Transformers

Machine Learning Course - CS-433 Nov 12, 2024 Nicolas Flammarion




Sequence-to-Sequence Transformations


Sequence-to-Sequence Transformations

 Many interesting problems in ML can be expressed as mapping one sequence to another


- Example: chatbots like ChatGPT
 - Input: question (word sequence)
 - Output: answer (word sequence)
- Input and output sequences can represent various types of data: words, images, speech, proteins, time series, etc.




The sequence-to-sequence framework is very general



Images can also be represented as sequences



Sequences can be multimodal (image + text)



Transformers

What is a Transformer?


$$f: sequence \rightarrow sequence$$
(using self-attention)

Transformer is a neural network f that iteratively transforms a sequence to another sequence and mixes the information between the sequence elements via **self-attention**

Overview of Transformer Architecture

Input transformation: converts the input sequence elements into real-valued vector representations (aka **tokens**):

- maps a one-hot word vector to a real-valued vector
- extracts an image patch and flattens it into a vector




Overview of Transformer Architecture

Input transformation: converts the input sequence elements into real-valued vector representations (aka **tokens**):

- maps a one-hot word vector to a real-valued vector
- extracts an image patch and flattens it into a vector

Transformer block: transforms a sequence of T vectors of dimension D into a new sequence of T vectors of dimension D using **self-attention** and **MLP sub-blocks**




Overview of Transformer Architecture

Input transformation: converts the input sequence elements into real-valued vector representations (aka **tokens**):

- maps a one-hot word vector to a real-valued vector
- extracts an image patch and flattens it into a vector


Transformer block: transforms a sequence of T vectors of dimension D into a new sequence of T vectors of dimension D using **self-attention** and **MLP sub-blocks**

Output transformation: converts the vectors to the desired output format (e.g., single-element sequence for classification, multiple-element sequence of words)



Transformer Block

- Self-Attention (SA): mixes information between tokens
- Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP): mixes information within each token
- Other standard components:
 - Skip connections are widely used
 - Layer normalization (LN) is usually placed at the start of a residual branch



Input Transformations

Text Token Embeddings

Tokenization: split the input text into a sequence of *input tokens* (typically word fragments + some special symbols) according to some predefined tokenizer procedure:

- Text: "<User:>Transformers are awesome!"
- Tokens: [<User token>, "Trans", "form", "ers_", "are_", "awe", "some", "!"]
- Token IDs: [0, 5124, 1029, 645, 3001, 6931, 7330, 10] (each token corresponds to some number $i \in \{1, ..., N_{vocab}\}$)

Token embedding: maps each token ID $i \in \{1,...,N_{vocab}\}$ into a real-valued vector $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$:

- Token embeddings: $[\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_{5124}, \mathbf{w}_{1029}, \mathbf{w}_{645}, \mathbf{w}_{3001}, \mathbf{w}_{6931}, \mathbf{w}_{7330}, \mathbf{w}_{10}]$
- ⇒The whole input sequence of T tokens leads to an input matrix $X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_0 \\ \mathbf{w}_{5124} \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{w}_0 \\ \mathbf{w}_{5124} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{10} \end{array} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$$

Notation: Throughout this lecture, all vectors will be treated as row vectors.

Text Token Embeddings - Learning

• The matrix
$$W_{\text{emb}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N_{vocab}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{vocab} \times D}$$
 is learned via backpropagation, along

with all other transformer parameters


• This can be seen as a matrix multiplication:


$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{i_T} \end{bmatrix} W_{\text{emb}} \quad \text{(since } \mathbf{e}_i W_{\text{emb}} = (W_{\text{emb}})_{i,:} = \mathbf{w}_i \text{)}$$

• The tokenizer procedure is typically fixed in advance and not learned

Image Patch Embeddings

- Divide image into patches of a given size (typical choice: 16 × 16 pixels each)
- Flatten each patch into a vector of size $16 \cdot 16 \cdot 3 = 768$ (height*width*color channels)
- Multiply each resulting vector by an embedding matrix $W_{\rm emb} \in \mathbb{R}^{769 \times D}$ which is shared for all inputs
- Learn $W_{\rm emb}$ through backpropagation, along with all other transformer parameters
- The whole input sequence of T embedded patches leads to an input matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$





Self-attention

What is Self-attention?

 $A: tokens \rightarrow tokens$

(using a weighted average)

Reminder: a token is simply a real-valued vector

Self-attention is a function that transforms a sequence of tokens to a new sequence of tokens using a learned input-dependent weighted average

Self-Attention


Define K,Q,V from the **same** input sequence $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$

- Keys: $K = XW_K \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$
- Queries: $Q = XW_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$
- Values: $V = XW_V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_V}$
- $\Rightarrow W_K, W_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}, W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_V}$ are parameters

The output of self-attention is then given by:

$$Z = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right) V$$

- \rightarrow softmax(\cdot) is applied row-wise
- ightharpoonup Quadratic computational complexity $O(T^2)$




Attention as a Weighted Average

- T input and output tokens: $V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_V}, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$
- Outputs are a weighted average of the inputs:

$$\mathbf{z_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{T} p_{i,j} \mathbf{v_j}$$
 or in matrix form $Z = PV$

- Weighting coefficients $P \in [0,1]^{T \times T}$ form valid probability distributions over the input tokens:
 - $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{T} p_{i,j} = 1 \text{ (i.e., each row sums to one)}$

Notation: throughout this lecture, the j-th rows of V and Z are denoted by \mathbf{v}_i and \mathbf{z}_i




The Weighting Coefficients P

- Query tokens $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$ (one query per output token)
- **Key tokens** $K \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$ (one key per input token)
- Determine weight $p_{i,j}$ based on how similar \mathbf{q}_i and \mathbf{k}_j are
 - Use inner product to obtain raw similarity scores
 - Normalize with softmax (scaled the temperature by $\sqrt{D_{\it K}}$) to obtain a probability distribution
- This can be expressed as:

Element-wise:
$$p_{i,j} = \frac{\exp\left(\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{k}_j^{\top} / \sqrt{D_K}\right)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \exp\left(\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{k}_t^{\top} / \sqrt{D_K}\right)}$$

Matrix form:
$$P = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right)$$
 The softmax is applied on each row *independently*



Computation complexity:

$$O(T \times T)$$

The Weighting Coefficients P


- Query tokens $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$ (one query per output token)
- **Key tokens** $K \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D_K}$ (one key per input token)
- Determine weight $p_{i,j}$ based on how similar \mathbf{q}_i and \mathbf{k}_j are
 - Use inner product to obtain raw similarity scores
 - Normalize with softmax (scaled the temperature by $\sqrt{D_{\it K}}$) to obtain a probability distribution

In some applications, causal masking is used:

Sum until position
$$i$$
: $p_{i,j} = \frac{\exp\left(\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{k}_j^{\top} / \sqrt{D_K}\right)}{\sum_{t=1}^{i} \exp\left(\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{k}_t^{\top} / \sqrt{D_K}\right)}$ for $j \leq i$ and $p_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise

Mask before softmax:
$$P = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right)$$

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times T}$ is the matrix $M_{ij} = -\infty$ for j > i and $M_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise




Computation complexity:


$$O(T \times T)$$


Why Use the $1/\sqrt{D_K}$ Scaling?

$$P = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^{\top}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right)$$

- Without scaling: sharp distribution of the attention weights $p_{i,j}$ at random initialization
- The model takes much more time to adjust from the initial peak due to vanishing gradients
- The $1/\sqrt{D_K}$ scaling ensures uniformity at initialization and faster convergence







Multiplying the input by the Q/K/V weight matrices, we create a query, a key and a value projection of each input of the input sequence

Input

Queries

Keys

Values

 \mathbf{x}_1

 \mathbf{q}_1

 \mathbf{k}_1

 \mathbf{v}_1

 \mathbf{x}_2

 \mathbf{q}_2

 \mathbf{k}_2

 \mathbf{v}_2

Step 1: create query, key and value vectors for each input token

$$Q = XW_Q$$

$$K = XW_K$$

$$V = XW_V$$

Input

Queries

Keys

Values

Score



 \mathbf{q}_1

 \mathbf{k}_1

 \mathbf{v}_1

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}} = 102$$



 \mathbf{q}_2

 \mathbf{k}_2

 \mathbf{v}_2

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}} = 99$$

Step 2: calculate the scores by taking scalar product of the query and key vectors

$$QK^{\mathsf{T}} = XW_{Q}W_{K}^{\mathsf{T}}X^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Input

Queries

Keys


Values

Score

Divide by $\sqrt{D_K}$

Softmax

$$\mathbf{x}_1$$



$$\mathbf{k}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}} = 102$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 58.9$$

$$p_{1,1} = 0.85$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2$$

$$\mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2$$

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}} = 99$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 57.2$$

$$p_{1,2} = 0.15$$

Step 3: divide the scores by
$$\sqrt{D_K}$$

Step 4: Compute the softmax of these values

$$P = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right)$$

Input

Queries

Keys

Values

Score


Divide by $\sqrt{D_K}$

Softmax

Softmax*Value

Sum





$$\mathbf{k}_1$$




$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}} = 102$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 58.9$$


$$p_{1,1} = 0.85$$

$$p_{1,1}\mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2$$







$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}} = 99$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 57.2$$

$$p_{1,2} = 0.15$$

$$p_{1,2}\mathbf{v}_2$$

Step 5: Multiply each value vector

by the softmax score

Step 6: Sum up the weighted value vectors

Input

Queries

Keys

Values

Score

Divide by $\sqrt{D_K}$

Softmax

Softmax*Value

Sum

$$\mathbf{x}_1$$



$$\mathbf{k}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}} = 102$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_1^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 58.9$$

$$p_{1,1} = 0.85$$

$$p_{1,1}\mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2$$

$$\mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\mathbf{k}_2$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2$$


$$\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}} = 99$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 57.2$$

$$p_{1,2} = 0.15$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{k}_2^\mathsf{T}}{\sqrt{D_K}} = 57.2 \\ p_{1,2} = 0.15 \end{vmatrix} Z = \mathsf{softmax} \left(\frac{XW_Q W_K^\mathsf{T} X^\mathsf{T}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right) XW_V$$

$$p_{1,2}\mathbf{v}_2$$



Multi-Head Self-Attention

- It is desirable to have multiple attention patterns per layer, similar to having multiple convolutions in a convolutional layer
 - \rightarrow Run H Self-Attention "heads" in parallel
- The output of head *h* is given by:


$$Z_h = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{XW_{Q,h}W_{K,h}^{\top}X^{\top}}{\sqrt{D_K}} \right) XW_{V,h}$$

$$W_{V,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D_V}, W_{K,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D_K}, W_{O,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D_K}$$

 The final output is obtained by concatenating head-outputs and applying a linear transformation


$$Z = [Z_1, \dots, Z_H]W_O$$


where $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{HD_V \times D}$ is learned via backpropagation





Multi-Head Self-Attention: recap


- 1) Input
- 2) Split into H heads We multiply X by weight matrices
- 3) Calculate attention using the resulting Q_h, K_h, V_h matrices
- 4) Concatenate the resulting matrices Z_h and multiply by W_0 to obtain the final output Z of the self-attention layer























Positional information

Attention does not account for the order of input

For a permutation matrix $R \in \{0,1\}^{T \times T}$ we have:

$$Z_R = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{RXW_QW_K^\intercal X^\intercal R^\intercal}{\sqrt{D_K}}\right)RXW_V \qquad \text{Permute every X in original formula}$$

$$= R\operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{XW_QW_K^\intercal X^\intercal R^\intercal}{\sqrt{D_K}}\right)RXW_V \qquad \text{Since softmax is computed row-wise}$$

$$= R\operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{XW_QW_K^\intercal X^\intercal}{\sqrt{D_K}}\right)R^\intercal RXW_V \qquad \text{Reordering the terms in the softmax sum does not affect the output}$$

$$= RPR^{-1}RXW_V \qquad \qquad \text{For a permutation matrix: transpose=inverse}$$

Which is equivalent to a permutation of the original output Z = PV

 $= RPXW_V$

Positional Information in Transformers

- In practice, the input order matters:
 "She prefers cats to dogs" ≠ "She prefers dogs to cats"
- **Solution**: incorporate a positional encoding in the network which is a function from the position to a feature vector pos : $\{1,...,T\} \to \mathbb{R}^D$
- The most basic choice is to add a positional embedding W_{pos} corresponding to each token's position t to the input embedding. $W_{pos} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$ is learned via backpropagation along with the other parameters:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{i_T} \end{bmatrix} W_{\text{emb}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_T \end{bmatrix} W_{\text{pos}}$$

Numerous hand-crafted positional encodings exist (active area of research!)


MLP

Mixing Information within Tokens

- MLP mixes information within each token
- Apply the same transformation to each token independently:

$$MLP(X) = \varphi(XW_1)W_2$$

- Matrices $W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ learned via backprop
- Non-linearity ϕ in between (e.g., ReLU or GeLU)
- The model may also include learned bias terms



Mixing Information within Tokens


• MLP mixes information within each token

The same MLP is applied to each token:

$$MLP(X) = \varphi(XW_1)W_2$$
 \iff

$$MLP(\mathbf{x}_i) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i W_1) W_2$$
, for each token $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_T$


- Non-linearity φ in between (e.g., ReLU or GeLU)
- The model may also include learned bias terms




Output Transformations

Output Transformations

- We obtain the output from the final transformer block
- Output transformation is typically simple: linear transformation or a small MLP
- The specifics are highly dependent on the task:
 - Single output (e.g., sequence-level classification): apply an output transformation to a special task-specific input token or to the average of all tokens
 - Multiple outputs (e.g., per-token classification): apply an output transformation to each token independently







Putting the pieces together: Vision Transformers

Vision Transformer Architecture

- Simple architecture: number of features D is constant across all layers. There is no use of padding, pooling, or strides.
- Self-attention is more general than convolution and can express it
- The receptive field is the whole image after just one self-attention layer
- ViTs require more data than CNNs due to their reduced inductive bias in extracting local features
- However, ViTs become competitive with CNNs after large-scale pretraining





Transformer Encoder

MLP

Norm

$$\mathbf{z}_{0} = [\mathbf{x}_{\text{class}}; \ \mathbf{x}_{p}^{1}\mathbf{E}; \ \mathbf{x}_{p}^{2}\mathbf{E}; \cdots; \ \mathbf{x}_{p}^{N}\mathbf{E}] + \mathbf{E}_{pos}, \qquad \mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{(P^{2} \cdot C) \times D}, \ \mathbf{E}_{pos} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times D}$$


$$\mathbf{z}'_{\ell} = \text{MSA}(\text{LN}(\mathbf{z}_{\ell-1})) + \mathbf{z}_{\ell-1}, \qquad \qquad \ell = 1 \dots L$$

$$\mathbf{z}_{\ell} = \text{MLP}(\text{LN}(\mathbf{z}'_{\ell})) + \mathbf{z}'_{\ell}, \qquad \qquad \ell = 1 \dots L$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \text{LN}(\mathbf{z}_{L}^{0})$$

Source: An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale (ICLR 2020)

What do ViTs learn: embedding layer




The first 28 principal components of the embedding layer applied on patches **Source**: An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale (ICLR 2020)

• The embedding layer: edge/color detectors similar to first-layer convolutions

What do ViTs learn: attention

- The input-dependent attention weights can be visualized and manually inspected
- We show here one particular method known as <u>Attention Rollout</u>: where the attention weights are averaged across all heads and the resulting weight matrices of all layers are multiplied together
- This accounts for the mixing of attention across tokens through all layers
- In many cases, the model attends to image regions that are semantically relevant for classification














Source: An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale (ICLR 2020)

The Big Picture and Takeaways

The transformer architecture can be used in different ways

- Encoders (e.g., classification):
 - They produce a fixed output size and process all inputs simultaneously
- Decoders (e.g., ChatGPT):
 - Auto-regressively sample the next token as $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \sim softmax(f(\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_t))$ and use it as new input token
 - Capable of generating responses of arbitrary length
- Encoder-decoder (e.g., translation):
 - First encode the whole input (e.g., in one language) and then decode to token by token (e.g., in a different language)



Transformers: Big Picture

- Everything can be seen as a token, hence transformers are applicable across any modality
- CNNs can also be used for text processing, but transformers excel at capturing long-range dependencies (as an example, the latest GPT-4 model can process up to 128k input tokens, equivalent to ~300 pages of text).
- Self-attention scales quadratically with sequence length, making it computationally expensive for large volumes of text or numerous patches—active area of research
- However, self-attention is highly parallelizable, which is advantageous for multi-GPU or multi-node training setups
- Transformers are now the preferred method for both text and vision applications
- Emergent abilities at scale: few-shot learning (aka in-context learning from a few example) and zero-short learning (e.g., you can ask ChatGPT any question without prior training on the task)

Recap

- Transformers iteratively map sequences to sequences using the self-attention mechanism
- The whole architecture is remarkably simple:
 - Self-attention blocks mix the information between tokens
 - MLP blocks mix the information within each token
- Transformers excel at modeling long-range dependencies
- Different architectures are possible (e.g., ChatGPT is decoder-only, but neural translation typically employs an encoder-decoder)
- Transformers have become a universal architecture for almost any type of data modality; they perform exceptionally well when given enough pretraining data

Additional Resources

If you want to learn more about attention and transformers:

- The Illustrated Transformer: https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/ (a good step-by-step guide with detailed illustrations)
- The blog of Lilian Weng (OpenAI): https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2018-06-24-attention/ (from 2018 but covers well the history of the attention mechanism and its different versions)
- CS231n: Deep Learning for Computer Vision (Stanford): http://sca231n.stanford.edu/slides/2023/lecture-9.pdf (more on positional encodings, masked self-attention, general attention, discussion of recurrent neural networks)
- Minimal implementation of GPT-2: https://github.com/karpathy/nanoGPT/ (some things are just clearer in code)