

# Divided Differences

Teodora D. Zapryanova  
University of Economics - Varna, Bulgaria

May 5, 2018

For a function  $f$  defined on  $[a, b]$  and points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$  from  $[a, b]$  we define the *first divided difference of  $f$*  by

$$[x_i, x_{i+1}] f = \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \text{ if } x_{i+1} \neq x_i \text{ and } [x_i, x_i] f = f'(x_i),$$

if this derivative exists  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $x_0 \neq x_n$  we define the  *$n$ -th divided difference of  $f$*  by the recurrence relation

$$[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f = \frac{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] f - [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] f}{x_n - x_0} \quad (1)$$

and if the multiplicity of the point  $x_0$  is  $n + 1$

$$[x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0] f = f^{(n)}(x_0)/n!.$$

Recall that the *differences* of a function  $f$  with step  $h$  are defined by

$$\Delta_h^0 f(x) : = f(x),$$

$$\Delta_h f(x) : = f(x+h) - f(x),$$

$$\Delta_h^n f(x) : = \Delta_h \Delta_h^{n-1} f(x).$$

One proves by induction

$$\Delta_h^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n}{k} f(x+kh)$$

**Assertion.** If  $x_{i+1} - x_i = h$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , (the points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are equidistant ) then

$$[x, x + h, \dots, x + nh] f = \frac{\Delta_h^n f(x)}{h^n \cdot n!}.$$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} & [x, x + h, \dots, x + nh] f \\ = & \frac{[x + h, \dots, x + nh] f - [x, x + h, \dots, x + (n-1)h] f}{nh} \\ = & \frac{\frac{\Delta_h^{n-1} f(x+h)}{h^{n-1} \cdot (n-1)!} - \frac{\Delta_h^{n-1} f(x)}{h^{n-1} \cdot (n-1)!}}{nh} = \frac{\Delta_h^n f(x)}{h^n \cdot n!}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** If there exists the  $n$ -th derivative of  $f$  and  $f^{(n)}(x)$  is continuous, then for some  $\xi \in [x, x + nh]$  we have

$$\Delta_h^n f(x) = h^n f^{(n)}(\xi).$$

**Proof.** We know the useful integral representation of  $\Delta_h^n f(x)$  by means of derivative of  $f$ .

$$\Delta_h^n f(x) = \underbrace{\int_0^h \dots \int_0^h}_{n} f^{(n)}(x + t_1 + \dots + t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

Let

$$m = \min_{u \in [x, x+nh]} f^{(n)}(u), \quad M = \max_{u \in [x, x+nh]} f^{(n)}(u).$$

Then  $m \leq f^{(n)}(u) \leq M, \forall u \in [x, x + nh]$ ,

$$mh^n \leq \underbrace{\int_0^h \dots \int_0^h}_{n} f^{(n)}(x + t_1 + \dots + t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \leq Mh^n, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$m \leq \frac{1}{h^n} \underbrace{\int_0^h \dots \int_0^h}_{n} f^{(n)}(x + t_1 + \dots + t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \leq M.$$

Hence there exists  $\xi \in [x, x + nh]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h^n} \underbrace{\int_0^h \dots \int_0^h}_{n} f^{(n)}(x + t_1 + \dots + t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = f^{(n)}(\xi).$$

Therefore  $\frac{1}{h^n} \Delta_h^n f(x) = f^{(n)}(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \in [x, x + nh]$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.** If all  $x_i$  are different

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{(x_k - x_0) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_n)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_k)} \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{i,k}(x) = (x - x_i)(x - x_{i+1}) \dots (x - x_{i+k}).$$

**Proof.** Indeed, (2) can be proved by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  equality (2) is true:

$$[x_0, x_1] f = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} = \frac{f(x_0)}{x_0 - x_1} + \frac{f(x_1)}{x_1 - x_0}.$$

Assume that (2) is true for  $n$  and prove it for  $n + 1$ . By the recurrence relation we have

$$[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] f = \frac{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}] f - [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f}{x_{n+1} - x_0}.$$



But by inductive supposition

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}] f \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{f(x_k)}{(x_k - x_1) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_{n+1})} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_k)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{(x_k - x_0) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_n)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] f \\
 = & \frac{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}] f - [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \\
 = & \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_k)} - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_k)} \right) \\
 = & \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{\left( \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_k)} - \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_k)} \right)}_{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_k)} + \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_{n+1})} - \frac{f(x_0)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_0)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{f(x_k)(x_k - x_0) - f(x_k)(x_k - x_{n+1})}{(x_k - x_0) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_{n+1})} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left( + \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_{n+1})} - \frac{f(x_0)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_0)} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f(x_k)(x_{n+1} - x_0)}{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_k)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{x_{n+1} - x_0} \left( \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{\omega'_{1,n}(x_{n+1})} - \frac{f(x_0)}{\omega'_{0,n}(x_0)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_k)} + \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_{n+1})} + \frac{f(x_0)}{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{f(x_k)}{\omega'_{0,n+1}(x_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.**  $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f$  is symmetric in  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**Proof.** Follows from Lemma 2

$$[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(x_k)}{(x_k - x_0) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_n)}.$$

In *Hermite interpolation*, not only the value of the polynomial

$$P_n(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2/2! + \dots + a_nx^n/n!$$

but also the values of some of its successive derivatives are prescribed. Let

$$y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p$$

be distinct real *interpolation points*, which are equipped with multiplicities  $m_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , with  $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_p = n + 1$ . Let  $c_{j,l}$  be given constants. We look for a  $P_n$  which satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^{(l)}(y_j) &= c_{j,l} \\ l &= 0, \dots, m_j - 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In particular, for some function  $f$  we may take  $c_{j,l} = f^{(l)}(y_j)$ . Then the polynomial  $P_n = P_n(f, x)$  *interpolates the function  $f$* .

Sometimes a slightly different point of view is preferable. Let  $X : x_0, \dots, x_n$  be the interpolation points, with possible repetitions. For each  $j$ , the multiplicity  $m_j$  of  $x_j$  is the number of  $x_i = x_j$ , while  $l_j$  is the number of  $x_i = x_j$  with  $i \leq j$ . For example for points

$$x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3, x_3$$

$$m_0 = 1, l_0 = 1, \quad m_1 = m_2 = 2, l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2,$$

$$m_3 = 1, l_3 = 1, \quad m_4 = m_5 = m_6 = 3, l_4 = 1, l_5 = 2, l_6 = 3$$

Then equations (3) are replaced by

$$P_n^{(l_j-1)}(x_j) = c_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, n$$

with properly chosen  $c_j$ . In particular, for some function  $f$  we may take  $c_j = f^{(l_j-1)}(x_j)$ . The interpolation polynomial  $P_n(x) := P_n(f, X)(x) := P_n(f, X; x)$  depends on the  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $X : x_0, \dots, x_n$ . It can be obtained by means of Newton's method:

**Theorem 1.** There exist unique constants  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  for which the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}P_0(x) &= A_0 \\P_1(x) &= A_0 + A_1(x - x_0) \\&\dots \\P_n(x) &= A_0 + A_1(x - x_0) + \dots + A_n(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{n-1})\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

are the solutions of the Hermite interpolation problems for the sets of interpolation points  $X_0 := \{x_0\}, \dots, X_n := \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  and given data  $c_0, \dots, c_n$ .

**Proof:** We proceed by induction on  $k$ . Certainly  $P_0(x) := A_0$  is uniquely defined by the condition  $P_0(x_0) = c_0$ . Suppose that our assertion is true for  $P_0, \dots, P_{k-1}$  and  $X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}$ . The additional point  $x_k$  in  $X_k$  is associated with the condition  $P_k^{(l_k-1)}(x_k) = c_k$  for the polynomial

$$P_k(x) = P_{k-1}(x) + A_k(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}).$$

To define  $A_k$  uniquely from the condition

$$c_k = P_k^{(l_k-1)}(x_k) = P_{k-1}^{(l_k-1)}(x_k) + A_k((x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}))^{(l_k-1)} \Big|_{x=x_k}$$

we need that the  $(l_k - 1)$ -st derivative of  $(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1})$  is non-zero at  $x_k$ . This defines  $A_k$  uniquely.



Indeed, recall that  $l_k$  is the number of  $x_i = x_k$  with  $i \leq k$  and we have  $l_k - 1$  points before  $x_k$  equal to  $x_k$ . Then in the product

$$(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) = (x - x_0) \dots (x - x_s) (x - x_k)^{l_k - 1}, \quad s = k - l_k.$$

The points  $x_0, \dots, x_s$  are different from  $x_k$ . From Leibniz's formula it follows that the  $(l_k - 1)$  - st derivative of

$(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) = (x - x_0) \dots (x - x_s) (x - x_k)^{l_k - 1}$  is non-zero at  $x_k$ . This defines  $A_k$  uniquely. The other conditions  $P_k^{(l_i - 1)}(x_i) = c_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$  for  $P_k$  and  $i < k$  are satisfied because they are satisfied for  $P_{k-1}$  and because the  $(l_i - 1)$  - st derivative of  $(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1})$  is zero at  $x_i$ . Certainly for  $i < k$  in the product

$$(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) = (x - x_i)^{l_i} Q_1(x).$$

Then from Leibniz's formula it follows that the  $(l_i - 1)$  - st derivative of  $(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) = (x - x_i)^{l_i} Q_1(x)$  is equal to  $(x - x_i) Q_2(x)$  and is zero at  $x_i$ .

Extreme cases of Hermite interpolation are Lagrange interpolation, when all  $x_i$  are different and Taylor interpolation, when  $x_0 = x_1 = \dots = x_n$ . In the latter case, if  $c_k = f^{(k)}(x_0)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $P_n$  is the Taylor polynomial

$$P_n(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \dots + f^{(n)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^n/n!$$

When all  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  are different  $P_n(f, X; x)$  is called Lagrange interpolation polynomial  $L_n(f; x)$ .

For it all  $l_j = 1$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$  and  $L_n(f; x)$  satisfies the equations

$$L_n(f; x_k) = f(x_k), \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

The Lagrange interpolating polynomial is given by

$$L_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) \frac{(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1})(x - x_{k+1}) \dots (x - x_n)}{(x_k - x_0) \dots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \dots (x_k - x_n)}.$$

**Proposition.** For a function  $f$  and points  $X : x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  with possible repetition we have

$$[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f = A_n$$

where  $A_n$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the Hermite polynomial  $P_n(f, X; x)$  which interpolates  $f$  at  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ .  $A_n$  is given by

$$P_0(x) = A_0$$

$$P_1(x) = A_0 + A_1(x - x_0)$$

...

$$P_n(x) = A_0 + A_1(x - x_0) + \dots + A_n(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{n-1})$$

**Proof.** For the proof we proceed by induction on  $n$ . Certainly for

$$P_0(x) = A_0$$

$$P_1(x) = A_0 + A_1(x - x_0)$$

in case of different interpolation points  $x_0, x_1$  we have

$$A_0 = f(x_0),$$

$$f(x_1) = f(x_0) + A_1(x_1 - x_0)$$

hence  $A_1 = [x_0, x_1] f$  ; we have

$$A_0 = f(x_0),$$

$$f'(x_0) = P'_1(x_0) = A_1$$

in case  $x_0 = x_1$  i.e.  $A_1 = [x_0, x_0] f$  .

Suppose that our assertion is true for  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}$  and  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$ . Let  $S, T$  be polynomials of degree  $\leq n-1$ , which interpolate  $f$  at the points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  respectively. Then

$$\frac{x - x_0}{x_n - x_0} T(x) + \frac{x_n - x}{x_n - x_0} S(x) \quad (5)$$

is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ , which interpolates  $f$  at  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  with the required multiplicities. The leading term of (5)

$$\frac{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] f - [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] f}{x_n - x_0} = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] f.$$

is the coefficient  $A_n$  of  $x^n$  of the polynomial  $P_n$  which interpolates  $f$  at  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

Let a function  $f$  and points  $X : x_0, \dots, x_n$  be given. Let the polynomial of degree  $n$   $P_n(x) = P_n(f, X; x)$  satisfies the conditions  $P_n^{(l_j-1)}(x_j) = f^{(l_j-1)}(x_j)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . From Theorem 1

$$P_n(x) = A_0 + A_1(x - x_0) + \dots + A_n(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{n-1}).$$

The coefficients  $A_k$  are divided differences  $[x_0, \dots, x_k] f$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . This leads to Newton's formula for the interpolating polynomial:

$$P_n(f, X; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) [x_0, \dots, x_k] f.$$

**Lemma.** If  $f \in C[a, b]$ ,  $a \leq x_i \leq b$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , then

$$[x_0, \dots, x_n] f = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!}$$

for some  $\xi \in [a, b]$ .

**Proof.** If  $P_n(x) = P_n(f, X; x)$ , then the function  $f(x) - P_n(x)$  has  $n + 1$  roots - at the points  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ , (with corresponding multiplicities  $m_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$ ). Then by Rolle's theorem, the first derivative  $f'(x) - P'_n(x)$  has  $n$  roots,  $f''(x) - P''_n(x)$  has  $n - 1$  rootsetc.  $f^{(n)}(x) - P^{(n)}_n(x)$  has a root  $\xi \in [a, b]$ , i.e.

$f^{(n)}(\xi) - P^{(n)}_n(\xi) = 0$  for some  $\xi \in [a, b]$ . But

$P_n(f, X; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{k-1}) [x_0, \dots, x_k] f$  and only the last term  $(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{n-1}) [x_0, \dots, x_n] f$  has degree  $n$ , the other terms have degree less than  $n$ . Since the coefficient in  $x^n$  is  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] f$ , we obtain, that  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} P_n(x) = n! \cdot [x_0, \dots, x_n] f \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , which shows that  $f^{(n)}(\xi) - n! [x_0, \dots, x_n] f = 0$  for some  $\xi \in [a, b]$ . The lemma is proved.



**Problem 1.** Prove that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is three times differentiable, then there exists a real number  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$  such that 
$$\frac{f'''(\xi)}{6} = \frac{f(1)-f(-1)}{2} - f'(0).$$
 (12<sup>th</sup> International Mathematics Competition for University Students -Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, July 22 - July 28, 2005).

**Solution.** Let  $P_3(x) = P_3(f, X; x)$  be Hermite's polynomial which interpolates  $f$  at points  $-1, 0, 0, 1$ . Then

$$P_3(f, X; x) = A_0 + A_1(x - x_0) + A_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + A_3(x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2)$$

$$A_0 = f(x_0) = f(-1)$$

$$A_1 = [x_0, x_1] f = [-1, 0] f = \frac{f(0) - f(-1)}{0 - (-1)} = f(0) - f(-1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= [x_0, x_1, x_2] f = [-1, 0, 0] f = \frac{[0, 0] f - [-1, 0] f}{0 - (-1)} \\ &= f'(0) - f(0) + f(-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= [x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3] f = [-1, 0, 0, 1] f \\ &= \frac{[0, 0, 1] f - [-1, 0, 0] f}{1 - (-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (f(1) - 2f'(0) - f(-1)) = \frac{f(1) - f(-1)}{2} - f'(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_3(f, X; x) = & f(-1) + (f(0) - f(-1))(x + 1) \\
 & + (f'(0) - f(0) + f(-1))(x + 1)x \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} (f(1) - 2f'(0) - f(-1))(x + 1)x^2
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that indeed

$$P_3(\pm 1) = f(\pm 1), \quad P_3(0) = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad P_3'(0) = f'(0)$$

Apply Rolle's theorem for the function  $h(x) = f(x) - P_3(x)$  and its derivatives.

Since

$$h(-1) = h(0) = h(1) = 0,$$

there exists  $\eta \in (-1, 0)$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$h'(\eta) = h'(\theta) = 0.$$

We also have  $h'(0) = 0$ , so there exists  $\rho \in (\eta, 0)$  and  $\sigma \in (0, \theta)$  such that

$$h''(\rho) = h''(\sigma) = 0$$

Finally, there exists a  $\xi \in (\rho, \sigma) \subset (-1, 1)$  where  $h'''(\xi) = 0$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'''(\xi) &= P_3'''(\xi) = \left( \left( \frac{f(1) - f(-1)}{2} - f'(0) \right) (x+1)x^2 \right)'''(\xi) \\ &= 6 \left( \frac{f(1) - f(-1)}{2} - f'(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a real number  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$  such that

$$\frac{f'''(\xi)}{6} = \frac{f(1) - f(-1)}{2} - f'(0).$$

**Problem 2.** Prove that if  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  and  $0 < x_0 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ , then

$$[x_0, \dots, x_n] f = \frac{(-1)^n}{x_0 \dots x_n}. \quad (6)$$

**Solution.** For  $n = 0$ ,

$$[x_0] f = f(x_0) = \frac{1}{x_0}$$

, for  $n = 1$  and  $0 < x_0 < x_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_0, x_1] f &= \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1 - x_0} \left( \frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{1}{x_0} \right) = \frac{x_0 - x_1}{(x_1 - x_0) x_0 x_1} = -\frac{1}{x_0 x_1} \end{aligned}$$

when  $0 < x_0 = x_1$ ,

$$[x_0, x_0] f = f'(x_0) = -\frac{1}{x_0^2}.$$

so for  $n = 1$ , (6) is satisfied. Next, we assume that (6) is true for  $(n - 1)$  and wish to infer from this assumption that (6) is true for  $n$ .

When not all  $x_i, i = 0, \dots, n$  coincide,

$$\begin{aligned}[x_0, \dots, x_n] f &= \frac{[x_1, \dots, x_n] f - [x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] f}{x_n - x_0} \\&= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_n} - \frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_{n-1}}}{x_n - x_0} \\&= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}}}{x_n - x_0} \left( \frac{1}{x_n} - \frac{1}{x_0} \right) \\&= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}}}{x_n - x_0} \frac{x_0 - x_n}{x_0 x_n} \\&= (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(-1)}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_0 x_n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{x_0 x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_n}.\end{aligned}$$



When  $0 < x_0 = \dots = x_n$ , then

$$[x_0, \dots, x_0] f = \frac{(x^{-1})^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} = \frac{(-1) \dots (-n) x_0^{-n}}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^n}{x_0^n}.$$

Consequently, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction we infer that the formula holds for all  $n$ .

**Problem 3.** Suppose that  $f(x)$  is 3 times differentiable for any real number and set

$$L_h(f, x) = f(x + 2h) - 2hf'(x + h) - f(x) - \frac{h^3}{3}f'''(x + h).$$

Prove that  $L_h(f, x) = 0$  for any real  $x$  and  $h$  if and only if  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 4$ .

**Solution.** We calculate

$$[x, x, x, x, x + h] f = \frac{f(x + h) - f(x) - hf'(x) - \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(x)}{h^4},$$

$$\begin{aligned}[x, x, x, x, x - h] f &= \frac{f(x - h) - f(x) + hf'(x) - \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(x)}{h^4} \\ &= [x - h, x, x, x, x] f.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}[x - h, x, x, x, x + h] f &= \frac{[x, x, x, x, x + h] f - [x - h, x, x, x, x] f}{2h} \\ &= \frac{f(x + h) - f(x - h) - 2hf'(x) - \frac{h^3}{3}f'''(x)}{2h^5}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [x, x+h, x+h, x+h, x+h, x+2h] f \\ &= \frac{f(x+2h) - f(x) - 2hf'(x+h) - \frac{h^3}{3}f'''(x+h)}{2h^5} = \frac{1}{2h^5}L_h(f, x). \end{aligned}$$

But by the last Lemma

$$[x, x+h, x+h, x+h, x+h, x+2h] f = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}$$

for some  $\xi \in (x, x+2h)$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{2h^5}L_h(f, x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}$$

for some  $\xi \in (x, x+2h)$  if the 5-th derivative of  $f$  exists. Thus if  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 4$  then  $f^{(5)}(x) = 0$  for any real  $x$ .

Therefore  $L_h(f, x) = 0$  for any real  $x$  and  $h$ .

Now we will prove the converse statement: If  $L_h(f, x) = 0$  then  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 4$ . Let

$$L_h(f, x) = f(x + 2h) - 2hf'(x + h) - f(x) - \frac{h^3}{3}f'''(x + h) = 0.$$

Then

$$f'''(x + h) = \frac{3}{h^3} (f(x + 2h) - 2hf'(x + h) - f(x))$$

and setting  $x := x - h$  we get

$$f'''(x) = \frac{3}{h^3} (f(x + h) - 2hf'(x) - f(x - h)).$$

When  $h = 1$  we obtain

$$f'''(x) = 3 (f(x+1) - 2f'(x) - f(x-1)) .$$

As  $f(x)$  is 3 times differentiable for any real number this equality shows that  $f'''(x)$  is 2 times differentiable for any real number.

Thus we obtain that if  $L_h(f, x) = 0$  for any real  $x$  and  $h$  and  $f(x)$  is 3 times differentiable then  $f(x)$  is 5 times differentiable and

$$f^{(5)}(x) = (f'''(x))'' = 3(f''(x+1) - 2f'''(x) - f''(x-1)).$$

Hence

$$f^{(5)}(x) \in C(-\infty, \infty).$$

But

$$0 = \frac{1}{2h^5} L_h(f, x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}$$

for some  $\xi \in (x, x + 2h)$ .

If we choose  $h > 0$  sufficiently small, when  $h \rightarrow 0$  we get  $\xi \rightarrow x$  and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow x} f^{(5)}(\xi) = f^{(5)}(x).$$

Thus we obtain that  $f^{(5)}(x) = 0$  for any real number. Therefore  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 4$ .



THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION