



# Compact Resettable Counter through Causal Stability

Georges Younes Paulo Sérgio Almeida Carlos Baquero

April 22, 2017

EUROSYS - PaPoC'17

# Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Problem definition
- 3. Naive solution
- 4. Causal Stability
- 5. Compact Solution
- 6. Final Remarks

# Motivation

### Motivation

- Satisfy user requirements -> developers must be able to compose complex data types together
  - We can achieve that using maps, where all other data types can be embedded within them, including maps themselves
- · It is not that easy...
  - The problem with embedded CRDT counters
- · Or is it?

Maps need to support the update and removal of entries:

- entries: nested data types like counters, flags, registers... or even maps
- · Creating and updating an entry call the entry's update function
- Removing an entry is done more carefully: We can directly delete an entry. Why?

In replica **A** tries to delete the entry (delete a counter *ctr*) and concurrently replica **B** is updating the same entry (increment *ctr*)




Figure 1: Deleting the entry (without careful checking) leads to inconsistency

- · Maps need more careful checking on removal of entries:
  - Removing an entry: first reset the entry, then if entry's state is  $\bot$  delete it from map
- Check Antidote's Resettable Map under https://github.com/SyncFree/antidote\_crdt/blob/ master/src/antidote\_crdt\_map\_rr.erl

- We use a Reset semantics where a Reset only affects local seen operations:
  - Other Reset semantics could be: also affecting operations concurrent to the Reset operation
  - Reset should affect a subset of the operations that are in the causal past of its invocation
  - Any eventual operations that are concurrent to a Reset operation, should not be affected
- The state of current counter design is an integer
  - It is impossible to reset a subset of the operations as the state being single integer does not permit that

We illustrate the anomalies with 2 examples using 2 different *Reset* implementations that can operate on integers

In the first example, we implement the *reset* function to set the counter to 0




Figure 2: This implementation leads to inconsistency

In the second example, we implement the *reset* function to decrement the counter by its current value




Figure 3: This implementation leads to an incorrect behavior

Naive solution

### Naive solution

As the problem is that the state is reduced to one integer, one solution is to:

- Tag each inc (also dec) operation with a timestamp
- · The state would be the set of these operations
- A reset operation would reset all operations with timestamp in its causal past
- · A query would return the sum of inc operations sum of dec operations




Figure 4: Example of a Naive Resettable Counter

### Naive solution

It works, but it is still naive solution:

- The state keeps growing linearly with new increments and decrements
- · Can we garbage collect? how?
- · Sit back, relax and meet Causal Stability

**Causal Stability** 

# **Causal Stability**

**Definition.** A timestamp t, and corresponding message, is causally stable at node i when all messages subsequently delivered at i will have timestamp t' > t


Stability can be locally detected by tracking in each node the last timestamps from from each other node.


In the next slides, we present an example illustrating how causal stability works


So our **compact solution** is basically applying the compaction technique allowed by **causal stability** over the **naive resettable counter** (with some changes needed in the specs)


### In this example:


- · We use vector clocks as timestamps
- We show causal stability between 2 replicas
- We introduce a data structure called RTM (Recent Timestamps Matrix) that stores the knowledge of delivered messages: This RTM is updated locally at each node upon message sending/delivery
- We introduce a data structure called *Stable Vector* that is the point-wise minimum of all the vectors in the *RTM*
- A message is stable if its VC < Stable Vector


















The implementation, more optimizations can be done. For instance, when *stabilizing* an operation, instead of keeping the inc/dec operations and replacing timestamps with  $\bot$  we can do the following:



Final Remarks

### Final Remarks

- In our examples (and specs) we consider the more intuitive semantics for the *reset*: a *reset* operation cancels all operations in its causal past, without affecting concurrent operations.
  - Nevertheless, it is possible to support an alternative reset semantics, in which a reset also cancels concurrent operations, with some simple modifications
- To be able to apply causal stability, making a POLog a multiset was an essential ingredient
- Also, we used the GCounter in our examples (only inc operations) for the sake of simplicity
  - it is possible to build any counter (e.g. PN-Counter) allowing *dec* operations as well

# **Questions?**

bit.ly/crdts-infoblender