

Introduction to Topological Manifold: Chap 2

Due on March, 2021

Yuchen Ge

Section 3.1

exercise 1

exercise 3.61:

Proof. Simply observe that if q is continuous and open:

$$\left(U \text{ is open in } Y \Leftrightarrow q^{-1}(U) \text{ is open in } Y \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\text{it takes saturated open subsets to open subsets} \right).$$

□

exercise 3.62(d):

Proof. Observe: (Note: the first equivalence is from properties of subspace topology.)

$$V \text{ is open in } q(U) \Leftrightarrow V \text{ is open in } Y \Leftrightarrow q^{-1}(V) \text{ is open in } X.$$

□

exercise 3.62(e):

Proof. Just prove that it takes saturated open subsets to open subsets.

□

exercise 2

Question: more generally, when the spaces X_i have the trivial topology for all but finitely many indices, then product topology is equal to box topology?:

Proof. Consider basis of box topology can be generated by product topology.

□

Problem 1

Proof. Consider the C_2 and hausdorff property is inherited by subspace topology. We only need to prove that it's locally euclidean of dimension $n - 1$, which is obvious since $\text{Int}M$ and ∂M are disjoint.

□

Problem 2

Proof. Since the closure of A respect to $B \subset X$ is equal to $\bar{A} \cap B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is dense in } X &\Leftrightarrow \bar{A} = X \Leftrightarrow \forall A \subset B \subset X : A \text{ is dense in } B \text{ and } B \text{ is dense in } X \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall A \subset B \subset X : B = \bar{A} \cap B \text{ and } X = \bar{B} \cap X. \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 3

Proof. Consider the space $X = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the topology induced by the inclusion $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Pick any non-continuous function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the covering

$$\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \text{ with } A_0 = \{0\} \text{ and } A_n = \{\frac{1}{n}\} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

this is a countable closed covering of X — and define the functions $f_i = g|_{A_i} : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. All the f_i are continuous, and there does not exist any continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_{A_i} = f_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. \square

Problem 4

Proof. For the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , consider the map

$$\pi \circ \sigma^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n :$$

where σ is the stereographic projection from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{R}^n and π is a projection from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{R}^n that **omits some coordinate other than the last**.

Consicely, it is enough to solve this for the closed unit ball $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , since any other closed ball $\bar{B}_r(p)$ in \mathbb{R}^n is homeomorphic to $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ by composition of translation $T : \bar{B}_r(p) \rightarrow \bar{B}_r(0)$, defined as $x \mapsto x - p$ together with dilation $D : \bar{B}_r(0) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$, defined as $x \mapsto \frac{x}{r}$.

As a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is a second countable Hausdorff space. For any point $p \in \mathbb{B}^n$, the identity map on \mathbb{B}^n serve as the homeomorphism. So we only need to construct homeomorphisms between neighbourhood of points on $\partial\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with open subsets in \mathbb{H}^n . To do this we need to consider $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ as a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Consider the stereographic projection from the south pole $\sigma : \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{S\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, which is a homeomorphism, defined as

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{1 + x_{n+1}}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, define

$$U_i^+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0\}, \quad U_i^- = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i < 0\}$$

be $2n$ -many open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , and also for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\tilde{U}_i^+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_i > 0\}, \quad \tilde{U}_i^- = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_i < 0\}$$

are $2n$ -many open subsets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Observe that

$$\sigma^{-1}(U_i^\pm) = \mathbb{S}^n \cap \tilde{U}_i^\pm$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. That is σ^{-1} map U_i^+ to the open hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^n where $x_i > 0$, and same for U_i^- . Since these hemispheres $\sigma^{-1}(U_i^\pm)$ homeomorphic to open unit ball \mathbb{B}^n via projection map

$$\pi_i : (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})$$

, then by restricting the composition map $\pi_i \circ \sigma^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to $U_i^\pm \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$, we obtain the desired homeomorphisms

$$\varphi := \pi_i \circ (\sigma^{-1})|_{U_i^\pm \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n} : U_i^\pm \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n,$$

with domains cover $\partial\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. By construction, any $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ must contained in one such neighbourhoods, with $\varphi(p) = 0 \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\varphi(U_i^\pm \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n)$ is an open half unit ball in \mathbb{H}^n . Therefore, $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is an n -manifold with boundary with manifold boundary is equal to its topological boundary \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Note that by similar way we can show that the complement of any open ball is an n -manifold with boundary, with its topological boundary as the manifold boundary. Only this time we use stereographic projection from the north pole. \square

Problem 5

Proof. Let $D = \{0\}$ be the one-point discrete space. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto x$ be the identity map, and let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow D : x \mapsto 0$. Both f and g are easily seen to be closed, but $f \times g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D$ is not: it maps the graph of $xy = 1$, which is a closed set in \mathbb{R}^2 , to

$$(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\},$$

which is not closed in $\mathbb{R} \times D$: $(0, 0)$ is in its closure. \square

Problem 6

Proof. We prove two directions:

Suppose first that Δ is closed in $X \times X$. To show that X is Hausdorff, you must show that if x and y are any two points of X , then there are open sets U and V in X such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Look at the point $p = (x, y) \in X \times X$. Because $x \neq y$, $p \notin \Delta$. This means that p is in the open set $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$. Thus, there must be a basic open set B in the product topology such that $p \in B \subseteq (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$. Basic open sets in the product topology are open boxes, i.e., sets of the form $U \times V$, where U and V are open in X , so let $B = U \times V$ for such $U, V \subseteq X$. Then the following is clear.

Now suppose that X is Hausdorff. To show that Δ is closed in $X \times X$, we need only show that $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is open. To do this, just take any point $p \in (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ and show that it has an open neighborhood disjoint from Δ . First, $p = \langle x, y \rangle$ for some $x, y \in X$, and since $p \notin \Delta$, $x \neq y$. Now use disjoint open neighborhoods of x and y to build a basic open box around p that is disjoint from Δ . \square

Problem 7

Proof. First construct $Id_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Then prove that it sends all basis subsets in \mathbb{R}^2 to all basis subsets in $\mathbb{R}_d \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Problem 8

Proof. Just prove it by definition as below:

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i > 1 + \frac{1}{i}\}\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i > 1 + \frac{1}{i}\}) = [1, \infty).$$

\square

Problem 9

Proof. Obviously we have:

$$\text{Metrizible} \implies \text{First Countable} \implies \text{There is a sequence of elements of } X \text{ converging to } z.$$

□

Problem 10

Proof. It's clear from property of subspace topology that

$$f : \bigsqcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A \text{ continuous} \implies f|_{A_{\alpha}} : A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A \text{ continuous}$$

Then the inversed direction is clear, from prop 2.19 (**local property of continuous map**).

□

Problem 12

Proof. Let (c) be an example: Just prove it's the biggest topology:

$$\begin{aligned} U \text{ open in } \bigsqcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} &\implies \forall \alpha \ j_{\alpha}^{-1}(U) = U \cap A_{\alpha} \text{ is open in } A_{\alpha}. \\ &\implies U \text{ open in } \bigsqcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \text{ with respect to the disjoint topology.} \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 13

Proof. Let (a) be an example: If the left inverse exist, denoted by f^{-1} , then

$$f^{-1} \circ f = Id \implies f \text{ is injective and } f^{-1}|_{f(X)} \text{ plays the ROLE of inverse function.}$$

□

Problem 14

Proof. Since $P^n = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim$, then it's hausdorff by Cor 3.58. Let the quotient map denote π . Consider the subsets $U_i \subset P^n$ where $x_i = 1$, then $\left((\pi|_{U_i})^{-1}, U_i \right)$ is a coordinate system!

Then it follows from Prop 3.56 that it's second countable.

□

Problem 15

Mimic what we did in Problem 3-14.

Problem 16

It's clear that the quotient map is an open map. Moreover, \sim is not closed in $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \{1\})$! By applying corollary 3.58, it's not hausdorff!

Problem 17

A counter example.

Problem 18

(a) Express both spaces as quotients of a disjoint union of intervals. Let X be disjoint union of intervals, then we suppose two canonical maps:

$$\pi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 : X \rightarrow \text{wedge sum of countably infinitely many circles.}$$

The only thing we need to prove is both π_1 and π_2 are quotient maps. For π_1 , it's an open map. For π_2 , we see that it's a composition of quotient topolgy.

Problem 19

Notice that the product with inversion $f : G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(x, y) = xy^{-1}$ is continuous. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\overline{H})$ is closed. Now, notice that $H \times H \subset f^{-1}(\overline{H})$. So, taking closures,

$$\overline{H \times H} \subset \overline{f^{-1}(\overline{H})}.$$

Now, you just have to show that $\overline{H} \times \overline{H} \subset \overline{H \times H}$, to conclude that

$$f(\overline{H} \times \overline{H}) \subset \overline{H}.$$

Problem 20

Already apply the conclusion in problem 19.

Problem 21

Proof. (a) From passing down the quotient, just consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{L_g} & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/\Gamma \\ \downarrow \pi & & & \nearrow \theta_g & \\ G/\Gamma & & & & \end{array}$$

(b) A corollary of (a). □

Problem 22

(a) Assume the quotient map be π . If U is open in X , then gU is open in X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} GU = \bigcup_{g \in G} gU \text{ is open in } X. &\implies \pi(GU) \text{ is open in } X/G. \quad (\pi^{-1}(\pi(GU)) = GU) \\ &\implies \pi(GU) = \pi(U) \text{ is open in } X/G. \end{aligned}$$

(b) COrollary 3.58.

Problem 23

Proof. we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\cdot_G} & G \\ \downarrow \pi_{G \times G} & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ (G \times G)/(H \times H) & & G/H \\ \downarrow f & & \\ G/H \times G/H & \xrightarrow{\cdot_{G/H}} & G/H \end{array}$$

The map $\cdot_{G/H}$ is continuous if and only if $\cdot_{G/H} \circ f$ is continuous because the function f that maps every $(g_1, g_2)H \times H$ to (g_1H, g_2H) is a homeomorphism (obviously could not be an homeomorphism between topological groups because you don't know if they are topological groups).

By property of quotient, $\cdot_{G/H} \circ f$ is continuous if and only if $\cdot_{G/H} \circ f \circ \pi_{G \times G}$ is continuous and you can observe that this map is $\pi_G \circ \cdot_G$ that it is continuous because \cdot_G and π_G are continuous.

So $\cdot_{G/H}$ is a continuous map. We can use a similar way to prove that $\cdot_{G/H}^{-1}$ is a continuous map and so G/H is a topological group. \square

Problem 24

Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1)$ given by $f(x) = |x|$.