, the arterial bed and the experimental preparation, that is whether the studies are using isolated arteries or a whole perfused vascular bed. There may also be potential species differences in responses.

The aim of this review is to examine the evidence in experimental and human studies, indicating the involvement of a range of receptors in cannabinoid-mediated responses within the vasculature, including CB₁, CB₂, CB_e, GPR55, TRPs, PPARs, 5-HT_{1A} and prostanoid receptors. A further aim of this review is to address whether other cannabinoid receptors in the vasculature have yet to be identified and what other non-cannabinoid target sites might cannabinoids act at. This review will not discuss the cardiovascular effects of cannabinoids *in vivo*, where modulation of the autonomic nervous system at a presynaptic level appears to be the dominant mechanism of action in altering haemodynamics (see Malinowska *et al.*, 2012).

CB_1

Animal studies

A potential role for CB₁ activation is one of the most commonly investigated mechanisms of action for the vascular effects of cannabinoids. Many studies have shown that

the vasorelaxant response to cannabinoids including AEA, N-arachidonoyl dopamine (NADA), oleoylethanolamide (OEA), oleamide, CP55,940, WIN55212-2 and HU-210 in a range of different arterial preparations including renal, mesenteric, opthalmic and cerebral arteries is mediated at least in part by activation of the CB₁ receptor (see Table 1 for details, only studies where an effect of the antagonist was observed at concentrations $\leq 1~\mu M$ are included because non-CB₁ effects of CB₁ antagonists such as SR141716A can exist at higher concentrations).

Despite the wealth of evidence implicating CB₁ in the vascular responses to cannabinoids, a significant number of studies have not revealed a role for CB₁ activation, sometimes even with the same agonist, in the same arterial bed, or in the same species. For example, vasorelaxation to AEA is sensitive to CB1 receptor antagonism in renal arterioles (Deutsch et al., 1997; Koura et al., 2004), rat mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998; O'Sullivan et al., 2004a), the perfused mesenteric bed (Wagner et al., 1999), bovine ophthalmic arteries (Romano and Lograno, 2006), cat cerebral arteries (Gebremedhin et al., 1999) and rabbit aorta (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002). However, CB1 antagonism does not affect AEAinduced vasorelaxation in rat mesenteric arteries (Plane et al., 1997), the rat mesenteric bed (Peroni et al., 2004), rat hepatic arteries or guinea pig basilar arteries (Zygmunt et al., 1999), or the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b). AEA is also capable of causing vasorelaxation of the same magnitude in the mesenteric bed of $CB_1^{+/+}$ as $CB_1^{-/-}$ mice (Jarai et al., 1999). Furthermore, CB₁ receptor antagonism does not affect the vasorelaxant effect of THC, a CB1 agonist, in rat hepatic arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002), rat mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002; O'Sullivan et al., 2005b) and the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005c). Interestingly, Wagner et al. (1999) found that although AEA relaxes the whole mesenteric bed sensitive to CB₁ antagonism, in the same study, other CB₁ agonists including WIN55212-2, HU-210, THC and 2arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) did not. Similarly, WIN55212-2 failed to produce vasorelaxation of the rabbit aorta although vasorelaxant to methanandamide or AEA in the same study was sensitive to CB₁ antagonism (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002). It seems unusual that if CB₁ receptors are present and can cause vasorelaxation, then this would not be observed with all CB₁ agonists. WIN55212-2 can relax other arteries such as the rat middle cerebral artery (Rademacher et al., 2005) and rat aorta (Dannert et al., 2007) sensitive to CB1 antagonism, so it can at least functionally couple to CB1 with regard to vasorelaxation in some arteries.

It is difficult to tell what the discrepancies for these results might be. Certainly, some will be due to whether or not the CB₁ receptor is expressed in a given segment of artery. For example, AEA does not relax rat distal femoral arteries, and there is no detectable CB₁ in these arteries (Domenicali *et al.*, 2005). Another possibility is that the antagonists used in these studies are acting at receptors other than CB₁. It is already known that SR141716A can antagonize the CB_e receptor at concentration greater than 1 µM (Jarai *et al.*, 1999) and that SR141716A has vascular effects unrelated to CB₁ such as inhibition of gap junctions and Ca²⁺-induced relaxation (Chaytor *et al.*, 1999; Bukoski *et al.*, 2002). Less is known about non-CB₁ actions of AM251 (although structurally very similar to SR141716A), but off-target effects of these



Summary of known sites of action for cannabinoids and similar chemicals in the vasculature in animal studies

	CB₁*	CB ₂	Endothelium and CB _e	Sensory nerves (TRPV1)	Nuclear receptors	Other receptors
YEA AEA	Vasorelaxation sensitive to CB ₁ antagonism in renal arterioles (Deutsch <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Koura <i>et al.</i> , 2004), rat mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998; O'Sullivan <i>et al.</i> , 2004a), the perfused mesenteric bed (Wagner <i>et al.</i> , 1999), bovine ophthalmic arteries (Romano and Lograno, 2006) and rabbit aorta (Mukhopadhyay <i>et al.</i> , 2002). CB ₁ antagonism partially inhibited time-dependent (1 h) vasorelaxation in the bovine ophthalmic artery (Romano and Lograno, 2012). CB ₁ antagonism inhibited increased COX-2 expression in mouse cerebral endothelial cells (Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2005) and release of NO in at mesenteric bed (Poblete <i>et al.</i> , 2005). No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation of rat aorta (O'Sullivan <i>et al.</i> , 2007), rat mesenteric bed (Harris <i>et al.</i> , 2004), rat small mesenteric arteries (Kagota <i>et al.</i> , 2001), rat coronary arteries (White <i>et al.</i> , 2001), rat hepatic (Zygmunt <i>et al.</i> , 1999), rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2012), bovine coronary arteries (Pratt <i>et al.</i> , 1998) or guinea pig basilar arteries	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation in the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b; Herradón et al., 2007), rabbit aorta (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002), rat coronary arteries (White et al., 2001), rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012), rat mesenteir arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003). No role for CB ₂ in timedependent responses in the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2009a).	Vasorelaxation sensitive to removal of the endothelium in bovine coronary arteries (Pratt et al., 1998), bovine ophthalmic arteries (Romano and Lograno, 2006), rat mesenteric bed (Wagner et al., 1999), rat mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2004a), rat a bulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012), sheep coronary artery (Grainger and Boachie-Ansah, 2001). Vasorelaxation sensitive to O-1918 in rat small mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2007) and rat pulmonary artery (G'Sullivan et al., 2007) and rat pulmonary artery (O'Sullivan et al., 2007) and rat pulmonary artery (O'Sullivan et al., 2007) and rat pulmonary artery (O'Sullivan et al., 2004a), rat mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 2003), rabbit mesenteric arteries (Wagota et al., 2001), rat coronary arteries (White et al., 2001) or rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2001)	Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin or capsazepine in rat mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002; Ho and Hiley, 2003; O'Sullivan et al., 2004), rat hepatic arteries (Zygmunt et al., 1999) and guinea pig basilar arteries (Zygmunt et al., 1999) and guinea pig basilar arteries (Zygmunt et al., 1999). Release of NO in rat mesenteric bed inhibited by TRPV1 antagonists (Poblete et al., 2005). No role for sensory nerves in vasorelaxation of the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2007), rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2007), rat coronary arteries (White et al., 2012), rat coronary arteries (White et al., 2001), sheep coronary artery (Grainger and Boachie-Ansah, 2001).	Time-dependent response in the bovine ophthalmic artery inhibited by PPARα antagonism (Romano and Lograno, 2012). Time-dependent response in the rat aorta inhibited by PPARγ antagonism (O'Sullivan et al., 2009a).	Vasorelaxation sensitive to CGRP receptor antagonism (Zygmunt et al., 1999). Vasorelaxation of rat pulmonary artery sensitive to prostacyclin (IP) receptor antagonism (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012). Vasoconstrictor effects in the rabbit lung inhibited by EP, receptor antagonism (Wahn et al., 2005).

Table 1Continued

	CB ₂		Endothelium and CB _e	Sensory nerves (TRPV1)	Nuclear receptors	Other receptors
No role for CB ₁ in No role for CB ₂ Vasorelax vasorelaxation of bovine in rat aorta coronary arteries (Stanke-Labesque inhibite (Gauthier et al., 2005), et al., 2004). dendá (Stanke-Labesque et al., 2004) or rabbit mesenteric arteries in rabbit in rabbit (Kagota et al., 2001).	e on b	Vasorel coror inhib endo denu et al. No role in ral arteri arteri	Vasorelaxation of bovine coronary arteries inhibited by endothelium denudation (Gauthier et al., 2005). No role for endothelium in rabbit mesenteric arteries (Kagota et al., 2001).	۷/Z	V /Z	Vasoconstrictor effect in rat aorta inhibited by thromboxane receptor antagonist (Stanke-Labesque et al., 2004).
Vasorelaxation in rat small and large mesenteric and large mesenteric arteries inhibited by arteries inhibited by SR141716A or AM251 (O'Sullivan et al., 2004b). Time-dependent, but not acute, response in the rat aorta inhibited by AM251 (O'Sullivan et al., 2009a). AM251 (O'Sullivan et al., 2009a).	rat _	Vasorela O-191 meser (O'Su 2004k	Vasorelaxation sensitive to O-1918 in rat small mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan <i>et al.</i> , 2004b).	Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin/ capsazepine in rat small and large mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2004b), but not in the aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b).	Time-dependent response in the rat aorta inhibited by GW9662 (O'Sullivan <i>et al.,</i> 2009a).	Y /Z
Vasorelaxation inhibited Vasorelaxation inhibited Vasorelax by AMZ51 in rat small remova mesenteric arteries (Suleimani and Hiley, 2013). but not whole mesenteric bed (Wheal et al., 2010).	=	Vasorelax remova endoth O-1918 mesent (Suleim 2013), mesent aorta (Vasorelaxation sensitive to removal of the endothelium and O-1918 in rat small mesenteric arteries (Suleimani and Hiley, 2013), whole mesenteric bed and aorta (Wheal et al., 2010).	Inhibited by capsaicin in rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho et al., 2008; Suleimani and Hiley, 2013), the whole mesenteric bed and aorta (Wheal et al., 2010).	V /Z	Vasoconstrictor metabolites of OEA acting through thromboxane A2 receptor in rat mesenteric arteries (Wheal et al., 2010)
No role for CB ₁ in N/A No role f time-dependent vasorelaxation of the small n bovine ophthalmic artery (Romano and Lograno, 2012).	Ž	No role f vasorel small n (White	No role for CB _e in vasorelaxation of rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998).	Inhibited by capsaicin (but not capsazepine) in rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho <i>et al.,</i> 2008).	Time-dependent response in the bovine ophthalmic artery inhibited by GW6471 (Romano and Lograno, 2012).	V/A



N/A	Vasorelaxation of rat aorta inhibited by PTX but not O-1918 (Milman et al., 2006).	A/A	Vasorelaxation sensitive to CGRP receptor antagonism (Zygmunt et al., 2002). Vasorelaxation of small mesenteric arteries inhibited by PTX but not AM251 or endothelium removal (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b).
Z Z	<u>K</u>	K/Z	₹ Z
Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin or capsazepine in rat small mesenteric arteries (Hoi and Hiley, 2006; Sudhahar et al., 2009) and by capsaicin in the rat aorta (Hopps et al., 2012)	∀ X	No role in vasorelaxation of rat mesenteric arteries (Parmar and Ho, 2010).	Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin in rat hepatic and mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002), rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005a). No role for sensory nerves in relaxation of small mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b).
Vasorelaxation sensitive to removal of the endothelium and O-1918 in rat small mesenteric arteries (Hoi and Hiley, 2006; Sudhahar et al., 2009) but not in the rat aorta (Hopps et al., 2012).	Vasorelaxation in rat aorta sensitive to removal of the endothelium but not O-1918 (Milman et al., 2006). Vasorelaxation in rat mesenteric sensitive to removal of the endothelium and O-1918 (Milman et al., 2006; Godlewski et al., 2009).	Vasorelaxation in rat mesenteric arteries sensitive to removal of the endothelium and O-1918 (Parmar and Ho, 2010).	No role for the endothelium in vasorelaxation of small mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002; O'Sullivan et al., 2005) and rat hepatic arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002). Vasorelaxation of rat aorta sensitive to removal of the endothelium (O'Sullivan et al., 2005a).
No role for CB ₂ in relaxation of rat small mesenteric arteries (Hoi and Hiley, 2006; Sudhahar <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation of rat aorta (Milman <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation of rat mesenteric arteries (Parmar and Ho, 2010).	Vasorelaxation of rat aorta inhibited by SR144528 (O'Sullivan et al., 2005a).
Evidence of both a role for CB ₁ (Sudhahar <i>et al.,</i> 2009) and no role (Hoi and Hiley, 2006) in vasorelaxation of rat small mesenteric arteries.	No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation of rat aorta (Milman <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation of rat mesenteric arteries (Parmar and Ho, 2010).	No role for CB ₁ in relaxation of small mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002; O'Sullivan et al., 2002) and rat hepatic arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002). Vasoconstriction, but not vasorelaxation, sensitive to SR141716A in the rat aorta (O'Sullivan et al., 2005a) and the superior mesenteric artery (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b).
Oleamide	ARA-S	NAGIy	윋

Table 1Continued

Other receptors	٩	₫	٨
ot	Z ,,	₹ Z	Z/Z
Nuclear receptors	Time-dependent vasorelaxation in the rat aorta inhibited by GW9662 (O'Sullivan et al., 2009b).	₹ Z	V/V
Sensory nerves (TRPV1)	∀ Z	No role for sensory nerves in the rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	No role for sensory nerve activation in rat hepatic and guinea pig basilar arteries (Zygmunt et al., 1999).
Endothelium and CB _e	CBD antagonizes the vasorelaxant effects of Abn-CBD in rat mesenteric arteries, suggested to be antagonism of CBe (Jarai et al., 1999). Antagonizes vasorelaxant effects of AEA in rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012).	Vasorelaxation of perfused rat mesenteric vascular bed (Jarai <i>et al.</i> , 1999), rat mesenteric arteries (Begg <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Offertaler <i>et al.</i> , 2003) and rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2012) inhibited by removal of the endothelium. Vasorelaxation sensitive to 0-1918 in rat mesenteric arteries (Offertaler <i>et al.</i> , 2003) and rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	No role for CB _e in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998).
CB ₂	∀ /Z	No role for CB ₂ in the rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.,</i> 2012).	Vasorelaxation of rat aorta inhibited by SR144528 (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b).
CB₁*	∀ Z	Vasorelaxation of perfused rat mesenteric bed inhibited by SR141716A (Jarai et al., 1999). No role for CB, in the rat pulmonary artery (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012).	Vasorelaxation inhibited by SR141716A in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998; O'Sullivan et al. 2004a).
	CBD	Abn-CBD	CP55940



Vasorelaxation of rat aorta inhibited by CGRP antagonist (Dannert <i>et al.,</i> 2007).	A/A	N/A
₹ Z	Y/Z	A/Z
Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin in rat aorta (Dannert <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and rat mesenteric arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003).	V/A	Vasorelaxation inhibited by capsaicin in rat mesenteric arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003).
No role for CB _e in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998; Ho and Hiley, 2003). Vasorelaxation of rat aorta (Dannert <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and bovine ophthalmic arteries (Romano and Lograno, 2006) inhibited by removal of the endothelium.	No role for CB _e in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998).	No role for CB _e in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998).
Vasorelaxation of rat aorta inhibited by SR144528 (Dannert et al., 2007). No role in vasorelaxation of rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003)	4 /Z	No role for CB ₂ in rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003).
Vasorelaxation sensitive to CB ₁ antagonism in bovine ophthalmic arteries (Romano and Lograno, 2006) and cat cerebral arteries (Gebremedhin <i>et al.</i> , 1999). Vasodilatation absent in CB1 knockout mice (Szekeres <i>et al.</i> , 2012). No role in vasorelaxation of rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998; Ho and Hiley, 2003) or rat aorta (Dannert <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	Vasorelaxation inhibited by SR141716A in rat small mesenteric arteries (White and Hiley, 1998)	No role for CB, in rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho and Hiley, 2003)
WIN55212-2	HU210	JWH015

In most studies, concentration–response curves to cannabinoids (up to high μM concentrations) were used in the vascular preparation. *Only studies using receptor antagonists ≤1 μM were included. N/A, no available information.

Summary of known sites of action for cannabinoids and similar chemicals in the human vasculature Table 2

PPARs Other	No role for PPARy in Vasorelaxation in vasorelaxation of pulmonary small mesenteric arteries inhibited arteries (Stanley IP receptor and O'Sullivan, antagonists 2014) (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2013).	N/A vasorelaxant metabolites acting through EP4 and IP receptors in mesenteric artery (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014).	N/A N/A	No role for PPARy in N/A vasorelaxation of small mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014).
TRP channels PF	Increase in Ca ²⁺ flux in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells inhibited by capsazepine (Golech et al., 2004). No role for TRP in vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012) or pulmonary arteries (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2013).	an,	No role for TRP in vasorelaxation of pulmonary arteries (Kozlowska <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	
CB	Vasorelaxation in mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012) and pulmonary arteries (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2013) is inhibited by O-1918.	No role for CB _e in vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014).	Vasorelaxation in pulmonary arteries inhibited by O-1918 (Kozlowska <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	No role for CB _e in vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011).
CB ₂	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation in mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012) or pulmonary arteries (Baranowska-Kuczko <i>et al.</i> , 2013). Increase in Ca ²⁺ flux in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells is sensitive to SR144528 (Golech <i>et al.</i> , 2004).	Increase in Ca ²⁺ flux in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells is sensitive to SR144528 (Golech <i>et al.</i> , 2004). No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014) or in NO production in saphenous vein (Stefano <i>et al.</i> , 2000).	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation of pulmonary arteries (Kozlowska <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	No role for CB ₂ in vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011).
CB ₁	Vasorelaxation in mesenteric arteries inhibited by AM251 (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012). Increase in Ca ²⁺ flux in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells is sensitive to SR141716A (Golech <i>et al.</i> , 2004). No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation in pulmonary arteries (Kozlowska <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	Increase in Ca ²⁺ flux in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells (Golech <i>et al.</i> , 2004) and NO production in saphenous vein (Stefano <i>et al.</i> , 2000) is sensitive to SR141716A. No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation in mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014).	No role for CB ₁ in vasorelaxation in pulmonary arteries (Kozlowska <i>et al.,</i> 2008).	Vasorelaxation in mesenteric arteries inhibited by AM251 (100 nM) (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011).
	Anandamide	2-AG	Virodhamine	CBD



antagonists might cloud their interpretation. It should also be considered that because cannabinoids act via multiple pathways, blocking one site of action may be compensated for by other pathways. Another explanation is that cannabinoid agonists may express functional selectivity at CB₁ in the vasculature, such that some couple more effectively than others to the mechanisms that bring about relaxation such as Ca²⁺ channel inhibition and potassium channel activation. A similar phenomenon has been reported with CB₂, where some CB₂ ligands (CP55,940, JWH-015, JWH133 and 2-AG), but not others (WIN55212-2 or THC) inhibit Ca²⁺ channels through CB₂ activation (Atwood *et al.*, 2012).

When involved, the mechanism of how CB₁ activation brings about relaxation is likely to involve numerous pathways. Gebremedhin et al. (1999) showed that AEA and WIN55212-2 decrease Ca2+ currents via CB1 in smooth muscles cells from cat cerebral microvasculature, suggesting a role for Ca2+ channel inhibition. However, it has been suggested that the CB1 receptor is not linked to potassium channel activation as Romano and Lograno (2006) found that co-incubation with a potassium channel blocker and a CB₁ antagonist produced further inhibition than either inhibitor alone in the bovine ophthalmic artery. Su and Vo (2007) showed that noladin ether increases ERK 1/2 activation via CB₁ and brings about vasorelaxation. Other studies have shown that CB₁ activation in the vasculature is coupled to NO release (Deutsch et al., 1997; Poblete et al., 2005). In endothelial cells, stimulation of the CB₁ receptor has been reported to increase COX-2 expression (Chen et al., 2005), an enzyme capable of producing both vasorelaxant and vasoconstrictor mediators. A recent study found that the vasoconstrictor effects of angiotensin II can be enhanced by antagonism of the CB1 receptor or inhibition of 2-AG synthesis (Szekeres et al., 2012). Similarly, contractions in the rat middle cerebral artery to U46619 could be enhanced by antagonism of the CB₁ receptor (Rademacher et al., 2005). Therefore, the vascular effects of cannabinoids via CB1 may not be restricted to direct vasorelaxant effects, but may also depend on their ability to decrease the effects of vasoconstrictors.

Human studies

The expression of the CB1 receptor has been confirmed in human endothelial cell lines (Liu et al., 2000) and vascular smooth muscle cell lines (Sugiura et al., 1995). In human brain endothelial cells (HBECs), the CB₁ receptor is expressed and contributes to AEA and 2-AG-induced increases in intracellular Ca2+ (Golech et al., 2004). In human isolated mesenteric arteries, AEA and cannabidiol (CBD)-induced vasorelaxation are both inhibited by CB₁ antagonism (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011; 2012). However, in the same arteries, the vasorelaxant effect of 2-AG was not CB₁ mediated (Stanley et al., 2011), even though 2-AG is a potent agonist at the CB1 receptor (Mechoulam et al., 1995), causes CB1-mediated vasorelaxation in the same arterial bed in other species (Kagota et al., 2001) and increases NO release from human saphenous vein in a CB₁-dependent manner (Stefano et al., 2000). AEA or virodhamine-induced vasorelaxation of the human pulmonary artery is also not dependent on activation of the CB1 receptor (Kozlowska et al., 2007; 2008). The role for CB1 in human vasculature thus far seems similar to that observed in

animal studies, with evidence both for and against a role for CB_1 depending on the agonist and artery studied.

CB₂

Animal studies

When investigated, most studies have found that there is no involvement of the CB2 receptor in mediating the vascular responses to cannabinoids in animal studies (see Table 1). In rat mesenteric resistance arteries, the CB2 receptor agonist JWH-015 causes vasorelaxation; however, this was not inhibited by CB2 antagonism (Ho and Hiley, 2003). AlSuleimani and Hiley (2013) did show a role for CB2 in the OEA-induced vasorelaxation of small resistance arteries of the mesenteric bed, but this is the only study to suggest a role for CB2 in mesenteric arteries. When a role for CB2 has been observed, it has mainly been in the rat aorta, where the vasorelaxant effects of THC, CP55,940 and WIN55,212-2 were partially inhibited by CB₂ antagonism (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b; Dannert et al., 2007). AEA and HU-210 also induce vasorelaxation that is inhibited by CB2 antagonism in rat coronary arteries (Mair et al., 2010). This might reflect regional variations in the role of the CB₂ receptor in the vasculature, but in general, it can be concluded that CB2 activation is not the main mechanism that brings about the vasorelaxant effects of cannabinoids in animals.

Human studies

CB2 receptor expression has been confirmed in HBECs (Golech et al., 2004; Schley et al., 2009; Ramirez et al., 2012), human coronary artery endothelial and smooth muscle cell lines (Rajesh et al., 2007; 2008b). In HBECs, CB2 receptor activation via AEA and 2-AG increases Ca2+ influx (Golech et al., 2004), and in human coronary endothelial cells, CB₂ activation decreases TNF-α-induced endothelial activation, transendothelial migration of monocytes and vascular adhesion molecules (Rajesh et al., 2007). In human mesenteric arteries, CB2 antagonism does not affect the vasorelaxant responses to CBD, AEA or 2-AG (Stanley et al., 2011; Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011; 2012). Furthermore, the CB2 agonist HU308 does not cause vasorelaxation of these arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014). Vasorelaxation to virodhamine and AEA in human pulmonary arteries is also not inhibited by CB2 antagonism (Kozlowska et al., 2008; Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2013). Taken together, this suggests that the CB₂ receptor is expressed in human vascular tissue, but does not play a role in mediating the vasorelaxant response to cannabinoids, as also suggested through numerous animal studies (see Table 1). It is more likely that CB₂ plays a role in other functions of the human endothelium such as the regulation of adhesion molecules, monocyte adhesion and endothelial permeability (Rajesh et al., 2007; Ramirez et al., 2012).

CB_e

Animal studies

Early indications of an endothelial cannabinoid receptor that is distinct from CB_1 and CB_2 came from the works of Jarai

et al. (1999) who showed that AEA, methanandamide and abnormal cannabidiol (Abn-CBD) were able to cause vasodilatation of the mesenteric vasculature equally in CB₁-/-, CB₂-/as in wild-type mice. This vasodilatation was inhibited by removal of the endothelium and in the presence of higher (> $\mu M)$ concentrations of SR141716A (in $CB_1^{-/-}$ animals). This work suggested the involvement of receptors other than CB₁ or CB₂ located on the endothelium causing vasorelaxation. This has become known as the endothelial cannabinoid receptor or CB_e. The activation of this receptor by Abd-CBD and AEA has been confirmed in numerous studies (see Table 1). In rabbit aortic rings, AEA causes vasorelaxation through a pertussis toxin (PTX)-sensitive endothelial receptor (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002), and in the rat aorta, AEAinduced relaxation is sensitive to endothelium denudation, PTX and O-1918 (a proposed antagonist of CBe that has no affinity at CB₁ or CB₂ receptors), but not CB₁ or CB₂ antagonism (Herradón et al., 2007). Similar results have been obtained in rat resistance mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2004a). In the rat mesenteric bed, Abn-CBD causes vasorelaxation that is sensitive to endothelium denudation, O-1918 and PTX (Offertaler et al., 2003). Abn-CBD also stimulates NO production in rabbit aortic endothelial cells which was antagonized by O-1918 and PTX but not CB₁ or CB₂ (McCollum et al., 2007). In the rabbit pulmonary artery, Abn-CBD causes vasorelaxation sensitive to O-1918 (Su and Vo, 2007).

Other cannabinoids that have been suggested to act through CB_e include NADA in rat mesenteric arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2004b), OEA in rat mesenteric arteries and aorta (Wheal et al., 2010; AlSuleimani and Hiley, 2013), oleamide in rat mesenteric resistance arteries (Hoi and Hiley, 2006), and N-arachidonoyl-L-serine (ARA-S, Milman et al., 2006) and N-arachidonoyl glycine (Parmar and Ho, 2010) in rat mesenteric arteries. However, not all cannabinoids activate the proposed CBe receptor. The vasorelaxant effects of THC are not inhibited by removal of the endothelium in rabbit mesenteric arteries (Fleming et al., 1999), rat hepatic or mesenteric arteries (Zygmunt et al., 2002; O'Sullivan et al., 2005a). Similarly, there is no role for CB_e in the vasorelaxation effects of 2-AG (Kagota et al., 2001), palmitoylethanolamine (PEA) (White and Hiley, 1998), CP55,940 or WIN55212-2 (White and Hiley, 1998), HU-210 or JWH-015 (White and Hiley, 1998). CP55,940 and WIN55212-2 do not increase NO production in rabbit aortic endothelial cells via CB_e (McCollum et al., 2007). Some of this might be explained by differences in the expression/function of the receptor, as it has been shown that while the vasorelaxant response to AEA in small mesenteric arteries involves CBe, the same study showed there is no role for CBe in AEA responses in the superior mesenteric artery (O'Sullivan et al., 2004a).

The mechanism of how activation of CB_e brings about vasorelaxation is suggested to involve the release of an Endothelium-derived hyperpolarising factor (Jarai *et al.*, 1999; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2004b) and involve K_{Ca} channel activity, specifically BK (Hoi and Hiley, 2006). Other studies suggest the involvement of NO production (Mukhopadhyay *et al.*, 2002; Herradón *et al.*, 2007; McCollum *et al.*, 2007), while some find no role for NO (Jarai *et al.*, 1999; Offertaler *et al.*, 2003). In rabbit aortic endothelial cells, the increase in NO production via CB_e activation has been shown to involve

the activation of PI3K, Akt and phosphorylation of eNOS (McCollum *et al.*, 2007). MAPK inhibition also completely abolished the O1918-sensitive vasorelaxation to Abn-CBD (Su and Vo, 2007).

Human studies

In the human pulmonary artery and mesenteric arteries, AEA causes endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation that can be inhibited using the proposed CB_e antagonist O-1918 (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012; Baranowska-Kuczko *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, in the human pulmonary artery the vasorelaxant effects of virodhamine and Abn-CBD are inhibited using O-1918 or CBD (CBD is suggested to antagonize CB_e) (Kozlowska *et al.*, 2007; 2008). These findings are similar to those reported in the same arteries in animal studies (see Table 1). In HUVECs, activation of CB_e by Abn-CBD causes activation of 42/44 MAPK, Akt and PI3K (Offertaler *et al.*, 2003; Mo *et al.*, 2004; Milman *et al.*, 2006), and modulates BK_{ca} channel activity (Begg *et al.*, 2003).

Other uncloned vascular CBs

Animal studies

Some pharmacological evidence suggests that there may be other cannabinoid receptors in the vasculature that remain to be identified. In resistance arteries of the mesenteric bed, THC-induced vasorelaxation is inhibited by PTX, but not CB₁, suggesting THC might act through an unidentified GPCR (O'Sullivan et al., 2005a). THC is not sensitive to removal of the endothelium in these arteries, therefore it is unlikely to be the proposed endothelial cannabinoid receptor, and is possibly expressed on the smooth muscle. 2-AGinduced vasorelaxation of the rabbit mesenteric arteries is inhibited by 3 µM but not 1 µM SR141716A, and is not affected by removal of the endothelium, which is not consistent with a role for either CB₁ or CB_e, but a vascular smooth muscle site (Kagota et al., 2001). ARA-S-induced vasorelaxation of rat mesenteric arteries is inhibited by O-1918 (even in denuded arteries) but not PTX (Milman et al., 2006), which casts doubt on the specificity of actions of O-1918 at CBe if it inhibits responses in endothelial-denuded arteries. In the rat aorta, vasorelaxation to AEA or NADA is inhibited by PTX, but not by antagonism of either CB₁ or CB₂ or removal of the endothelium (O'Sullivan et al., 2005b), again suggesting a GPCR located on the smooth muscle. Similarly, vasorelaxation of rat aorta to ARA-S is inhibited by PTX but not O-1918, SR141716 or SR144528 (Milman et al., 2006). Together, this suggests that further cannabinoid target sites of action on vascular smooth muscle may exist.

TRP channel activation

Animal studies

Zygmunt *et al.* (1999) first showed that the vasorelaxant effects of AEA, but not 2-AG, PEA, HU-210, WIN55,212-2 or CP55,940, could be blocked by capsaicin pretreatment (to deplete sensory neurotransmitters) or antagonized by the



transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) antagonist capsazepine in rat mesenteric arteries. This then involves the release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) causing vasorelaxation through activation of CGRP receptors (Zygmunt *et al.*, 1999). AEA-induced vasorelaxation though TRPV1 is also reported to be linked to NO production in the rat mesenteric vascular bed (Poblete *et al.*, 2005). Many studies have since confirmed the role of TRPV1 in AEA-induced vasorelaxation (Harris *et al.*, 2002; Ho and Hiley, 2003; Peroni *et al.*, 2004; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2004a). However, in rat coronary arteries and rat pulmonary arteries, AEA-induced vasorelaxation is not affected by incubation with capsaicin and capsazepine (White *et al.*, 2001; Baranowska-Kuczko *et al.*, 2012), which may reflect differences in the sensory innervations or TRP expression between artery types.

Other cannabinoids that have been shown to cause vasorelaxation through TRPV1 activation include methanandamide (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002), NADA (O'Sullivan et al., 2004b), OEA (Ho et al., 2008; Wheal et al., 2010; Suleimani and Hiley, 2013) and WIN55212-2 (Ho and Hiley, 2003; Dannert et al., 2007). Phytocannabinoids also cause sensorynerve-mediated vasorelaxation. In rat hepatic arteries, THCand cannabinol-induced vasorelaxation is inhibited by capsaicin treatment and antagonism of CGRP receptors (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002). Interestingly, in mesenteric arteries from TRPV1 knockout mice, the vasorelaxation to AEA is almost completely abolished; however, the vasorelaxation to THC is only slightly reduced (Zygmunt et al., 2002). This suggests that the TRPV1 receptor is the main mechanism involved in AEA-induced vasorelaxation, whereas TRPV1 only partially mediated the effects of THC. Vasorelaxation to THC was sensitive to ruthenium red, which inhibits several other members of the TRPV family. Similarly, the vasorelaxant effects of PEA are inhibited by capsaicin but not capsazepine in rat small mesenteric arteries (Ho et al., 2008), and the vasorelaxant response of oleamide is inhibited by capsaicin pretreatment but not capsazepine or ruthenium red (Hopps et al., 2012). This suggests that other members of the TRPV family might be responsible for the vascular effects of some cannabinoids, although this remains to be established.

Interestingly, the vasorelaxant response to AEA in mesenteric beds is greater in female than male rats, which was found to be due to an increased role for TRPV1 mediated by oestrogen (Peroni *et al.*, 2004). However, a previous study did not observe any sex differences in the vasorelaxation induced by AEA in perfused mesenteric beds (McCulloch and Randall, 1998).

Human studies

The expression of TRPV1 has been demonstrated in HBECs (Golech *et al.*, 2004) and human pulmonary artery smooth muscle cell lines (Wang *et al.*, 2008). Golech *et al.* (2004) showed that AEA, 2-AG and methanandamide cause Ca²⁺ influx through capsazepine-sensitive pathways in HBECs. Movahed *et al.* (2005) showed that intra-arterial application of AEA into human forearm microcirculation has no effect on blood flow, but dermal application of AEA increases forearm blood flow in a capsazepine-sensitive manner (suggesting TRPV1 activation). However, in isolated human mesenteric arteries and pulmonary arteries, capsaicin pre-

treatment or capsazepine incubation does not inhibit AEAinduced vasorelaxation (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2012; Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2013). Furthermore, 2-AG- and virodhamine-induced vasorelaxation in human mesenteric and pulmonary arteries was not inhibited by either capsaicin pretreatment or capsazepine incubation (Kozlowska et al., 2008; Stanley et al., 2011). However, CBD-induced vasorelaxation was inhibited by capsaicin pretreatment in human mesenteric arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2011) and a vasorelaxant response to capsaicin has also been observed in these arteries (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014). Therefore, in human mesenteric arteries, this would suggest the presence of functional TRPV receptors, which are not activated by AEA and 2-AG, but are activated by CBD. This is different to what has been shown in the same arteries in animal studies where TRPV1 is involved in AEA-mediated vascular responses.

GPR55

Animal studies

GPR55 is an orphan GPCR widely expressed in animal tissues (Godlewski *et al.*, 2009). GPR55 is expressed in whole arteries (Daly *et al.*, 2010), and the proposed endogenous ligand at this receptor is lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI, Liu *et al.*, 2009). Cannabinoids are also suggested to be ligands at this receptor (see Gasperi *et al.*, 2013, for a recent review). Ryberg *et al.* (2007) showed that 2-AG, PEA, CP55,940, THC and AM251 stimulate GTPγS binding in GPR55-transfected HEK 293 cells. Lauckner *et al.* (2008) also showed the binding of THC, JWH-015, AEA and methanandamide in GPR55-transfected HEK 293 cells. However, another study in GPR55-transfected HEK 293 cells suggested AEA and 2-AG were not able to stimulate calcium signalling (Henstridge *et al.*, 2009).

It was initially suggested that GPR55 might be the proposed endothelial cannabinoid receptor CB_e. However, there is now evidence against this suggestion, as mesenteric arteries of both wild-type and GPR55 knockout mice show vasore-laxation in the presence of Abn-CBD and O-1602 (an analogue of Abn-CBD), which is sensitive to O-1918 (Johns *et al.*, 2007). Despite this, activation of GPR55 by cannabinoids may still play a role in the vasculature since a recent oral communication suggested GPR55 knockout mice showed reduced vasorelaxation to AEA in GPR55 knockout mice (McNaughton and Ho, 2013).

Human studies

Primary human dermal microvascular endothelial cells and HUVECs express GPR55 (Corriu $et\,al.$, 1996). In human endothelial cells, AEA stimulates both CB1 and GPR55, producing different intracellular signalling pathways; CB1 is G1/0 coupled while GPR55 is coupled to Gq (Waldeck-Weiermair $et\,al.$, 2008). Knockdown of GPR55 in human endothelial cells partly inhibited the ARA-S-induced angiogenesis and endothelial wound healing, suggesting a role for GPR55 in the vasculature (Zhang $et\,al.$, 2010). LPI stimulation of GPR55 also results in BKCa activation and subsequent membrane hyperpolarization in endothelial cells (Bondarenko $et\,al.$, 2010), a key pathway involved in vasorelaxation.

PPARs

Animal studies

THC causes time-dependent and PPARy-dependent vasorelaxation in rat-isolated arteries (the aorta and superior mesenteric artery) that is dependent on NO and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) production and superoxide dismutase activity (O'Sullivan et al., 2005c). Furthermore, 2 h incubation with THC in vitro enhances subsequent vasodilator responses to acetylcholine in isolated arteries, which was also inhibited by a PPARy antagonist (O'Sullivan et al., 2006). A similar time-dependent and PPARγ-sensitive vasorelaxant response was seen to CBD (O'Sullivan et al., 2009b) and the endocannabinoids AEA and NADA, but not PEA (O'Sullivan et al., 2009a). Romano and Lograno (2012) have also recently shown a similar time-dependent vasorelaxant response to AEA and PEA in the bovine ophthalmic artery that could be inhibited by a PPARa, but not PPARy, antagonist. Together, these data suggest that time-dependent PPAR-mediated responses to cannabinoids are also observed in the vasculature.

Human studies

PPARα, PPARγ and PPARδ are expressed in a range of human arterial smooth muscle and endothelial cells (Inoue et al., 1998; Staels et al., 1998; Marx et al., 1999; Law et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2011). In HUVECs, 2-AG and AEA increase luciferase transcriptional activity (indirectly through COX-2) at PPARδ but not PPARα (Ghosh et al., 2007). Through this pathway, 2-AG decreases prothrombotic mediators (Ghosh et al., 2007). In a viral model of multiple sclerosis, WIN55212-2 suppresses the increase in intercellular cell adhesion molecule (ICAM) and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) in brain endothelium, sensitive to PPARy antagonism, but not CB₁ or CB₂ antagonism (Mestre et al., 2009). An analogue of OEA has also been shown to decrease the expression of VCAM and ICAM and monocyte adhesion in response to inflammation in HUVECs mediated by PPARa (Chen et al., 2011).

In isolated mesenteric resistance arteries, we recently showed that AEA and CBD cause time-dependent vasore-laxation (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014), similar to PPAR γ -mediated vasorelaxation seen in animal arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2005c). However, in human mesenteric arteries, the time-dependent effects of AEA and CBD were not inhibited by PPAR γ antagonism. However, in rat arteries, cannabinoid activation of PPAR γ receptors was exclusive to conduit arteries and absent in small resistance arteries (O'Sullivan et al., 2006). Therefore, the resistance arteries used in the human arteries may be too small to elicit a PPAR γ -mediated response.

5-HT_{1A}

A range of 5-HT receptor subtypes are expressed in the cardiovascular system (Villalon and Centurion, 2007); however, the role (vasorelaxant/vasoconstrictor) that these receptors play in the regulation of vascular tone varies (Watts and Davis, 2011). In non-vascular tissues, phytocannabinoids and

synthetic cannabinoids have been shown to activate (Russo *et al.*, 2005), antagonize (Cascio *et al.*, 2010) and increase the expression (Zavitsanou *et al.*, 2010) of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor. In the vasculature, CBD increases cerebral artery blood flow *in vivo* and causes reductions in infarct damage caused by cerebral artery occlusion, which was inhibited by a 5-HT_{1A} antagonist (Mishima *et al.*, 2005). In mice, *in vivo* CBD also reduces stress-induced hypertension which can be inhibited by a 5-HT_{1A} antagonist (Resstel *et al.*, 2009).

Receptor targets for metabolic products of cannabinoids

Many studies have shown that some of the vascular effects of some cannabinoids are mediated by their metabolic products. The vasorelaxant effects of THC, AEA and 2-AG can be inhibited by fatty acid amide hydrolase, MAGL, COX and cytochrome p450 inhibition (Kaymakcalan and Turker, 1975; Ellis et al., 1995; Fleming et al., 1999; Gauthier et al., 2005; Herradón et al., 2007; Awumey et al., 2008; Czikora et al., 2012). The metabolites shown to be produced within the vasculature include arachidonic acid, prostaglandins and epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (Pratt et al., 1998; Stanke-Labesque et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005), which can themselves have direct vascular effects or further be metabolized into vasoactive substances. Some studies have investigated the receptors that those metabolic products might be acting at. Kaymakcalan and Turker (1975) showed that THC causes a concentration-dependent increase in the perfusion pressure of an isolated lung, which could be inhibited by aspirin and by SC19220, a selective antagonist of the prostaglandin EP1 receptor. Similarly, Wahn et al. (2005) showed that AEA increased the perfusion pressure of the rabbit lung, which was blocked by aspirin, nimesulide (COX-2 inhibitor) and an antagonist of the prostanoid EP1 receptor, but not a thromboxane receptor antagonist. The vasoconstrictor effects of 2-AG in the rat aorta were inhibited by COX-1/2 inhibition and a thromboxane receptor antagonist, and were associated with increases in PGE_2 , $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and TXA_2 levels (Stanke-Labesque et al., 2004). Wheal et al. (2010) showed that the vasorelaxant response to OEA in first-order branches of the rat mesenteric bed could be enhanced by COX inhibition and by the TXA2 receptor antagonist vapiprost, suggesting vasoconstrictor prostanoids oppose the vasorelaxant effects of OEA. In human mesenteric arteries, we have also recently observed that the vasorelaxant responses to 2-AG can be enhanced in the presence of the non-selective prostanoid EP and DP antagonist AH6809 (Stanley and O'Sullivan, 2014). Vasodilator prostaglandins are also produced by cannabinoid metabolism in the vasculature. Herradón et al. (2007) found that AEA-mediated vasorelaxation of the rat aorta was inhibited by COX-2 inhibition and antagonism of the EP4 receptor. In the rat pulmonary artery, prostacyclin (IP) receptor antagonism inhibited the vasorelaxant effects of AEA (Baranowska-Kuczko et al., 2012). In human pulmonary arteries, the endocannabinoid virodhamine caused vasorelaxation that was inhibited by the nonselective COX inhibitor indomethacin, which points towards the production of vasorelaxant prostanoids (Kozlowska et al.,



2008). Furthermore, in the mesenteric artery, we have shown that 2-AG-induced vasorelaxation can be inhibited using indomethacin and antagonists of the IP or the EP_4 prostanoid receptors (Stanley *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, cytochrome p450 metabolites of 2-AG cause vasorelaxation, which can at least partially be inhibited by CB_1 antagonism (Awumey *et al.*, 2008).

Alterations in the vascular response to cannabinoids in disease situations

Some studies have now investigated whether the vascular effects of cannabinoids are altered in various disease states. Wheal et al. (2007) showed an enhanced vasorelaxant response to AEA in perfused mesenteric beds of rats made hypertensive by chronic NO synthase inhibition. A subsequent study in this model showed this was abolished by capsaicin pretreatment, suggesting an increased TRPV component (Wheal and Randall, 2009). Similarly, O'Sullivan et al. (2007) showed enhanced vasorelaxant responses to THC in small resistance mesenteric arteries in the same model of hypertension due to enhanced TRPV and COX involvement. However, in the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) model of hypertension, the vasorelaxant effects of AEA were reduced in the perfused mesenteric bed, and were enhanced in aortic rings (Wheal and Randall, 2009). The enhanced response in SHR aortae was endothelium dependent. Hopps et al. (2012) also showed that that vasorelaxant response to oleamide was enhanced in the aorta of SHRs, which could be abolished by capsaicin pretreatment, suggesting enhanced roles for sensory nerves. The COX-1-sensitive component of the response to oleamide was also lost in the SHRs. Taken together, these results suggest that the alterations in the vascular response to cannabinoids in hypertension appear to depend on the artery studied and the model of hypertension.

Domencali and colleagues (2005) showed that the vasore-laxant response to AEA was enhanced in cirrhotic rats and this was associated with an increase in CB₁ and TPRV1 receptor protein (no role for the endothelium). Similarly, Moezi et al. (2006) showed that AEA increases mesenteric arteriole diameter in cirrhotic rats, which was blocked by a CB₁ antagonist and was associated with increased CB₁ and TPRV1 receptor protein.

Most recently, it has been shown that the vasorelaxant responses to AEA are reduced in mesenteric arteries from young obese Zucker rats (Lobato *et al.*, 2013). This was associated with decreased CB₁ and CB₂, but not TRPV1, receptor protein expression. We have also shown that the responses to AEA and 2-AG are significantly reduced in the Zucker diabetic model, which appears to be brought about by enhanced metabolism, sometimes to vasoconstrictor products, sensitive to antagonism of the thromboxane receptor (A.J. Wheal *et al.*, unpublished observations).

Together, these studies show that changes in the expression of any of the components bringing about the vascular response to cannabinoids alter their response, with both enhancement and reductions in the vasorelaxant response to endocannabinoids observed. This is more relevant in light of the increasing evidence that plasma concentrations of endo-

cannabinoids in humans are altered in a multitude of disorders including obesity (Bluher *et al.*, 2006), diabetes and insulin resistance (Cote *et al.*, 2007; Abdulnour *et al.*, 2014), obstructive sleep apnea (Engeli *et al.*, 2012), and posttraumatic stress (Hauer *et al.*, 2013), and the impact that these might have on the vasculature.

Conclusions and summary

Cannabinoids cause both vasorelaxation and constriction when applied to vascular preparations. The target sites of action for cannabinoids in the vasculature include CB_1 , CB_2 , an endothelial-bound cannabinoid receptor (CB_e), TRPV1 (and potentially other TRPVs), GPR55, 5-HT_{1A} and the nuclear receptors PPAR α , γ . Potentially as yet uncloned cannabinoid receptor(s) in the vasculature may also exist. The CGRP receptor brings about the vasorelaxation induced through activation of receptors on sensory nerves. Indirect target sites of action for cannabinoids mediated by their metabolic products include the TP and EP₁ prostanoid receptors causing vasoconstriction, and EP₄ and IP receptor causing vasorelaxation. Additionally, cannabinoids modulate the actions of other vasoactive agonists such as acetylcholine, methoxamine, angiotensin II and U46619.

Tables 1 and 2 highlight several gaps in our knowledge on the vascular effects of cannabinoids. To date, most research has focused on AEA, and there is comparatively less known about the vascular effects of other endocannabinoids in different vascular beds. Similarly, only the phytocannabinoid THC has been extensively studied. Discrepancies between results observed between studies may be a result of species differences. Limited human studies to date have revealed both similarities and differences in the vascular effects of cannabinoids and the mechanisms of how relaxation is brought about, but further studies are required to examine different cannabinoids and different vascular beds.

It should be noted that not all cannabinoids have vascular effects. Zygmunt et al. (1999) showed that PEA, HU-210 and WIN55,212 do not cause relaxation of rat hepatic and guinea pig basilar arteries. WIN55212-2 (10 μM) failed to produce vasorelaxation of the rabbit aorta, although the response to methanandamide in the same study was sensitive to SR141716A (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002). Wagner et al. (1999) found that WIN55,212, HU-210, THC and 2-AG do not relax the whole mesenteric bed, although these compounds do relax isolated small mesenteric arteries (see Table 1). Methanandamide and Abn-CBD, but not CP55,940 or WIN55212-2, increase NO production in rabbit aortic endothelial cells (McCollum et al., 2007). AEA but not PEA relaxes rat coronary arteries (White et al., 2001). AEA but not methanandamide relaxes the sheep coronary artery (Grainger and Boachie-Ansah, 2001). Neither AEA nor methanandamide evoked a vasodilator response in human small myometrial arteries (Kenny et al., 2002). The mechanisms by which different cannabinoid compounds affect the vasculature differently warrants further research.

Methodological differences may account for some discrepancies in the published literature. The role of the contractile agent used in the vasorelaxation studies may influence study results, for example, Li *et al.* (2010) and

BIP C Stanley and S E O'Sullivan

McNeish *et al.* (2012) showed that U46619-induced thromboxane receptor activation alters BK_{ca} channel function, therefore compounds that rely on this pathway in the induction of vasorelaxation may be sensitive to the contractile agent used. Experimental approaches may also influence results. For example, Zygmunt *et al.* (1999; 2002) conducted experiments in the presence of NO and COX inhibitors, and under these conditions, they report that AEA- and THC-induced vasorelaxation in rat hepatic, and rat and guinea pig mesenteric arteries is through TRPV. However, in the absence of NO and COX inhibitors, O'Sullivan *et al.* (2005a) found that vasorelaxation to THC was unaffected by incubation with capsaicin, and that a role for TRPV only became apparent when L-NAME and indomethacin were present.

In conclusion, the range of target sites of actions for cannabinoids in the vasculature is increasing and it is likely that there are still more to be identified. For example, little is known about potential interactions of cannabinoids with adrenoceptors, although a recent study found the phytocannabinoid cannabigerol is a potent α_2 -adrenoceptor agonist (Cascio *et al.*, 2010). Further work is required to fully understand the physiological consequence of cannabinoid interactions with vascular receptors, and how and why this is altered in pathological situations.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

Abdulnour J, Yasari S, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Faraj M, Petrosino S, Piscitelli F *et al.* (2014). Circulating endocannabinoids in insulin sensitive vs. insulin resistant obese postmenopausal women. A MONET group study. Obesity (Silver Spring) 22: 211–216.

Alexander SP, Kendall DA (2007). The complications of promiscuity: endocannabinoid action and metabolism. Br J Pharmacol 152: 602–623.

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Spedding M, Peters JA, Harmar AJ and CGTP Collaborators (2013a). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: G-Protein Coupled Receptors. Br J Pharmacol 170: 1459–1581.

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Spedding M, Peters JA, Harmar AJ and CGTP Collaborators (2013b). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: Ion Channels. Br J Pharmacol 170: 1607–1651.

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Spedding M, Peters JA, Harmar AJ and CGTP Collaborators (2013c). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: Nuclear Hormone Receptors. Br J Pharmacol 170: 1652–1675.

AlSuleimani YM, Hiley CR (2013). Mechanisms of vasorelaxation induced by oleoylethanolamide in the rat small mesenteric artery. Eur J Pharmacol 702: 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.01.006. Epub 2013 Ian 20

Atwood BK, Wager-Miller J, Haskins C, Straiker A, Mackie K (2012). Functional selectivity in CB(2) cannabinoid receptor signaling and

regulation: implications for the therapeutic potential of CB(2) ligands. Mol Pharmacol 81: 250–263.

Awumey EM, Hill SK, Diz DI, Bukoski RD (2008). Cytochrome P-450 metabolites of 2-arachidonoyl glycerol play a role in Ca2+-induced relaxation of rat mesenteric arteries. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 294: H2363–H2370.

Baranowska-Kuczko M, MacLean MR, Kozlowska H, Malinowska B (2012). Endothelium-dependent mechanisms of the vasodilatory effect of the endocannabinoid, anandamide, in the rat pulmonary artery. Pharmacol Res 66: 251–259.

Baranowska-Kuczko M, Kozlowska H, Kloza M, Kozlowski M, Malinowska B (2013). Role of endothelial mechanisms in anandamide-evoked vasorelaxant effects in human pulmonary arteries. *Proceedings of the BPS 6th European Workshop on Cannabinoid Research 2013* **P050**.

Begg M, Mo FM, Offertaler L, Bátkai S, Pacher P, Razdan RK *et al.* (2003). G protein-coupled endothelial receptor for atypical cannabinoid ligands modulates a Ca2+-dependent K+ current. J Biol Chem 278: 46188–46194.

Bluher M, Engeli S, Kloting N, Berndt J, Fasshauer M, Batkai S *et al.* (2006). Dysregulation of the peripheral and adipose tissue endocannabinoid system in human abdominal obesity. Diabetes 55: 3053–3060.

Bondarenko A, Waldeck-Weiermair M, Naghdi S, Poteser M, Malli R, Graier WF (2010). GPR55-dependent and -independent ion signalling in response to lysophosphatidylinositol in endothelial cells. Br J Pharmacol 161: 308–320.

Bukoski RD, Batkai S, Jarai Z, Wang Y, Offertaler L, Jackson WF *et al.* (2002). CB(1) receptor antagonist SR141716A inhibits Ca(2+)-induced relaxation in CB(1) receptor-deficient mice. Hypertension 39: 251–257.

Cascio MG, Gauson LA, Stevenson LA, Ross RA, Pertwee RG (2010). Evidence that the plant cannabinoid cannabigerol is a highly potent alpha2-adrenoceptor agonist and moderately potent 5HT1A receptor antagonist. Br J Pharmacol 159: 129–141.

Chaytor AT, Martin PEM, Evans WH, Randall MD, Griffith TM (1999). The endothelial component of cannabinoid-induced relaxation in rabbit mesenteric artery depends on gap junctional communication. J Physiol 520: 539–550.

Chen C, Jin X, Meng X, Zheng C, Shen Y, Wang Y (2011). Inhibition of TNFalpha-induced adhesion molecule expression by (Z)-(S)-9-octadecenamide, N-(2-hydroxyethyl,1-methyl). Eur J Pharmacol 660: 305–309.

Chen P, Hu S, Yao J, Moore SA, Spector AA, Fang X (2005). Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 by anandamide in cerebral microvascular endothelium. Microvasc Res 69: 28–35.

Corriu C, Feletou M, Canet E, Vanhoutte PM (1996). Endothelium-derived factors and hyperpolarization of the carotid artery of the guinea-pig. Br J Pharmacol 119: 959–964.

Cote M, Matias I, Lemieux I, Petrosino S, Almeras N, Despres JP *et al.* (2007). Circulating endocannabinoid levels, abdominal adiposity and related cardiometabolic risk factors in obese men. Int J Obes (Lond) 31: 692–699.

Czikora A, Lizanecz E, Boczan J, Darago A, Papp Z, Edes I *et al*. (2012). Vascular metabolism of anandamide to arachidonic acid affects myogenic constriction in response to intraluminal pressure elevation. Life Sci 90: 407–415.

Daly CJ, Ross RA, Whyte J, Henstridge CM, Irving AJ, McGrath JC (2010). Fluorescent ligand binding reveals heterogeneous

Vascular targets for cannabinoids



distribution of adrenoceptors and 'cannabinoid-like' receptors in small arteries. Br J Pharmacol 159: 787–796.

Dannert MT, Alsasua A, Herradon E, Martín MI, López-Miranda V (2007). Vasorelaxant effect of Win 55,212-2 in rat aorta: new mechanisms involved. Vasc Pharmacol 46: 16–23.

De Petrocellis L, Starowicz K, Moriello AS, Vivese M, Orlando P, Di Marzo V (2007). Regulation of transient receptor potential channels of melastatin type 8 (TRPM8): effect of cAMP, cannabinoid CB(1) receptors and endovanilloids. Exp Cell Res 313: 1911–1920.

Deutsch DG, Goligorsky MS, Schmid PC, Krebsbach RJ, Schmid HH, Das SK *et al.* (1997). Production and physiological actions of anandamide in the vasculature of the rat kidney. J Clin Investigation 100: 1538–1546.

Domenicali M, Ros J, Fernández-Varo G, Cejudo-Martín P, Crespo M, Morales-Ruiz M *et al.* (2005). Increased anandamide induced relaxation in mesenteric arteries of cirrhotic rats: role of cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors. Gut 54: 522–527.

Ellis EF, Moore SF, Willoughby KA (1995). Anandamide and delta 9-THC dilation of cerebral arterioles is blocked by indomethacin. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 269: H1859–H1864.

Engeli S, Bluher M, Jumpertz R, Wiesner T, Wirtz H, Bosse-Henck A *et al.* (2012). Circulating anandamide and blood pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. J Hypertens 30: 2345–2351.

Fleming I, Schermer B, Popp R, Busse R (1999). Inhibition of the production of endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor by cannabinoid receptor agonists. Br J Pharmacol 126: 949–960.

Gasperi V, Dainese E, Oddi S, Sabatucci A, Maccarrone M (2013). GPR55 and its interaction with membrane lipids: comparison with other endocannabinoid-binding receptors. Curr Med Chem 20: 64–78.

Gauthier KM, Baewer DV, Hittner S, Hillard CJ, Nithipatikom K, Reddy DS *et al.* (2005). Endothelium-derived 2-arachidonoyl glycerol: an intermediate in vasodilatory eicosanoid release in bovine coronary arteries. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 288: H1344–H1351.

Gebremedhin D, Lange AR, Campbell WB, Hillard CJ, Harder DR (1999). Cannabinoid CB1 receptor of cat cerebral arterial muscle functions to inhibit L-type Ca2+ channel current. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 276: H2085–H2093.

Ghosh M, Wang H, Ai Y, Romeo E, Luyendyk JP, Peters JM *et al.* (2007). COX-2 suppresses tissue factor expression via endocannabinoid-directed PPARdelta activation. J Exp Med 204: 2053–2061.

Godlewski G, Offertáler L, Osei-Hyiaman D, Mo FM, Harvey-White J, Liu J *et al.* (2009). The endogenous brain constituent N-arachidonoyl L-serine is an activator of large conductance Ca2+-activated K+ channels. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 328: 351–361.

Golech SA, McCarron RM, Chen Y, Bembry J, Lenz F, Mechoulam R *et al.* (2004). Human brain endothelium: coexpression and function of vanilloid and endocannabinoid receptors. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 132: 87–92.

Grainger J, Boachie-Ansah G (2001). Anandamide-induced relaxation of sheep coronary arteries: the role of the vascular endothelium, arachidonic acid metabolites and potassium channels. Br J Pharmacol 134: 1003–1012.

Harris D, McCulloch AI, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2002). Characterization of vasorelaxant responses to anandamide in the rat mesenteric arterial bed. J Physiol 539 (Pt 3): 893–902.

Hauer D, Schelling G, Gola H, Campolongo P, Morath J, Roozendaal B *et al.* (2013). Plasma concentrations of endocannabinoids and related primary fatty acid amides in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder. PLoS One 8: e62741.

Henstridge CM, Balenga NAB, Ford LA, Ross RA, Waldhoer M, Irving AJ (2009). The GPR55 ligand L-{alpha}-lysophosphatidylinositol promotes RhoA-dependent Ca2+signaling and NFAT activation. FASEB J 23: 183–193.

Herradón E, Martín MI, López-Miranda V (2007). Characterization of the vasorelaxant mechanisms of the endocannabinoid anandamide in rat aorta. Br J Pharmacol 152: 699–708.

Ho WS, Hiley CR (2003). Endothelium-independent relaxation to cannabinoids in rat-isolated mesenteric artery and role of Ca2+influx. Br J Pharmacol 139: 585–597.

Ho WS, Barrett DA, Randall MD (2008). 'Entourage' effects of N-palmitoylethanolamide and N-oleoylethanolamide on vasorelaxation to anandamide occur through TRPV1 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 155: 837–846.

Hoi PM, Hiley CR (2006). Vasorelaxant effects of oleamide in rat small mesenteric artery indicate action at a novel cannabinoid receptor. Br J Pharmacol 147: 560–568.

Hopps JJ, Dunn WR, Randall MD (2012). Enhanced vasorelaxant effects of the endocannabinoid-like mediator, oleamide, in hypertension. Eur J Pharmacol 684: 102–107.

Inoue I, Shino K, Noji S, Awata T, Katayama S (1998). Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR alpha) in primary cultures of human vascular endothelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 246: 370–374.

Jarai Z, Wagner JA, Varga K, Lake KD, Compton DR, Martin BR *et al.* (1999). Cannabinoid-induced mesenteric vasodilation through an endothelial site distinct from CB1 or CB2 receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 14136–14141.

Johns DG, Behm DJ, Walker DJ, Ao Z, Shapland EM, Daniels DA *et al.* (2007). The novel endocannabinoid receptor GPR55 is activated by atypical cannabinoids but does not mediate their vasodilator effects. Br J Pharmacol 152: 825–831.

Jordt SE, Bautista DM, Chuang HH, McKemy DD, Zygmunt PM, Hogestatt ED *et al.* (2004). Mustard oils and cannabinoids excite sensory nerve fibres through the TRP channel ANKTM1. Nature 427: 260–265.

Kagota S, Yamaguchi Y, Nakamura K, Sugiura T, Waku K, Kunitomo M (2001). 2-Arachidonoylglycerol, a candidate of endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor. Eur J Pharmacol 415: 233–238.

Kaymakcalan S, Turker RK (1975). The evidence of the release of prostaglandin-like material from rabbit kidney and guinea-pig lung by (minus)-trans-delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. J Pharm Pharmacol 27: 564–568.

Kenny LC, Baker PN, Kendall DA, Randall MD, Dunn WR (2002). The role of gap junctions in mediating endothelium-dependent responses to bradykinin in myometrial small arteries isolated from pregnant women. Br J Pharmacol 136: 1085–1088.

Kim HJ, Ham SA, Kim MY, Hwang JS, Lee H, Kang ES *et al.* (2011). PPARdelta coordinates angiotensin II-induced senescence in vascular smooth muscle cells through PTEN-mediated inhibition of superoxide generation. J Biol Chem 286: 44585–44593.

Koura Y, Ichihara A, Tada Y, Kaneshiro Y, Okada H, Temm CJ *et al.* (2004). Anandamide decreases glomerular filtration rate through predominant vasodilation of efferent arterioles in rat kidneys. J Am Soc Nephrol 15: 1488–1494.

C Stanley and S E O'Sullivan

Kozlowska H, Baranowska M, Schlicker E, Kozlowski M, Laudanski J, Malinowska B (2007). Identification of the vasodilatory endothelial cannabinoid receptor in the human pulmonary artery. J Hypertens 25: 2240–2248.

Kozlowska H, Baranowska M, Schlicker E, Koz0142owski M, Laudañski J, Malinowska B (2008). Virodhamine relaxes the human pulmonary artery through the endothelial cannabinoid receptor and indirectly through a COX product. Br J Pharmacol 155: 1034–1042.

Lauckner JE, Jensen JB, Chen H-Y, Lu H-C, Hille B, Mackie K (2008). GPR55 is a cannabinoid receptor that increases intracellular calcium and inhibits M current. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 2699–2704.

Law RE, Goetze S, Xi XP, Jackson S, Kawano Y, Demer L *et al*. (2000). Expression and function of PPARgamma in rat and human vascular smooth muscle cells. Circulation 101: 1311–1318.

Lawrence DK, Gill EW (1975). The effects of delta1-tetrahydrocannabinol and other cannabinoids on spin-labeled liposomes and their relationship to mechanisms of general anesthesia. Mol Pharmacol 11: 595–602.

Li M, Tanaka Y, Alioua A, Wu Y, Lu R, Kundu P *et al.* (2010). Thromboxane A2 receptor and MaxiK-channel intimate interaction supports channel trans-inhibition independent of G-protein activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 19096–19101.

Liu CQ, Leung FP, Wong SL, Wong WT, Lau CW, Lu L *et al.* (2009). Thromboxane prostanoid receptor activation impairs endothelial nitric oxide-dependent vasorelaxations: the role of Rho kinase. Biochem Pharmacol 78: 374–381.

Liu J, Gao B, Mirshahi F, Sanyal AJ, Khanolkar AD, Makriyannis A *et al.* (2000). Functional CB1 cannabinoid receptors in human vascular endothelial cells. Biochem J 346 (Pt 3): 835–840.

Lobato NS, Filgueira FP, Prakash R, Giachini FR, Ergul A, Carvalho MH *et al.* (2013). Reduced endothelium-dependent relaxation to anandamide in mesenteric arteries from young obese Zucker rats. PLoS One 8: e63449.

McCollum L, Howlett AC, Mukhopadhyay S (2007). Anandamide-mediated CB1/CB2 cannabinoid receptor-independent nitric oxide production in rabbit aortic endothelial cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321: 930–937.

McCulloch AI, Randall MD (1998). Sex differences in the relative contributions of nitric oxide and EDHF to agonist-stimulated endothelium-dependent relaxations in the rat isolated mesenteric arterial bed. Br J Pharmacol 123: 1700–1706.

McNaughton E, Ho WS (2013). Investigating the role of the putative cannabinoid receptor GPR55 in vascular control in mice. *Proceedings of the BPS 6th European Workshop on Cannabinoid Research* 2013

McNeish AJ, Jimenez-Altayo F, Cottrell GS, Garland CJ (2012). Statins and selective inhibition of Rho kinase protect small conductance calcium-activated potassium channel function (K(Ca)2.3) in cerebral arteries. PLoS One 7: e46735.

Mair KM, Robinson E, Kane KA, Pyne S, Brett RR, Pyne NJ *et al.* (2010). Interaction between anandamide and sphingosine-1-phosphate in mediating vasorelaxation in rat coronary artery. Br J Pharmacol 161: 176–192.

Malinowska B, Baranowska-Kuczko M, Schlicker E (2012). Triphasic blood pressure responses to cannabinoids: do we understand the mechanism? Br J Pharmacol 165: 2073–2088.

Marx N, Bourcier T, Sukhova GK, Libby P, Plutzky J (1999). PPARgamma activation in human endothelial cells increases

plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 expression: PPARgamma as a potential mediator in vascular disease. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 19: 546–551.

Matsuda LA, Lolait SJ, Brownstein MJ, Young AC, Bonner TI (1990). Structure of a cannabinoid receptor and functional expression of the cloned cDNA. Nature 346: 561–564.

Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR *et al.* (1995). Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 50: 83–90.

Mestre L, Docagne F, Correa F, Loria F, Hernangomez M, Borrell J *et al.* (2009). A cannabinoid agonist interferes with the progression of a chronic model of multiple sclerosis by downregulating adhesion molecules. Mol Cell Neurosci 40: 258–266.

Milman G, Maor Y, Abu-Lafi S, Horowitz M, Gallily R, Batkai S *et al.* (2006). N-arachidonoyl l-serine, an endocannabinoid-like brain constituent with vasodilatory properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 2428–2433.

Mishima K, Hayakawa K, Abe K, Ikeda T, Egashira N, Iwasaki K *et al.* (2005). Cannabidiol prevents cerebral infarction via a serotonergic 5-hydroxytryptamine1A receptor-dependent mechanism. Stroke 36: 1077–1082.

Mo FM, Offertáler L, Kunos G (2004). Atypical cannabinoid stimulates endothelial cell migration via a Gi/Go-coupled receptor distinct from CB1, CB2 or EDG-1. Eur J Pharmacol 489: 21–27.

Moezi L, Gaskari SA, Liu H, Baik SK, Dehpour AR, Lee SS (2006). Anandamide mediates hyperdynamic circulation in cirrhotic rats via CB_1 and VR_1 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 149: 898–908.

Movahed P, Evilevitch V, Andersson TLG, Jönsson BAG, Wollmer P, Zygmunt PM *et al.* (2005). Vascular effects of anandamide and *N*-acylvanillylamines in the human forearm and skin microcirculation. Br J Pharmacol 146: 171–179.

Mukhopadhyay S, Chapnick BM, Howlett AC (2002). Anandamide-induced vasorelaxation in rabbit aortic rings has two components: G protein dependent and independent. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 282: H2046–H2054.

Munro S, Thomas KL, Abu-Shaar M (1993). Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. Nature 365: 61–65.

O'Sullivan S, David AK, Michael DR (2004a). Heterogeneity in the mechanisms of vasorelaxation to anandamide in resistance and conduit rat mesenteric arteries. Br J Pharmacol 142: 435–442.

O'Sullivan SE (2007). Cannabinoids go nuclear: evidence for activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. Br J Pharmacol 152: 576–582.

O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2004b). Characterisation of the vasorelaxant properties of the novel endocannabinoid *N*-arachidonoyl-dopamine (NADA). Br J Pharmacol 141: 803–812.

O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2005a). The effects of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol in rat mesenteric vasculature, and its interactions with the endocannabinoid anandamide. Br J Pharmacol 145: 514–526.

O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2005b). Vascular effects of [delta]9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), anandamide and N-arachidonoyldopamine (NADA) in the rat isolated aorta. Eur J Pharmacol 507: 211–221.

O'Sullivan SE, Tarling EJ, Bennett AJ, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2005c). Novel time-dependent vascular actions of [Delta]9-

Vascular targets for cannabinoids



tetrahydrocannabinol mediated by peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 337: 824–831.

O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2006). Further characterization of the time-dependent vascular effects of Δ -9tetrahydrocannabinol. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 317: 428–438.

O'Sullivan SE, Randall MD, Gardiner SM (2007). The in vitro and in vivo cardiovascular effects of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol in rats made hypertensive by chronic inhibition of nitric-oxide synthase. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321: 663–672.

O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, Randall MD (2009a). Time-dependent vascular effects of endocannabinoids mediated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARgamma). PPAR Res 2009: 425289.

O'Sullivan SE, Sun Y, Bennett AJ, Randall MD, Kendall DA (2009b). Time-dependent vascular actions of cannabidiol in the rat aorta. Eur J Pharmacol 612: 61–68.

Offertaler L, Mo F-M, Bátkai S, Liu J, Begg M, Razdan RK *et al.* (2003). Selective ligands and cellular effectors of a G protein-coupled endothelial cannabinoid receptor. Mol Pharmacol 63: 699–705.

Overton HA, Babbs AJ, Doel SM, Fyfe MC, Gardner LS, Griffin G *et al.* (2006). Deorphanization of a G protein-coupled receptor for oleoylethanolamide and its use in the discovery of small-molecule hypophagic agents. Cell Metab 3: 167–175.

Parmar N, Ho WS (2010). N-arachidonoyl glycine, an endogenous lipid that acts as a vasorelaxant via nitric oxide and large conductance calcium-activated potassium channels. Br J Pharmacol 160: 594–603. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00622.x. Epub 2010 Feb 5.

Peroni RN, Orliac ML, Becu-Villalobos D, Huidobro-Toro JP, Adler-Graschinsky E, Celuch SM (2004). Sex-linked differences in the vasorelaxant effects of anandamide in vascular mesenteric beds: role of oestrogens. Eur J Pharmacol 493: 151–160.

Plane F, Holland M, Waldron GJ, Garland CJ, Boyle JP (1997). Evidence that anandamide and EDHF act via different mechanisms in rat isolated mesenteric arteries. Br J Pharmacol 121: 1509–1511.

Poblete IM, Orliac ML, Briones R, Adler-Graschinsky E, Huidobro-Toro JP (2005). Anandamide elicits an acute release of nitric oxide through endothelial TRPV1 receptor activation in the rat arterial mesenteric bed. J Physiol 568: 539–551.

Pratt PF, Hillard CJ, Edgemond WS, Campbell WB (1998). N-arachidonoylethanolamide relaxation of bovine coronary artery is not mediated by CB1 cannabinoid receptor. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 274: H375–H381.

Qin N, Neeper MP, Liu Y, Hutchinson TL, Lubin ML, Flores CM (2008). TRPV2 is activated by cannabidiol and mediates CGRP release in cultured rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. J Neurosci 28: 6231–6238.

Rademacher DJ, Patel S, Ho WS, Savoie AM, Rusch NJ, Gauthier KM *et al.* (2005). U-46619 but not serotonin increases endocannabinoid content in middle cerebral artery: evidence for functional relevance. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 288: H2694–H2701.

Rajesh M, Mukhopadhyay P, Batkai S, Hasko G, Liaudet L, Huffman JW *et al.* (2007). CB2-receptor stimulation attenuates TNF-alphainduced human endothelial cell activation, transendothelial migration of monocytes, and monocyte-endothelial adhesion. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 293: H2210–H2218.

Rajesh M, Mukhopadhyay P, Batkai S, Hasko G, Huffman J, Mackie K *et al.* (2008a). CB2 receptor agonists attenuate TNF-{alpha}

induced human vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration. FASEB J 22 (1_Meeting Abstracts): 912.39.

Rajesh M, Mukhopadhyay P, Hasko G, Huffman JW, Mackie K, Pacher P (2008b). CB2 cannabinoid receptor agonists attenuate TNF-alpha-induced human vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration. Br J Pharmacol 153: 347–357.

Ramirez SH, Hasko J, Skuba A, Fan S, Dykstra H, McCormick R *et al.* (2012). Activation of cannabinoid receptor 2 attenuates leukocyte-endothelial cell interactions and blood-brain barrier dysfunction under inflammatory conditions. J Neurosci 32: 4004–4016.

Resstel LB, Tavares RF, Lisboa SF, Joca SR, Correa FM, Guimaraes FS (2009). 5-HT1A receptors are involved in the cannabidiol-induced attenuation of behavioural and cardiovascular responses to acute restraint stress in rats. Br J Pharmacol 156: 181–188.

Romano MR, Lograno MD (2006). Cannabinoid agonists induce relaxation in the bovine ophthalmic artery: evidences for CB1 receptors, nitric oxide and potassium channels. Br J Pharmacol 147: 917–925.

Romano MR, Lograno MD (2012). Involvement of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) alpha in vascular response of endocannabinoids in the bovine ophthalmic artery. Eur J Pharmacol 683: 197–203.

Russo EB, Burnett A, Hall B, Parker KK (2005). Agonistic properties of cannabidiol at 5-HT1a receptors. Neurochem Res 30: 1037–1043.

Ryberg E, Larsson N, Sjogren S, Hjorth S, Hermansson NO, Leonova J *et al.* (2007). The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. Br J Pharmacol 152: 1092–1101.

Schley M, Ständer S, Kerner J, Vajkoczy P, Schüpfer G, Dusch M *et al.* (2009). Predominant CB2 receptor expression in endothelial cells of glioblastoma in humans. Brain Res Bull 79: 333–337.

Seely KA, Brents LK, Franks LN, Rajasekaran M, Zimmerman SM, Fantegrossi WE *et al.* (2012). AM-251 and rimonabant act as direct antagonists at mu-opioid receptors: implications for opioid/cannabinoid interaction studies. Neuropharmacology 63: 905–915.

Staels B, Koenig W, Habib A, Merval R, Lebret M, Torra IP *et al*. (1998). Activation of human aortic smooth-muscle cells is inhibited by PPARalpha but not by PPARgamma activators. Nature 393: 790–793.

Stanke-Labesque F, Mallaret M, Lefebvre B, Hardy G, Caron F, Bessard G (2004). 2-Arachidonoyl glycerol induces contraction of isolated rat aorta: role of cyclooxygenase-derived products. Cardiovasc Res 63: 155–160.

Stanley C, O'Sullivan S (2011). Characterisation of cannabidiol-induced vasorelaxation in human mesenteric arteries. *Proceedings of the BPS Winter Meeting 2011* **098P**.

Stanley C, O'Sullivan S (2012). The vasorelaxant effects of anandamide in the human mesenteric artery. *Proceedings of the BPS Winter Meeting 2012* **175P**.

Stanley CP, O'Sullivan SE (2014). Cyclooxygenase metabolism mediates vasorelaxation to 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) in human mesenteric arteries. Pharmacol Res. pii: S1043-6618(14)00014-0. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2014.02.001.

Stanley C, Manning G, O'Sullivan S (2011). Characterisation of 2-arachidonoylglycerol-induced vasorelaxation in human mesenteric arteries P163.

Stefano GB, Bilfinger TV, Rialas CM, Deutsch DG (2000). 2-arachidonyl-glycerol stimulates nitric oxide release from human

C Stanley and S E O'Sullivan

immune and vascular tissues and invertebrate immunocytes by cannabinoid receptor 1. Pharmacol Res 42: 317-322.

Su JY, Vo AC (2007). 2-Arachidonyl glyceryl ether and abnormal cannabidiol-induced vascular smooth muscle relaxation in rabbit pulmonary arteries via receptor-pertussis toxin sensitive G proteins-ERK1/2 signaling. Eur J Pharmacol 559: 189-195.

Sudhahar V, Shaw S, Imig JD (2009). Mechanisms involved in oleamide-induced vasorelaxation in rat mesenteric resistance arteries. Eur J Pharmacol 607: 143-150.

Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K et al. (1995). 2-Arachidonoylgylcerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 215: 89-97.

Sugiura T, Kodaka T, Nakane S, Kishimoto S, Kondo S, Waku K (1998). Detection of an endogenous cannabimimetic molecule, 2-arachidonoylglycerol, and cannabinoid CB1 receptor mRNA in human vascular cells: is 2-arachidonoylglycerol a possible vasomodulator? Biochem Biophys Res Commun 243: 838-843.

Suleimani YM, Hiley CR (2013). Mechanisms of vasorelaxation induced by oleoylethanolamide in the rat small mesenteric artery. Eur J Pharmacol 702: 1-11.

Szekeres M, Nadasy GL, Turu G, Soltesz-Katona E, Toth ZE, Balla A et al. (2012). Angiotensin II induces vascular endocannabinoid release, which attenuates its vasoconstrictor effect via CB1 cannabinoid receptors. J Biol Chem 287: 31540-31550.

Villalon CM, Centurion D (2007). Cardiovascular responses produced by 5-hydroxytriptamine: a pharmacological update on the receptors/mechanisms involved and therapeutic implications. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 376: 45-63.

Wagner JA, Varga K, Jarai Z, Kunos G (1999). Mesenteric vasodilation mediated by endothelial anandamide receptors. Hypertension 33 (1 Pt 2): 429-434.

Wahn H, Wolf J, Kram F, Frantz S, Wagner JA (2005). The endocannabinoid arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide) increases pulmonary arterial pressure via cyclooxygenase-2 products in isolated rabbit lungs. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 289: H2491-H2496.

Waldeck-Weiermair M, Zoratti C, Osibow K, Balenga N, Goessnitzer E, Waldhoer M et al. (2008). Integrin clustering enables anandamide-induced Ca2+ signaling in endothelial cells via GPR55 by protection against CB1-receptor-triggered repression. J Cell Sci 121: 1704-1717.

Wang Y, Wang J, Wang C, Liu J, Shi L, Xu M et al. (2008). Functional expression of transient receptor potential

vanilloid-related channels in chronically hypoxic human pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. J Membrane Biol 223: 151-159.

Watts SW, Davis RP (2011). 5-Hydroxtryptamine receptors in systemic hypertension: an arterial focus. Cardiovasc Ther 29: 54-67.

Wheal AJ, Randall MD (2009). Effects of hypertension on vasorelaxation to endocannabinoids in vitro. Eur J Pharmacol 603: 79-85.

Wheal AJ, Bennett T, Randall MD, Gardiner SM (2007). Effects of chronic nitric oxide synthase inhibition on the cardiovascular responses to cannabinoids in vivo and in vitro. Br J Pharmacol 150:

Wheal AJ, Alexander SP, Randall MD (2010). Vasorelaxation to N-oleoylethanolamine in rat isolated arteries: mechanisms of action and modulation via cyclooxygenase activity. Br J Pharmacol 160: 701-711.

White R, Hiley CR (1998). The actions of some cannabinoid receptor ligands in the rat isolated mesenteric artery. Br J OF Pharmacol 125: 533-541.

White R, Ho WS, Bottrill FE, Ford WR, Hiley CR (2001). Mechanisms of anandamide-induced vasorelaxation in rat isolated coronary arteries. Br J Pharmacol 134: 921-929.

Yang D, Feletou M, Boulanger CM, Wu HF, Levens N, Zhang JN et al. (2002). Oxygen-derived free radicals mediate endothelium-dependent contractions to acetylcholine in aortas from spontaneously hypertensive rats. Br J Pharmacol 136: 104-110.

Zador F, Otvos F, Benyhe S, Zimmer A, Paldy E (2012). Inhibition of forebrain mu-opioid receptor signaling by low concentrations of rimonabant does not require cannabinoid receptors and directly involves mu-opioid receptors. Neurochem Int 61: 378-388.

Zavitsanou K, Wang H, Dalton VS, Nguyen V (2010). Cannabinoid administration increases 5HT1A receptor binding and mRNA expression in the hippocampus of adult but not adolescent rats. Neuroscience 169: 315-324.

Zhang X, Maor Y, Wang JF, Kunos G, Groopman JE (2010). Endocannabinoid-like N-arachidonovl serine is a novel pro-angiogenic mediator. Br J Pharmacol 160: 1583-1594.

Zygmunt PM, Petersson J, Andersson DA, Chuang H, Sorgard M, Di Marzo V et al. (1999). Vanilloid receptors on sensory nerves mediate the vasodilator action of anandamide. Nature 400: 452-457.

Zygmunt PM, Andersson DA, Hogestatt ED (2002). Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabinol activate capsaicin-sensitive sensory nerves via a CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptor-independent mechanism. J Neurosci 22: 4720-4727.