Statistical Methods: Portfolio 1

By Henry Bourne

Abstract

In this document we will summarise content from the first 4 lectures from the Statistical methods course (at Compass, University of Bristol). These lectures cover content on using statistical methods for decision making.

1 Introduction to Decision-Making

Computers are often used to answer complex questions, however, these methods are often a "black-box". We would like to have methods which let us conduct **rational decision-making**:

- 1. Predictions should be precise (no gibberish)
- 2. They should be data driven
- 3. They should take cost (of making the wrong decision) into consideration
- 4. They should take the random nature of data into consideration

In a **regression problem** we want to predict an outcome given some known inputs. We can use the following as an objective function:

Definition 1.1 Least Squares (LS):

$$\min_{f} \sum_{i \in D_0} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 \tag{1}$$

where f is the function that gives our prediction for x, where x_i is the i-th input, y_i is the i-th (observed) output and $D_0 \subseteq D$ is the training dataset.

By minimizing this objective function wrt. f, we obtain a function f that minimizes the squared difference between its predictions and our observed values of the target variable.

Let \boldsymbol{w} be a vector parameterising f¹, then the LS solution is $\boldsymbol{w}_{LS} := \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i \in D_0} (y_i - f(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{w}))^2$. We can prove that:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{LS} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} \tag{2}$$

The proof can be found in the appendix (CITE).

Alternatively we can find w in another data-driven way but also whilst taking the randomness of the data into account by using a probabilistic approach. We define a new objective function:

Definition 1.2 Maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{w}} \log \mathbb{P}(D|\boldsymbol{w}) \tag{3}$$

we denote the parameters that maximize this as w_{ML} , this is called the **Maximum Likelihood** Estimator (MLE), we can write,

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{ML} := \underset{\boldsymbol{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \mathbb{P}(D|\boldsymbol{w}) \tag{4}$$

where D is the dataset and $\mathbb{P}(D|\mathbf{w})$ is called the **likelihood**.

¹ In the case of linear LS we have: $f(x; w) := \langle w_1, x \rangle + w_0$.

Appendices

A Proofs

A.1 Proof of Equation (2)

Let \boldsymbol{X} be,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

we can write our linear model in matrix form,

$$y = Xw + \epsilon \tag{6}$$

note that,

$$\sum_{i \in D_0} (y_i - f(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{w}))^2 = ||\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w}||^2$$
(7)

we can find the minimum by differentiating wrt. \boldsymbol{w} and finding the solution when the gradient equals zero. However, first we expand our expression,

$$||\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}||^2 = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w})^T(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w})$$
(8)

$$= \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}$$
(9)

we now can find the derivative wrt. \boldsymbol{w} ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}||\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}||^2 = -2\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{y} + 2\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}$$
(10)

now setting this to zero and solving,

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} \tag{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{X}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{-T} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{y} \tag{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} \tag{13}$$

hence proven.

References

 $^{^2}$ This is true in cases where the underlying data-generating process is normal, ie. error terms Gaussian and iid.