

Quantum Internet Alliance M4.2: List of atomic tasks

2020-02-24

1 Purpose

Application level protocols need to have access to networking services such as entanglement sharing between any two points of the network. While such service is at the heart of the quantum internet architecture, additional functionalities can be required or just convenient to have for better, faster, wider development of application level protocols.

The purpose of this report is to review a wide range of such protocols searching for atomic repeatable functions while categorising them along several dimensions. By doing so, we aim at providing building blocks that:

- lessen the amount of code and control needed while developing applications for the quantum internet (in particular through code reuse);
- allow benchmarking of the nodes and network capabilities against these tasks;
- provide functionalities with sound cryptographic definitions;
- provide a simulation platform where these functions would already be implemented, to further accelerate the creation cycle of quantum protocols.

2 Methodology

1. Review of the quantum protocol zoo looking at the various protocols;
2. Identify and group candidate atomic functions;
3. Categorising various candidates into network stages, type (quantum internet layer attribution, off-layer), and necessity
4. Integration into protocol zoo's knowledge graph

3 Review of the quantum protocol zoo

Protocol	Functionalities used
GHZ-based Quantum Anonymous Transmission https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0409201	Classical authenticated channel Creation and braodcast of GHZ state Classical collision detection protocol

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Protocol	Functionalities used
	Single qubit measurement Single qubit Hadamard gate Local memory Teleportation
Verifiable Quantum Anonymous Transmission https://arxiv.org/pdf/1811.04729.pdf	Notification (private computation of classical parity, OR, Rand) Single qubit measurements in the equatorial plane Local memory (Uses GHZ anonymous transmission as subroutine)
Polynomial Code based Quantum Authentication https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0205128.pdf	Clifford circuits (error correction) Local memory
Fast Quantum Byzantine Agreement https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1060590.1060662	Creation and broadcast of GHZ state Multipartite Entanglement Verification (Uses oblivious common coin) (Uses Verifiable Quantum Secret Sharing)
Quantum Bit Commitment https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.2879	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data Secure classical channel Fast operations to keep the relativistic constraints
Quantum Coin Flipping https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9904078	$\pi/9$ single qubit preparation Multi qubit POVM
Gottesman and Chuang Quantum Digital Signature https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0105032	Local memory Swap test Stabilizer states creation
Prepare and Measure Quantum Digital Signature (QDS) https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5551	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data
Measurement Device Independent QDS https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.07178.pdf	Classical authenticated channel Measurement Device Independent QKD link BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data
Multipartite Entanglement Verification	Classical authenticated channel

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Protocol	Functionalities used
https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms13251	Secure classical broadcast Common shared randomness Local memory BB84 Decoding to classical data Creation and broadcast of GHZ state
Quantum Fingerprinting https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0102001	Clifford gates Swap test
BB84 https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/82447194.pdf	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data Authenticated classical channel Privacy amplification Information reconciliation
Device Independent QKD https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.07983	EPR distribution Information reconciliation Privacy amplification
Quantum Leader Election https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4952	(Uses Weak coin flipping)
Quantum Cheque https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11128-016-1273-4	Creation and broadcast of GHZ state Local memory Quantum 1-way function SWAP test (Uses QKD)
Quantum Coin http://users.math.cas.cz/~gavinsky/papers/QuMoClav.pdf	Clifford gates Local memory
Quantum Token	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data Local memory
Wiesner Quantum Money http://users.cms.caltech.edu/~vidick/teaching/120_qcrypto/wiesner.pdf	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data Local memory
Quantum Oblivious transfer https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/3-540-46766-1_29	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data
Classical FHE for Quantum Circuits	Full QC

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Protocol	Functionalities used
https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.02130	
Measurement-Only Universal Blind Quantum Computation https://journals.aps.org/prabstract/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.050301	Graph state generation Equatorial plane measurements
Prepare-and-Send Quantum Fully Homomorphic Encryption https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09717	Full QC (server) Quantum OTP (client)
Prepare-and-Send Universal Blind Quantum Computation https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.4154	Graph state generation Equatorial plane measurements
Pseudo-Secret Random Qubit Generator https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.08759	Full QC on server's side Quantum-safe one-way functions
Prepare-and-Send Verifiable Universal Blind Quantum Computation https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.5217	Graph state generation Equatorial plane measurement Quantum One Time Pad Local memory
Measurement-Only Verifiable Universal Blind Quantum Computation https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1495	Graph state generation Equatorial plane measurement Local memory
Prepare-and-Send Verifiable Quantum Fully Homomorphic Encryption https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09156	Full QC (server) Clifford QC (client)
Secure Multiparty Delegated Quantum Computation https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.09200	Graph state generation Verifiable secret sharing
State Teleportation https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.1895	EPR state source and broadcasting BB84 Decoding to classical data
Weak String Erasure https://eprint.iacr.org/2005/291.pdf	BB84 Encoding of classical data BB84 Decoding to classical data

4 Task extraction and categorisation

The table below presents the extracted tasks from the protocols listed above. Each task is categorized in the layer model of quantum networks (ie. Physical, Layer, Network, Transport, Session, Presentation, Application or Off layer). The Network stage is also specified for each of these atomic functions (ie. in increasing complexity starting from trusted repeaters, entanglement distribution, quantum memory and quantum computing).

Function	Layer	Network stage	Comments
Sending qubit	Transport	Trusted repeater	Should be provided by a Transport layer
Teleportation protocol	Transport	Entanglement distribution	Should be provided by a Transport layer
Creation and broadcast of GHZ state	Session	Quantum memory	Often core for multi-party protocols
Creation and broadcast of any stabilizer state	Session	Quantum memory	Often core for multi-party protocols
Creation and broadcast of arbitrary graph states	Session	Quantum computing	Often core for multi-party protocols
Quantum One Time Pad / confidential channel (encoding and decoding)	Presentation	Prep. and meas.	Core
BB84 Encoding of classical data	Presentation	Prep. and meas.	Core
BB84 Decoding to classical data	Presentation	Prep. and meas.	Core
Single Qubit Preparation in equatorial plane (finite set of angles)	Presentation	Prep. and meas.	Core
Single Qubit Measurement in equatorial plane (finite set of angles)	Presentation	Prep. and meas.	Core
Multi qubit POVM	Presentation	Quantum memory	Assess usefulness / code repetition avoidance
Local Clifford gates	Off	Quantum memory	Core
Local memory	Off	Quantum memory	Core
Local non Clifford gates	Off	Quantum computing	Core
Verification of stabilizer state	Off	Quantum memory	Not atomic but useful to avoid code repetition
QFactory	Off	Quantum computing	Core
Swap Test	Off	Quantum memory	Core

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Function	Layer	Network stage	Comments
Information reconciliation	Off	Classical	Core if throughput is important / not atomic but useful to avoid code repetition
Classical error correction	Off	Classical	Core if throughput is important / not atomic but useful to avoid code repetition
Quantum error correction	Off	Quantum computing	Restrict to the quantum part of ECC, classical part done by CECC. Could fit in several layers
Privacy amplification	Off	Classical	Core if throughput is important
Secure classical broadcast channel	Off	Classical	Core if protocol needs speed / low latency
Classical authenticated channel	Off	Classical	Core if protocol needs speed / low latency
Quantum 1 way function	Off	Prep. and meas.	Not atomic but useful to avoid code repetition
Anonymous transmission channel	Session	Quantum memory	Not atomic but useful as building block
Quantum Authenticated Channel	Session	Quantum memory	Not atomic but useful as building block
Weak String Erasure	Transport	Trusted repeater	Not atomic but useful as building block

5 Tasks specifications

5.1 Sending qubit

This functionality should be provided at the transport layer for entanglement sharing networks. It would be at the link layer for networks based on direct transmission of quantum informaton (QKD-like networks).

For a transport layer functionality, it is expected to provide reliable service with flow control (allowing the sender to improve its probability of correct transmission by detecting possible congestion at the receiving end or along the way).

Inputs	Outputs
Source node: Qubit or Qubits block	Source node: ACK and Flow Control - Congestion Control Message / ERR or ERR Message
Source node: Target node ID	Target node: Qubit or Qubits block

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Inputs	Outputs
Source node: Metadata	Target node: Qubit ID or Qubits ID block, Source node ID, Additional Qubit or Qubit block metadata

5.2 Teleportation halves

While teleportation is the heart of the transport layer in entanglement sharing networks, it is useful to have each half of the protocol available directly to the programmer as a way to teleport while quantum one time padding information. This is especially useful in measurement based quantum computation for blindness and verification. In this case, teleportation measurement is performed, but the sender does not send the corrections to the receiver.

5.2.1 Sending half

Inputs	Outputs
Source node: Qubit or block of Qubits	Source node: Corrections or block of corrections Target node: Uncorrected qubit or block of uncorrected qubits

Correction sending and metadata sending is left up to the Source node as protocols might require a full flexibility over what is sent (or not sent).

5.2.2 Receiving half

Inputs	Outputs
Target node: Corrections or block of corrections	Target node: Corrected Qubit or block of Qubits

The receiving half can be replaced by a quantum One-Time-Pad encoding / decoding with a proper labelling of the corrections.

5.3 Creation and broadcast of GHZ state

GHZ states are central to several multi-party protocols. Several implementations can be proposed:

- either a centralized create and broadcast operation using a regular transport layer,
- or tapping directly into the network layer for using bipartite entanglement as a way to generate the GHZ state in a decentralized fashion.

The first option would yield two atomic sub-tasks, while the latter would involve a protocol on its own.

5.3.1 Local prepare

Inputs	Outputs
Number of parties	N-Party GHZ state

5.3.2 Broadcast

Inputs	Outputs
Source node: N-qubit register	Source node: ACK and Flow Control - Congestion Control Messages / ERR or ERR Message
Source node: length-N Target node IDs	Per Target node : Qubit
Source node: Additional constraints (eg. TTL)	Per Target node: Qubit ID, Source node ID, metadata
Source node: Overall state metadata	

5.4 Creation and broadcast of any stabilizer state

Specifications similar to GHZ state creation and broadcast.

5.5 Creation and broadcast of arbitrary graph states

Specifications similar to GHZ state creation and broadcast.

5.6 Quantum One Time Pad / confidential channel (encoding and decoding)

Encoding and decoding are identical, yielding a single atomic task that is entirely performed locally. The "send" part can be performed using the send qubit atomic function and would yield a confidential quantum channel. It can also be implemented directly via teleportation without revealing the corrections.

Inputs	Outputs
Block of qubits	Block of encoded qubits
Block of encoding key (ie 2 bits per qubit specifying X and Z rotations)	

5.7 BB84 Encoding of classical data

One of the most useful encoding, it is used in many protocols and is also helpful in unit-testing the library itself. This would be naturally embedded in a slightly more general preparation functionality where all 6 states that are eigenstates of Pauli operators can be prepared at will.

Inputs	Outputs
Block of classical data bits	Prepared block of qubits
Block of encoding bases	

5.8 BB84 Decoding to classical data

Same reason. Symmetric functionality.

Inputs	Outputs
--------	---------

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Inputs	Outputs
Block of qubits	Decoded block of classical bits (measurement outcomes given the measurement bases)
Block of encoding bases	

5.9 Single Qubit Preparation in equatorial plane (finite set of angles)

Useful in the context of measurement based quantum computing. A natural set of preparation angles is $\{\frac{k\pi}{4}\}_{0 \leq k \leq 7}$ as it is widely used in measurement based quantum computing schemes. Other angles could be optionally considered.

Inputs	Outputs
Block integers specifying the preparation angle	Block of prepared qubits
Block of bits (to apply $+\pi$ to the preparation)	
Option: list of preparation angles, defaults to $k\pi/4$	

5.10 Single Qubit Measurement in equatorial plane (finite set of angles)

Same reason. Symmetric functionality.

Inputs	Outputs
Block integers specifying the measurement angles	Block of classical bits (measurement outcomes given the measurement angles)
Option: list of measurement angles, defaults to $k\pi/4$	

5.11 Multi qubit POVM

While it is not possible to allow the implementation of generic POVM's even on a few qubits, some should be available readily as atomic functions. A possibility would be to allow for POVM's obtained as observables defined by stabilizer measurements as it would allow completing basic quantum error correcting schemes.

Inputs	Outputs
N-qubit state	bit (measurement outcome associated to the projectors onto the ± 1 eigensubspaces of P)
Length-N Pauli operator P	

5.12 Local Pauli gates

Necessary. Mostly provided by the backend it self.

Inputs	Outputs
Qubit	Rotated qubit
Pauli operator	

5.13 Local Clifford gates

Same as previous functionality.

5.14 Local non Clifford gates

Most backends or hardware provide the ability to perform T gates. These will serve as building blocks for distillation schemes before being used in real circuits. Same specification as the previous functionality.

5.15 Local memory manager

The idea behind this functionality is to be able to handle various scenario influencing the robustness of protocols, such as being able to tell neighboring nodes that the capacity to accept new qubits is low before they actually send information. It might also be interesting to be able to keep track of the time to live of various qubits so that other parts of the protocols can take that into account and give higher priority to operations with a lower TTL.

The implementation of such functionality can be done in various ways and will highly depend on the backend and chosen architecture.

Inputs	Outputs
nil	Available capacity for reciving or creating new qubits Optionally active qubit ID and their remain- ing TTL

5.16 Multi-site verification of stabilizer state

This allows verified multiparty protocols. It also enters into unit testing for multi-party operations.

5.16.1 Verifier side

Inputs	Outputs
Length-N Prover node ID's Qubit ID's per prover node Stabilizer state description	GOK / NOK Accepted qubit-ID per Prover node

5.16.2 Prover side

Inputs	Outputs
Qubit IDs List of measurements to perform	list of measured outcomes

5.17 QFactory

Blind remote preparation of a classical described quantum state.

Inputs	Outputs
--------	---------

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Inputs	Outputs
Client node: classical description of the quantum state	Server node: quantum state
	Client: classical bit specifying the computational basis for the prepared state

5.18 Swap Test

Implies to add the swap gate first and then the test. It should work indistinctively for individual qubits and blocks of qubits. The returned classical bit corresponds to a single measurement outcome (ie the test needs to be repeated to get the overlap value between the two tested-states).

Inputs	Outputs
2 blocks of qubits	classical bit

5.19 Information reconciliation

Useful for implementations of QKD like protocols. Would offer a template for reconciliation allowing to plugin various error correction schemes.

Inputs	Outputs
Each participating node: Sifted key bits	Each participating node: reconciled key bits

The parameters would specify:

- the scheme to use for the reconciliation
- the kind of error estimation performed

5.20 Classical error correction

Many protocols require classical error correction at a very fine grained level (be it for exploiting classical code properties in algorithms or to have manual control over some quantum error correction schemes using CSS codes). This entry would be consisting of a sub-library providing encoding, error estimation and decoding procedures as well as code manipulation functionalities. Depending on the use of these codes (either as being used off-line or on-line) they should provide fast implementations (possibly requiring dedicated libraries or even dedicated hardware).

5.20.1 Classical information encoding

Inputs	Outputs
(n, k) code	Length- n encoded block
Length- k classical bits	

5.20.2 Classical information error estimation and decoding

Inputs	Outputs
(n, k) code	Length- k decoded bits or quantized probabilities of being 1
Length- n noisy encoded block (bits or quantized continuous value)	Length- $(n-k)$ syndrome values (bits or quantized values)
	Most likely length- n error vector

Additionnal information passed would comprise:

- Noise model
- Input type (bits or quantized values)
- Output type (hard or soft decoding)
- Error estimation strategy

5.20.3 Classical codes manipulation

Series of functions such as:

- Coset sampling
- Dual code encoding and decoding
- Concatenation
- ...

These functions might be provided by specialized libraries.

5.21 Quantum error correction

5.21.1 Encoding of quantum information

Inputs	Outputs
(n, k) quantum code	Encoded qubit block
Length- k qubit block	

5.21.2 Stabilizer measurement

Inputs	Outputs
$n - k$ stabilizer group generators	Length- $(n - k)$ binary vector of measurement outcomes
Length- n qubit block	

5.21.3 Application of error corrections

Inputs	Outputs
Length- n qubit block	Length- n qubit block
Length- n vector of Pauli operators	

5.21.4 Transversal logical gates application

Inputs	Outputs
Description of 1 or 2 logical qubit blocks	1 ou 2 logical qubits blocks
1 or 2 logical qubits gates	
1 or 2 logical qubits blocks	

5.21.5 Logical computational basis measurement

Inputs	Outputs
(n, k) stabilizer code	outcome of the measurement
Logical qubit to measure	

5.21.6 Decoding of quantum information

Inputs	Outputs
(n, k) quantum code	Decoded length- k qubits block
Length- n encoded qubit block	

NB: Here we have made the choice to rely on classical error correction procedure for estimating the most likely error given a noise model. This can be done because quantum codes are classical codes over $GF(4)$.

5.22 Privacy amplification

Useful for implementations of QKD protocols. Would offer various schemes for privacy amplification

Inputs	Outputs
Insecure shared random key	Secure shared random key

The scheme would take the following parameters:

- a privacy amplification scheme
- an estimate of the information in the hands of an adversary

5.23 Quantum 1-way function

Used in digital signature schemes and quantum cheques. This function takes a classical bit, a key and outputs a block of qubits that encode the classical bit with the help of a classical key k .

The inputs/outputs is defined in a way similar to the BB84 encoding / equatorial plane encoding

5.24 Channels

Various implementation of quantum and classical channels will be useful as it is common in protocols to require one or several of these. Their precise specification will be depending on the network available to implement them. One constraint needs to be kept in mind: most

implementations will be required to have low latency as, even for the case of classical information, it might otherwise mean that qubits containing precious quantum information are at risk of decoherence.

The Flow control and Congestion control messages available for sending qubits and blocks of qubits will need to be adapted to these channels.

List of channels to implement:

- Secure classical broadcast channel
- Specific implementation could be required for high precision timing reasons.
- Classical authenticated channel
- Quantum anonymous transmission channel
- Quantum Authenticated Channel

5.25 Weak String Erasure

This protocol can be seen as a supposedly imperfect transport protocol. It is thus categorized in the transport layer and should have flow control and congestion control mechanisms.

Inputs	Outputs
Source node: length-N bit string of information to send	Source node: ACK and Flow Control - Congestion Control Message / ERR or ERR Message
Source node: length-N bit string of basis choices	Target node: Set of bits and positions where the value coincides with the source information bits
Target node: length-N bit string of basis choices	

6 Knowledge graph representation of relations between atomic functions and protocols of the zoo

The knowledge graph presented below contains the various protocols and atomic functions extract from the quantum protocol zoo. The larger nodes are atomic functions, while smaller ones correspond to application level protocols. The color of the nodes correspond to the network stage of the task:

- dark green: classical
- green: prepare and measure
- yellow: trusted repeater
- orange: entanglement distribution
- red: quantum memory
- purple: quantum computing.

This graph clearly shows the (degree-) centrality of BB84 encoding as well as Sending qubit and Local memory functionalities. Less apparent without this graph are the role played by GHZ and graph state preparations. Their importance stems from their usefulness in most delegated quantum computing schemes as well as multipartite protocols. This would call for a specific attention in implementing these functionalities, maybe even considering dedicated network architectures adapted to the production of these resource states.

Here, note that we did not represent possible implementations of atomic tasks. Instead, atomic functions are kept *atomic* because a single atomic function could be implemented in various ways each using different functionalities (see eg. creation and distribution of GHZ states involving broadcasting EPR pairs vs. local creation and qubit sending). Opting for one implementation against another is not the purpose of this report as flexibility should be in the hands of experimentalists in order for them to optimize the quality of the produced functionality given its input/output specification.

