

# Centralized and Decentralized Fault Diagnosis of Partially Observable Discrete-Event Systems

Deguang Wang and Xi Wang *Member, IEEE*

The proofs of the following lemmas are omitted because they follow directly from the definitions of  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_I^{\sigma_{o0}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any path  $p = q_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} (q_0, \ell_0) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} (q_1, \ell_1) \cdots (q_{k-1}, \ell_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} (q_k, \ell_k) \cdots (q_n, \ell_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}}$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_I^{\sigma_{o0}}$  ending with a cycle, The following hold:

- 1)  $\ell_j = \ell_r$  for any  $j, r \in [k, n]$ ;
- 2) There exists a path  $\bar{p} = \bar{q}_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \bar{q}_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \bar{q}_2 \cdots \bar{q}_{b-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_b} \bar{q}_b \cdots \bar{q}_c \xrightarrow{\sigma_c} \bar{q}_b$  in  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_b \cdots \sigma_c) = \sigma_{o0} \sigma_{o1} \cdots \sigma_{ok} \cdots \sigma_{o(n+1)}$  and  $Q_p \subseteq Q_{\bar{p}}$ , where  $Q_p$  and  $Q_{\bar{p}}$  are the set of states composed of paths  $p$  and  $\bar{p}$ , respectively.

In a cycle, all the pairs have the same condition labels, which are either normal or  $F_i$  ( $i \in [1, m]$ ), due to the assumption that all failure modes are permanent. We know that  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_I^{\sigma_{o0}}$  is defined on the basis of  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  derives from  $\mathbf{G}$ . Thus, there exists a transition path  $\bar{p}$  in  $\mathbf{G}$  corresponding to the path  $p$  with fault information in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_I^{\sigma_{o0}}$ .

**Lemma 2.** For any path  $p = (q_{-1}, q_{-1}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} (q_{o0}^1, q_{o0}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} (q_{o1}^1, q_{o1}^2) \cdots (q_{o(k-1)}^1, q_{o(k-1)}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2) \cdots (q_{on}^1, q_{on}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}}$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  ending with a cycle, the following hold:

- 1) There exist a path  $p_n^1$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_n^{\sigma_{o0}}$  and a path  $p_f^2$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_f^{\sigma_{o0}}$  ending with cycles, namely,  $p_n^1 = q_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} q_{o0}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} q_{o1}^1 \cdots q_{o(k-1)}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} q_{ok}^1 \cdots q_{on}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} q_{ok}^1$  and  $p_f^2 = q_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} q_{o0}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} q_{o1}^2 \cdots q_{o(k-1)}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} q_{ok}^2 \cdots q_{on}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} q_{ok}^2$ ;
- 2)  $\ell_j^1 = \ell_r^1 = \{N\}$  and  $\ell_j^2 = \ell_r^2$  for any  $j, r \in [k, n]$ .

Based on Lemmas 1 and 2, the proof of Theorem 1 is shown as follows.

*Proof.* (only if): Suppose  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F_i$ -asynchronously diagnosable w.r.t.  $\Sigma_f$  and  $\Sigma_o$ , but there exists a cycle  $cl = (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(k+1)}} (q_{o(k+1)}^1, q_{o(k+1)}^2) \cdots (q_{on}^1, q_{on}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2)$  with  $q_{oj}^1 = (q_{nj}^1, \ell_j^1) \in \hat{Q}_n$  and  $q_{oj}^2 = (q_{fj}^2, \ell_j^2) \in \hat{Q}_f$  ( $0 \leq k \leq j \leq n$ ) in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  such that  $\ell_j^2 = \{F_i\}$  ( $i \in [1, m]$ ).

Since  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  is reachable, there exists a path  $p$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  ending with the cycle  $cl$ , i.e.,  $p = (q_{-1}, q_{-1}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} (q_{o0}^1, q_{o0}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} (q_{o1}^1, q_{o1}^2) \cdots (q_{o(k-1)}^1, q_{o(k-1)}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2) \cdots (q_{on}^1, q_{on}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2)$ . Based on Lemma 2, we

know that there exist one path  $p_n^1$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_n^{\sigma_{o0}}$  and one path  $p_f^2$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_f^{\sigma_{o0}}$  ending with cycles, namely,  $p_n^1 = q_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} q_{o0}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} q_{o1}^1 \cdots q_{o(k-1)}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} q_{ok}^1 \cdots q_{on}^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} q_{ok}^1$  and  $p_f^2 = q_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o0}} q_{o0}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o1}} q_{o1}^2 \cdots q_{o(k-1)}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ok}} q_{ok}^2 \cdots q_{on}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} q_{ok}^2$  with  $\ell_j^1 = \ell_r^1 = \{N\}$  and  $\ell_j^2 = \ell_r^2 = \{F_i\}$  for any  $j, r \in [k, n]$ . Further from Lemma 1, we know that for the path  $p_f^2$  there exists a path  $\bar{p}^2 = \bar{q}_0^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \bar{q}_1^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \bar{q}_2^2 \cdots \bar{q}_{b-1}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_b} \bar{q}_b^2 \cdots \bar{q}_c^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_c} \bar{q}_b^2$  in  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_b \cdots \sigma_c) = \sigma_{o0} \sigma_{o1} \cdots \sigma_{ok} \cdots \sigma_{o(n+1)}$  and  $Q_{p_f^2} \subseteq Q_{\bar{p}^2}$ .

Let  $Q_e(q, n')$  denote the set of state estimations calculated after the occurrence of  $n'$  events from state  $q$ . Suppose the state estimation  $x_{0e} \in Q_e(\bar{q}_s^2, 0)$  ( $s \in [1, b]$ ), where  $\bar{q}_s^2$  is the first faulty state in the path  $\bar{p}^2$ , is given. When the system evolves along the path  $\bar{p}^2$ , there exists a state estimation  $x_e^{n''} \in Q_e(\bar{q}_s^2, n'')$  ( $n'' = d + m_2 * k_2$ ,  $d = b - s$ , and  $m_2 = c - b + 1$  is the length of  $\bar{q}_b^2 \cdots \bar{q}_c^2$ ) such that  $q_k^1 \in x_e^{n''}$  and  $q_k^2 \in x_e^{n''}$  for any nonnegative integer  $k_2$ . Then  $D(x_e^{n''}) = -1$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is asynchronously diagnosable, there exists an integer  $N_i$  such that for any  $x_e^{n'} \in Q(q_s^2, n')$ ,  $x_e^{n'} \subseteq Q_{F_i}$  holds for  $n' \geq N_i$ . We choose an integer  $k_2$  such that  $n'' \geq N_i$ . Then we have that  $x_e^{n''} \subseteq Q_{F_i}$ , i.e.,  $D(x_e^{n''}) = i$ , which leads to a contraction. So the necessity holds.

(if): Suppose for every cycle  $cl = (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(k+1)}} (q_{o(k+1)}^1, q_{o(k+1)}^2) \cdots (q_{on}^1, q_{on}^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{o(n+1)}} (q_{ok}^1, q_{ok}^2)$  with  $q_{oj}^1 = (q_{nj}^1, \ell_j^1) \in \hat{Q}_n$  and  $q_{oj}^2 = (q_{fj}^2, \ell_j^2) \in \hat{Q}_f$  ( $0 \leq k \leq j \leq n$ ), we have  $\ell_j^1 = \ell_j^2 = \{N\}$  ( $j \in [k, n]$ ). From the second clause of Lemma 2, we can infer that for any  $q^d = ((q^1, \ell^1), (q^2, \ell^2))$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$ ,  $q^d$  is not contained in a loop if  $\ell^1 \neq \ell^2$ , which further implies that for any path  $p = q_{-1}^d \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} q_1^d \cdots q_l^d$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$  with  $q_r^d = ((q_r^1, \ell_r^1), (q_r^2, \ell_r^2))$  ( $r \in [1, l]$ ) if  $\ell_r^1 \neq \ell_r^2$ , then the length of this path is finite, which is less than the number of states in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$ .

Suppose the state estimation  $x_{0e} \in Q_e(q_{F_i}, 0)$  ( $i \in [1, m]$ ) is given when the system first reaches the fault state  $q_{F_i}$ . For any  $x_e^{n'} \in Q_e(q_{F_i}, n')$  with  $n' > |\hat{Q}_d| \times (|\Sigma| - 1)$ , we claim that  $D(x_e^{n'}) = i$ . After  $n'$  transitions from state  $q_{F_i}$ , we have the path  $p' = q_{F_i} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} q_{F_i}^1 \cdots q_{F_i}^{n'} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n'}} q_{F_i}^{n'}$  with the observed event sequence  $s_o = P(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n'})$  ( $n'' = |s_o|$  is the length of  $s_o$ ). From above, for any state  $q^d \in \hat{Q}_d$  that can be reached from  $(q_{-1}, q_{-1})$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_{dc}^{\sigma_{o0}}$ , we have that for any path starting from  $q^d$ , a state  $\hat{q}^d = ((\hat{q}^1, \hat{\ell}^1), (\hat{q}^2, \hat{\ell}^2)) \in \hat{Q}_d$  with  $\hat{\ell}^1 = \hat{\ell}^2$  can be reached within  $|\hat{Q}_d| - 1$  transitions. This implies that for any  $n'' > |\hat{Q}_d| - 1$  and  $x_e^{n''} \in Q_e(q_{F_i}, n'')$ , we have that  $D(x_e^{n''}) = i$ . From the assumption in Remark 2, each observed event can be followed by at most  $|\Sigma| - 1$  unobserved events. It follows that for the above path  $p'$ ,  $n' \leq (n'' + 1) \times (|\Sigma| - 1)$ , i.e.,  $n'' \geq n' / (|\Sigma| - 1) - 1$ . So if  $n' > |\hat{Q}_d| \times (|\Sigma| - 1)$ , then  $n'' \geq n' / (|\Sigma| - 1) - 1 > |\hat{Q}_d| - 1$ , establishing our claim.

D. Wang is with the School of Electrical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China (e-mail: dguwang@gzu.edu.cn, wdguang1991@163.com).

X. Wang is with the School of Electro-Mechanical Engineering, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China. (e-mail: wangxi@xidian.edu.cn).

88 Note that we have assumed implicitly that  $|Q| > 1$ ; otherwise  
 89 if  $|Q| = 1$ , then from the assumption of no path cycles, no  
 90 transition labeled by a failure event exists, so that the system is  
 91 trivially diagnosable. Based on Definition 7, we can conclude  
 92 that  $\mathbf{G}$  is diagnosable w.r.t.  $\Sigma_f$  and  $\Sigma_o$ . So the sufficiency also  
 93 holds.  $\square$