Real Analysis: Chapter 2

Due on May, 2021

 $Professor\ Lilu\ Zhao$

Yuchen Ge

Some Words

I finished part of problems of chapter 1 and all exercises of chapter 2. Here follows them.

Problems of Chapter 1

Problem 1

Proof. Consider Borel-Cantelli lemma: Since $\{(m,n): m,n\in\mathbb{Z},\ n\neq 0 \text{ and m,n are relatively prime}\}$ is countable, we enumerate it with $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then we consider the events:

$$E_{r_k} = \{x : r_k = (m, n) \text{ and } |x - \frac{m}{n}| \le \frac{1}{n^3}\}.$$

Then It's clear that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m \bigg(E_{r_k} \bigcap [n,n+1) \bigg) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^3} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{h^2} < \infty \\ &\Longrightarrow m \bigg(\limsup_{k \to \infty} \bigg(E_{r_k} \bigcap [n,n+1) \bigg) \bigg) = 0 \\ &\Longrightarrow m \bigg(\limsup_{k \to \infty} E_{r_k} \bigg) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m \bigg(\limsup_{k \to \infty} \bigg(E_{r_k} \bigcap [n,n+1) \bigg) = 0. \end{split}$$

Problem 5

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily given and U_i , i = 1, 2 be open sets such that $E_i \subset U_i$ and

$$m_*(E_i) \le m(U_i) < m_*(E_i) + \epsilon$$
. for $i = 1, 2$

Since $E \subset U_1 \cup U_2$, we have

$$mE \le m(U_1 \cup U_2) = m(U_1) + m(U_2) - m(U_1 \cap U_2).$$

This gives $m(U_1 \cap U_2) \le m(U_1) + m(U_2) - m(E) < 2\epsilon$ by the assumption that $mE = m_*(E_1) + m_*(E_2)$. Now, observe that

$$U_i \setminus E_i \subset \left(U_1 \cap U_2\right) \bigcup \left(\left(U_1 \bigcup U_2\right) \setminus E\right)$$
. for $i = 1, 2$.

This implies for i=1,2:

$$m_*(U_i \setminus E_i) \le m_* \left((U_1 \cap U_2) \cup \left((U_1 \cup U_2) \setminus E \right) \right)$$

$$\le m \left(U_1 \cap U_2 \right) + m \left((U_1 \cup U_2) \setminus E \right)$$

$$\le 2\epsilon + m(U_1 \cup U_2) - m(E)$$

$$\le 2\epsilon + m(U_1) + m(U_2) - m(E) < 4\epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, it says that E_i are measurable for i = 1, 2.

Problem 7

Proof. Problem: Show that if f(x) is linear, then $m(\Gamma + \Gamma) = 0$, and that if f(x) is not linear, then $\Gamma + \Gamma$ contains an open set.

The set $\Gamma + \Gamma$ is $\{(x+z, f(x) + f(z) : 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1\}$. By just the form y = mx + b, it's easy to show that if f(x) is linear then C + C is on a line.

To prove the second part, consider the Jacobian determinant of

$$(x,z) \rightarrow (x+z, f(x) + f(z))$$

is f'(z) - f'(x). This is going to be nonzero if $x \neq z$ are both near a point y where $f''(y) \neq 0$. So **the inverse function theorem** implies that the image of this map contains an open disc centered at the image of some such (x, z).

Exercises of Chapter 2

exercise 1

Proof. Consider the collection:

$$\mathbb{F} = \left\{ \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{F_k} : \widetilde{F_k} \text{ denotes } F_k \text{ or } (F_k)^c. \right\} - \left\{ \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} (F_k)^c \right\},$$

which clearly holds the conditions in the problem.

exercise 2

Suppose $h_{\delta}(x) := h(\delta x)$.

Proof. The proof is a simple consequence of the approximation of integrable functions by continuous functions of compact support as given in theorem 2.4.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, \exists continuous function g with compact support such that $||f - g|| < \epsilon$.

Since g is continuous and has compact support we have that clearly:

$$||g_{\delta} - g|| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(\delta x) - g(x)| dx \to 0 \text{ as } \delta \to 1.$$

Finally we have:

$$||f_{\delta} - f|| \le ||g_{\delta} - g|| + ||f_{\delta} - g_{\delta}|| + ||f - g||$$

$$\le ||g_{\delta} - g|| + \frac{||f - g||}{\delta^{d}} + ||f - g|| \to 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \to 1.$$

So if $|\delta| < \Delta$, where Δ is a potive number sufficiently small, then

$$||g_{\delta} - g|| < \epsilon \quad and \quad \frac{||f - g||}{\delta^d} < \epsilon,$$

which follows that $||f_{\delta} - f|| < 3\epsilon$ whenever $|\delta| < \Delta$.

Proof. First we point out an equation: for any interval $E \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{E+2\pi} f(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E+2\pi}(x)f(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E}(x-2\pi)f(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{-E}(2\pi-x)f(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{-E}(x)f(2\pi-x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E}(-x)f(-x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E}(x)f(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{E} f(x)dx.$$

WLOG, we suppose I := (a, b). (Containing endpoints or not doesn't matter.) Also it's clear that I is contained in two consective intervals of the form $(k\pi, k\pi + 2\pi)$ and $(k\pi + 2\pi, k\pi + 4\pi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{I} f(x)dx &= (\int_{(a,k\pi+2\pi)} + \int_{(k\pi+2\pi,b)}) f(x)dx \\ &= \int_{(k\pi,k\pi+2\pi)} f(x)dx = \int_{(0,2\pi)} f(x)dx \\ &= (\int_{(0,\pi)} + \int_{(\pi,2\pi)}) f(x)dx = (\int_{(0,\pi)} + \int_{(-\pi,\pi)}) f(x)dx \\ &= \int_{(-\pi,\pi)} f(x)dx. \end{split}$$

exercise 4

Proof. WLOG we assume that $f(t) \geq 0$. Now suppose

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } 0 < t \le b \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases} \quad and \quad \widetilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}. \tag{1}$$

It's clear that $\widetilde{f}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t)$ are measurable functions on \mathbb{R} . Then we let

$$h(x,t) = \widetilde{f}(t)\widetilde{g}(t)\chi_{\{0 < x \le t \le b\}}$$
(2)

Then $h \geq 0$ and h is clearly measurable since they are multiples of three measurable functions. By Fubini's theorem, the function $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x,t)dt$ is measurable on \mathbb{R} . Moreover we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x,t)dt = \int_{x}^{b} h(x,t)dt = \int_{x}^{b} \frac{f(t)}{t}dt = g(x), \text{ where } 0 < x \le b.$$

Therefore we have:

$$\int_0^b g(x)dx = \int_0^b \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty h(x,t)dt \right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty h(x,t)dt \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(x,t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty h(x,t)dx \right) dt = \int_0^b \left(\int_0^t h(x,t)dx \right) dt = \int_0^b f(t)dt,$$

It follows that g(x) is measurable on [0, b].

We shall omit it since it's already taught as an example in the class.

exercise 6

(a) **Solution**: Suppose a function:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } t \in [n, n + \frac{1}{n^3}), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

It's clear that f(t) is measurable but $\limsup_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$.

(b) **Solution**: We prove by contradiction. If $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} f(x) \neq 0$, then by definition $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\exists \{x_n\} \text{ s.t. } |x_n| \to \infty \text{ and } |f(x_n)| > \epsilon.$$

WLOG, we suppose $|x_{n+1}| > |x_n| + 1$ for every n in the sequence. Since f is uniformly continuous, for such ϵ ,

$$\exists 0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}, \forall |x-y|<\delta \ \bigg(|f(x)-f(y)|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}\bigg).$$

Then it's clear that

$$(x_n - \frac{1}{2}, x_n + \frac{1}{2}) \bigcap \{x_m - \frac{1}{2}, x_m + \frac{1}{2}\} = \emptyset \text{ for every } m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(x_n - \frac{1}{2}, x_n + \frac{1}{2}) \subset \{x : f(x) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore by Tchebychev inequality:

$$\int |f| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \times m\left(\left\{x: f(x) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\}\right) = \infty$$

A contradiction from the integrability of the function.

exercise 7

Proof. We let F(x,y) = y - f(x) which is a measurable function by corollary 3.7. Then $\{F = 0\} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : y = f(x)\} = \gamma$ is measurable, which proves the first assertion. Suppose $g := \chi_{\Gamma}$ on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . By Tonelli's theorem, we have

$$m(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \chi_{\Gamma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_x dy \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 0 dx = 0$$

exercise 8

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the absolute continuity of the integral, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$m(E) < \delta \implies \int_{E} |f| < \epsilon.$$

Then for such $\epsilon > 0$, we clearly have

$$\forall |y - \tilde{y}| < \delta \left(|F(\tilde{y}) - F(y)| = |\int_{\tilde{y}}^{y} f dx| < \epsilon \right),$$

since $m([y, \tilde{y}]) < \delta$. (WLOG, we suppose $y \leq \tilde{y}$)

exercise 9

Proof. Since $\alpha \chi_{E_{\alpha}} \leq f$, we have

$$\int \alpha \chi_{E_{\alpha}} \leq \int f \implies m(E_{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int f.$$

exercise 10

Proof. suppose

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{F_k}$$
 and $h(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{2^k}}$.

Then it's clear that $g \leq f \leq h$, which follows that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k m(F_k) = \int g \le \int f \le \int h = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k m(E_{2^k}). \tag{4}$$

Also we have the following:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k m(F_k) < \infty \implies \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{k} 2^h m(F_k) < \infty \implies \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=h}^{\infty} 2^h m(F_k) < \infty$$

$$\implies \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^h m(E_{2^h}) < \infty.$$

From (4) and the induction above:

$$\text{f is integrable iff } \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k m(F_k) < \infty \text{ iff } \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^h m(E_{2^h}) < \infty.$$

Then we apply the proposition we proved. First for f(x):

$$E_{2^k} = O\left(0, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{a}}}\right) \quad and \quad F_k = O\left(0, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{a}}}\right) - O\left(0, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k+1}{a}}}\right)$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k m(E_{2^k}) = C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k (\frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{a}}})^d < \infty \Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{d}{a} < 0 \Leftrightarrow a < d.$$

Similarly we can prove the case in g(x).

exercise 11

Proof. We prove by contradiction. If the statement ($f(x) \ge 0$ a.e. x.) doesn't hold, then We have the contradiction:

$$\begin{split} m(\{f < 0\}) > 0 &\implies \lim_{n \to \infty} m(\{f < -\frac{1}{n}\}) = m(\{f < 0\}) > 0 \\ &\implies \exists N \text{ s.t. } m(\{f < -\frac{1}{N}\}) > 0. \\ &\implies \int_{\{f < -\frac{1}{N}\}} f dx \le -\frac{m(\{f < -\frac{1}{N}\})}{N} < 0. \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\int_E f dx = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x} \implies f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x} \quad and \quad f(x) \leq 0 \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x}.$$

$$\implies f(x) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x}.$$

exercise 12

From exercise 14 of chapter 2 in \mathbb{R}^d we know that $m(B(0,r)) = Cr^d$ where C is a constant dependent on the dimension of \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. We shall construct a sequence $\{I_n\}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there are infittely many I_n containing x. Observe that $\exists \{N_k : k = 1, 2, ...\}$ such that:

$$N_1 = 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1} \frac{1}{k} > i$.

Suppose $T_j = \sum_{i=1}^j N_i$. Then we let

$$I_{n} = \begin{cases} B\left(0, \left(\frac{1}{N_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\right) & \text{if } n = T_{j} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots) \\ B\left(0, \left(\sum_{k=N_{j}}^{N_{j} + (n-T_{j})} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\right) - B\left(0, \left(\sum_{k=N_{j}}^{N_{j} + (n-T_{j}-1)} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\right) & \text{if } T_{j} < n < T_{j+1} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Then it's clear that for I_n : for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there are infinitely many I_n containing \mathbf{x} . Then we let f = 0 and $f_n = \chi_{I_n}$. Since for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there are infinitely many I_n containing \mathbf{x} ,

$$f_n(x) \to f(x)$$
 for no x.

Moreover since $|I_n| \to 0$,

$$||f - f_n||_{L^1} \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $A = \{0\} \times [0,1]$ and $B = \mathcal{N} \times \{0\}$. It's clear that

$$m^*(A) = m^*(B) = 0 \implies A, B$$
 measurable.

While $A + B = \mathcal{N} \times [0, 1]$ is not measurable, since otherwise

 $\mathcal{N} \times [0,1]$ measurable and $m^*([0,1]) > 0 \implies \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$ measurable.

A contradiction! \Box

exercise 14

Proof. (a) Define a measurable function (its measurable is from its continuity.)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } x \in [-1, 1].\\ 0 & \text{if else.} \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Let $A = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \le y \le f(x)\}$. Since f is measurable on \mathbb{R} , A is measurable by corollary 3.8. Moreover,

$$v_2 = m\left(A \bigcup B\right) = m(A) + m(-A) = 2m(A) = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_A$$
$$= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_A dy\right) dx = 2\int_{-1}^1 (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

(b) Similarly, Let $A = \{(x,y) : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \le y \le f(x)\}$, with

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } |x| \in [0, 1].\\ 0 & \text{if else.} \end{cases}$$
 (7)

Similarly we have the following:

$$\begin{split} v_d &= m\bigg(A\bigcup B\bigg) = m(A) + m(-A) = 2m(A) = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_A = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \chi_A(x,y) dx\bigg) dy \\ &= 2\int_{[0,1]} (1-y^2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \chi_A((1-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}x,y) dx\bigg) dy \\ &= 2\int_{[0,1]} (1-y^2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} m\bigg(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : f((1-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}x) \ge y\}\bigg) dy \\ &= 2\int_{[0,1]} (1-y^2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} m\bigg(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : |x| \le 1\}\bigg) dy \\ &= 2v_{d-1} \int_0^1 (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dx. \end{split}$$

(c) We shall omit it since it's usual procedure of fundamental analysis.

Proof. Since f(x) is riemann integrable on [0,1], f is lebsgue-integrable on [0,1] by theorem 1.5. It follows that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{L} f < \infty \implies f \text{ is integrable on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $f(x - r_n)$ is integrable. And so is $\frac{f(x - r_n)}{2^n}$.

$$(1): \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{-n} f(x - r_n) \to F(x) \text{ a.e. } x \text{ and } (2): F_N(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{-n} f(x - r_n) \text{ s.t. } 0 \le F_N \le F,$$

by Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_N = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{-n} f(x - r_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \infty \implies F \text{ is measurable.}$$

This implies that F is finite-valued for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now let \widetilde{F} be any function that agrees with F almost everywhere, and I be any interval on the real line. Let r_N be some rational number contained in I. Then for any M > 0,

$$f(x-r_N) > M, \ x \in (r_N - \frac{1}{2^N M^2}, r_N + \frac{1}{2^N M^2})$$

which intersects I in an interval I_M of positive measure. Since \widetilde{F} agrees with F a.e., it must also be greater than M a.e. in this interval $I_M \subset I$. Hence \widetilde{F} exceeds any finite value M on I.

exercise 16

Proof. WLOG, suppose $f \ge 0$. We only prove the case when d = 2, then it's an easy consequence of induction.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^{\delta} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\delta_1 x_1, \delta_2 x_2) dx_1 \bigg) dx_2 = \frac{1}{|\delta_1|} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \delta_2 x_2) dx_1 \bigg) dx_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|\delta_1 \delta_2|} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \bigg) dx_2 = \frac{1}{|\delta_1 \delta_2|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) < \infty. \end{split}$$

exercise 17

Proof. (a) Since each slice f^y is a simple function, f^y is integrable. And so is f_x . For fixed x, we let $x \in [n, n+1)$ without loss of generality. Then

$$\int f_x(y)dy = \left(\int_{[n,n+1)} + \int_{[n+1,n+2)} f_x(y)dy = a_n - a_n = 0 \implies \int \left(\int f(x,y)dy\right)dx = 0.$$

(b) First if $0 \le y < 1$, we have

$$\int f^{y}(x)dx = \int_{[0,1)} f^{y}(x)dx = a_0 \ge 0.$$

Second if $n \le y < n+1$, we have

$$\int f^{y}(x)dx = \left(\int_{[n,n+1)} + \int_{[n-1,n)} f^{y}(x)dx = a_{n} - a_{n-1} \ge 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int f^{y}(x)dx \ge 0$$
 and $\int \left(\int f^{y}(x)dx\right)dy = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} = s < \infty$

(c) We have the following equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| dx \bigg) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dx \bigg) dy = s.$$

exercise 18

Proof. let

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$
 (8)

Then let $g(x,y) := |\widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{f}(y)|$ which is measurable on \mathbb{R}^2 . Since |f(x) - f(y)| is integrable on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x,y) = \int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} |f(x) - f(y)| < \infty$$

which means that g(x,y) is integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 . By Fubini's lemma,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x,y) dx \right) dy = \int_{[0,1]} \left(\int_{[0,1]} |f(x) - f(y)| dx \right) dy < \infty$$

$$\implies \exists y_{0} \in [0,1] : \int_{[0,1]} |f(x) - f(y_{0})| dx < \infty \quad and \quad |f(y_{0})| < \infty.$$

$$\implies \int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| dx \le \int_{[0,1]} |f(x) - f(y_{0})| dx + |f(y_{0})| < \infty.$$

Therefore f is integrable on [0,1].

exercise 19

Proof. Since $\chi_{E_{\alpha}}(x,\alpha) \geq 0$ is measurable on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty m(E_\alpha) d\alpha = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{E_\alpha} dx \right) d\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^\infty \chi_{E_\alpha} d\alpha \right) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx.$$

Proof. To prove E^y is borel, consider a function f(x) = (x, y). Since $E^y = f^{-1}(E \cap \{y\})$ and it's clear that f is a **borel function**, E^y is borel.

exercise 21

Proof. (a) Since f(x-y) is measurable on \mathbb{R}^{2d} by proposition 3.9 and g(y) is measurable on \mathbb{R}^{2d} by corollary 3.7, f(x-y)g(y) is measurable on \mathbb{R}^{2d} .

(b) The integrability of f(x-y)g(y) is direct from below:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(x-y)g(y)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(y)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x-y)| dx \right) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(y)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx \right) dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(y)| dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx < \infty$$

(c) We only need to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x-y)g(y)| dy < \infty \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x},$$

which is clear by Fubini's lemma from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(x-y)g(y)| < \infty.$$

(d) Since f and g are integrable, then f * g is well-defined for a.e. x. And we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g| < \infty \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(x - y)g(y)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - y)g(y)| dy \right) dx < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y) dy \right| dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - y)g(y)| dy \right) dx < \infty$$

therefore f * g is integrable.

And we have

$$||(f * g)||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |(f * g)(x)| dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(x - y)g(y)|$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |g(y)| dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |f(x)| dx = ||(f)||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} ||(g)||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}.$$

(e) First it's **bounded**, since

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| = ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty.$$

Second we prove it's **continuous**. Assume $\epsilon > 0$. Observaing:

$$|\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\mu)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i x(\xi - \mu)} - 1|$$
 (9)

We have two following facts

for
$$\epsilon > 0, \exists R > 0 \left(\int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^c} |f| < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right)$$
. and $|e^{i\theta} - 1| \le |\cos\theta| + |\sin\theta|$. $(\theta \in \mathbb{R})$

Then let $\|\xi - \mu\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8\pi R \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}$. By applying the facts we observe:

$$\begin{split} |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}(\mu)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i x(\xi - \mu)} - 1| = \bigg(\int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} + \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^c} \bigg) |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i x(\xi - \mu)} - 1| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} |f(x)| \bigg(|\cos(2\pi x(\xi - \mu)| + |\sin(2\pi i x(\xi - \mu)| \bigg) + 2 \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}^c} |f(x)| < C(\epsilon). \end{split}$$

Finally, the last equation follows from

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y) g(y) dy \right) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y) e^{-2\pi i \xi(x - y)} g(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} dy \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y) e^{-2\pi i \xi(x - y)} dx \right) g(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx \right) g(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} dy$$

$$= \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

exercise 22

Proof. Let $\xi' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2}$ and we have:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \Bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - \xi') e^{-2\pi i (x - \xi') \xi} dx \Bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - \xi') e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \Bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Bigg(f(x) - f(x - \xi') \Bigg) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } |\xi'| \to \infty. \end{split}$$

exercise 23

Proof. We will prove it by contradiction let $f = e^{-x^2}$, we have:

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\xi^2} e^{-i\lambda\xi} = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t^2} dt = C(d) e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}},$$

with C(d) being a constant related to d.

Moreover

$$f*I=f \implies \widehat{f}\circ \widehat{I}=\widehat{f} \implies \widehat{I}=1 \text{ for a.e. x},$$

a contradiction to exercise 22 since $\widehat{I} \to 0$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$.

Proof. (a) Assume f is integrable and $\exists M \geq 0$ such that $|g| \leq M$. Then we have:

$$|(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(z)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(x - y) - f(z - y) \right) g(y) dy \right| \le M \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| f(x - y) - f(z - y) \right| dy$$

$$= M \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| f(-y) - f(z - x - y) \right| dy = M \| (f(y) - f(y - z + x)) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Then by proposition 2.5:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \left(\|z - x\| < \delta \implies \|f(y) - f(y - z + x)\| < \epsilon \right).$$

It immediately follows that f * g is uniformly continuous.

(b) Since f and g are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, f * g is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by exercise 21. Since f * g is uniformly continuous and integrable and exercise 6(b), we have

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} (f * g)(x) = 0.$$

exercise 25

We shall omit it since hint tells everything.